

The Koinonia Economy: Reimagining Resource Sharing for Frontline Missions

By David Yebuah

Dr. David Yebuah is the area leader for the Chad Basin and Central Africa region with Pioneers and is arguably one of the longest-serving volunteer workers for Pioneers-Africa, having begun his missionary journey at 18 before becoming the team leader for Pioneers-Ghana. He holds a Ph.D. in Communication from Regent University, Virginia, and a Masters in NGOs, Social Policy, and Development from the London School of Economics and Political Science. His ministry spans over two decades, integrating theological reflection, cross-cultural engagement, creativity, and strategic leadership in missions across Africa. Dr. Yebuah is passionate about equipping the next generation of leaders and advancing the gospel through innovative media and values-driven partnerships like the Koinonia Economy.



Reflections of a “Global” Missionary

In an increasingly interconnected yet economically unequal world, the global church finds itself at a theological and missional crossroads. It is a crossroads that has long existed, but which the church, and particularly frontline missions, has yet to decide how to navigate. How do we speak of wealth, support, and mutual responsibility without replicating the power dynamics of the secular world? How do we name and nurture the spiritual and material gifts present across diverse contexts?

Raised in a middle-class West African home, and later educated in the U.K. and the U.S., I’ve spent most of my life navigating the spaces

between cultures, living, learning, and ministering at those crossroads. My first exposure to missions came just after high school; joining U.S. summer teams, I formed deep bonds of “*koinonia*” fellowship that crossed cultures and still endures decades later. Over the years, God moved me from the sidelines into leadership within my organization, as well as in partnership with multiple mission networks, including over a decade of service with the Ghana Evangelical Missions Association (GEMA).

This paper comes from the tension I carry between the beauty of global partnership and the brokenness caused by power, biblical patronage, and misunderstanding of kingdom generosity. It is the reflection of a missionary who has seen both the promise and the pain of how we share resources, who longs for something more faithful, more relational, and more Christlike. At the same time, this work is by no means instructive or prescriptive. I encourage readers to approach it as a reflective piece rather than a comprehensive guide. I do not present myself as an expert, but rather hope these reflections might spark genuine, introspective conversations around kingdom-minded resource sharing in frontline missions – contrasted with secular approaches to global wealth distribution and resource mobilization.

To that end, I propose the theological framework of the “*Koinoconomy*”—a term combining *koinonia* (Greek for fellowship, communion, and shared participation) and *oikonomia* (denoting stewardship, the management of resources, and the order of the household).¹ This term envisions a shared, interdependent Christian economy rooted in relational love, mutuality, and spiritual wealth.

1 Κοινωνία and οἰκονομία, respectively.

A Body, not a Machine: Introducing the “Koinoconomy”

The Apostle Paul’s description of the church as a body in 1 Corinthians 12:12–27 offers more than a metaphor; it presents a theological framework for interdependence, mutual honor, and shared responsibility. Every member of the body, regardless of visibility or perceived strength, has a divinely ordained role. Weakness is not a function of inherent lack, but rather of disconnection from purpose and fellowship.

Some have argued that Paul’s use of “koinonia” was borrowed from the Greco-Roman business tradition of partnership (Ogureau 2012). However, another school of thought contends that Paul reinterpreted this common Greek term within a covenantal and eschatological Christian frame—participation in Christ, the Spirit, and a new covenant community, often paralleling Hebrew ideas such as *chesed*.² For these scholars, economic connotations are sometimes present but remain subordinate to the theological meaning. Recent philological reappraisals emphasize the need to read each occurrence on its own terms (Green 2023). This article’s use of “koinonia” will follow the latter position, viewing it as the spiritual fellowship of the body of Christ.

The second interpretive position maintains that although 1 Corinthians 12:12–27, when read in its context, refers primarily to the local church as the body of Christ, it also carries implications for the broader global church, and by extension, frontier missions. Paul’s letter to the Corinthian congregation — an assembly marked by diversity and recurring divisions within the complex milieu of Corinth — was concerned with the church’s unity amidst difference (Fee 2014, 595; Thiselton 2000, 973). In this light, Paul emphasizes the diversity of spiritual gifts and ministerial functions, underscoring that each member possesses a distinctive and indispensable role in the edification of the body (1 Cor. 12:18–20). While the immediate

2 Chesed is a Hebrew word which means loyal, steadfast love in the Old Testament.

application pertains to the local congregation, the theological principle is extensible to the universal church (Barrett 1971, 291).

