

# Two Streams, One Reader

Skilled reading runs two processes at once. Knowing which stream has failed tells you what to teach.

**DECODE**

## Bottom-up: print to meaning

Letters to sounds to words to sentences. If this stream is slow, everything above it starves.

**In class:** A reader who labours over 'photosynthesis' has no capacity left to wonder what it means.

**PREDICT**

## Top-down: knowledge to meaning

Prior knowledge, vocabulary and context shaping what the print is taken to mean.

**In class:** A cricket fan reads 'the bowler appealed' instantly; a novice decodes it perfectly and understands nothing.

**SKILLED**

## Both, simultaneously

Fluent readers run both streams and switch reliance as the text demands. Teaching serves whichever stream is the bottleneck.

**In class:** Same text, two failures: one child cannot read the words, another reads them all and recalls nothing. Different lessons needed.

**WORDS**

## Vocabulary is the bridge

Word knowledge feeds BOTH streams: it speeds recognition and carries meaning.

**In class:** Pre-teaching three key words pays into decoding and comprehension at once.

# Fix the Right Failure

Match the intervention to the breakdown. Two cards per stream.

## Words will not come (bottom-up)

Break the word into parts, blend, check against the sentence. Fluency grows from accurate practice, not guessing.

**Say:** "Cover the word. Reveal it chunk by chunk: pho-to-syn-the-sis."

## Reading is slow and effortful (bottom-up)

Re-reading a short passage until smooth builds the automaticity that frees attention for meaning.

**Say:** "Read this paragraph three times. Third time, make it sound like talking."

## Words fine, meaning gone (top-down)

Activate what they know before reading; predict, then read to confirm.

**Say:** "Before we read: what do you already know about rivers? What might this text tell us?"

## Lost mid-text (top-down)

Stop, summarise the last solid point, question forward.

**Say:** "What has happened so far in one sentence? What must come next for that to make sense?"

# Before, During, After

A whole-class reading routine that feeds both streams every time.

## Before: load the knowledge

Surface prior knowledge, pre-teach two or three load-bearing words, set a prediction.

**In class:** Today's word is erosion. Say it, clap it, use it. Now: what might erode a coastline?

## During: keep both streams live

Pause at planned points: decode the hard word together, then summarise the meaning so far.

**In class:** Stop. That word is 'sediment'. Sed-i-ment. Now, in one sentence, what is the river doing with it?

## After: make the meaning stick

Summarise, question, connect to what was known before. The text becomes knowledge for next time's top-down stream.

**In class:** Two things this text adds to what we knew about rivers. They go in the book; they come back in Friday's retrieval.

# Diagnosing a Struggling Reader

Ten minutes with one reader. Find the failing stream before choosing the intervention.

## Test the bottom-up stream

Can they read a short passage aloud with 95%+ word accuracy?

---

Is the reading fluent enough to sound like speech, or word-by-word?

---

Do they self-correct when a misread word breaks the sentence?

---

## Test the top-down stream

Read the passage TO them: can they answer the same questions now?

---

Do they know the key vocabulary out of context?

---

Can they connect the text to anything they already know?

---

## Match the response

Accurate but word-by-word: fluency practice, not more comprehension sheets.

---

Fluent but no recall: knowledge, vocabulary and strategy instruction.

---

Fails both: decoding intervention first; comprehension rides on it.

---

Strong both, weak on tests: the gap is the question format, teach that explicitly.

---

# Reading Processing: A 5-Minute Evidence Briefing

What the models agree on, where they have been updated, and what it means for the timetable.

## ■ Both streams are non-negotiable

Decoding and language comprehension are each necessary and neither sufficient. A school cannot choose between phonics and knowledge; weak either way caps reading.

## ■ The simple view has grown up

Current evidence shows the two components overlap, with vocabulary feeding both, and adds active self-regulatory processes. The practical reading: teach words, knowledge AND strategies, not one camp's favourite.

## ■ Strategy instruction earns its slot

Taught briefly and practised on real texts, comprehension strategies (predict, clarify, question, summarise) improved outcomes in randomised comparisons.

## ■ The honest caveat

Processing models describe correlations and mechanisms, not curricula: no trial has tested 'top-down vs bottom-up teaching' as such. Diagnose the individual reader rather than importing a side in the reading wars.

### Evidence base

Duke, N.K. and Cartwright, K.B. (2021). The science of reading progresses: communicating advances beyond the simple view of reading. *Reading Research Quarterly*.

Tunmer, W.E. and Chapman, J.W. (2012). The simple view of reading redux. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*.

Ouellette, G. and Beers, A. (2010). A not-so-simple view of reading: how oral vocabulary and visual-word recognition complicate the story. *Reading and Writing*.

Spörer, N., Brunstein, J.C. and Kieschke, U. (2009). Improving students' reading comprehension skills: effects of strategy instruction and reciprocal teaching. *Learning and Instruction*.