
Climate Risk Report

 September 19, 2025

Portfolio Name:	BGEF 30 June ESG
Benchmark Name:	MSCI World ex Australia - 30 June 2025
As Of Date:	June 30 2025
Currency:	AUD


This report is designed to provide institutional investors with transparency into their portfolios' climate-related risks and opportunities according to the recommendations from the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD). With climate-related risks posing a potential threat to the long term resilience of investment portfolios and with climate reporting frameworks and regulations gaining momentum, there is a growing focus on climate risk management practices and disclosures.

This report aims to help investors understand their exposure to these risks and opportunities, one of the key aspects of the TCFD recommendations and an essential step in a Net Zero journey.

This report combines both current exposure climate data and forward looking metrics. Depending on your product subscription you will see relevant data in the report. Please note that a '0' will be displayed in two cases; either 1. the metric in question is not part of your current subscription, or 2. there is no data flowing for that datapoint (no involvement for instance) - we are working on making this more transparent in the next iteration of the report. If you are unsure about your subscription please contact your ESG Consultant.

Table of Contents

- 1 Climate Risk Dashboard**
- 2 Transition Risks and Opportunities**
 - 2.1 Carbon Footprint
 - 2.2 Fossil Fuel Exposure
- 3 Temperature Alignment**



Integrating climate data into the investment process

Transition Risks & Opportunities

Carbon Footprint

		Portfolio	Benchmark	Active
Allocation Base	EVIC			
Financed Carbon Emissions tons CO2e / AUD M invested Investor Allocation: EVIC	Scope 1+2	3.3	20.2	-83.8%
	Scope 3 – upstream	32.4	46.0	-29.5%
	Scope 3 – downstream	33.9	125.3	-72.9%
Total Financed Carbon Emissions tons CO2e Investor Allocation: EVIC	Scope 1+2	5,003.8	30,870.1	-83.8%
	Scope 3 – upstream	49,501.8	70,209.7	-29.5%
	Scope 3 – downstream	51,747.5	191,138.3	-72.9%
Financed Carbon Intensity tons CO2e / AUD M sales Investor Allocation: EVIC	Scope 1+2	13.4	71.0	-81.1%
	Scope 3 – upstream	132.4	161.5	-18.0%
	Scope 3 – downstream	138.4	439.7	-68.5%
Weighted Average Carbon Intensity				
Corporate constituents tons CO2e / AUD M sales	Scope 1+2	15.3	60.2	-74.6%
	Scope 3 – upstream	142.9	151.5	-5.7%
	Scope 3 – downstream	105.0	270.2	-61.1%
Sovereign constituents tons CO2e / AUD M GDP nominal	GHG intensity	N/A	N/A	N/A

Fossil Fuel Exposure

	Portfolio	Benchmark	Active
Potential emissions from fossil fuel reserves (tCO2e / AUD M invested)	0	432.4	-100.0%
Fossil Fuel Based Revenue Exposure	0.0%	3.1%	-3.1%
Thermal coal exposure (Any tie)	0.9%	4.1%	-3.2%
Oil & Gas exposure (Any tie)	3.6%	12.2%	-8.6%
Exposure to Power Generation			
Thermal Coal (apportioned fuel mix, % of generation)	0.0%	14.4%	-14.4%
Green and Fossil Fuel Based Revenue Coverage	99.0%	99.9%	-0.9%

MSCI Low Carbon Transition Risk Assessment

	Portfolio	Benchmark	Active
Exposure to companies classified as:			
Low Carbon Solutions	13.0%	14.6%	-1.5%
Low Carbon Transition Risk	10.6%	20.4%	-9.7%
Low Carbon Transition Risk Coverage	99.0%	99.9%	-0.9%

Transition Opportunities

	Portfolio	Benchmark	Active
Green Revenue Exposure	8.5%	10.3%	-1.8%
Exposure to Power Generation			
Renewables (apportioned fuel mix, % of generation)	100.0%	15.5%	84.5%

Companies' Transition Plans

	Portfolio	Benchmark	Active
Companies with GHG emission reduction targets	89.0%	92.6%	-3.6%
Companies with targets across all scopes	64.8%	62.1%	2.7%
Companies with SBTi approved targets	53.4%	47.5%	5.9%
Companies with top quartile carbon management score	65.9%	60.5%	5.4%

Portfolio : BGEF 30 June ESG

Currency : AUD

Benchmark : MSCI World ex Australia - 30 June 2025

Scenario Analysis

MSCI Climate Scenario Analysis

	Portfolio	Benchmark	Active
Aggregate Climate VaR (Value at Risk)	-1.2%	-4.0%	2.8%
Policy Climate VaR	-0.4%	-2.0%	1.7%
Technology Opportunities Climate VaR	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%
Physical Risk Climate VaR	-1.0%	-2.1%	1.2%

