

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS

To vote, completely fill in the box next to the candidate or measure of your choice with a black or blue ink pen.

Write-ins are allowed when there is a qualified write-in candidate. Fill in the box to the left of the "Write-in" space and print the full name of the candidate.

If you make a mistake, request a new ballot from an election worker.



CANDIDATES FOR UNITED STATES OFFICES

United States Senator

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Jim Risch REP
- ☐ Todd Achilles IND
- ☐ Natalie M Fleming IND
- ☐ Matt Loesby LIB

United States Representative District 2

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Will Johanson LIB
- ☐ Mike Simpson REP
- ☐ Ellie Gilbreath DEM
- ☐ Emre Houser IND
- ☐ Tripp Charles Hutchinson IND

CANDIDATES FOR STATE OFFICES

Governor

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Paul Sand LIB
- ☐ John R. Stegner IND
- ☐ Brad Little REP
- ☐ Terri Pickens DEM
- ☐ Pro-Life (A person, formerly known as Marvin Richardson) CON

☐

Write-in

Lieutenant Governor

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Eric Myricks DEM
- ☐ Scott Bedke REP

Secretary of State

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Phil McGrane REP
- ☐ Shawn Keenan DEM

State Controller

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Brandon D Woolf REP
- ☐ Rakesh Mohan DEM

State Treasurer

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Kevin A Jones DEM
- ☐ Julie A. Ellsworth REP

Attorney General

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Raul R. Labrador REP
- ☐ Lori Hickman DEM

Superintendent of Public Instruction

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Debbie Critchfield REP
- ☐ Becky Sundin Mitchell DEM
- ☐ Teresa Roundy CON

CANDIDATES FOR LEGISLATIVE OFFICES

State Senator District 35

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Marty Rotz Kilhefner DEM
- ☐ Mark Harris REP

State Representative District 35 Seat A

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Mike Veile REP
- ☐ Walter Boyd DEM

State Representative District 35 Seat B

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Joshua D. Wheeler REP
- ☐ Mary Kaufman DEM

CANDIDATES FOR COUNTY OFFICES

County Commissioner District 1

4 year term
(Vote for One)

- ☐ Karl T. Casperson REP

County Commissioner District 2

2 year term
(Vote for One)

- ☐ Jon Walker REP

Clerk of the District Court

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Christopher Poulter REP

County Treasurer

(Vote for One)

- ☐ Craig H Tibbitts REP

County Assessor
(Vote for One)
☐ Dustin A. Barron REP

County Coroner
(Vote for One)
☐ Shante Sanchez REP

NONPARTISAN BALLOT
MAGISTRATE JUDGES
Magistrate Wiley R. Dennert
Shall Magistrate Wiley R. Dennert of Bonneville County of the Seventh Judicial District be retained in office?
☐ YES
☐ NO

Magistrate John C. Dewey
Shall Magistrate John C. Dewey of Bonneville County of the Seventh Judicial District be retained in office?
☐ YES
☐ NO

Magistrate Tawnya Rawlings
Shall Magistrate Tawnya Rawlings of Bonneville County of the Seventh Judicial District be retained in office?
☐ YES
☐ NO

CANDIDATES FOR COLLEGE OF EASTERN IDAHO
College District Trustee Zone 1
(Vote for One)
☐ Amy Gardels

College District Trustee Zone 3
(Vote for One)
☐ Jeff Carr

College District Trustee Zone 5
(Vote for One)
☐ Dana Kirkham

BALLOT MEASURES
Idaho Constitutional Amendment
House Joint Resolution 4
This proposed constitutional amendment would give the Legislature exclusive authority to legalize marijuana, narcotics, or other psychoactive substances in the State of Idaho.
Question: Shall Section 26, Article III of the Constitution of the State of Idaho be amended to provide that only the Idaho Legislature shall have the power and authority to legalize the growing, producing, manufacturing, transporting, selling, delivering, dispensing, administering, prescribing, distributing, possessing, or using of marijuana, narcotics, or other psychoactive substances?
A YES vote supports this constitutional amendment to provide that only the Idaho State Legislature shall have the authority to legalize marijuana, narcotics, or other psychoactive substances; and remove the ability for citizens to initiate state statutes that would legalize these substances.
A NO vote opposes this constitutional amendment, thereby retaining the ability for citizens to initiate state statutes that would legalize or decriminalize marijuana, narcotics, or other psychoactive substances.
☐ YES
☐ NO

