Journal of Molluscan Studies

Journal of Molluscan Studies (2021) 87: eyab042. https://doi.org/10.1093/mollus/eyab042 Published online 21 December 2021

Assessment of spatiotemporal variability of giant clam populations (Cardiidae: *Tridacna*) from 11 years of monitoring at Koh Tao, Thailand

Rahul Mehrotra^{1,2,3}, Alyssa Allchurch^{1,2,4}, Coline Monchanin^{2,5} and Chad M. Scott¹

¹Conservation Diver, 7321 Timber Trail Road, Evergreen, CO 80439, USA;

²Aow Thai Marine Ecology Center, Love Wildlife Foundation, FREC Bangkok, 77 Nakhon Sawan Rd, Wat Sommanat, Pom Prap Sattru Phai, Bangkok 10100, Thailand;

³Reef Biology Research Group, Department of Marine Science, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand;

⁴School of Resource & Environmental Management, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, BC, Canada; and

⁵Research Center on Animal Cognition (CRCA), Center for Integrative Biology (CBI), CNRS, University Paul Sabatier—Toulouse III, Toulouse, France

Correspondence: R. Mehrotra; e-mail: rahul-m@hotmail.co.uk

(Received 3 May 2020; editorial decision 4 September 2021)

ABSTRACT

Giant clams (Tridacninae) are an ecologically important species in coral reef habitats across the Indo-Pacific. Numerous examples of giant clam population declines of varying degrees of severity have been documented since the 1970s. These have been attributed to several reasons, such as overexploitation in regional fisheries and ornamental trades, extreme weather events and anomalous marine warming events leading to bleaching. In Thailand, this has led to extensive conservation efforts, such as legal protections and population restocking. Despite these strong measures, to date no long-term studies have been conducted on giant clam populations in Thai waters. We provide results from 11 years (2009-2019) of giant clam population monitoring, at Koh Tao, an island with a well-documented history of coral reef-associated stressors as well as conservation efforts. Surveys were conducted across two depth ranges at 18 reef sites around the island, revealing contrasting trends. Our findings indicate a significant population decline of Tridacna crocea from coral reefs in the 6–8 m depth range, from 1.41 (± 0.47) individuals/100 m² in 2010 to 0.59 (± 0.17) individuals/100 m² in 2019, with, however, no significant change in T squamosa populations at this depth range. Data from the 3–5 m depth range indicate no significant change in the T. crocea population over the years, but a population increase of T. squamosa from 0.78 (\pm 0.18) individuals/100 m² in 2009 to 2.07 (± 0.38) individuals/100 m² in 2019. Abundance estimates from these sites indicate extensive heterogeneity in giant clam populations around the island, and highlight the importance of sufficient spatial resolution in identifying population trends.

INTRODUCTION

The importance of giant clams (Tridacninae) in coral reef ecosystems is increasingly being highlighted in recent research. Giant clams are large mixotrophic bivalves, utilizing endosymbiotic microalgae for photoautotrophic energy acquisition in combination with efficient, high-volume heterotrophic filter feeding to supplement their metabolic needs (Neo *et al.*, 2015). This combination has been associated with positive impacts on reef habitats. For example, species such as *Tridacna crocea* and *T. gigas* have been shown to be able to filter up to 8,144 and 28,121 l/h/hectare, respectively, feeding on dissolved and particulate nutrients (Pearson & Munro, 1991; Chantrapornsyl, Kittiwattanawong & Adulyanukosol, 1996). The mixotrophic nature of giant clams plays a vital role in their growth rates and their ability to achieve relatively large sizes (Klumpp, Bayne & Hawkins, 1992). This therefore may play a significant role in counteracting coral–macroalgal competition as driven by eutrophication, thus potentially having a positive impact on reef health (Neo *et al.*, 2015). Additionally, the reliance of giant clams on their microalgal symbionts is a feature shared by many scleractinian corals (Mies, 2019). The high-density release of intact microalgal cells in faecal pellets has been suggested to be available for uptake by nearby species such as corals and other clams (Neo *et al.*, 2015; Morishima *et al.*, 2019); however, further investigations are needed.

Giant clams are an important component to the fisheries of many countries (Davila *et al.*, 2017; Neo *et al.*, 2017). Unfortunately, overfishing and collection are leading threats for giant clams throughout their range, with clams being sold for their meat and their shells



(Munro, 1988; Planes et al., 1993; Neo et al., 2017). Recently, shells have also been found to be used in the production of imitation pearls (Zhou & Zhou, 2015), adding a further burden to threatened populations. Increasing temperatures and often the subsequent bleaching of giant clams have also been found to be a leading cause of decreases in population density throughout much of their range (Junchompoo et al., 2012; Apte, Narayana & Dutta, 2019; Mies, 2019). Severe weather events such as typhoons have also been found to contribute to mass mortality, such as those recorded by Calumpong & Solis-Duran (1993), where 35% of over 20,000 restocked clams were lost due to typhoons in the Philippines. Furthermore, the negative impacts of tourism, while uncommon, have also been linked to reduction in clam populations, both directly due to collection (Planes et al., 1993) and indirectly due to the effects of increased terrestrial development (Reef Check Malaysia, 2014; Ramah et al., 2019).