This extension raises a crucial missiological question: how ought this text to be interpreted in the context of frontier missions, where believers from divergent cultural and linguistic backgrounds serve together under the lordship of Christ and how resources are distributed? Paul's theology of unity in diversity provides a framework for such an application in this article. Elsewhere, he declares that "there is neither Jew nor Greek, slave nor free, male nor female, for you are all one in Christ Jesus" (Gal. 3:28, NRSV), thereby relativizing social and ethnic distinctions within the new global community of believers. Similarly, the apostle locates the believer's ultimate identity not in earthly nationality but in eschatological belonging: "our citizenship is in heaven" (Phil. 3:20). These affirmations have significant implications for understanding the Church's global identity, situating the conversation beyond local ecclesiology and within the broader horizon of catholicity and mission (Bosch 2011, 467; Wright 2006, 363).

Accordingly, this paper situates the discussion of 1 Corinthians 12 within the wider framework of the global Church, recognizing the transnational and intercultural character of the body of Christ as it manifests in contemporary mission contexts and a Koinonia Economy. The theological claim that "the body is one, though it has many members" (1 Cor. 12:12) thus acquires renewed relevance as the church navigates questions of diversity, unity, and identity in cross-cultural ministry, and the sharing of resources in the global body of Christ.

Applied to the global church and, by extension, frontline missions, this vision challenges both the global North and South to reevaluate their participation in missions—particularly regarding position, power, provision, and purpose. The wealth of the West, for example, should not be dismissed as mere historical accident or privilege, but recognized as a purposeful placement in the body of Christ, carrying a position of responsibility for its stewardship. To reach the unreached and fulfill the Great Commission, the global church and by extension frontline missions

must reimagine the frameworks for mobilizing and redistributing material, logistical, and economic resources in God-honoring ways.

On the other hand, there is growing discourse suggesting that if the church in the global South, or the so-called Majority World, is not financially supporting its own missionaries and mission efforts, something is inherently flawed. While it is true that every part of the body is economically responsible for reaching the world, including the church in the Global South, this view often overlooks the integrated nature of *koinonia*. Kingdom partnership is not defined by geographic compartments or economic balkanization, but by spiritual unity and mutual responsibility.

The language of “from the South to the rest”—while highlighting the rising mission force of the Global South—can unintentionally reinforce division rather than shared identity. A more biblically faithful framework is “the whole church to the whole world.”³ This perspective acknowledges the value and calling of every believer, irrespective of geographical or economic background, challenging the church to prioritize partnership and generosity through the lens of spiritual kinship rather than national or economic boundaries.

This paper will unpack these two positions, supported by case study scenarios, seeking to elevate the theological priority of economic *koinonia* as the central ethic and missiological foundation of global mission funding. It affirms the responsibility of both Majority and Minority World churches to participate in a shared economy, an economy defined not by scarcity or independence, but by mutual respect, humility, worship, and faithfulness to God’s mission and His glory.

According to 1 Corinthians 12:18, “God has arranged the parts in the body, every one of them, just as he wanted them to be.” The global distribution of wealth and influence must be understood in this light, not as a source of guilt or superiority, but as a divine arrangement meant to

3 Cf. Manila Manifesto (Lausanne 1989).

support the flourishing of the entire body of Christ. Also, Jesus redefined power in Matthew 20:25–28 when he stated, “Whoever wants to become great among you must be your servant.” The Koinonia Economy, therefore, calls on Christian communities, especially those with material wealth, to steward their power as a means of servanthood, not self-preservation. Wealth is given not to elevate but to empower; and power, in Kingdom terms, is the capacity to serve others.

Global Wealth Disparities and the Church

As of September 2024, the combined net worth of the world’s top ten richest individuals reached approximately \$1.66 trillion – a figure that in some cases approaches the gross domestic product (GDP) of entire continents. For instance, Africa’s (with a population of over 1 billion) total nominal GDP for 2025 is projected at around \$2.84 trillion, while South America’s is estimated at approximately \$4.4 trillion (Statistics Times 2025).