Idaho Constitutional Amendment
House Joint Resolution 6
This proposed constitutional amendment would designate English as the official state language. All official speeches, meetings, and other proceedings of state and local governments, such as city council meetings and jury trials, would be conducted in English, and instruction in public schools would be provided in English. All documents of state and local government entities would be published in English. However, the amendment would allow languages other than English to be used when required by federal law.
Question: Shall Article X of the Constitution of the State of Idaho be amended by the addition of a new Section 8, to provide that the English language shall be the official language for the State of Idaho, and to further provide that, except as required by federal law, English shall be used in all public proceedings, public documents, public instruction, and any other public acts of any public institution in the State of Idaho?
A YES vote supports adding a provision to the Idaho Constitution that designates English as the state's official language.
A NO vote opposes adding a provision to the Idaho Constitution that designates English as the state's official language, maintaining English as the state's official language in state statute only.
☐ YES
☐ NO

Proposition 1

Measure creating right to abortion before fetus viability, and post-viability to protect health; right to privacy; healthcare provider liability protections.

The measure seeks to change Idaho's laws by introducing a right to reproductive freedom and privacy including a right to abortion up to the point of the fetus's ability to survive outside the womb. After fetal viability, there would be no general right to abortion except in cases of "medical emergency." The "medical emergency" exception would expand Idaho's current life exception and allow abortions when pregnant women face complicating physical conditions that threaten their life or health, "including serious impairment to a bodily function" or "serious dysfunction of any bodily organ or part."

The proposed measure codifies a right to make reproductive decisions, including contraception, fertility treatment, and prenatal and postpartum care. This includes a "right of privacy" in making these decisions. The measure seeks to prevent the state from enforcing certain abortion laws protecting the life of the unborn child. It would also impose a requirement that any restrictions on reproductive decisions, including abortion prior to fetus viability, must be "narrowly tailored to improve or maintain the health of the person seeking reproductive health care." The measure would also prevent the state from penalizing patients, healthcare providers, or anyone who assists in exercising the proposed right.

Funding Source Statement: No funding source is required for the Reproductive Freedom and Privacy Act as it does not create any new financial obligation on the state. Because no funding source is required, the Act has no impact on income taxes, sales tax, or product taxes.

Fiscal Impact Statement: The State estimates the initiative would increase state expenditures between \$3,100 and \$7,800

annually, less than 0.001% of the state share of Idaho's Medicaid budget. This impact is derived from the costs of treating chemical abortion complications for women enrolled in Idaho's Medicaid program. Medicaid covers medically necessary services to treat complications from all abortions. It is anticipated that as legal abortions increase, the complications will also increase. This will likely result in an increase in Medicaid covered services and expenditures to treat complications from chemical abortions, which the State has reliable and readily available data to support.

Question: Shall the above-entitled measure proposed by Proposition One be approved?

A **YES** vote would support creating a right to abortion before fetal viability - defined as a fetus' ability to survive without extraordinary medical measures - and after fetal viability in cases of medical emergency; providing protections against professional discipline and civil and criminal liability for healthcare providers; and codifying a statutory reproductive right to freedom and privacy.

A **NO** vote would support making no change to Idaho's current law, which preserves the life of preborn children by prohibiting abortion, except when necessary to prevent the death of the pregnant woman, and during the first trimester in documented cases of rape or incest reported to law enforcement.

☐ YES

☐ NO

Idaho Advisory Question

Which of the following guns should be designated as the state gun of Idaho?
Please choose only one answer.

☐ Winchester Model 1894 (.30-30)

☐ Winchester Model 1873 "Gun that Won the West" (.44-40)

☐ 1873 Colt Single Action Army Revolver "Peacemaker" (.45 Colt)

☐ M1 Garand rifle (.30-06)

☐ Colt M1911 .45 automatic Colt pistol (.45 ACP)

☐ Remington Model 700 bolt-action rifle (.30-06)

CITY OF AMMON LEVY

CITY OF AMMON ELECTION
BONNEVILLE COUNTY, IDAHO

QUESTION: SHALL THE LEVY UPON THE TAXABLE PROPERTY WITHIN THE CITY OF AMMON BE INCREASED TO PRODUCE THE SUM OF ONE MILLION TWO HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$1,250,000) IN EXCESS OF THAT OTHERWISE ALLOWED BY LAW, FOR EACH OF THE TAX YEARS 2027 AND 2028, TO PROVIDE FUNDING FOR THE CITY OF AMMON STREET FUND AND THE CONTINUED CONSTRUCTION, REPAIR, AND MAINTENANCE OF CITY OF AMMON STREETS AND COSTS OF EQUIPMENT, MATERIALS, AND PERSONNEL RELATED THERETO AS PROVIDED IN RESOLUTION NO. 2026-017 ADOPTED ON AUGUST 6, 2026?

