Managers versus Leaders

Prepared by Matthew Toellner – The Resilient Grocer Project

Purpose of this Document

This document clarifies the distinction between management and leadership within day-to-day store operations. Both are necessary. Management ensures stability, consistency, and execution in the moment. Leadership builds the capability, judgment, and ownership that reduce the need for constant intervention over time.

In many organizations, management is heavily emphasized while leadership is underdeveloped. The result is a workplace that relies on constant oversight, repeated corrections, and manager intervention for routine situations. This creates unnecessary friction, limits team growth, and places ongoing strain on both employees and supervisors.

The goal is not to replace management with leadership, but to restore balance. When applied deliberately, management keeps the store running today, while leadership strengthens the team so it can operate more independently tomorrow.

Defining Managers and Leaders

A **manager** makes sure work gets done correctly today. They schedule, enforce rules, answer questions, approve exceptions, and step in when something goes wrong. In a grocery store, this often looks like overrides, fixing scanning errors, handling upset customers, and reminding people of basic expectations.
A **leader** makes sure people can do the work well without constant supervision. They clarify what "good" looks like, build judgment in others, and reduce the number of problems that need intervention in the first place. In a grocery store, leadership shows up when employees know how to handle situations before calling a manager.

Independence vs. Autonomy

Independence means doing things on your own, often without guidance. It's "leave me alone and I'll figure it out."
Autonomy means having the authority to act within clear boundaries. It's "I know what's expected, I know my limits, and I can make decisions without asking every time."

The goal is not independence for its own sake. It is autonomy. Employees should not have to figure everything out alone; they should have the knowledge, support, and authority to handle what they can—and know when to involve someone else. Autonomy reduces unnecessary escalation while keeping people connected to the team and its leadership.

This changes the role of the manager. Managers may feel like sitters when stuck in pure management mode. They are required to be everywhere at once, solving small, repetitive problems that trained employees could handle. The opportunity is not to stop managing, but to add leadership so fewer situations require managerial rescue.

Leadership also shows up in how the store prepares for what's coming next:

- As shopping shifts toward online, pickup, and third-party fulfillment, leadership recognizes that speed, accuracy, and decision-making at the point of fulfillment become core to the customer experience. Teams are trained and workflows are adjusted accordingly—rather than forcing new demand through systems built for traditional checkout.
- As customer attention becomes more limited, leadership pushes for simpler, more intuitive store layouts and displays—reducing friction and helping customers make decisions quickly without assistance.
- As technology advances, leadership explores how tools like cameras, real-time data, and AI can improve awareness and reduce friction. These tools support—but do not replace—human judgment.

In each case, leadership does not wait for problems to become unmanageable. It anticipates change, tests adjustments early, and builds capability before the pressure forces reactive decisions.

Other Practical Contrasts

<u>Control vs. Clarity</u>
Managers enforce compliance by monitoring behavior and correcting deviations. Leaders create clarity not just around current expectations, but around how to respond when situations change.

<u>Direction vs. Development</u>
Managers provide immediate answers to keep work moving. Leaders build people who can operate in new or evolving situations. Direction solves the present; development prepares for the future.

<u>Correction vs. Coaching</u>
Managers fix errors after they occur. Leaders use those moments to build judgment that applies beyond the immediate task. Coaching ensures the same type of problem is handled better next time.

<u>Consistency vs. Commitment</u>
Managers drive consistency through rules and oversight. Leaders build commitment to standards and outcomes, allowing teams to maintain performance even as processes evolve.

Conclusion
Management and leadership are not roles to choose between—they are tools to apply based on the situation. Tasks that are time-sensitive, standardized, or high-risk require clear management. Situations that involve judgment, growth, or repeated issues require leadership.

When leadership is missing, organizations compensate with more management—more oversight, more correction, and more dependence on a few individuals to solve recurring problems. Over time, this weakens ownership at the front line and creates a cycle that is difficult to sustain.

Organizational health depends on breaking that cycle. Strive to build leaders in your organization. By building clarity, trust, and capability within the team, leadership reduces the need for constant intervention. The result is a store that runs with fewer disruptions, stronger engagement, and a team that can be trusted to handle the work without constant oversight.