Although one could argue that this is only true in light of the secular economic realities, sadly it mirrors closely the disparity within the church. A 2015 estimate indicates that Christians hold approximately 55% of global wealth, which amounts to roughly \$107 trillion USD. However, despite accounting for around 61% of the world’s Christian population, the Global South controls only a minor fraction of those resources. While precise data on Christian-specific wealth by region are scarce, global wealth distribution research provides a reasonable proxy. In 2023, North America possessed about \$169 trillion USD and Western Europe \$103 trillion USD, together comprising over 60% of all global wealth (Marcus Lu 2024). Meanwhile, Africa’s total wealth was just 0.5–2% of global totals (The Economist 2012). Assuming the global Christian share mirrors these general trends, it is probable that over 85–90% of Christian-held wealth resides in the Global North, leaving 10–15% or less under the stewardship of churches in the Global South.

In terms of population, the Global South has about 1.3 billion Christians, while the Global North accounts for roughly 860 million. The disparity reveals how numerical majority does not translate to

financial influence in the Global South. This mirrors longstanding systemic imbalances rooted in colonialism, economic inequality, and the continued dominance of Northern institutions in global missions and church funding.

Such disparity raises urgent questions about global resource distribution and the ethical use of wealth, particularly as it pertains to supporting frontline missions and equipping the global church. Unfortunately, this imbalance often reflects the realities of missionary engagement and frontline missions. Although empirical data is limited, anecdotal and field-based experience consistently highlight the sharp contrast in financial and material support available to indigenous missionaries, compared to those sent from wealthier nations. This inequity not only restricts the potential impact of local mission movements but risks reinforcing economic paternalism within the global Christian community.

In response to this, some advocate for the use of terms such as “capacity building” or “empowerment” as solutions to what is often framed as a dependency problem. While well-meaning, such terminology tends to obscure the deeper theological and structural issues at stake. The challenge is not dependency itself, but the global economic disparities that seem to make some churches disproportionately financially reliant on others. The more urgent question is whether the Church should reflect these global economic inequalities or actively resist them in its internal life and missional commitments.

An Alternative Biblical Economy

These stark disparities—between numerical majority and material influence—reinforce the urgent need for theological and missional models grounded in *koinonia*: mutual participation, shared responsibility, and equitable redistribution across global Christian communities. The *Koinonia Economy* presents a theologically grounded alternative—one

where financial resources are shared not merely out of abundance, but as an expression of spiritual responsibility and relational solidarity. This model prioritizes mutuality over dependency, stewardship over control, and worshipful generosity over transactional giving. It envisions the church or frontline missionary work not as a collection of isolated financial centers or endeavors, but as a unified, interdependent body joyfully pooling its gifts for the sake of the gospel across all regions. In doing so, it reclaims economic participation as a sacred act of fellowship, discipleship, and mission.

In an economically-imbalanced world, the church in economically wealthy regions must actively engage in the Koinonia Economy, recognizing that to whom much has been given, much is required (Luke 12:48). The responsibility of the wealthy church extends beyond national borders and passport-defined identities. As Paul reminds us, “our citizenship is in heaven. And we eagerly await a Savior from there, the Lord Jesus Christ” (Phil. 3:20).

In the Koinonia Economy, the church must embrace its identity as one transnational, interdependent body called to mutual service, sacrificial giving, and spiritual solidarity. The resources entrusted to one part of the body are never merely for self-preservation, but for the strengthening and equipping of the whole.

Interrogating Dependency Theory

Some might argue that “Koinoconomy” is merely idealistic wishful thinking that does not account for the hard realities of economic systems, and that the principles it upholds do not reflect what we observe in today’s economies. They contend that self-sufficiency or self-sustainability is fundamental to institutional survival, including churches and frontline missions. Much of the discourse around global missions and church growth has been shaped by notions of dependency, particularly with regard to financial support from the West.

While there is merit in such concerns, we must also confront the flawed assumption that the Majority World, particularly the African church or frontline missions, has grown solely through financial dependence on the West. This narrative overlooks a crucial truth: many grassroots mission movements and revival efforts across Africa have been sustained primarily by indigenous resources and local sacrifices.

