

Checklist: how to review your note for bias

This checklist is designed to take under a minute for most consultations. For consultations in the ‘Extra care if...’ rows, budget an extra minute. The goal is not to slow you down — it is to make sure the patients most likely to be affected by AVT error are the patients whose notes get the most attention.

BEFORE YOU APPROVE

- | | |
|---|--|
| ☐ Does the note read the way I would have written it? | You are the author. If the wording is not yours, change it before signing. |
| ☐ Did the patient actually say what the note says they said? | Quick scan for hallucinated content, invented details, or fabricated history. |
| ☐ Are numbers, dosages and negations correct? | These are the highest-consequence transcription errors. Check them explicitly. |

WORDS THAT DESERVE A SECOND LOOK

- | | |
|--|---|
| ☐ ‘Denies’, ‘declines’, ‘non-compliant’ | Do these reflect what the patient said, or an inference the model added? |
| ☐ ‘Agitated’, ‘aggressive’, ‘dramatic’, ‘chaotic’ | These adjectives are applied unevenly across patient groups in published studies. Earn their place. |
| ☐ ‘Poor historian’, ‘vague’, ‘unclear’ | Often a signal that ASR struggled — more likely with accent, interpreter or speech difference. |

EXTRA CARE IF...

- | | |
|---|--|
| ☐ The patient has a strong regional or non-native accent. | ASR word error rates are elevated for non-dominant dialects and accents. |
| ☐ An interpreter (in-person, remote or telephone) was present. | Three-speaker consultations are under-researched and under-handled by current AVT. |
| ☐ The patient has dysarthria, aphasia, stammer or cognitive impairment | Longer pauses and atypical speech patterns increase hallucination risk. |
| ☐ A family member spoke on behalf of the patient. | The note may reflect the speaker rather than the subject. Check whose voice is in the summary. |
| ☐ The consultation was emotionally complex or covered mental health. | Nuance, affect and cultural idioms of distress are what AVT handles least well. |

WHAT IS MISSING?

- | | |
|---|---|
| ☐ Did the patient raise a concern that did not make it in? | Bias often shows up as omission, not error. What you remember saying matters. |
| ☐ Is there social or cultural context that was flattened? | Cultural expressions of symptoms may be clinically meaningful and easy for a model to drop. |
| ☐ Is the differential or plan appropriately broad? | Anchoring on the first plausible note is a known human-plus-AI failure mode. |

IF SOMETHING IS OFF

- | | |
|---|--|
| ☐ Edit it. You are the accountable author. | AVT output is a draft. Clinical accountability stays with the clinician. |
| ☐ If you are seeing a pattern, report it. | Raise with your clinical safety officer or AVT programme lead. Patterns are the fairness signal. |