Physical Climate Risk

	Portfolio	Benchmark	Active
Tropical Cyclones	-0.1%	-0.3%	0.2%
Coastal Flooding	-0.3%	-0.9%	0.6%
Extreme Heat	-0.6%	-1.0%	0.4%
Extreme Cold	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Precipitation	-0.2%	-0.2%	0.0%
Extreme Snowfall	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Extreme Wind	0.0%	-0.1%	0.0%
Fluvial Flooding	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
River Low Flow	0.0%	-1.4%	1.4%
Wildfire	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Aggregated Physical Climate VaR	-1.0%	-2.1%	1.2%

Portfolio Temperature Alignment

MSCI Implied Temperature Rise

	Portfolio	Benchmark	Active
Implied Temperature Rise	2.1°C	2.6°C	-19.2%
Implied Temperature Rise Coverage	99.0%	99.9%	-0.9%

Portfolio : BGEF 30 June ESG Currency : AUD

Benchmark : MSCI World ex Australia - 30 June 2025

 Footprint Metrics on Investor Allocation

		Portfolio	Coverage	Benchmark	Coverage	Active
Allocation Base	EVIC					
 Financed Carbon Emissions tons CO2e / AUD M invested Investor Allocation: EVIC	Scope 1+2	3.3	99.0%	20.2	99.9%	-83.8%
	Scope 3 – upstream	32.4	99.0%	46.0	99.9%	-29.5%
	Scope 3 – downstream	33.9	99.0%	125.3	99.9%	-72.9%
 Total Financed Carbon Emissions tons CO2e Investor Allocation: EVIC	Scope 1+2	5,003.8	99.0%	30,870.1	99.9%	-83.8%
	Scope 3 – upstream	49,501.8	99.0%	70,209.7	99.9%	-29.5%
	Scope 3 – downstream	51,747.5	99.0%	191,138.3	99.9%	-72.9%
 Financed Carbon Intensity tons CO2e / AUD M sales Investor Allocation: EVIC	Scope 1+2	13.4	99.0%	71.0	99.9%	-81.1%
	Scope 3 – upstream	132.4	99.0%	161.5	99.9%	-18.0%
	Scope 3 – downstream	138.4	99.0%	439.7	99.9%	-68.5%

Weighted Average Carbon Intensity

 Corporate constituents tons CO2e / AUD M sales	Scope 1+2	15.3	99.0%	60.2	99.9%	-74.6%
	Scope 3 – upstream	142.9	99.0%	151.5	99.9%	-5.7%
	Scope 3 – downstream	105.0	99.0%	270.2	99.9%	-61.1%
 Sovereign constituents tons CO2e / AUD M GDP Nominal	GHG intensity	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

 Footprint Metrics on Investor Allocation Definitions

EVIC: Enterprise Value Including Cash Enterprise Value Including Cash (EVIC) is an alternate measure to Enterprise Value (EV) to estimate the value of a company by adding back cash and cash equivalents to EV.

EVIC = Market capitalization at fiscal year-end date + preferred stock + minority interest + total debt + cash and cash equivalents

The underlying data used for EVIC calculation is sourced from a company's accounting year-end annual filings. EVIC is updated and reflected once a year as the data is sourced annually.

Financed Carbon Emissions
tons CO2e / AUD M invested Allocated emissions to all financiers (EVIC) normalized by \$m invested. Measures the carbon emissions, for which an investor is responsible, per AUD million invested, by their equity ownership. Emissions are apportioned based on equity ownership (% market capitalization).

$$\frac{\sum_n^i \left(\frac{\text{current value of investment}_i}{\text{issuer's EVIC}_i} \times \text{issuer's Scope 1 and Scope 2 GHG emissions}_i \right)}{\text{current portfolio value (\$M)}}$$

Total Financed Carbon Emissions
tons CO2e Allocated emissions to all financiers (EVIC). Measures the total carbon emissions for which an investor is responsible by their equity ownership. Emissions are apportioned based on equity ownership (% market capitalization).

$$\sum_n^i \left(\frac{\text{current value of investment}_i}{\text{issuer's EVIC}_i} \times \text{issuer's Scope 1 and Scope 2 GHG emissions}_i \right)$$

Financed Carbon Intensity
tons CO2e / AUD M sales Allocated emissions per allocated sales. Measures the carbon efficiency of a portfolio, defined as the ratio of carbon emissions for which an investor is responsible to the sales for which an investor has a claim by their equity ownership. Emissions and sales are apportioned based on equity ownership (% market capitalization).

