

# Three Loads, One Working Memory

Working memory holds a handful of new items at once. Lesson design decides what spends that budget.

**THE TASK**

## Intrinsic load

The genuine complexity of the material. Managed by sequencing and chunking, never by dodging the content.

**In class:** Teach the parts before the system: phloem and xylem separately, transport as a whole after.

**THE WASTE**

## Extraneous load

Load from poor design: split sources, decoration, unclear instructions. This is the budget thief you control.

**In class:** A diagram with its key on another page makes learners carry the key in their heads. Put labels ON the diagram.

**THE POINT**

## Germane load

Effort spent building understanding: connecting, comparing, self-explaining. Protect budget for this.

**In class:** Why does step two come before step three? is productive load. Decoding cluttered slides is not.

**FADE**

## Expertise changes everything

What overloads a novice underloads an expert. Supports that help in September can hinder by March.

**In class:** Once fluent, swap worked examples for problem-solving; the guidance that helped now gets in the way.

# Load-Cutting Moves

Four reliable fixes for self-inflicted load. Apply to any slide, sheet or explanation.

## Integrate, don't split

Words live on the diagram they describe; instructions live on the resource they govern.

**Say:** "Could a learner do this without flicking between two places? If not, merge them."

## Cut the decoration

Anything that does not carry the idea competes with it.

**Say:** "Delete every image you cannot justify in one sentence of content."

## One channel at a time

Do not read dense slides aloud while learners read them. Speak to visuals; show minimal text.

**Say:** "Slide: the diagram. Voice: the explanation. Never both as text."

## Chunk and check

New material in small steps, working memory cleared by a check before the next step lands.

**Say:** "Teach one step, everyone tries one, then the next step."

# The Worked Example Ladder

The CLT-approved route from showing to solo: every rung lightens the guidance a little.

## 1. Full example, narrated

Complete solution, decisions explained aloud, learners attend rather than copy.

**In class:** Watch first. Your only job is to spot why I chose to multiply before adding.

## 2. Completion problems

Partially worked: learners finish the last steps, then the last two, then more.

**In class:** Steps one and two are done for you. You take it from step three.

## 3. Paired twin

A structurally identical problem solved with a partner, example still visible.

**In class:** Same method, new numbers. The example stays up; glance, don't copy.

## 4. Solo, example away

Independent practice from memory. If accuracy collapses, drop a rung, not a standard.

**In class:** Examples closed. Five questions. Below four right means back to completion problems.

# Load-Aware Lesson Check

Run on any lesson teaching genuinely new material. Most overload is designed in accidentally.

## The material

- New content arrives in steps small enough to hold whole.
- Worked examples exist for every new procedure.
- Prerequisites were retrieved this week, so they are cheap to access.

## The resources

- Labels and instructions are integrated, not split across sources.
- Slides carry visuals and minimal text; the voice carries the rest.
- Nothing decorative competes with the content.

## The learners

- Checks confirm one step is secure before the next loads.
- Support fades as fluency grows: examples give way to problems.
- Struggling learners get a lighter load, not a lower goal.

# Cognitive Load Theory: A 5-Minute Evidence Briefing

What CLT robustly predicts, and where its claims need handling with care.

## ■ The architecture argument is strong

Working memory is severely limited for novel information while long-term memory is vast: instruction that respects this (guidance first, examples first) consistently beats instruction that ignores it for novices.

## ■ The classic effects replicate

Worked examples, completion problems, split-attention and redundancy effects have decades of experimental support, with the expertise-reversal effect marking where each stops applying.

## ■ Design beats willpower

Most overload is built into materials: split sources, decorated slides, double-channel text. The fixes are cheap and benefit everyone, most of all novices and learners with weaker working memory.

## ■ The honest caveat

Load itself is hard to measure (self-report scales dominate), the three-load taxonomy keeps being revised, and germane load remains debated. Use CLT as a design discipline, not as a measurement science.

### Evidence base

- Kirschner, P.A., Sweller, J. and Clark, R.E. (2006). Why minimal guidance during instruction does not work. *Educational Psychologist*.
- Chen, O., Retnowati, E. and Kalyuga, S. (2023). The effect of worked examples on learning solution steps and knowledge transfer. *Educational Psychology*.
- Richter, J., Scheiter, K. and Eitel, A. (2016). Signaling text-picture relations in multimedia learning: a comprehensive meta-analysis. *Educational Research Review*.
- Sweller, J. (1988). Cognitive load during problem solving: effects on learning. *Cognitive Science*.