

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS

To vote, completely fill in the box next to the candidate or measure of your choice with a black or blue ink pen.

Write-ins are allowed when there is a qualified write-in candidate. Fill in the box to the left of the "Write-in" space and print the full name of the candidate.

If you make a mistake, request a new ballot from an election worker.



CANDIDATES FOR UNITED STATES OFFICES

United States Senator

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Natalie M Fleming
- ☐ Matt Loesby
- ☐ Jim Risch
- ☐ Todd Achilles

United States Representative District 2

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Ellie Gilbreath
- ☐ Emre Houser
- ☐ Tripp Charles Hutchinson
- ☐ Will Johanson
- ☐ Mike Simpson

CANDIDATES FOR STATE OFFICES

Governor

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Brad Little
- ☐ Terri Pickens
- ☐ Pro-Life (A person, formerly known as Marvin Richardson)
- ☐ Paul Sand
- ☐ John R. Stegner
- ☐ Write-in

Lieutenant Governor

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Eric Myricks
- ☐ Scott Bedke

Secretary of State

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Phil McGrane
- ☐ Shawn Keenan

State Controller

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Brandon D Woolf
- ☐ Rakesh Mohan

State Treasurer

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Kevin A Jones
- ☐ Julie A. Ellsworth

Attorney General

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Raul R. Labrador
- ☐ Lori Hickman

Superintendent of Public Instruction

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Teresa Roundy
- ☐ Debbie Critchfield
- ☐ Becky Sundin Mitchell

CANDIDATES FOR LEGISLATIVE OFFICES

State Senator District 33

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Dave Lent
- ☐ Jan Brown

State Representative District 33 Seat A

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Chance Marshall
- ☐ Barbara Ehardt

State Representative District 33 Seat B

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Marco Erickson
- ☐ Todd DeVries

CANDIDATES FOR COUNTY OFFICES

County Commissioner District 1

4 year term
(Vote for One)

- ☐ Karl T. Casperson

County Commissioner District 2

2 year term
(Vote for One)

- ☐ Jon Walker

Clerk of the District Court

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Christopher Poulter

County Treasurer

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Craig H Tibbitts

County Assessor

(Vote for One)

☐ Dustin A. Barron REP

County Coroner

(Vote for One)

☐ Shante Sanchez REP

NONPARTISAN BALLOT

MAGISTRATE JUDGES

Magistrate Wiley R. Dennert

Shall Magistrate **Wiley R. Dennert** of Bonneville County of the Seventh Judicial District be retained in office?

☐ YES

☐ NO

Magistrate John C. Dewey

Shall Magistrate **John C. Dewey** of Bonneville County of the Seventh Judicial District be retained in office?

☐ YES

☐ NO

Magistrate Tawnya Rawlings

Shall Magistrate **Tawnya Rawlings** of Bonneville County of the Seventh Judicial District be retained in office?

☐ YES

☐ NO

CANDIDATES FOR COLLEGE OF EASTERN IDAHO

College District Trustee Zone 1

(Vote for One)

☐ Amy Gardels

College District Trustee Zone 3

(Vote for One)

☐ Jeff Carr

College District Trustee Zone 5

(Vote for One)

☐ Dana Kirkham

BALLOT MEASURES

Idaho Constitutional Amendment

House Joint Resolution 4

This proposed constitutional amendment would give the Legislature exclusive authority to legalize marijuana, narcotics, or other psychoactive substances in the State of Idaho.

Question: Shall Section 26, Article III of the Constitution of the State of Idaho be amended to provide that only the Idaho Legislature shall have the power and authority to legalize the growing, producing, manufacturing, transporting, selling, delivering, dispensing, administering, prescribing, distributing, possessing, or using of marijuana, narcotics, or other psychoactive substances?

A **YES** vote **supports** this constitutional amendment to provide that only the Idaho State Legislature shall have the authority to legalize marijuana, narcotics, or other psychoactive substances; and remove the ability for citizens to initiate state statutes that would legalize these substances.

A **NO** vote **opposes** this constitutional amendment, thereby retaining the ability for citizens to initiate state statutes that would legalize or decriminalize marijuana, narcotics, or other psychoactive substances.

☐ YES

☐ NO

Idaho Constitutional Amendment

House Joint Resolution 6

This proposed constitutional amendment would designate English as the official state language. All official speeches, meetings, and other proceedings of state and local governments, such as city council meetings and jury trials, would be conducted in English, and instruction in public schools would be provided in English. All documents of state and local government entities would be published in English. However, the amendment would allow languages other than English to be used when required by federal law.

Question: Shall Article X of the Constitution of the State of Idaho be amended by the addition of a new Section 8, to provide that the English language shall be the official language for the State of Idaho, and to further provide that, except as required by federal law, English shall be used in all public proceedings, public documents, public instruction, and any other public acts of any public institution in the State of Idaho?