$$\frac{\sum_n^i \left(\frac{\text{current value of investment}_i}{\text{issuer's EVIC}_i} \times \text{issuer's Scope 1 and Scope 2 GHG emissions}_i \right)}{\sum_n^i \left(\frac{\text{current value of investment}_i}{\text{issuer's EVIC}_i} \times \text{issuer's \$M revenue}_i \right)}$$

Weighted Average Carbon Intensity Definitions

Corporate constituents
tons CO2e / AUD M sales Measures a portfolio's exposure to carbon-intensive companies, defined as the portfolio weighted average of companies' Carbon Intensity (emissions/sales).


$$\sum_n^i \left(\frac{\text{current value of investment}_i}{\text{current portfolio value}} \times \frac{\text{issuer's Scope 1 and Scope 2 GHG emissions}_i}{\text{issuer's \$M revenue}_i} \right)$$

Sovereign constituents
tons CO2e / AUD M GDP nominal Measures a portfolio's exposure to carbon-intensive economies, defined as the portfolio weighted average of sovereigns' GHG Intensity (emissions/GDP).

$$\sum_n^i \left(\frac{\text{current value of investment}_i}{\text{current portfolio value}} \times \frac{\text{sovereign issuer's GHG emissions}_i}{\text{sovereign issuer's \$M GDP}_i} \right)$$

Portfolio : BGEF 30 June ESG
Benchmark : MSCI World ex Australia - 30 June 2025
Currency : AUD

 Fossil Fuel Based and Green Revenue Summary



Understanding Fossil Fuel Based Revenue

Fossil fuel-based revenue is the weighted average of revenue exposure to thermal coal extraction, unconventional and conventional O&G extraction, O&G refining as well as revenue from the thermal coal power generation.

Understanding Green Revenue

Green revenue is the weighted average of revenue exposure to alternative energy, energy efficiency, green building, pollution prevention, sustainable water and sustainable agriculture.

 MSCI Implied Temperature Rise

Implied Temperature Rise (ITR) provides a portfolio level number in degrees of Celsius demonstrating how aligned the companies in the portfolio are to global temperature goals.


The Implied Temperature Rise (ITR) metric provides an indication of how well public companies align with global temperature goals. Expressed in degrees Celsius, it is an intuitive, forward-looking metric that shows how a company aligns with the ambitions of the Paris Agreement -- which is to keep a global temperature rise this century well below 2°C above pre-industrial levels and to pursue efforts to limit the temperature increase even further to 1.5°C.

The portfolio-level Implied Temperature Rise uses an aggregated budget approach: it compares the sum of "owned" projected GHG emissions against the sum of "owned" carbon budgets for the underlying fund holdings. The portfolio's total estimated carbon budget over- /undershoot is then converted to a degree of temperature rise (°C) using science based TCRE (Transient Climate Response to Cumulative Emissions). The allocation base used to define ownership is Enterprise Value including Cash (EVIC) in order to enable the analysis of equity and corporate bond portfolios.




 Portfolio MSCI Implied Temperature Rise Distribution

The issuers in the portfolio are distributed according to their Implied Temperature Rise showing the number who are aligned with the Paris Agreement and the more ambitious 1.5°C temperature goal.



Implied Temperature Rise Categories		% of companies in category
1.5°C Aligned	<= 1.5°C	32.3%
2°C Aligned	> 1.5°C - 2 °C	37.5%
Misaligned	>2.0 - 3.2°C	21.9%
Strongly Misaligned	>3.2°C	8.3%

Share of Companies Aligning with Global Temperature Goals



Portfolio : BGEF 30 June ESG
Currency : AUD
Benchmark : MSCI World ex Australia - 30 June 2025

Climate Value at Risk

Selected Scenario : 2°C NGFS Orderly

	2°C NGFS Orderly			1.5° REMIND NGFS Orderly			1.5° REMIND NGFS Disorderly			2° REMIND NGFS Orderly			3° REMIND NGFS NDC		
	Portfolio	Benchmark	Active	Portfolio	Benchmark	Active	Portfolio	Benchmark	Active	Portfolio	Benchmark	Active	Portfolio	Benchmark	Active
Policy Climate Var (Scope 1,2,3)	-0.4%	-2.0%	1.7%	-2.4%	-8.8%	6.4%	-3.0%	-10.4%	7.4%	-0.4%	-2.0%	1.7%	-0.3%	-2.0%	1.7%
Technology Opportunities Climate VaR	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	1.1%	1.0%	0.1%	1.6%	1.4%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%
Physical Climate VaR Aggressive	-1.0%	-2.1%	1.2%	-0.7%	-1.5%	0.8%	-0.7%	-1.5%	0.8%	-1.0%	-2.1%	1.2%	-1.3%	-2.8%	1.5%
Aggregated Climate VaR	-1.2%	-4.0%	2.8%	-2.0%	-9.3%	7.4%	-2.0%	-10.5%	8.4%	-1.2%	-4.0%	2.8%	-1.5%	-4.7%	3.3%

