# Southwestern Union Data Analysis for Continuous School Improvement Questionnaire Results November, 2025

#### 1. DATA ANALYSIS: EXPLORING TRENDS AND PATTERNS OVER TIME VIA MULTIPLE MEASURES OF DATA

					Used For CSI With				
				Administ	tration	Analyzed	By All	Other Ty	pes of
		Not U	sed	Onl	У	Staff in	PLCs	Data	
	4/2022 (N=47)	30.43%	14	28.26%	13	13.04%	6	28.26%	13
	4/2023 (N=88)	19.05%	16	32.14%	27	23.81%	20	25.00%	21
Demographic Data	4/2024 (N=38)	18.92%	7	29.73%	11	24.32%	9	27.03%	10
	4/2025 (N=45)	8.89%	4	42.22%	19	17.78%	8	31.11%	14
	11/2025 (N=49)	20.41%	10	30.61%	15	22.45%	11	26.53%	13
	4/2022 (N=47)	13.33%	6	28.89%	13	24.44%	11	33.33%	15
Perceptions -	4/2023 (N=88)	14.46%	12	30.12%	25	26.51%	22	28.92%	24
Questionnaire Data	4/2024 (N=38)	10.81%	4	10.81%	4	35.14%	13	43.24%	16
Questionnaire bata	4/2025 (N=45)	4.55%	2	36.36%	16	22.73%	10	36.36%	16
	11/2025 (N=49)	8.16%	4	30.61%	15	34.69%	17	26.53%	13
	4/2022 (N=47)	25.53%	12	23.40%	11	21.28%	10	29.79%	14
School Program -	4/2023 (N=88)	15.85%	13	25.61%	21	35.37%	29	23.17%	19
Process Data	4/2024 (N=38)	10.81%	4	24.32%	9	29.73%	11	35.14%	13
110ccss Data	4/2025 (N=45)	9.09%	4	25.00%	11	27.27%	12	38.64%	17
	11/2025 (N=49)	12.24%	6	24.49%	12	36.73%	18	26.53%	13
	4/2022 (N=47)	6.38%	3	10.64%	5	51.06%	24	31.91%	15
Student Learning	4/2023 (N=88)	7.14%	6	15.48%	13	36.90%	31	40.48%	34
Data	4/2024 (N=38)	5.26%	2	10.53%	4	44.74%	17	39.47%	15
Data	4/2025 (N=45)	4.44%	2	8.89%	4	53.33%	24	33.33%	15
	11/2025 (N=49)	0.00%	0	8.16%	4	46.94%	23	44.90%	22

				RE	SPONSE AVERAGES
	4/2022 (N=47)	4/2023 (N=88)	4/2024 (N=38)	4/2025 (N=45)	11/2025 (N=49)
Demographic Data	2.39	2.55	2.59	2.71	2.55
Perceptions/Questionnaire Data	2.78	2.70	3.11	2.91	2.80
School Program/Process Data	2.55	2.66	2.89	2.95	2.78
Student Learning Data	3.09	3.11	3.18	3.16	3.37

#### 2. DATA ANALYSIS: PROBLEM SOLVING UNDESIRABLE RESULTS

RESPONSE AVERAGES	3.07		3.28		3.43		3.32		3.33	
The implications for planning generated by problem solving are synthesized with the aggregated implications from comprehensive data analysis.	4.35%	2	8.64%	7	5.41%	2	4.55%	2	4.08%	2
Staff monitor and measure the actions taken as a result of problem solving. $ \\$	10.87%	5	18.52%	15	27.03%	10	22.73%	10	16.33%	8
Staff act based on the findings of problem solving.	30.43%	14	16.05%	13	21.62%	8	15.91%	7	24.49%	12
Problem solving is used by all staff in PLCs.	19.57%	9	24.69%	20	16.22%	6	25.00%	11	26.53%	13
Problem solving is addressed by administration only.	10.87%	5	13.58%	11	10.81%	4	20.45%	9	20.41%	10
The problem solving cycle is not used.	23.91%	11	18.52%	15	18.92%	7	11.36%	5	8.16%	4
	4/2022	/2022 (N=47) 4/2023 (N=88)		4/2024 (	N=38)	4/2025 (N=45)		11/2025	(N=49)	

## **Southwestern Union Data Analysis for Continuous School Improvement Questionnaire Results**

#### 3. DIGGING DEEPER INTO SCHOOL PROGRAMS AND PROCESSES

#### November, 2025

	4/2022 (	4/2022 (N=47)		N=88)	4/2024 (N=38)		4/2025 (N=45)		11/2025 (	(N=49)
We don't consider our programs and processes in improvement work.	12.77%	6	0.00%	0	2.63%	1	2.22%	1	4.08%	2
We talk generally about the need to improve programs and processes.	27.66%	13	37.65%	32	26.32%	10	33.33%	15	36.73%	18
We use data to identify the need to improve programs and processes.	40.43%	19	34.12%	29	39.47%	15	22.22%	10	30.61%	15
We act on the findings of data to collaboratively improve our programs and processes using specific tools and resources.	8.51%	4	17.65%	15	13.16%	5	24.44%	11	18.37%	9
We do all of the above, and the implications generated as a result of this work are reflected in our improvement planning.	10.64%	5	10.59%	9	18.42%	7	17.78%	8	10.20%	5
RESPONSE AVERAGES	2.7	2.77		1	3.18		3.22		2.9	1

3.01
------

_		
•	18	
э.		

