



A Real Mosaic of Solutions to Respond to Loss and Damage from Climate Change

Executive Summary

Acknowledgements

© Loss And Damage Collaboration. May 2026.

This work is published under a [CC BY 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).  

This paper has been prepared by the [Loss and Damage Collaboration](#) (L&DC) led by Teo Ormond-Skeaping. The design of the graphics and layout of the paper has been led by Tariq Jowahir (L&DC). The author wishes to thank the following for their valuable contributions to the writing of the paper: Erin Roberts (L&DC), Markus Trilling (Eurodad), Nick Dearden (Global Justice Now), Tess Woolfenden (Debt Justice), and Tove Maria Ryding (Eurodad). The author also wishes to extend sincerest gratitude to the following for sharing valuable insights during the interviews that have informed this paper: Animesh Kumar ([UNDRR](#)), Arthur Wyns ([Friends of Climate and Health](#)), Ayesha Dinshaw ([Climate Justice Resilience Fund](#)), Bernadette Fischler Hooper ([WWF UK](#)), Brenda Mwale (L&DC), David Hillman ([Stamp Out Poverty](#) founding member of [Make Polluters Pay](#)), Douwe Van Schie ([UNU-EHS / University of Bonn](#)), Florian Dierich ([International Center for Tax and Development](#)), Ineza Umuhoza Grace ([Loss and Damage Youth Coalition](#)), Le-Anne Roper (UNDRR), Malek Romdhane (L&DC), Mariana Paoli ([Oxfam](#)), Michael Franczak ([UNU-CPR](#)), Michael Weisberg ([University of Pennsylvania](#)), Nadia Zaidi ([UNICEF](#)), Nicolas Finnemore Gaulin ([Loss and Damage Youth Coalition / L&DC](#)), and Rita Issa ([Tyndall Center](#)).

Cover image: © Fahreni / Shutterstock

Disclaimer

The publishers are solely responsible for the content of this publication; the opinions presented here do not reflect the position of the Scottish Government or the German Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development (BMZ). We also note that views and any errors, are the authors alone and that the content of this brief does not necessarily represent the views of all the members of the L&DC.

The development of this paper has been supported by the [Scottish Government](#) and [Rosa-Luxemburg-Stiftung New York Office](#) with support from the BMZ.

The following organisations have endorsed this paper:

		
		
		
		
		

What is the problem?

Earth's average temperature is approaching 1.5°C (2.7° Fahrenheit) above pre-industrial levels as a result of human activity (e.g. the burning of fossil fuels and unsustainable agriculture).¹ Current national climate plans put the world on track for a catastrophic 2.3-2.5°C of warming by 2100.² The [Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change \(IPCC\) tells us](#) that loss and damage from climate change will escalate with every increment of warming.³ Developing countries are disproportionately impacted, despite contributing least to the crisis.⁴ Underdevelopment, sovereign debt,⁵ and unfair trade⁶ and tax⁷ rules limit their ability to respond. Humanitarian,⁸ development,⁹ and climate finance flows are being slashed as geopolitical tensions escalate.¹⁰

What is the solution?

To respond to loss and damage, while enabling developing countries to build long term resilience and achieve the [Sustainable Development Goals \(SDGs\)](#), a fit for purpose “[mosaic of solutions](#)” must be catalysed both inside and outside the [United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change \(UNFCCC\)](#). One that:

- **Prevents loss and damage:** By enabling climate change mitigation and facilitating a just transition that limits global warming to 1.5°C, ensuring the protection of ecosystems and scaling up sustainable development to achieve the SDGs.
- **Reduces loss and damage:** By properly funding and implementing climate change adaptation, disaster risk reduction (DRR), preparedness, early warning systems, and anticipatory action while ensuring universal social protection.
- **Addresses loss and damage:** By scaling up and properly funding:
 - *Immediate response* (within hours, days, and weeks) by humanitarian actors that provide emergency health care, shelter, and food that saves lives.
 - *Mid term response* (within weeks and months) by the [Fund for Responding to Loss and Damage \(FRLD\)](#), United Nations (UN) agencies, and other partners, including philanthropy, to prevent and reduce further harm to livelihoods, food systems, health, culture, infrastructure, and more.
 - *Sustained long-term response* (over years and decades) to recover lost development, build back better, ensure long term risk reduction and management and resilience building, and guarantee remedy –and where required– reparations.
- **Ends disenablers of loss and damage response:** By facilitating debt cancellation, reforming trade rules to be fair and prioritise suitable development, reclaiming lost tax revenue, and making the international financial architecture accessible and equitable.