Physical Climate Value at Risk Detail

Selected Scenario : Aggressive

Chronic Risks (0.5° global grid)

 Extreme Heat -0.6%	 Extreme Cold 0.1%	 Wind Gusts 0.0%	 Heavy Snowfall 0.0%	 Heavy Precipitation -0.2%
--	---	---	---	---

Acute Risk (high res)

 Tropical Cyclones -0.1%	 Coastal Flooding -0.3%	 Fluvial Flooding 0.0%	 River Low Flow 0.0%	 Wildfires 0.0%
--	---	--	--	---

Aggregate Physical Climate VaR

-1.0%


Benchmark Aggregate Physical Climate VaR

-2.1%

Climate VaR Portfolio Coverage Summary

	Portfolio	Benchmark	Active
Policy Climate VaR (Scope 1,2,3)	99.0%	99.9%	-0.8%
Technology Opportunities Climate VaR	92.7%	95.3%	-2.6%
Physical Climate VaR	99.0%	99.8%	-0.8%

Weighted Average Carbon Intensity (S1+S2 tCO2 / AUD M sales) - Attribution Analysis




Understanding carbon attribution analysis

In attribution analysis of carbon footprints, negative values represent areas that contribute to a smaller footprint relative to the benchmark, while positive values contribute to a larger relative footprint.

- **Sector Allocation** measures the impact of a manager's decisions to over- or underweight portfolio sectors relative to a benchmark. Negative values come from underweighting sectors with higher carbon footprints than the benchmark or overweighting sectors with carbon footprints lower than the benchmark.
- **Stock Selection** measures the impact of a manager's security selection within a sector relative to a benchmark. Negative values in a sector come from selecting companies with lower footprints relative to those in the benchmark. The weight of the sector in the benchmark determines the size of the effect.
- **Interaction** measures the combined impact of a manager's allocation and stock selection within a sector. For example, overweighting a sector with a lower carbon footprint relative to the benchmark results in negative interaction, while underweighting a sector with a lower relative carbon footprint leads to a positive interaction effect.

Exposure to Low Carbon Solutions




Identifies the portfolio's market value exposed to companies that have potential to benefit through the growth and demand for low carbon products and services. These typically include companies that offer renewable electricity, electric vehicles, solar cell manufacturers

Understanding MSCI Low Carbon Transition Risk Assessment

The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Special Report on Global Warming of 1.5°C published in October 2018 re-iterated that achieving the Paris agreement target of 1.50°C warming level would require rapid, far-reaching and unprecedented transitions in all aspects of society. The "Low Carbon Transition" refers to the necessary transition of the global economy from carbon intensive operations and energy sources to zero or low carbon operations and energy sources.

MSCI Low Carbon Transition Categories classify companies in five categories that highlight the predominant risks and opportunities they are most likely to face in the transition to a low carbon economy (See categories to the right).

Exposure to Low Carbon Transition Risk



Identifies the portfolio's market value exposed to companies with increased operations and/or capital costs (operational transition), facing reduced demand for carbon-intensive products (product transition), and companies with potential stranding of physical/ natural assets due to regulatory, market or technology forces.

Low Carbon Transition Categories



Solutions
Companies that have potential to benefit through the growth of low-carbon products and services. Examples include renewable electricity, electric vehicles, solar cell manufacturers etc.



Operation Transition
Companies with increased operation and/or capital cost due to carbon taxes and/or investment in carbon emission mitigation measures leading to lower profitability of the companies. Examples include fossil fuel based power generation, cement, steel etc.



Product Transition
Companies that face reduced demand for carbon-intensive products and services. Leaders and laggards are defined by the ability to shift product portfolio to low-carbon products. Examples include Oil & gas exploration & production; Petrol/diesel based automobile manufacturers, thermal power plant turbine manufacturers etc.