The conservation status of clams is highly variable across their range (Gomez, 2015; Neo, 2020) with many areas being found to have legal support for conservation of giant clam species but lack sufficient enforcement of these policies. Furthermore, several regions throughout the Indo-Pacific have reported drastic declines in giant clam populations over the years (Othman, Goh & Todd, 2010). For example, Andréfouët et al. (2013) estimated a population decline of T. maxima by 83% in French Polynesia, which amounted to a loss of more than 18 ± 6 million individuals (mean estimate \pm 95% confidence interval) between 2004 and 2012, believed to have been driven by highly variable temperatures. In Mauritius, surveys of T. maxima and T. squamosa populations between 1998 and 2016 revealed complete extirpation or declines of over 90% from numerous sites (Ramah et al., 2019). Such declines have also been documented for several decades prior to the turn of the century, with Hirschberger (1980) recording drastic and continuous declines in populations of T. gigas and T. derasa due to overfishing. It was additionally reported that dead clam shells outnumbered living clams, acting as an indicator of fishing pressure, with living clams comprising as low as 40% of the total population.

Within the waters of Thailand, the majority of research on giant clams has been conducted along the coast of the Andaman Sea. Estimates of abundance from the southern islands have documented variable abundances of *T. crocea, T. maxima* and *T. squamosa*, with populations of *T. squamosa* being found to be the smallest and least connected (Chantrapornsyl *et al.*, 1996; Banchongmanee & Upanoi, 2000; Upanoi & Banchungmanee, 2000). Species-specific studies include growth rates of *T. squamosa* assessed *in situ* and *ex situ* (Adulyanukosol, 1997), and genetic indications of population divergence in *T. maxima* along the Andaman coast (Kittiwattanawong, 1997). Evidence has also been provided for historical populations of the threatened species *T. gigas* from the Andaman coast, with calls for reintroduction and conservation programmes focused on the species (Kittiwattanawong, 2001).

Comparatively little is known about the natural giant clam populations from the Gulf of Thailand (GOT), despite the location of the leading hatchery in Thailand being located within the GOT (Prachuap Khiri Khan province). Giant clams from this hatchery have been used in experiments in the Andaman Sea (Adulyanukosol, 1997) and in ex situ investigations on the biology of T. squamosa under varying levels of temperature, heavy metal load, sedimentation and light irradiance being conducted in the inner GOT (Tedengren, Blidberg & Elfwing, 2000; Elfwing et al., 2001). Additionally, juvenile T. squamosa sourced from the same hatchery have been reared in situ at the islands of Koh Mun Nai and Koh Tao in the GOT, with survival rates strongly dependent on predation and hydrodynamic influences (Nugranad et al., 1997; Charuchinda & Asawanghune, 2000; Scott, 2012a, 2013). Unsurprisingly, T. squamosa populations from the GOT have been found to be deeply divergent from the populations from the Andaman Sea (Kittiwattanawong, Nugranad & Srisawat, 2001). However, no comparisons have been made between giant clams in the GOT and the western Pacific. Limited data are available on the natural population abundances of giant clams within the GOT. Surveys at the island of Koh Mun Nai (Junchompoo *et al.*, 2012) documented abundances of 117 individuals of *T. crocea* per 200 m² and 12 individuals of *T. squamosa* per 200 m² (from historic restocking; Charuchinda & Asawanghune, 2000). Both species, however, were observed to suffer high mortality due to thermal bleaching of 60% and 67% for *T. crocea* and *T. squamosa*, respectively.

The most recent assessment of giant clam populations in Thailand was carried out by Chavanich et al. (2012), who compared the population change of various coral reef-associated vertebrate and invertebrate taxa between Koh Racha Yai (Andaman Sea) and Koh Tao (GOT) between 2007 and 2011. This study suggested a decline in giant clam numbers from Koh Tao, although it should be noted that only a single study site was surveyed from either island and giant clams were not differentiated by species. Koh Tao is an island of 19 km² hosting an extensive marine tourism industry and a high diversity of marine life (Scaps & Scott, 2014; Mehrotra & Scott, 2016). The island has, however, suffered from numerous stressors to its coral reef habitats, including widespread coral bleaching, disease and predation (Yeemin et al., 1998; Chavanich et al., 2012; Lamb et al., 2014; Scott et al., 2017b). The island has been supported by several years of marine conservation activities, including coral restoration and giant clam restocking programmes (Scott, 2013; Hein et al., 2020). Giant clam restoration has involved transplantation of T. squamosa individuals into shallow coral reef areas at several sites around the island (the precise number of sites and surviving clams is unknown). Small-scale monitoring of transplants revealed high levels of mortality, believed to be due to natural predation; however, long-term monitoring of transplanted clams was not carried out.

As part of marine conservation activities at the island, regular monitoring of the abundances of invertebrate species has been carried out throughout the island for over a decade. However, population trends of important groups, such as giant clams, have not been assessed extensively till now. Here, we provide findings of a decadelong analysis of giant clam populations throughout Koh Tao and discuss trends in population structure.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Temporal assessment

Belt transect (BT) surveys were conducted at coral reef habitats throughout the year between 2009 and 2019, following the protocol by Scott (2012b). Each BT was 5 m in width and 20 m in length, with the entire substrate within carefully checked for giant clams. Abundances of two species of giant clam were assessed at each site during BT surveys, *Tridacna crocea* and *T. squamosa*, with data for *T. crocea* abundances available only from 2010 onwards. Data from all sites were used to assess abundance of each species per year throughout the island. A total of 18 sites around Koh Tao were surveyed during this period at two depth ranges (Fig. 1), shallow (3–5 m) and deep (6–8 m).

Surveyed sites were divided into two categories, impermanent transect sites and permanent transect sites. Sites with a mean survey frequency of fewer than 12 BT surveys per year throughout the 11-year survey period were classified as impermanent sites for the purpose of our analyses. These sites, therefore, were used only to investigate general island-wide temporal variation across the survey period. The remaining six sites (Twins, Hin Wong Bay, Tanote Bay, Leuk Bay, Chalok Bay and Sai Nuan) were classified as permanent survey sites based on a mean survey frequency of greater than 12 BT surveys per year and were well dispersed around the coastline of the island. Permanent sites were used for site-specific temporal analysis of each species in addition to the overall island-wide



Figure 1. Sites surveyed at Koh Tao between 2009 and 2019. Sites used in site-specific analyses are designated with an asterisk.

investigation. Five of the six permanent sites were surveyed throughout the survey period, with a single site (Twins) being surveyed from 2012 onwards, resulting in a shorter dataset of 8 years as opposed to the 11 years for the remaining sites.