While we acknowledge the substantial sacrifices of the European church in introducing Christianity to much of the Global South and in providing early economic support for mission, this reality must be held in tension with the historical context of colonialism: a system that was deeply economic and, in many cases, provided sustenance to Western institutions and churches in the Global North. As much as the church in the Global North supported churches in parts of the Global South, the wealth of the West was, in part, fueled by economic imbalances and unequal trade relationships with the Majority World, from which the church in the Global North also benefited.

The argument here is that, economically speaking, there is no such thing as complete “self-sustenance” in the strict sense of economies of scale; there has always been economic interdependence. Yet the reverse question is even more important: what percentage of the West’s wealth has truly fueled the growth of the church in the Global South? The answer is that post-colonial developments have seen explosive church growth in the Global South with comparatively little reliance on the Global North.

The rise of Pentecostal and charismatic movements, for example, was not initiated or sustained primarily by Western funding. African-founded mission agencies, such as CAPRO (Calvary Ministries) and others, have long been driven by indigenous financial mobilization. Thousands of local missionaries serve faithfully on modest or even unpaid salaries, enduring hardship and pressing into difficult terrains with limited external support. These realities challenge the assumption of Western dependence.

That said, Western economic support has contributed significantly in areas that require substantial capital: such as large educational institutions, training programs, capacity-building initiatives, and the construction of physical infrastructure. Even so, much Western funding historically supports missionaries sent from Western countries themselves. The use of binary terms like “West” and “Majority World” can be misleading; this article challenges those categories while using them for clarity in context.

True dependency implies helplessness, a state the vibrant, expanding church in the Global South does not reflect. Instead, we must speak of **interdependence**. Paul writes, “If one member suffers, all suffer together; if one member is honored, all rejoice together” (1 Cor. 12:26). The Koinoconomy recognizes that no part of the church is self-sufficient, and that the health of the body depends on mutual contribution and care.

Ultimately, reliance on Western missions is not a matter of dependence, but of interdependence, and even that must be critically assessed and reimaged through the lens of *koinonia*. The question is not whether partnership exists, but whether it reflects kingdom mutuality or economic imbalance. The term is not dependency; it is fellowship, shared stewardship, and the recognition that all parts of the body bring value. More can and must be done. The truth is, the financial resources needed to fulfill the Great Commission already exist within the global church. What is required is not more wealth, but more willingness to release it in faith, humility, and unity.

A Biblical Reframing of Poverty and Wealth

The “spirituality of lack and abundance,” as articulated in Philippians 4:12–13, reframes material poverty and wealth not as conditions of deficit or superiority, but as contexts for discovering God’s sufficiency and cultivating Christ-centered contentment. Paul’s words reflect a spiritual maturity that often eludes most Christian communities: “*I know what*

it is to be in need, and I know what it is to have plenty. I have learned the secret of being content in any and every situation ... I can do all this through him who gives me strength” (Phil. 4:12–13).

In the Koinonia Economy, lack is not a curse, but a crucible—a sacred space where believers encounter the sufficiency of God and the discipline of contentment. This is not merely about financial status, but about orientation of the heart. Even those with limited means are not excluded from the joy and power of giving. As Luke 21:1–4 reveals, the poor widow’s offering was exalted by Jesus not because of its size, but because of her heart and worship. Jesus commended her gift because he knew her, not merely the act, but the faith behind it. This illustrates a central currency in the Koinonia Economy: *intimacy with God expressed through trust, generosity, and relational knowing*.

Paul presents contentment not as passive resignation but as an active, Spirit-empowered mastery over circumstance. Contemporary theologians have noted that in affluent contexts, the challenge is not the acquisition of resources, but the discipline to find satisfaction in Christ alone (Earley & Ang 2003). Paul’s contentment was paired with sacrificial giving, “I will very gladly spend for you everything I have and expend myself as well” (2 Cor. 12:14). Even when materially supported by churches, he refused to be a burden, modeling how missionaries can gratefully receive support yet maintain personal stewardship and dependence on God. Frontline workers, whether well-funded or under-resourced, thus learn to distinguish “support” from “burden,” seeing every gift—material or spiritual—as an extension of God’s grace (Bosch 1991).