This mosaic must be held together by a “glue”. One that ensures loss and damage responses are delivered in an effective, coordinated way, informed by needs and the latest understanding, and underpinned by accountability and transparency. The key ingredients of the “glue” are:

- Coordination, coherence, and complementarity;
- Systematic observation, data, science, knowledge, and lived experience;

- Capacity building, readiness, technical assistance, knowledge and technology transfer; and
- Accountability, reporting, principles, norms, human rights, and international law.

Note, the technical assistance catalysed by the Santiago Network has a critical role to play in each phase of loss and damage response. The Network must be adequately resourced to ensure that developing countries, and the communities within them, can assess their Loss and Damage needs, and put in place plans to address them.

What are the main findings of this paper?

The mosaic of solutions approach is itself a solution that can help:

- Address the complex, multifaceted nature of loss and damage and the unknowns of cascading impacts and tipping points¹¹ through a “policy mix”.
- Strengthen coherence, coordination, and complementarity across climate change, DRR, humanitarian, biodiversity, and development agendas and the three [Rio Conventions](#).
- Strengthen loss and damage responses from the global to the local level by translating global and regional policy frameworks into implementation and institutionalisation.
- Catalyse a menu of solutions that communities, countries, and regions can tailor to their needs, priorities, and circumstances.
- Ensure responsive governance based upon the needs and priorities of affected countries and communities, and the solutions they are spearheading.
- Bypass power bottlenecks to ensure that marginalised groups receive support when governments cannot, or will not, provide assistance (e.g. cash transfers and direct access).
- Mainstream human rights, equity, and justice, the centring of Indigenous and local knowledge, ensure both [Free, Prior and Informed Consent \(FPIC\)](#), and that communities are actively participating in decision making and driving responses to loss and damage.

There is a vast finance gap within the mosaic of solutions. Achieving the SDGs requires 4 trillion USD per year.¹² Ecosystem protection and restoration: 700 billion USD per year.¹³ Climate change mitigation: 4.725 trillion USD per year.¹⁴ Climate change adaptation: 365 billion USD per year.¹⁵ Loss and Damage: 724.43 billion USD a year.¹⁶ And 33 billion USD is needed for Humanitarian action in 2026 alone.¹⁷ The money currently available is far too little, comes far too late, most often comes as loans that worsen existing debt burdens, and is too hard to access. Easily accessible, grant based, new and additional finance, is needed.

Past precedents including the [International Monetary Fund’s \(IMF\) allocation of Special Drawing Rights \(SDRs\)](#) worth approximately 650 billion USD¹⁸ during COVID-19¹⁹ demonstrate that resources can be mobilised quickly to address urgent needs. A fit for purpose [UN Tax Convention](#) can reclaim trillions of dollars in lost tax revenues each year.²⁰ Developed countries can mobilise at least 6.6 trillion USD from innovative sources each year to meet their finance obligations (e.g. through a [Climate Damages Tax](#)).²¹ The IMF can allocate hundreds of billions of USD in SDRs each year.²² The money is there to close the finance gap, only the political will to mobilise it is lacking.

A fit for purpose [UN Framework Convention on Sovereign Debt](#) can facilitate debt cancellation for developing countries and prevent them from facing future debt crises.²³ Trade reform can move developing countries up global value chains and enable them to place taxes and tariffs on digital services.²⁴ The abolition of the [Investor-State Dispute Settlement \(ISDS\)](#) mechanism can allow all countries to take climate action without the fear of being sued by planet wrecking corporations.²⁵

Despite the FRLD convening an annual [High Level Dialogue](#) on coordination and complementarity, a coordination gap persists within the existing mosaic. A high level coordination mechanism for loss and damage response is needed under the UN.

What are our key recommendations?

- The finance gap must be closed. Readiness, technical assistance, capacity building, and technology transfer must be provided at the scale, scope, and urgency of needs.
- The SDGs and the targets of the [Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction](#) must be achieved by 2030.
- Universal early warning and social protection coverage must be achieved by 2027 and 2030 respectively.
- A fit for purpose UN Tax Convention and a clear timeline for the delivery of a robust UN Framework Convention on Sovereign Debt must be agreed in 2027.
- The humanitarian system must be transformed into a locally led and internationally supported system that is resourced to the scale of the needs by developed countries.
- All solutions must:
 - Align with the principles of the polluter pays, do no harm, equity, and [common but differentiated responsibilities and respective capabilities \(CBDR-RC\)](#);
 - Uphold international law, including human rights, and the rights of Indigenous Peoples, while ensuring FPIC;
 - Respond to the needs of vulnerable and marginalised groups (e.g. women, Indigenous Peoples, older people, migrants, refugees and displaced persons, children, youth, and persons with disabilities) and employ intersectional and transformative approaches; and
 - Ensure that communities drive planning and implementation.