Spatial assessment

Variability in the abundance of each species at all sites was assessed via the same BT surveys, using only replicates from the year of most recent data collection. Of the 18 sites surveyed, data from 16 sites had been collected from the last year of data collection (2019), with the most recent data for 2 sites (Red Rock and Laem Thien) being from 2015 and 2016, respectively. As with temporal analysis, spatial analyses across sites assessed data from both species and depth ranges independently.

Statistical analyses

All analyses were run with RStudio v. 1.2.5033 (RStudio Team, 2015). Each survey consisted of multiple replications with the count

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/mollus/article/87/4/eyab042/6470381 by rahul-m@hotmail.co.uk on 21 December 202-

data of clam abundance thus averaged based on these replications and used in the following analyses as 'clam abundance'. Temporal variation of clam abundance around the island was assessed using a linear mixed-effects model (LMM) on the log-transformed clam abundance of each species for each depth range, with year as a fixed effect and site as a random effect. Site-specific temporal variation was assessed using an LMM on the log-transformed clam abundance, with year as fixed and random effects. In both cases, year was set as a continuous variable to better describe the overall temporal trend.

RESULTS

Temporal variation

A total of 722 BT surveys were carried out throughout the survey period revealing two species of giant clam, *Tridacna squamosa* and *T. crocea*. Throughout the survey period, abundances of *T. squamosa* and *T. crocea* were found to vary considerably (Fig. 2). Overall, fewer



Figure 2. Annual mean abundance (mean number of individuals per 100 m²) of *Tridacna squamosa* (**A** and **B**) and *T. crocea* (**C** and **D**) assessed in shallow (**A** and **C**) and deep (**B** and **D**) water at 18 sites around Koh Tao between 2009 and 2019 categorized by depth and species. Error bars represent standard error.

individuals of either species were recorded from the 6-8 m than the 3–5 m depth range. The lowest mean abundance of *T. squamosa* was found in 2011 with 0.54 (± 0.16) individuals/100 m² and the lowest mean abundance of *T. crocea* was recorded in 2017 with 0.47 (± 0.11) individuals/100 m², both at the 6-8 m depth range. The highest abundances for both species were 2.07 (± 0.38) individuals/100 m² (*T. squamosa*) and 11.29 (\pm 3.97) individuals/100 m² (*T. crocea*) in the 3-5 m depth range in 2019 and 2011, respectively. Analyses indicated that populations of T. squamosa in the shallow depth range increased significantly with time (LMM: estimate \pm standard error = 0.035 ± 0.008 , P < 0.001) from 0.78 (±0.18) individuals/100 m² in 2009 to 2.07 (± 0.38) individuals/100 m² in 2019. Population change of T. squamosa at the 6-8 m depth range was nonsignificant (LMM: 0.004 ± 0.007 , P = 0.549). Conversely, no significant difference was found in the 3-5 m populations of T. crocea (LMM: -0.008 ± 0.014 , P = 0.553; however, a significant decline in population was recorded from the 6-8 m depth range (LMM: -0.025 ± 0.010 , P = 0.013), from 1.41 (± 0.47) individuals/100 m² in 2010 to $0.59 (\pm 0.17)$ individuals/100 m² in 2019.

Of the 722 BT surveys, 490 were used to assess change over time for six sites. Site-specific analyses at the shallow depth range (3– 5 m) revealed a significant increase (LMM: 0.093 \pm 0.033, P =0.024) in the mean abundance of *T. squamosa* at a single site (Tanote Bay) throughout the survey period from 0 (\pm 0) individuals/100 m² in 2009 to 2 (\pm 0) individuals/100 m² in 2019, with all other sites showing no significant difference for either species (Figs 3, 4). There was no significant change in the abundance of either species at the deeper range (6–8 m) at any of the six sites (see Supplementary Material Tables S1, S2).

Spatial variation

Assessment of the most recent clam abundances across the 16 sites revealed extensive variability between sites (Supplementary Material Table S1). At depths between 3 and 5 m, *T. squamosa* abundances varied from 0.17 (±0.11) individuals/100 m² (Chalok Bay) to 12 (±0) individuals/100 m² (Lang Khai). No individuals were recorded from the 6–8 m depth range at three sites and a maximum abundance of 4.17 (±0) individuals/100 m² was recorded in 2019 (Hin Ngam). Data from Red Rock in 2015 indicated a higher abundance of *T. squamosa* from the 6–8 m depth range, 4.5 (±2.5) individuals/100 m². However, more recent data are unavailable. No individuals of *T. crocea* were recorded from three sites in 2019, with a maximum abundance at the 3–5 m depth range of 22.25 (±9.38) individuals/100 m² (Tanote Bay) and a maximum abundance of 2.38 (±1.56) individuals/100 m² (Mango Bay) from the 6–8 m depth range.

DISCUSSION

These findings represent the first overview of trends in giant clam populations at Koh Tao. Intriguingly, the trends supported in our data suggest distinct and contrasting population changes



Figure 3. Mean annual abundance of *Tridacna squamosa* (A, C, E, G, I and K) and *T. crocea* (B, D, F, H, J and L) at six specific sites of Koh Tao over the past decade at shallow (3–5 m) fringing reefs. A, B. Leuk Bay. C, D. Chalok Bay. E, F. Sai Nuan. G, H. Hin Wong Bay. I, J. Tanote Bay. K, L. Twins. Estimated trends (from linear mixed-effects models) are displayed in solid lines with 95% confidence level intervals displayed in blue.