3.22	2.9
------	-----

	2.94

#### 4. AGGREGATING THE IMPLICATIONS FOR PLANNING FROM YOUR DATA ANALYSIS

	4/2022	4/2022 (N=47)		4/2023 (N=88)		4/2024 (N=38)		N=45)	11/2025	(N=49)
We don't analyze data.	14.89%	7	4.71%	4	8.11%	3	8.89%	4	4.08%	2
We don't analyze data to the extent that it results in generating implications for our planning.	17.02%	8	16.47%	14	21.62%	8	11.11%	5	14.29%	7
We analyze data formally but generate implications informally.	14.89%	7	30.59%	26	18.92%	7	15.56%	7	40.82%	20
We analyze data, generate implications for planning, but they are not organized in a central document.	31.91%	15	28.24%	24	48.65%	18	42.22%	19	28.57%	14
We do all the above, our implications are organized and updated in a central document, and are the focus for our improvement planning.	21.28%	10	20.00%	17	2.70%	1	22.22%	10	12.24%	6
RESPONSE AVERAGES	2.2	3 28		8 3.42		3 16		3 58		1

#### 5. DEVELOPING A SHARED MISSION AND VISION

		Core Value and Beliefs						Mission					Vision				
	4/2022	4/2023	4/2024	4/2025	11/2025	4/2022	4/2023	4/2024	4/2025	11/2025	4/2022	4/2023	4/2024	4/2025	11/2025		
	(N=47)	(N=88)	(N=38)	(N=45)	(N=49)	(N=47)	(N=88)	(N=38)	(N=45)	(N=49)	(N=47)	(N=88)	(N=38)	(N=45)	(N=49)		
We Don't Have	0.00%	1.20% 1	5.26% 2	2.22%	4.08% 2	2.13%	0.00%	0.00%	2.22%	0.00%	4.35% 2	0.00%	5.56% 2	6.67% 3	2.04%		
We Inherited It	12.77% 6	19.28% 16	21.05% 8	24.44% 11	26.53% 13	27.66% 13	26.83% 22	19.44% 7	24.44% 11	22.45% 11	28.26% 13	23.17% 19	13.89% 5	20.00%	18.37% 9		
Was Created By Admin	23.40%	13.25%	15.79%	8.89%	6.12%	17.02%	17.07%	19.44%	8.89%	16.33%	19.57%	19.51%	19.44%	8.89%	22.45%		
	11	11	6	4	3	8	14	7	4	8	9	16	7	4	11		
Was Created By All Staff Via PLCs	21.28%	19.28%	7.89%	4.44%	16.33%	17.02%	15.85%	8.33%	6.67%	12.24%	17.39%	17.07%	8.33%	8.89%	14.29%		
	10	16	3	2	8	8	13	3	3	6	8	14	3	4	7		
Is The Purpose For All Our Planning	25.53%	27.71%	31.58%	35.56%	28.57%	23.40%	24.39%	27.78%	35.56%	34.69%	17.39%	25.61%	27.78%	35.56%	32.65%		
	12	23	12	16	14	11	20	10	16	17	8	21	10	16	16		
We Evaluate Ourselves Against It	17.02% 8	19.28% 16	18.42% 7	24.44% 11	18.37% 9	12.77% 6	15.85% 13	25.00% 9	22.22% 10	14.29% 7	13.04% 6	14.63% 12	25.00% 9	20.00%	10.20% 5		

				RE	SPONSE AVERAGES
	4/2022 (N=47)	4/2023 (N=88)	4/2024 (N=38)	4/2025 (N=45)	11/2025 (N=49)
Core Value and Beliefs	4.11	4.11	3.95	4.20	3.94
Mission	3.70	3.85	4.19	4.16	4.02
Vision	3.54	3.89	4.14	4.07	3.88