In missiological terms, this “secret of contentment” becomes a countercultural witness: when missionaries serve amid scarcity, their reliance on divine provision testifies more powerfully than metrics of efficiency or material success (Hoekema 1988). Numerous examples across Majority World contexts demonstrate the resilience and sacrifice of those serving faithfully through lack. Yet materialism has increasingly crept into the

missions space, threatening to erode this deep spiritual posture of trust and simplicity.

This is not a call to glorify poverty or adopt an ascetic “hermit” lifestyle, but rather an invitation to spiritual awareness: that in the Koinonia Economy, our fulfillment and faithfulness in missions do not arise from having enough to serve—but *from having enough of Christ to serve Him enough*.

The account of the poor widow in Luke 21:1–4 underscores that generosity and worship flow not from abundance but from a relational knowledge of and trust in God’s care. In missiological studies, gift-economies may refer to systems where goods, services, and resources are exchanged primarily to strengthen relationships rather than for profit. Research on such economies within early Christian communities affirms that giving out of lack cultivates deeper koinonia—shared life and mutual interdependence—than giving from surplus (Fredriksen 2008). Spiritual disciplines are often abundant in communities and economies that have little financial wealth to offer the global church. These scriptures, one could argue, point to the idea of equitable sharing of resources and deep, reflective contentment in frontline missions.

A Paradigm of Equity, not Equality

If we are to model a Christ-centered missiological movement that models scripture and prays for a Koinonia Economy, we must push not for equality but for equity in the supply of financial resources for frontline missions. While equality insists on identical treatment, equity addresses differing needs and gifts among believers (Waters 2017).

Unlike modern economic models obsessed with equality (sameness), the equity of the Koinonia Economy is the idea that each member gives and receives according to grace and capacity (2 Cor. 8:13–15). The reality is that financial and economic disparities will likely persist between regions, and these disparities are also reflected in missions.

But Scripture does not view lack as disqualifying, rather, it presents it as a space where faith is refined and God's provision magnified. I contend that Koinoconomics is an ideal reframing of how we view and practice giving, rooted in deep fellowship and relationship. Where this has failed, it is only because both the giver and the receiver lacked a Koinoconomy perspective.

If we view God's mission with the lens of Koinoconomics, we would reject ideas such as dependency theory, which often perpetuates hierarchical aid structures, and instead understand that in God's economy and body there is mutuality; givers also receive spiritual vitality from those they serve and bless (Myers 2011), for it is more blessed to give than to receive (Acts 20:35). As Dietrich Bonhoeffer poignantly observed in, *Letters and Papers from Prison*, "The Church is the Church only when it exists for others... not dominating, but helping and serving" (Bonhoeffer 2010).

In a Koinonia Economy, a paradigm of equity – rather than mere equality – in supporting frontline missions, disparities in wealth become realms for mutual interdependence: those with fewer material resources exercise faith and spiritual contentment, while those with more leverage their gifts for the enrichment of the whole body. Paul's own example, content in every circumstance yet passionately providing for the Philippian church (2 Cor. 12:14–18), models how frontline missionaries can embrace lack as a spiritual discipline without becoming a burden, trusting in God's provision and the fellowship of believers.

In this divine economy, those who lack are called not to despair, but to deepen their dependence on God. They are encouraged to embrace contentment, not resignation, as a spiritual discipline. Paul's contentment was not rooted in having enough; it was grounded in knowing Christ as sufficient: "So I will very gladly spend for you everything I have and expend myself as well" (2 Cor. 12:15). His posture was one of joyful sacrifice, even in limitation.

For missionaries in under-resourced contexts, this becomes a powerful truth. The absence of external funding or infrastructure is not necessarily a sign of divine neglect, nor is it a justification for striving after provision at all costs. There is a subtle danger: in our zeal to fulfill the Great Commission, we may inadvertently replace trust in God with trust in wealth, logistics, or international support systems. What begins as passion for the lost may shift into anxiety over budgets, grants, and outcomes.