Figure 4. Mean annual abundance of *Tridacna squamosa* (A, C, E, G, I and K) and *T. crocea* (B, D, F, H, J and L) at six specific sites of Koh Tao over the past decade at deep (6–8 m) fringing reefs. A, B. Leuk Bay. C, D. Chalok Bay. E, F. Sai Nuan. G, H. Hin Wong Bay. I, J. Tanote Bay. K, L. Twins. Estimated trends (from linear mixed-effects models) are displayed in solid lines with 95% confidence level interval displayed in blue.



Figure 5. Distribution of *Tridacna squamosa* (**A**) and *T. crocea* (**B**) around Koh Tao showing mean abundance per species per 100 m^2 (including standard error) based on most recently available data. Data show shallow (S) and deep (D) surveys at 3–5 and 6–8 m depth ranges, respectively.

between Tridacna species at Koh Tao, a population growth of Tridacna squamosa in shallow water and decline of T. crocea in deeper water. Site-specific analyses, however, indicated only one significant change: an increase in shallow T. squamosa populations in Tanote Bay, agreeing with the island-wide trends observed. Spatial variability between sites was found to be dramatic for both species with multiple reefs showing a complete absence of individuals across both depth ranges. Interestingly, the lowest abundances of either species at either depth range were found to be along the southern reefs of the island, such as Chalok Ban Kao, Taa Chaa and Shark Bay. Even within specific sites, contrasts in population density were recorded, such as those in Mango Bay in the north, where the highest density of T. crocea was recorded in the 6-8 m depth range, whereas shallow water abundances were among the lowest. Giant clam populations at Mango Bay have historically been assessed (2007-2011) by Chavanich et al. (2012), who documented a decline in Tridacna spp. at the site before and after the 2010 coral bleaching event. The present findings, in contrast, suggest an increase in the abundance of T. squamosa and T. crocea across the island between 2010 and 2011, with the exception of T. squamosa from the 6-8 m depth range, which decreased. This highlights the importance of spatially comprehensive sampling to provide an accurate assessment of population from a given location. This is further supported by site-specific analyses documented here, which were largely unable to resolve the trends visible when each of the 6 sites were considered in isolation (Figs 3, 4) but were found when all 18 sites were analysed. This may partly be due to the unique history of each site, with many reefs along the southern part of the island suffering an almost complete loss of coral in the 1998 bleaching event (Yeemin, Sutthacheep

& Pettongma, 2006) and Tanote Bay being inundated with 1.5–2 m of sediment following the construction of a reservoir in the overlying water shed in 2006–2007 (Larpnun, Scott & Surasawadi, 2011).

Stratification by depth was apparent in clam populations across the island, with most T. crocea being recorded from the shallower depth range, dropping steeply beyond 5 m. In contrast, T. squamosa populations, while also higher in the shallower depth range, remained well represented in the 6-8 m depth range. No individuals of either species were recorded deeper than 8 m at the island. We suggest that the overall constraint in depth is driven by the relatively high turbidity of the GOT, as a basin into which multiple large rivers flow. Meanwhile, the reduced abundance of individuals at the topographically shallower sites at the south of the island in comparison to the steeper reefs of the north may be attributed to thermally induced stressors recorded from these sites. This largely agrees with previous research, highlighting the influence of turbidity and irradiance in influencing phototrophic capacity in clams throughout the Indo-Pacific, with environmental conditions in many regions facilitating clam communities distinctly different from those at Koh Tao (Hardy and Hardy 1969; Guest et al., 2008; Jantzen et al., 2008). Thermal anomalies and subsequent bleaching have been linked to mortality in giant clams, as in scleractinian corals, in Thailand. Sangmanee & Sutthacheep (2010) and Junchompoo et al. (2012) have documented bleaching in giant clams (associated with the 2010 event) from the Andaman and Gulf coasts of Thailand, respectively. In the latter case, mortality of T. squamosa and T. crocea attributed to the bleaching was 67% and 60%, respectively. While widespread bleaching and mortality were recorded among corals at Koh Tao during 2010 (Chavanich et al., 2012; Hoeksema, 2012;



Figure 6. Indications of threats to giant clams at Koh Tao. **A.** Partial bleaching of *Tridacna crocea* in 2018. **B.** Complete bleaching of *T. crocea* in 2014. **C.** Partial bleaching of *T. squamosa* in 2016. **D.** Displaced individuals of *Chicoreus ramosus* and *T. squamosa*, left exposed in shallow sandy environments. Scale bars: $\mathbf{A} = 20 \text{ mm}$; $\mathbf{B} = 10 \text{ mm}$; $\mathbf{C} = 10 \text{ cm}$; $\mathbf{D} = 15 \text{ cm}$. Image credits: \mathbf{A} , Elouise Haskin; \mathbf{C} , Pau Urgell Plaza.

Scott *et al.*, 2017b), neither site-specific analyses nor island-wide analyses revealed dramatic mortality associated with bleaching at Koh Tao. It should be noted that while bleaching in giant clams was found to be common during the mass coral bleaching events of 2010, 2014 (Scott *et al.*, 2017a), 2016 and 2018, this was not quantified for this study (Fig. 5A–C). With the increasing regularity of mass coral bleaching events, further investigations are needed to determine whether these are responsible for the observed decline in population of *T. crocea* from deeper reefs.