# Southwestern Union Data Analysis for Continuous School Improvement Questionnaire Results November, 2025

#### 6. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLANNING TO IMPLEMENT THE SHARED VISION

RESPONSE AVERAGES	2.0	12	3.0	1	2.6	n	3.1	4	3.4	n
All the above is true AND we evaluate the effectiveness of our plan.	6.67%	3	15.58%	12	2.86%	1	15.91%	7	8.51%	4
All the above is true, AND we implement effective leadership structures, PL, collab strategies (i. e., PLCs), and partnerships to support imp of the plan.	2.22%	1	10.39%	8	17.14%	6	18.18%	8	27.66%	13
We consider our plan to be a true reflection of comprehensive data analysis by all staff AND is focused on realizing our shared mission and vision.	11.11%	5	14.29%	11	17.14%	6	13.64%	6	23.40%	11
We consider our plan to be a true reflection of comprehensive data analysis by all staff.	2.22%	1	14.29%	11	5.71%	2	2.27%	1	2.13%	1
Our plan is solely focused on closing gaps.	22.22%	10	10.39%	8	14.29%	5	15.91%	7	12.77%	6
We have a CSI Plan.	55.56%	25	35.06%	27	42.86%	15	34.09%	15	25.53%	12
	4/2022	(N=47)	4/2023 (N=88)		4/2024 (N=38)		4/2025 (N=45)		11/2025	(N=49)

#### 7. EVALUATING YOUR IMPROVEMENT EFFORTS

	We evaluate our CSI goals.			We evaluate our professional learning.				We evaluate our collaboration (i.e., PLCs).							
	4/2022	4/2023	4/2024	4/2025	11/2025	4/2022	4/2023	4/2024	4/2025	11/2025	4/2022	4/2023	4/2024	4/2025	11/2025
	(N=47)	(N=88)	(N=38)	(N=45)	(N=49)	(N=47)	(N=88)	(N=38)	(N=45)	(N=49)	(N=47)	(N=88)	(N=38)	(N=45)	(N=49)
1 - Never	12.77%	13.10%	13.51%	9.30%	10.42%	14.89%	9.52%	16.67%	9.30%	4.26%	23.40%	19.05%	14.29%	13.95%	10.64%
	6	11	5	4	5	7	8	6	4	2	11	16	5	6	5
2	12.77% 6	17.86% 15	16.22% 6	2.33%	20.83% 10	12.77% 6	19.05% 16	8.33% 3	9.30% 4	12.77% 6	17.02% 8	14.29% 12	8.57% 3	18.60% 8	12.77% 6
3 - Sometimes	48.94%	29.76%	37.84%	39.53%	31.25%	40.43%	27.38%	38.89%	37.21%	42.55%	38.30%	27.38%	37.14%	23.26%	40.43%
	23	25	14	17	15	19	23	14	16	20	18	23	13	10	19
4	21.28%	32.14%	27.03%	32.56%	35.42%	23.40%	32.14%	30.56%	32.56%	25.53%	19.15%	26.19%	31.43%	30.23%	27.66%
	10	27	10	14	17	11	27	11	14	12	9	22	11	13	13
5 - Always	4.26% 2	7.14% 6	5.41% 2	16.28% 7	2.08%	8.51% 4	11.90% 10	5.56% 2	11.63% 5	14.89% 7	2.13%	13.10% 11	8.57% 3	13.95% 6	8.51% 4

				RE	SPONSE AVERAGES
	4/2022 (N=47)	4/2023 (N=88)	4/2024 (N=38)	4/2025 (N=45)	11/2025 (N=49)
We evaluate our CSI goals.	2.91	3.02	2.95	3.44	2.98
We evaluate our prof learning.	2.98	3.18	3.00	3.28	3.34
We evaluate our collaboration	2.60	3.00	3.11	3.12	3.11

#### **Southwestern Union**

# Data Analysis for Continuous School Improvement Questionnaire Results Demographic Response Detail November, 2025 (N=49)

#### **Conference:**

	Responses			
Arkansas/Louisiana	18.75%	9		
Oklahoma	14.58%	7		
Southwest Region	14.58%	7		
Texas	43.75%	21		
Texico	8.33%	4		

#### School Grade Levels:

	Responses		
Grades K-8	48.94%	23	
Grades 9-12	17.02%	8	
Comb of the Above	34.04%	16	

#### **School Size:**

	Responses		
One Teacher	14.58%	7	
Two Teachers	4.17%	2	
Three Teachers	10.42%	5	
Four+ Teachers	70.83%	34	

#### Job Type:

	Responses		
Early Childhood Staff	0.00%	0	
Elementary Staff	46.94%	23	
High School Staff	24.49%	12	
Elementary Admin	12.24%	6	
High School Admin	8.16%	4	
Conference Admin	6.12%	3	
Other	2.04%	1	

#### # of Yrs Working In Education:

	Responses		
1-5	16.67%	8	
6-10	18.75%	9	
11-15	25.00%	12	
16-20	10.42%	5	
20-25	10.42%	5	
26+	18.75%	9	

### # of Yrs Working In ADVENTIST Ed:

	Responses			
1-5	36.73%	18		
6-10	14.29%	7		
11-15	24.49%	12		
16-20	6.12%	3		
20-25	10.20%	5		
26+	8.16%	4		