The Koinonia Economy reminds us that contentment **is not found in the success of missions, but in the sufficiency of Christ**. Our joy and worth are not derived from what we do or give, nor from how much support we receive or send, but from him who calls and sustains. Missionaries with little and donors with much are equally called to rest in the Lord as their source. For those with wealth, generosity must flow not from a place of superiority or emotional relief, but from a posture of worship. Giving is not virtuous because it is generous, but because it reflects the heart of God. For those with little, receiving must not be framed as failure, but as participation in divine provision. In both directions, the Koinonia Economy invites us into a holy tension, to do what we can, to give what we have, and to rest in what He provides.

In the Koinonia Economy, contentment is both a spiritual discipline and a missiological strategy: it frees missionaries to depend on God rather than systems, and it invites the global church into genuine fellowship that transcends economic disparity. By embracing lack as an arena of divine provision, and by practicing equity in resource sharing, the body of Christ models kingdom interdependence, where every part, whether affluent or needy, contributes to the flourishing of the whole. In the end, the Great Commission will be fulfilled not because we were adequately funded, but because God's Spirit is at work through a church deeply surrendered. And whether with plenty or in want, **our greatest testimony is not how much we gave or accomplished, but how deeply we trusted, worshipped, and remained content in Christ alone; because with or without our wealth or lack, His glory will be heard among the nations.**

Challenges to Koinoconomy

Although the idea of *Koinoconomy* sounds inspiring in theory, it can also be met with confusion or skepticism, especially in frontline missional work. I do not ignore the fact that this concept, though theologically grounded, may at times seem idealistic, or even a kind of theological *romanticism*. While it invites practical application, Koinoconomy remains profoundly challenging to implement in any visible or sustained form in missions today. Yet, the heart of the argument is not about Koinoconomy's flawless execution, but about its recognition, understanding, and faithful expression, even in fragmented or imperfect forms, whether at the macro level of global missional partnerships or the micro level of local church support and fellowship (Acts 2:42–47; 2 Cor. 8:1–15). In that regard, I would like to look at some tensions or challenges of Koinoconomy, and possible answers to them.

One challenge lies in the tension Jesus himself highlighted: “*They are not of the world, just as I am not of the world*” (John 17:16). The economic systems we navigate daily, both macro- and microeconomics, govern how resources flow. Currency still remains the dominant language of global engagement, and the reality of earthly systems presses against the spiritual logic of divine economy. When we attempt to practice a form of Koinoconomy that encompasses both tangible and intangible resources, financial support, time, encouragement, wisdom, and hospitality, we encounter friction with systems that reward individualism and self-preservation.

Furthermore, the existence of nation-states, borders, and citizenships cannot be ignored. People are often defined and confined by where they live, work, and vote. God is not blind to geography. He placed people within borders (Acts 17:26), but the purpose was that “*they would seek him and perhaps reach out for him and find him*” (Acts 17:27). Thus, geography is not a limitation, but a context, one in which God intends his people to seek and reflect his kingdom which transcends borders.

Koinoconomy is, at its heart, a vision of the kingdom of God, a kingdom where resources are shared (Acts 4:32–35), where no one is in need, and where love is tangible. It asks difficult but urgent questions: Can the next frontier of global missions be marked not just by sending, but by *sharing*? Not only finances, but skills, people, knowledge, relationships, and care? Can we imagine a world in which the sending church and the receiving church no longer exist as categories, but as one global *ekklesia*—unified in purpose and interdependent in function?⁴

Yet, the paradox of Koinoconomy is this: while it envisions a global economy of grace, the actual work remains grounded in the local. It is at the shopfronts of daily missions and the frontline efforts of unnamed brothers and sisters that the macro-vision of Koinoconomy becomes visible. Missionaries are not only sent from the West, nor supported only by large denominations or foundations. Many now serve and give from the Global South, blurring the lines of dependency and agency. The body is many parts (1 Cor. 12:12–27), and if one part suffers, the whole suffers, so too, if one part rejoices, all should share in that joy.