A possible threat to giant clams at Koh Tao appears to be negative interactions (i.e. displacement and consumption) or removal of clams by locals and opportunistic tourists (Fig. 6). Observations of intrusive interactions between tourists and giant clams, while rare, were made during the surveys carried out. In addition, multiple observations of overturned or displaced individuals of T. squamosa were recorded, often still alive in groups, in the absence of active anthropogenic interaction (Fig. 5D). Education and awareness were increased through a community-based giant clam nursery project on the island starting in 2009. After pressure from a local community group, enforcement of the national regulations concerning the collection or consumption of giant clams under Thailand's Wild Animal Reservation and Protection Act of 1992 was increased, with the first local arrest made in 2014. The legal status and enforcement against collection, in combination with the present findings of a population increase in T. squamosa from shallow reefs, suggest that negative interactions by tourists are likely decreasing.

Throughout their Indo-Pacific range, giant clam abundances vary considerably by location and species, and in particular over time (Othman et al., 2010). Recent mean population estimates for T. squamosa range from 0.53 individuals/100 m² in Mauritius (Ramah et al., 2019), 0.13–1.3 individuals/100 m² in parts of Indonesia (Naguit, Tisera & Calumpong, 2012; Harahap, Yanuar & Ilham, 2018) to 0.06 individuals/100 m² at the Ryukyu Archipelago, Japan (Neo et al., 2019). Historic abundances closer to the GOT include 2.52 individuals/100 m² from Tioman Island, Malaysia (Tan et al., 1998) and 0.16 individuals/100 m² from Singapore (Guest et al., 2008). While mean populations from 2019 at Koh Tao are relatively high, in comparison, at 2.78 individuals/100 m^2 (3–5 m) and 1.38 individuals/100 m² (6–8 m), the spatial variation across the island encompasses an extensive range in abundances. Similarly, mean population estimates for T. crocea vary drastically across its modern range from 10–2,500 individuals/100 m² in Vietnam (Selin & Latypov, 2011), 767 individuals/100 m² at Tubbataha, Philippines (Conales, Bundal & Dolorosa, 2015), 0-8.7 individuals/100 m² in parts of Indonesia (Naguit et al., 2012; Harahap et al., 2018) to 3.63 individuals/100 m² at the Ryukyu Archipelago, Japan (Neo et al., 2019). Historic abundances closer to the GOT include 24.4 individuals/100 m² from Koh Lipe, Thailand (Chantrapornsyl et al., 1996), 0.99 individuals/100 m² from Tioman Island, Malaysia (Tan et al., 1998) and 0.07 individuals/100 m^2 from Singapore (Guest et al., 2008). Mean abundances for Koh Tao in 2019 were found to be 4.12 individuals/100 m² (3-5 m) and 0.56 individuals/100 m² (6–8 m).

The variability in population distributions of *Tridacna* spp. across their range is determined by numerous influencing factors and threats that are just as variable. For example, large-scale coastal

8

development and land reclamation projects have been found to be a dramatic threat to clams in Singapore (Guest *et al.*, 2008), and habitat loss due to destructive fishing practices has been attributed to declines in Indonesia (Harahap *et al.*, 2018). Overfishing and collection for the aquarium industry are documented from Mauritius to Japan and Indonesia (Naguit *et al.*, 2012; Neo *et al.*, 2019; Ramah *et al.*, 2019), and indeed from Thailand (Chantrapornsyl *et al.*, 1996). While there have not been many long-term monitoring efforts to assess giant clam populations in Thai waters, prior surveys have played a significant role in driving legal protection and conservation efforts for clams in Thailand (Chantrapornsyl *et al.*, 1996; Boonprakob, Asawangkoon & Charunchinda, 2001).

Koh Tao has been a key site for T. squamosa restocking efforts (Nugranad et al., 1997; Scott, 2012a, 2013), which has continued at varying scales into the present, with more than 10,000 juvenile clams being brought to the island over the last 15 years. Unfortunately, little quantifiable information is available on the site-specific population change of restocking efforts as tracking and long-term monitoring of the clams have proven difficult. Most of the stocking efforts have been carried out by untrained volunteers, and no efforts to tag or track transplanted clams have been taken, except in more recent efforts conducted by the authors. However, due to a lack of monitoring data, it is unclear whether the communitybased clam transplantation projects were carried out in the vicinity of our BT surveys. Nonetheless, this may account for, or contribute towards, the observed population growth in some shallow reefs. We note, however, that transplantation is believed to have been carried out only at a small number of sites. Additionally, anecdotal evidence and unpublished survey data from these sites indicate high levels of post-transplantation mortality. Future studies could verify this with molecular techniques (i.e. microsatellites), as all restocked clams have been sourced from a single hatchery in Prachuap Khiri Khan province, GOT. Giant clam restocking efforts have been carried out at several locations, utilizing a variety of species (Neo et al., 2017). Population recovery of giant clams may be limited due to anthropogenic threats or due to environmental constraints limiting dispersal between source and sink populations, such as those in Singapore (Neo et al., 2013). In such cases, restocking programmes may be the only viable option to promote population recovery (Neo et al., 2013). It is therefore important that variables influencing population dispersal potential and key source and sink sites be identified within the GOT to maximize the impact of conservation measures.