A **YES** vote **supports** adding a provision to the Idaho Constitution that designates English as the state's official language.

A **NO** vote **opposes** adding a provision to the Idaho Constitution that designates English as the state's official language, maintaining English as the state's official language in state statute only.

☐ YES

☐ NO

Read Both Sides of the Ballot

Proposition 1

Measure creating right to abortion before fetus viability, and post-viability to protect health; right to privacy; healthcare provider liability protections.

The measure seeks to change Idaho's laws by introducing a right to reproductive freedom and privacy including a right to abortion up to the point of the fetus's ability to survive outside the womb. After fetal viability, there would be no general right to abortion except in cases of "medical emergency." The "medical emergency" exception would expand Idaho's current life exception and allow abortions when pregnant women face complicating physical conditions that threaten their life or health, "including serious impairment to a bodily function" or "serious dysfunction of any bodily organ or part."

The proposed measure codifies a right to make reproductive decisions, including contraception, fertility treatment, and prenatal and postpartum care. This includes a "right of privacy" in making these decisions. The measure seeks to prevent the state from enforcing certain abortion laws protecting the life of the unborn child. It would also impose a requirement that any restrictions on reproductive decisions, including abortion prior to fetus viability, must be "narrowly tailored to improve or maintain the health of the person seeking reproductive health care." The measure would also prevent the state from penalizing patients, healthcare providers, or anyone who assists in exercising the proposed right.

Funding Source Statement: No funding source is required for the Reproductive Freedom and Privacy Act as it does not create any new financial obligation on the state. Because no funding source is required, the Act has no impact on income taxes, sales tax, or product taxes.

Fiscal Impact Statement: The State estimates the initiative would increase state expenditures between \$3,100 and \$7,800

annually, less than 0.001% of the state share of Idaho's Medicaid budget. This impact is derived from the costs of treating chemical abortion complications for women enrolled in Idaho's Medicaid program. Medicaid covers medically necessary services to treat complications from all abortions. It is anticipated that as legal abortions increase, the complications will also increase. This will likely result in an increase in Medicaid covered services and expenditures to treat complications from chemical abortions, which the State has reliable and readily available data to support.

Question: Shall the above-entitled measure proposed by Proposition One be approved?

A **YES** vote would support creating a right to abortion before fetal viability - defined as a fetus' ability to survive without extraordinary medical measures - and after fetal viability in cases of medical emergency; providing protections against professional discipline and civil and criminal liability for healthcare providers; and codifying a statutory reproductive right to freedom and privacy.

A **NO** vote would support making no change to Idaho's current law, which preserves the life of preborn children by prohibiting abortion, except when necessary to prevent the death of the pregnant woman, and during the first trimester in documented cases of rape or incest reported to law enforcement.

☐ YES

☐ NO

Idaho Advisory Question

Which of the following guns should be designated as the state gun of Idaho?
Please choose only one answer.

☐ Winchester Model 1894 (.30-30)

☐ Winchester Model 1873 "Gun that Won the West" (.44-40)

☐ 1873 Colt Single Action Army Revolver "Peacemaker" (.45 Colt)

☐ M1 Garand rifle (.30-06)

☐ Colt M1911 .45 automatic Colt pistol (.45 ACP)

☐ Remington Model 700 bolt-action rifle (.30-06)

IDAHO FALLS SCHOOL DISTRICT
NO. 91 LEVY

SUPPLEMENTAL LEVY ELECTION
SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 91
BONNEVILLE COUNTY, STATE OF
IDAHO

The Board of Trustees of School District No. 91, Bonneville County, State of Idaho, is seeking authorization to levy a Supplemental Levy for the following purposes and approximate amount of levy funds to be allocated to each use: (i) Safety and Security: School Resource Officers, Guidance and Health, Counselors, Psychologists, and School Nurses -- \$520,000, (ii) Extracurricular Activities: Debate, Choir, Drama, Band, Orchestra, Sports, etc. -- \$1,100,000 (iii) Salaries and Benefits for Teachers and Staff, including Maintenance Staff -- \$8,480,000, and (iv) Maintenance Expenses not covered by plant facility funding -- \$900,000; for a total amount of \$11,000,000 per year.

QUESTION: Shall the Board of Trustees of School District No. 91, Bonneville County, State of Idaho, be authorized and empowered to levy a Supplemental Levy in the amount of Eleven Million Dollars (\$11,000,000) per year for two (2) years, commencing with the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2027 and ending June 30, 2029, for the purposes stated above; all as provided in the Resolution adopted by the Board of Trustees on August 11, 2026?

The estimated average annual cost to the taxpayer on the proposed levy is a tax of \$124 per \$100,000 of taxable assessed value, per year, based on current conditions.

☐

IN FAVOR OF authorizing a supplemental levy in the amount of \$11,000,000 per year for two (2) years

☐

AGAINST authorizing a supplemental levy in the amount of \$11,000,000 per year for two (2) years