Koinoconomy may not yet be fully realized, but its seeds are already sown in acts of generosity, collaboration, and fellowship across continents and cultures. As we reflect on this theology, we must ask not just what the Global South lacks, but what it brings. The wealth of the church is not in its coffers but in its Christlikeness, and until we recognize every contribution—visible and invisible—as currency in God’s economy, we risk forming an incomplete picture of his church. That picture is not American, African, or Asian. It is not financial, ethnic, or institutional. It is the image of Christ, formed in us and among us. Having explored the theological foundations of the Koinonia Economy, we now turn to a concrete expression of this principle in action, as well as lessons learned from its distortion.

4 “Ekklesia” is the Greek word which is often translated as “church.”

Case Study #1: The Koinoconomy at Work in Frontline Missions in Sub-Saharan Africa

One compelling example of Koinoconomy is the philanthropic work of Outpouring to the Nations (OTTN), which has strategically supported three mission agencies and over thirty denominations across Africa. Through this partnership, more than 400 Christian professionals have been trained via the Empowered WaveMaker (EWM) program in Ghana and in South Sudanese refugee camps in Uganda, equipping them as disciple-makers in diverse spheres of society. Meanwhile, the Air Campaign Teams (ACTs) have reached over 100,000 people with the gospel through coordinated audio-visual outreach, open-air evangelism, and mobile discipleship tools in underserved and hard-to-reach communities. Thousands have heard the message of Christ, and hundreds are now being disciplined and integrated into local fellowships.

What makes this a particularly clear embodiment of the Koinonia Economy is the source and posture of the support. The businessman at the center of this movement is neither Ghanaian, Ugandan, nor Western. He is from a neighboring West African country and has, over the past decade, invested sacrificially in missions far beyond his national borders. His wealth—built through African markets yet strengthened by global networks—has been deliberately stewarded to advance the gospel in the Sahel and other strategic regions. Importantly, he does not frame his contribution as charity flowing from the “wealthy” to the “needy,” but as an expression of interdependence within the body of Christ.

This is not a donor-beneficiary arrangement but a shared apostolic endeavor. The businessman brings what might be termed “kingship” resources – economic capacity, networks, and logistical support – while frontline missionaries bring “apostolic” resources – cultural fluency, contextual ministry expertise, and local presence. In this synergy, no one is diminished as a dependent, nor exalted as the sole provider. Instead, each part supplies what the other lacks (1 Cor. 12:21–26), creating a

living example of the Koinonia Economy: resources flowing freely across borders in response to kingdom needs, not by obligation, but through Spirit-led love and mutual trust.

Case Study #2: When the Koinonia Economy Breaks—Patronage and Power in North Africa

While the Koinonia Economy envisions Spirit-led, relationally rooted generosity within the body of Christ, its distortion under cultural and cross-cultural pressures can lead to breakdown and dysfunction. A sobering example comes from North Africa, where years of mission work have been marred by the entanglement of local patron-client norms and foreign funding models.

This account is based on second-hand information; this writer does not have firsthand nuance or a full understanding of the broader picture and acknowledges that important details may be missing. Nevertheless, it illustrates how resource sharing that lacks a posture of mutuality, cultural respect, and sensitivity can lead to the all-too-familiar pitfalls of Western-led support in frontline missions.

A veteran worker with decades of experience in the region describes how foreign financial support, often given with sincere intentions, has unintentionally undermined local church authority. In several instances, promising leaders, once identified and discipled, were drawn into dependency systems where allegiance shifted from the fellowship of believers to the source of funding. Financial loyalty began to eclipse spiritual accountability.

Western organizations, unaware of the deeply ingrained social structures of patronage, sometimes bypassed church oversight entirely, channeling resources directly to individuals. This direct sponsorship, though efficient on paper, had unintended spiritual consequences: it fostered competition, fueled jealousy among leaders, and sowed mistrust within congregations. The perception spread that foreign Christians

were “buying” converts or influence, reinforcing existing suspicions about outside interference.

Instead of producing self-sustaining, mutually accountable communities of faith, these dynamics fragmented churches and stunted the growth of indigenous leadership. What emerged was not a fellowship of shared resources anchored in love, but a transactional system riddled with power imbalances and unmet expectations; a distorted reflection of biblical partnership.

This is not the Koinonia Economy; it is its broken mirror. Where the Koinonia Economy thrives on mutuality, trust, and shared ownership of the mission, this system reduced ministry to a flow of resources that reinforced dependency and centralized power in the hands of the giver.