Closer investigations and continued monitoring are required to assess the cause and prognosis of T. crocea populations at Koh Tao, which show signs of significant decline in deeper reefs. The legal protections afforded to reef habitats around Koh Tao specifically and to giant clams in Thai waters have likely reduced active anthropogenic threats to giant clams at the island and, combined with the nursery and transplantation programmes, may be responsible for a population increase of T. squamosa in shallow reefs. With growing evidence for dramatic changes to the coral reefs of the island (Scott et al., 2017a, b), the ecological benefit of giant clams in reef habitats (Neo et al., 2015) is likely of greater importance now than ever before. The GOT has remained underrepresented in investigations regarding population connectivity and dispersal (Hui et al., 2016; Keyse et al., 2018) for Tridacna. As one of the geologically youngest regions in the west Pacific (Voris, 2000; Keyse et al., 2018), a deeper investigation into population biology and ecology of giant clams in the GOT is warranted.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary material is available at *Journal of Molluscan Studies* online.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Pau Urgell Plaza, Spencer Arnold, Kirsty Magson, Sriploy Chaisri, Elouise Haskin, Kaitlyn Harris, Devrim Zahir and all the former Conservation Diver interns at Koh Tao for their help with surveys. We would additionally like to thank Dr Mei Lin Neo for her helpful comments and insights and Mr Sundy Ramah for remarks on giant clams in Mauritius. This paper was greatly improved thanks to helpful comments by the reviewers and the editor, for which we are grateful. The study was funded by Conservation Diver (Registered US Charity no. 20183007707; http: //conservationdiver.com) and was supported by the Love Wildlife Foundation, Thailand.

REFERENCES

- ADULYANUKOSOL, K. 1997. Growth of giant clam, Tridacna squamosa Lamarck under laboratory and natural conditions. Phuket Marine Biological Center Special Publication, 17: 269–274.
- ANDRÉFOUËT, S., VAN WYNSBERGE, S., GAERTNER-MAZOUNI, N., MENKES, C., GILBERT, A. & REMOISSENET, G. 2013. Climate variability and massive mortalities challenge giant clam conservation and management efforts in French Polynesia atolls. *Biological Conser*vation, 160: 190–199.
- APTE, D., NARAYANA, S. & DUTTA, S. 2019. Impact of sea surface temperature anomalies on giant clam population dynamics in Lakshadweep reefs: inferences from a fourteen years study. *Ecological Indicators*, **107**: 105604.
- BANCHONGMANEE, S. & UPANOI, T. 2000. Giant clams in the Andaman Sea, Thailand. Part 1: distribution and abundance at Rawi, Butang and Kata islands. *Physet Marine Biological Center Special Publication*, 21: 69–73.
- BOONPRAKOB, R., ASAWANGKOON, P. & CHARUNCHINDA, M. 2001. Distribution and abundance of giant clam along the eastern coast of the Gulf of Thailand. *Phylet Marine Biological Center Special Publication*, 25: 253.
- CHANTRAPORNSYL, S., KITTIWATTANAWONG, K. & ADULYANUKOSOL, K. 1996. Distribution and abundance of giant clam around Lee-Pae Island, the Andaman Sea, Thailand. *Phuket Marine Biological Center Special Publication*, 16: 195–200.
- CHARUCHINDA, M. & ASAWANGHUNE, P. 2000. Nursing of giant clam Tridacna squamosa in cages. Phylet Marine Biological Center Special Publication, 21: 83–90.
- CHAVANICH, S., VIYAKARN, V., ADAMS, P., KLAMMER, J. & COOK, N. 2012. Reef communities after the 2010 mass coral bleaching Atracha Yai Island in the Andaman Sea and Koh Tao in the Gulf of Thailand. *Phuket Marine Biological Center Research Bulletin*, **71**: 103–110.
- CONALES, S., BUNDAL, N. & DOLOROSA, R. 2015. High density of *Tridacna crocea* in exposed massive corals proximate the Ranger Station of Tubbataha Reefs Natural Park, Cagayancillo, Palawan, Philippines. *Palawan Scientist*, 7: 36–39.
- DAVILA, F., SLOAN, T., MILNE, M. & KERKKHOFF, L.V. 2017. Impact assessment of giant clam research in the Indo-Pacific. Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research, Canberra, Australia.
- ELFWING, T., PLANTMAN, P., TEDENGREN, M. & WIJNBLADH, E. 2001. Responses to temperature, heavy metal and sediment stress by the giant clam *Tridacna squamosa*. *Marine and Freshwater Behaviour and Physiology*, 34: 239–248.
- GOMEZ, E.D. 2015. Rehabilitation of biological resources: coral reefs and giant clam populations need to be enhanced for a sustainable marginal sea in the Western Pacific. *Journal of International Wildlife Law & Policy*, 18: 120–127.
- GUEST, J.R., TODD, P.A., GOH, E., SIVALONGANATHAN, B.S. & REDDY, K.P. 2008. Can giant clam (*Tridacna squamosa*) populations be restored on Singapore's heavily impacted coral reefs? *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*, **18**: 570–579.
- HARAHAP, S.A., YANUAR, Y. & ILHAM, Y. 2018. Diversity and abundance of giant clams in Anambas Islands, Indonesia. E3S Web of Conferences, 47: 03005.

HARDY, J.T. & HARDY, S. 1969. Ecology of *Tridacna* in Palau. *Pacific Science*, 23: 467–472.

