Skip to main content



Cause List (../causelistSearch.php)

Case Status

## **STATUS**

## **PENDING**

Case Number: WP 22707/2018

(KAHC010058172018)

Classification: LA KIADB

Date

22/05/2018

of

11:19:01

Filing:

Petitioner:

SHRI BHOJA

Pet. SHETTIGARA(22707**MAITREYI** 

Advocate:

**KRISHNAN** 

Respondent:

**STATE OF** 

2.30 PM

22740)

Resp.

**BASAVARJ V** 

**KARNATAKA** 

Advocate:

**SABARAD** 

Filing No.:

WP 22707/2018

Judge:

ALOK ARADHE

**AND HEMANT** 

**CHANDANGOUDAR** 

Last Posted

**PRONOUNCEMENT** 

Last Date of

24/08/2021

Last

**ADJOURNED** 

For:

OF ORDER ON IAs AT

Action:

Action

Taken:

Next Hearing

Date:

**Daily Orders: WP 22707/2018** 

1	ALOK ARADHE AND HEMANT CHANDANGOUDAR	24/08/2021
	adjourned	

_		
2	ALOK ARADHE AND HEMANT CHANDANGOUDAR	<u>03/08/2021</u>

In W.A.No.1105/2019, Sri. P.N. Rajeswara, learned counsel for the appellants. In W.A. No.2392/2017, Sri. V.V. Gunjal, learned counsel for impleading applicant on I.A.No.4/17 as respondent Nos.5 and 6. In W.A.No.4055/2017, Sri. C. Shankar Reddy, learned counsel for respondent No.1. In W.A.No.4056/2017, W.A.No.4062/2017, W.A.No.4063/2017 and W.A.No.4066/2017, Sri.Rajeswara P.N., learned counsel for Caveator /respondent No.1. In W.A.No.4065/2017, Sri. M.R. Rajagopal, learned counsel for respondent Nos.2, 6 and 15. In W.P.No.55704/2017, W.P.No.19222/2018 and W.P.No.12283/2019, Sri. Narayana Bhat M., learned counsel for the petitioners. In W.P.No.49536/2019, Sri. S.M. Chandrashekar, learned Senior Counsel for Sri. Vedachala M.V., learned counsel for the petitioners. Sri. Shivakumar, learned CGC for Union of India. Sri. Prabhuling K. Navadagi, learned Advocate General along with Sri.B.B.Patil, learned counsel for Karnataka Industrial Areas Development Board. Learned counsel for the parties have jointly submitted that in these writ appeals and writ petitions, the appellants and petitioners have assailed the validity of the order dated 19.04.2017. Learned counsel for the parties jointly submitted that this Court should adjudicate the validity of the order passed by the learned Single Judge on merits so that the proceedings before the learned Single Judge are concluded in view of the judgment which may be passed by this Court. In view of the aforesaid submission which is placed on record and with the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the matter is taken up for hearing. Sri. Prabhuling K. Navadagi, learned Advocate General, has commenced his submission. However, on account of paucity of time, the same could not be concluded. List for further hearing on 5th August, 2021 at 2.30 p.m.

3	ABHAY SHREENIWAS OKA (CJ) AND ASHOK	24/09/2020
	S.KINAGI	

W.A.No.1105/2019 c/w W.A.No.2392/2017, 4053/2017, 4054/2017, 4055/2017, 4056/2017, 4057/2017, 4058/2017, 4059/2017, 4060/2017, 4061/2017, 4062/2017, 4063/2017, 4064/2017, 4065/2017, 4066/2017, 4067/2017, 4068/2017, W.P.Nos.55704/2017, 19222/2018, 22707/2018, 30905/2018, W.A.No.2883/2019, W.P.Nos.12283/2019, 27081/2019 and 52298/2019 Order on I.A.No.1/2020 in W.P.No.30905/2018 As per the order passed on the last date, this IA was specifically fixed today. The counsel for the petitioners is not present. Even on the last date he was not present. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the fifth respondent in support of the application. We have perused the prayers made in the writ petition. The petitioners are the owners of the land in Survey No.38 measuring 2 acres situated at Kundalahalli Village, K.R.Puram Hobli, Bengaluru East Taluk, Bengaluru. The challenge in the writ petition is to the notifications issued under Sections 3(1) and 28(1) of the Karnataka Industrial Areas Development Act, 1966 (for short' the said Act of 1966'). There is also a challenge to the order dated 1st April 2015 passed by the third respondent under sub-section (3) of Section 28 of the said Act of 1966. The learned counsel appearing for the fifth respondent pointed out that the interim order is applicable not only to the subject land in Survey No.38, but also to other lands which are the subject matter of the impugned notifications. On 15th December 2018, interim order was passed by this Court directing that no precipitatory action shall be taken under the impugned notifications. As the petition is confined to the land in Survey No.38, we direct that the interim order dated 15th December 2018 will apply only to the said land which is more particularly described in the schedule to the petition. The application is disposed of accordingly. I.A.No.3/2020 in W.A.No.2883/2019 The learned Senior Counsel appearing for the applicant – fourth respondent seeks time to take instructions. Let this IA be listed for orders on 1st October 2020.

4	ABHAY SHREENIWAS OKA (CJ) AND PRADEE SINGH YERUR	P <u>16/12/2019</u>
	LIST ON 18-12-2019	
5	SSJ	08/04/2019
	List these matters week after next at the request of the learned Addl. Govt. Advocate appearing for the State. Interim order granted earlier stands extended the next date of hearing.	
6	BVNJ	18/07/2018

772021	night Court of Kamataka Official tweb Site		
	Issue notice to R-3. Petitioners counsel is permitted to serve one of the panel advocates for R3. Interim order is extended till next date of hearing. Post for Prly. Hg. in 'B' Group on 26.7.18.		
7	AKJ <u>12/07/2018</u>		
	Interim order granted earlier is extended till next date of hearing. Re-list on 18.07.2018.		
8	AKJ <u>22/06/2018</u>		
	Interim order granted earlier is extended till next date of hearing. List these matters on 28.06.2018.		
9	AKJ <u>19/06/2018</u>		
	Interim order granted earlier is extended till next date of hearing. List these matters on 22.06.2018.		
10	AKJ <u>12/06/2018</u>		
	At the request of learned Government Advocate, re-list this matter on 19.6.2018. Interim order granted earlier is extended till the next date of hearing.		
11	DR. VKJ <u>05/06/2018</u>		
	Put up along with W.P.Nos.55704-708/2017 and W.P.No.19222/2018 on 12.06.2018. The pleadings if any, may be completed in the meanwhile. Copy of the same may be supplied to the opposite counsels. Interim order dated 24.05.2018 to continue till the next date of hearing qua the present petitioners. It is made clear that this Interim order will not prevent the Respondent-KIADB from going ahead with the proceedings of Price Fixation Committee and other allied actions, which will remain subject to the final decision of these writ petitions.		