OFFICIAL BRIEF STATEMENT:

The purpose for which the levy shall be used is the continued construction, repair, and maintenance of City of Ammon streets and costs of equipment, materials, and personnel related thereto. The date of the election is November 3, 2026. The dollar amount estimated to be collected each year from the levy is \$1,250,000 for each of the tax years 2027 and 2028. The length of time in which the proposed levy will be assessed is two (2) years. The estimated average annual cost to the taxpayer of the proposed levy is a tax of \$49.34 per \$100,000 of taxable assessed value, per year, based on current conditions.

The proposed levy replaces an existing levy that will expire on December 31, 2026, and that currently costs \$51.04 per \$100,000 of taxable assessed value. If the proposed levy is approved, the tax per \$100,000 of taxable assessed value is expected to decrease the tax by \$1.70 per \$100,000 of taxable assessed value.

☐ **IN FAVOR OF a temporary levy in the amount of \$1,250,000 per year for the tax years 2027 and 2028**

☐ **AGAINST a temporary levy in the amount of \$1,250,000 per year for the tax years 2027 and 2028**

IDAHO FALLS SCHOOL DISTRICT
NO. 91 LEVY

SUPPLEMENTAL LEVY ELECTION
SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 91
BONNEVILLE COUNTY, STATE OF
IDAHO

The Board of Trustees of School District No. 91, Bonneville County, State of Idaho, is seeking authorization to levy a Supplemental Levy for the following purposes and approximate amount of levy funds to be allocated to each use: (i) Safety and Security: School Resource Officers, Guidance and Health, Counselors, Psychologists, and School Nurses -- \$520,000, (ii) Extracurricular Activities: Debate, Choir, Drama, Band, Orchestra, Sports, etc. -- \$1,100,000 (iii) Salaries and Benefits for Teachers and Staff, including Maintenance Staff -- \$8,480,000, and (iv) Maintenance Expenses not covered by plant facility funding -- \$900,000; for a total amount of \$11,000,000 per year.

QUESTION: Shall the Board of Trustees of School District No. 91, Bonneville County, State of Idaho, be authorized and empowered to levy a Supplemental Levy in the amount of Eleven Million Dollars (\$11,000,000) per year for two (2) years, commencing with the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2027 and ending June 30, 2029, for the purposes stated above; all as provided in the Resolution adopted by the Board of Trustees on August 11, 2026?

The estimated average annual cost to the taxpayer on the proposed levy is a tax of \$124 per \$100,000 of taxable assessed value, per year, based on current conditions.

☐

IN FAVOR OF authorizing a supplemental levy in the amount of \$11,000,000 per year for two (2) years

☐

AGAINST authorizing a supplemental levy in the amount of \$11,000,000 per year for two (2) years

SUPPLEMENTAL LEVY ELECTION
SODA SPRINGS JT. SCHOOL
DISTRICT #150
Caribou, Bear Lake, and
Bonneville Counties, State of
Idaho

The Board of Trustees of Soda Springs Jt. School District #150, Caribou, Bear Lake, and Bonneville Counties, State of Idaho, is seeking authorization to levy a Supplemental Levy for the following purposes and approximate amount of levy funds to be allocated to each use:

Purpose:

Teacher and Staff Salaries and Benefits
Amount \$948,000

School Resource Officer
Amount \$45,000

TOTAL ANNUAL LEVY AMOUNT:
\$993,000

QUESTION: Shall the Board of Trustees of Soda Springs Jt. School District #150, Caribou, Bear Lake, and Bonneville Counties, be authorized and empowered to levy a Supplemental Levy in the amount of Nine Hundred Ninety Three Thousand Dollars (\$993,000) for one (1) year, commencing with the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2027 and ending June 30, 2028, for the purposes stated above; all as provided in the Resolution adopted by the Board of Trustees on August 26, 2026?

The estimated average annual cost to the taxpayer on the proposed levy is a tax of \$60.37 per \$100,000 of taxable assessed value, per year, based on current conditions. The proposed levy replaces an existing levy that expires on June 30, 2027, and that currently costs \$61.39 per \$100,000 of taxable assessed value. Therefore, if the proposed levy is approved, the tax per

\$100,000 of taxable assessed value is expected to decrease by \$1.02 per \$100,000 of taxable assessed value.

☐ **IN FAVOR OF** authorizing a supplemental levy in the amount of \$993,000 for one (1) year

☐ **AGAINST** authorizing a supplemental levy in the amount of \$993,000 for one (1) year