- HEIN, M.Y., BEEDEN, R., BIRTLES, A., GARDINER, N.M., LE BERRE, T., LEVY, J., MARSHALL, N., SCOTT, C.M., TERRY, L. & WILLIS, B.L. 2020. Coral restoration effectiveness: multiregional snapshots of the long-term responses of coral assemblages to restoration. *Diversity*, **12**: 153.
- HIRSCHBERGER, W. 1980. Tridacnid clam stocks on Helen Reef, Palau, western Caroline Islands. *Marine Fisheries Review*, **42**: 8–15.
- HOEKSEMA, B. 2012. The 2010 coral bleaching event and its impact on the mushroom coral fauna of Koh Tao, western Gulf of Thailand. *Phuket Marine Biological Center Research Bulletin*, **71**: 71–81.
- HUI, M., KRAEMER, W.E., SEIDEL, C., NURYANTO, A., JOSHI, A. & KOCHZIUS, M. 2016. Comparative genetic population structure of three endangered giant clams (Cardiidae: *Tridacna* species) throughout the Indo-West Pacific: implications for divergence, connectivity and conservation. *Journal of Molluscan Studies*, 82: 403–414.
- JANTZEN, C., WILD, C., EL-ZIBDAH, M., ROA-QUIAOIT, H.A., HAACKE, C. & RICHTER, C. 2008. Photosynthetic performance of giant clams, *Tridacna maxima* and *T. squamosa*, Red Sea. *Marine Biology*, 155: 211–221.
- JUNCHOMPOO, C., SINRAPASAN, N., PENPAIN, C. & PATSORN, P. 2012. Changing seawater temperature effects on giant clams bleaching, Mannai Island, Rayong province, Thailand. In: *Proceedings of the design symposium on conservation of ecosystem*, pp. 71–76. Presented at the 12th SEASTAR2000 Workshop, Bangkok, Thailand.
- KEYSE, J., TREML, E.A., HUELSKEN, T., BARBER, P.H., DEBOER, T., KOCHZIUS, M., NURYANTO, A., GARDNER, J.P.A., LIU, L.-L., PENNY, S. & RIGINOS, C. 2018. Historical divergences associated with intermittent land bridges overshadow isolation by larval dispersal in co-distributed species of *Tridacna* giant clams. *Journal of Biogeography*, **45**: 848–858.
- KITTIWATTANAWONG, K. 1997. Genetic structure of giant clam Tridacna maxima in the Andaman Sea, Thailand. Phuket Marine Biological Center Special Publication, 17: 109–114.
- KITTIWATTANAWONG, K. 2001. Records of extinct Tridacna gigas in Thailand. Phuket Marine Biological Center Special Publication, 25: 461–463.
- KITTIWATTANAWONG, K., NUGRANAD, J. & SRISAWAT, T. 2001. High genetic divergence of *Tridacna squamosa* living at the west and the east coasts of Thailand. *Phuket Marine Biological Center Special Publication*, 25: 343–347.
- KLUMPP, D.W., BAYNE, B.L. & HAWKINS, A.J.S. 1992. Nutrition of the giant clam *Tridacna gigas* (L.). I. Contribution of filter feeding and photosynthates to respiration and growth. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology* and Ecology, **155**: 105–122.
- LAMB, J.B., TRUE, J.D., PIROMVARAGORN, S. & WILLIS, B.L. 2014. Scuba diving damage and intensity of tourist activities increases coral disease prevalence. *Biological Conservation*, **178**: 88–96.
- LARPNUN, R., SCOTT, C.M. & SURASAWADI, P. 2011. Practical coral reef management on small island: controlling sediment on Koh Tao, Thailand. In: Catchment management and coral reef conservation: a practical guide for coastal resource managers to reduce damage from catchment areas based on best practice case studies (C. Wilkinson & J. Brodie, eds), pp. 88–89. Global Coral Reef Monitoring Network and Reef and Rainforest Research Centre, Townsville, Australia.
- MEHROTRA, R. & SCOTT, C.M. 2016. Species inventory of sea slugs (Gastropoda: Heterobranchia) for Koh Tao, Thailand, with 25 first records for Thai waters. *Marine Biodiversity*, 46: 761–771.
- MIES, M. 2019. Evolution, diversity, distribution and the endangered future of the giant clam–Symbiodiniaceae association. *Coral Reefs*, **38**: 1067– 1084.
- MORISHIMA, S.-Y., YAMASHITA, H., O-HARA, S., NAKAMURA, Y., QUEK, V.Z., YAMAUCHI, M. & KOIKE, K. 2019. Study on expelled but viable zooxanthellae from giant clams, with an emphasis on their potential as subsequent symbiont sources. *PLoS One*, 14: e0220141.
- MUNRO, J.L. 1988. Status of giant clam stocks in the central Gilbert Islands group, Republic of Kiribati, pp. 1–13. Presented at the Workshop on Pacific Inshore Fishery Resources, South Pacific Commission, Noumea, New Caledonia, 14–25 March 1988.
- NAGUIT, M.R.A., TISERA, W.L. & CALUMPONG, H.P. 2012. Ecology and genetic structure of giant clams around Savu Sea, East Nusa

Tenggara Province, Indonesia. Asian Journal of Biodiversity, 3: 174-194.