The case underscores the urgent need for:

1. deep contextual awareness of the socio-cultural realities that shape local understandings of giving and receiving;
2. biblical teaching on stewardship and mutuality, grounding believers in kingdom economics rather than cultural defaults; and
3. cross-cultural humility that resists the urge to control outcomes and instead releases local churches to flourish in their own God-given authority, vision, and voice.

Conclusion

In the Koinonia Economy, economic disparities become opportunities for mutual interdependence: those with fewer resources model faith and contentment, while those with abundance steward their gifts for the good of the whole body. This is equity, not uniformity: addressing differing needs and capacities rather than enforcing sameness.

The West’s economic power is thus not dominance but a resource to be stewarded in solidarity and service, rejecting dependency theory’s hierarchies in favor of mutuality, where givers also receive spiritual

vitality. Such mutuality echoes 2 Corinthians 8:13–15, where giving flows from grace and need, not mandate. Paul's own life, content in every circumstance yet committed to serving the churches, models how missionaries can embrace lack without becoming burdens, trusting God's provision and the fellowship of believers.

The Great Commission will be fulfilled not by budgets or programs, but by the Spirit at work in a church surrendered to Christ; whether in plenty or want, our deepest witness is found in trust, worship, and contentment in Him alone.

Questions for Conversation

1. What is the most significant insight you gleaned from this article, and what is the most important question this article raised for you?
2. The author argues that, "To reach the unreached and fulfill the Great Commission, the global church and by extension frontline missions must reimagine the frameworks for mobilizing and redistributing material, logistical, and economic resources in God-honoring ways." Do you agree? Why or why not?
3. What economic inequities do you observe in your context? When the indigenous church is planted, how would you envision healthy resource sharing, locally and globally?

Bibliography

- Barrett, C. K. 1971. *A Commentary on the First Epistle to the Corinthians*. London: Black.
- Bonhoeffer, Dietrich. 2010. *Letters and Papers from Prison*. Dietrich Bonhoeffer Works, Vol. 8. Fortress Press.
- Bosch, David J. 2011. *Transforming Mission: Paradigm Shifts in Theology of Mission*. 20th Anniversary ed. Orbis Books.

- Earley, P. Christopher, & Soon Ang. 2003. *Cultural Intelligence: Individual Interactions Across Cultures*. Stanford University Press.
- Fredriksen, Paula. 2008. *Augustine and the Jews: A Christian Defense of Jews and Judaism*. Doubleday.
- Fee, Gordon D. 2014. *The First Epistle to the Corinthians*. New International Commentary on the New Testament. Eerdmans.
- Green, Colin Antony. 2023. Κοινωνία: Fellowship with or participation in the Spirit? Revisiting 2 Corinthians 13:13. *Review & Expositor* 119 (3-4): 417–430.
- Hoekema, Anthony A. 1986. *Created in God's Image*. Eerdmans.
- Lausanne Movement. 1989. [The Manilla Manifesto](https://www.lausanne.org/). [Lausanne.org](https://www.lausanne.org/).
- Lu, Marcus. 2024. "[Mapped: Global Wealth by Region \(2023–2028F\)](#)." Visual Capitalist. August 19.
- Myers, Bryant L. 2011. *Walking with the Poor: Principles and Practices of Transformational Development*. 2nd ed. Orbis Books.
- Ogereau, Julien M. 2012. "The Jerusalem Collection as Κοινωνία: Paul's Global Politics of Socio-Economic Equality and Solidarity." *New Testament Studies* 58(3): 360–378.
- Statistics Times. 2025. List of Continents by GDP. Statistics Times. July 10. <https://statisticstimes.com/economy/continents-by-gdp.php>.
- The Economist. 2012. "[Free Exchange: The Real Wealth of Nations](#)." *The Economist*. June 30.
- Thiselton, Anthony C. 2000. *The First Epistle to the Corinthians: A Commentary on the Greek Text*. New International Greek Testament Commentary. Eerdmans.
- Wright, Christopher J. H. 2006. *The Mission of God: Unlocking the Bible's Grand Narrative*. IVP Academic.