Endnotes

- 1 Copernicus Climate Change Service/ECMWF (2025). June 2024 marks 12th month of global temperatures at 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels. *Copernicus Climate Change Service*. Available [here](#).
- 2 Olhoff, A. et al. (2025). Emissions Gap Report 2025: Off target – Continued collective inaction puts global temperature goal at risk. *United Nations Environment Programme*. Available [here](#).
- 3 Lee, H. et al. (2023). AR6 Synthesis Report: Summary for Policymakers. *Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Available [here](#).
- 4 Birkmann, J. et al. (2022). AR6 : Chapter 8: Poverty, Livelihoods and Sustainable Development. *Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Available [here](#).
- 5 Grynspan, R. et al. (2025). A world of debt Report 2025: It's Time to Reform. *UN Trade and Development*. Available [here](#).
- 6 War on Want (2023). Towards trade justice: Changing trade for a just and sustainable planet. *War on Want*. Available [here](#).
- 7 Bou Mansour, M. (2024). The State of Tax Justice 2024. *Tax Justice Network*. Available [here](#).
- 8 UN Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (2025). Global Humanitarian Overview 2026. *UN Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs*. Available [here](#).
- 9 Sustainable Development Report (2025). Statement of the UN Sustainable Development Solutions Network on The Fourth International Conference on Financing for Development (FFD4). *Sustainable Development Report*. Available [here](#).
- 10 McGerty, F. et al. (2025). Global defence spending continues to grow amid geopolitical uncertainty. *International Institute for Strategic Studies*. Available [here](#).
- 11 Hoegh-Guldberg, O. et al. (2018). Special Report: Global Warming of 1.5 °C: Impacts of 1.5°C global warming on natural and human systems. *Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Available [here](#).
- 12 Members of the Inter-agency Task Force on Financing for Development (2024). Financing for Sustainable Development Report 2024. *United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs*. Available [here](#).
- 13 The Nature Conservancy (2020). Financing Nature: Closing the Global Biodiversity Financing Gap. *The Nature Conservancy*. Available [here](#).
- 14 Sharma, S. et al. (2024). Loss and Damage as the Third Pillar of Climate Action: Delivering a Loss and Damage Sub Goal Under the NCQG at COP 29. *Loss and Damage Collaboration*. Available [here](#).
- 15 Neufeldt, H. et al. (2025). Adaptation Gap Report 2025: Running on empty. The world is gearing up for climate resilience – without the money to get there. *United Nations Environment Programme*. Available [here](#).
- 16 Sharma, S. et al. (2024). Loss and Damage as the Third Pillar of Climate Action: Delivering a Loss and Damage Sub Goal Under the NCQG at COP 29. *Loss and Damage Collaboration*. Available [here](#).
- 17 Humanitarian Action (2025). The 2026 Global Humanitarian Overview: a collective push to protect millions of lives. *Humanitarian Action*. Available [here](#).
- 18 International Monetary Fund (2009). IMF to Make \$250 Billion SDR Allocation on August 28. *International Monetary Fund*. Available [here](#).
- 19 International Monetary Fund (2023). Special Drawing Rights (SDR) Factsheet. *International Monetary Fund*. Available [here](#).
- 20 Tax Justice Network (2025). UN tax convention Everything you need to know about current negotiations on the biggest shakeup in history to global tax rules. *Tax Justice Network*. Available [here](#).
- 21 Loss and Damage Collaboration. (2025). Innovative Sources Developed Countries Can Mobilise to Meet Their Obligations to Fill the Fund for Responding to Loss and Damage. *Loss and Damage Collaboration*. Available [here](#).
- 22 Jacobs, D. (2024). Beyond Crises The future of Special Drawing Rights as a source of development and climate finance. *Oxfam*. Available [here](#).
- 23 European Network on Debt and Development (no date). What is the difference between the UN Framework Convention on Sovereign Debt and the Multilateral Sovereign Debt Resolution Mechanism?. *European Network on Debt and Development*. Available [here](#).
- 24 War on Want (2023). Towards trade justice: Changing trade for a just and sustainable planet. *War on Want*. Available [here](#).
- 25 Blaylock, J. (2025). The 'vulture investor' suing over a windfall tax on record energy profits Klesch vs Denmark, Germany and the EU. *Red Carpet Courts*. Available [here](#).

The
Loss &
Damage
Collaboration