- NEO, M.L. 2020. Conservation of giant clams (Bivalvia: Cardiidae). In: Encyclopedia of the world's biomes. Vol. 4 (M.I. Goldstein & D.A. DellaSala, eds), pp. 527–538. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- NEO, M.L., ECKMAN, W., VICENTUAN, K., TEO, S.L.-M. & TODD, P.A. 2015. The ecological significance of giant clams in coral reef ecosystems. *Biological Conservation*, **181**: 111–123.
- NEO, M.L., ERFTEMEIJER, P.L.A., VAN BEEK, J.K.L., VAN MAREN, D.S., TEO, S.L.-M. & TODD, P.A. 2013. Recruitment constraints in Singapore's fluted giant clam (*Tridacna squamosa*) population—a dispersal model approach. *PLoS One*, 8: e58819.
- NEO, M.L., LIM, K.K., YANG, S., SOONG, G.Y., MASUCCI, G.D., BIONDI, P., WEE, H.B., KISE, H. & REIMER, J.D. 2019. Status of giant clam resources around Okinawa-jima Island, Ryukyu Archipelago, Japan. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems, 29: 1002– 1011.
- NEO, M.L., WABNITZ, C.C.C., BRALEY, R.D., HESLINGA, G.A., FAUVELOT, C., WYNSBERGE, S.V., ANDRÉFOUËT, S., WATERS, C., TAN, A.S.-H., GOMEZ, E.D., COSTELLO, M.J. & TODD, P.A. 2017. Giant clams (Bivalvia: Cardiidae: Tridacninae): a comprehensive update of species and their distribution, current threats and conservation status. In: *Oceanography and marine biology*. Edn 1 (S.J. Hawkins, A.J. Evans, A.C. Dale, L.B. Firth, D.J. Hughes & I.P. Smith, eds), pp. 87–387. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
- NUGRANAD, J., TRAITHONG, T., POOMTONG, T. & SA-HAVACHARIN, S. 1997. Hatchery seed production of the fluted giant clam (*Tridaena squamosa* Lamarck, 1819) and ocean nursery of the juveniles for restocking in Koh Tao, Thailand. *Phuket Marine Biological Center Special Publication*, **17**: 101–108.
- OTHMAN, A.S., GOH, G.H.S. & TODD, P.A. 2010. The distribution and status of giant clams (family Tridacnidae)—a short review. *Raffles Bulletin of Zoology*, **58**: 103–111.
- PEARSON, R.G. & MUNRO, J.L. 1991. Growth, mortality and recruitment rates of giant clams, *Tridacna gigas* and *T. derasa*, at Michaelmas Reef, central Great Barrier Reef, Australia. *Marine and Freshwater Research*, 42: 241–262.
- PLANES, S., CHAUVET, C., BALDWIN, J., BONVALLOT, J., FONTAINE-VERNAUDON, Y., GABRIE, C., HOLTHUS, P., PAYRI, C. & GALZIN, R. 1993. Impact of tourism-related fishing on *Tridacna maxima* (Mollusca, Bivalvia) stocks in Bora-Bora lagoon (French Polynesia). *Atoll Research Bulletin*, **385**: 1–7.
- RAMAH, S., TALEB-HOSSENKHAN, N., TODD, P.A., NEO, M.L. & BHAGOOLI, R. 2019. Drastic decline in giant clams (Bivalvia: Tridacninae) around Mauritius Island, western Indian Ocean: implications for conservation and management. *Marine Biodiversity*, **49**: 815–823.
- REEF CHECK MALAYSIA. 2014. Summary of status of coral reefs in Malaysia, 2014, pp. 1–37. Reef Check Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- RSTUDIO TEAM. 2015. RStudio: integrated development for R. RStudio, Inc., Boston, MA. Available at: http://www.rstudio.com. Accessed 1 February 2020.
- SANGMANEE, K. & SUTTHACHEEP, M. 2010. Bleaching and mortality of giant clams in the Andaman Sea. In: Proceedings of the 36th Congress on Science and Technology of Thailand, Bangkok, Thailand.
- SCAPS, P. & SCOTT, C.M. 2014. An update to the list of coral reef fishes from Koh Tao, Gulf of Thailand. *Check List*, **10**: 1123–1133.
- SCOTT, C.M. 2012a. Koh Tao giant dam nursery update, p. 4. Save Koh Tao Community Group, Koh Tao, Thailand.
- SCOTT, C.M. 2012b. *The Koh Tao ecological monitoring program.* Save Koh Tao Community Group, Koh Tao, Thailand.
- SCOTT, C.M. 2013. Koh Tao giant clam nursery update, p. 5. Save Koh Tao Community Group, Koh Tao, Thailand.
- SCOTT, C.M., MEHROTRA, R., CABRAL, M. & ARUN-RUGSTICHAI, S. 2017a. Changes in hard coral abundance and composition on Koh Tao, Thailand, 2006–2014. *Coastal Ecosystems*, 4: 26–38.
- SCOTT, C.M., MEHROTRA, R., HEIN, M.Y., MOERLAND, M.S. & HOEKSEMA, B.W. 2017b. Population dynamics of corallivores (*Drupella* and *Acanthaster*) on coral reefs of Koh Tao, a diving destination in the Gulf of Thailand. *Raffles Bulletin of Zoology*, 68–79.
- SELIN, N.I. & LATYPOV, Y.Y. 2011. The size and age structure of *Tridacna* crocea Lamarck, 1819 (Bivalvia: Tridacnidae) in the coastal area of islands

of the Cön Dao Archipelago in the South China Sea. Russian Journal of Marine Biology, **37**: 376–383.

- TAN, S., ZULFI GAR, Y., IBRAHIM, S.B. & ABDUL AZIZ, Y. 1998. Status of giant clams in Pulau Tioman, Malaysia. *Malayan Nature Journal*, 52: 205–216.
- TEDENGREN, M., BLIDBERG, E. & ELFWING, T. 2000. The effects of different light intensities on photosynthesis and filter-feeding of the giant clam, *Tridacna squamosa*. *Mollusk Research in Asia*, 1: 165–171.
- UPANOI, T. & BANCHUNGMANEE, S. 2000. Giant clams in the Andaman Sea, Thailand. Part 2: distribution and abundance at Adang, Bitsi, Hin-Ngam, Lipe, and Talang islands. *Phuket Marine Biological Center* Special Publication, **21**: 75–81.
- VORIS, H. 2000. Maps of Pleistocene sea levels in Southeast Asia: shorelines, river systems and time durations. *Journal of Biogeography*, 27: 1153– 1167.
- YEEMIN, T., RUENGSAWANG, N., BUARUANG, J., NOPCHIN-WONG, P., ASA, S. & PANTHEWEE, W. 1998. Coral bleaching in the Gulf of Thailand: significant natural disturbance. In: *Proceedings of the* 24th Congress on Science and Technology of Thailand, Bangkok, Thailand.
- YEEMIN, T., SUTTHACHEEP, M. & PETTONGMA, R. 2006. Coral reef restoration projects in Thailand. Ocean & Coastal Management, 49: 562–575.
- ZHOU, J.Y. & ZHOU, C. 2015. Conservation concerns over use of Tridacna shell in imitation pearls. *Gems and Gemology*, **51**: 62–63.