Asset Stranding
Potential to experience "stranding" of physical/natural assets due to regulatory, market or technological forces arising from low-carbon transition. Examples include coal mining & coal based power generation; Oil sands exploration/production

Portfolio : BGEF 30 June ESG

Currency : AUD

Benchmark : MSCI World ex Australia - 30 June 2025

Weighted Average Fossil Fuel-based Revenue Exposure



Understanding Fossil Fuel-based Revenue Exposure

The reduced demand for carbon-intensive products and services could lead to financial stress and asset stranding in carbon-intensive industries. It is estimated that a low-carbon transition could put assets worth USD 25 trillion at risk of stranding in the fossil fuel industry alone (source: "2020 vision: why you should see peak fossil fuels coming" Carbon Tracker, Sept. 2018).


Historically, investors have focused much attention on the carbon-intensive industries that could be directly affected by a potential low-carbon transition: fossil-fuel-based power generation, coal mining and oil and gas production and refining.

Portfolio Top 5 Companies with Highest Proportion of Fossil Fuel Revenues

Weight	Fossil Fuel Theme	Fossil Fuel Revenue
100.0%		0.0%

Portfolio : BGEF 30 June ESG Currency : AUD
Benchmark : MSCI World ex Australia - 30 June 2025

Weighted Average Green Revenue Exposure



Understanding Green Revenue Exposure

Companies and industries whose products and operations are well positioned for the transition (e.g. renewable-energy producers and electric-vehicle manufacturers) could see increased demand for their products and services in the low-carbon transition.

For instance in 2016, solar-photovoltaic additions rose faster than for those of any other fuel - and even surpassed the net growth in coal-fired power plants (source: "Renewables 2017" International Energy Agency, Oct 2017).


A similar trend has been observed in the automobile industry as well, where the demand for electric cars has grown by more than 40% per year since 2010 (source: Global EV Outlook 2017, International Energy Agency).

Portfolio Top 5 Companies with Highest Proportion of Green Revenues


	Weight	Green Revenue Theme	Green Revenue
NVIDIA Ord Shs	5.5%	Energy Efficiency,	88.3%
Advanced Drainage Systems Ord Shs	0.9%	Sustainable Water,	85.6%
Core & Main Ord Shs Class A	0.8%	Sustainable Water,	38.4%
SAP Ord Shs	0.7%	Energy Efficiency,	29.2%
Microsoft Ord Shs	4.8%	Energy Efficiency,	22.8%

Portfolio : BGEF 30 June ESG
Benchmark : MSCI World ex Australia - 30 June 2025
Currency : AUD

Oil & Gas Exposure



Unconventional Oil & Gas Exposure



Oil & Gas Outlook

Demand for oil and gas in a low carbon world is forecast to decline under low carbon scenarios (IEA SDS), leading to potentially stranded assets.

Oil and Gas Revenue & Reserves Exposure


There are several ways to determine a portfolio's exposure to oil and gas. Any tie is the broadest indicator encompassing activities related to oil and gas reserves ownership, revenue derived from oil and gas production, and ownership of or by oil and gas companies.

Unconventional Oil and Gas

We classify oil sands, shale gas and shale oil as unconventional. Oil sands and shale oil are arguably more exposed to stranded assets risk as they have a higher carbon content than other types of oil and gas. In addition to higher carbon intensity, the extraction of unconventional sources of oil and gas can be costly because of various geological, technical and environmental challenges.

Portfolio : BGEF 30 June ESG
Currency : AUD
Benchmark : MSCI World ex Australia - 30 June 2025


Exposure to Power Generation - Apportioned Fuel Mix



Understanding Power Generation - Apportioned Fuel Mix

The Paris Agreement calls for coordinated efforts ensuring global temperature rise as a result of GHG emissions is limited to 1.5°C or below. Analytical results vary, but most Paris-aligned scenarios require industrial carbon emissions peaking in the 2020s and reducing rapidly thereafter, leading to a net-zero industrial emissions in the second half of this century.

Exposure to Holdings Deriving Revenues From Fossil Fuel



Portfolio : BGEF 30 June ESG
Currency : AUD
Benchmark : MSCI World ex Australia - 30 June 2025


Exposure to Companies with Proactive Carbon Risk Mitigation Initiatives

Understanding Carbon Risk Management Initiatives

Companies have a variety of strategies to reduce emissions, including setting targets for reductions, using cleaner energy sources and managing energy consumption

While these efforts vary considerably across companies, we categorize them as No Efforts, Some Efforts, and Aggressive Efforts to make them more comparable


Companies with GHG Emissions Reduction Targets



Portfolio

Benchmark


Companies with Top Quartile Carbon Management Score



Portfolio

Benchmark


Total GHG Emissions Reduction Targets



Portfolio

Benchmark


Use of Cleaner Energy Sources



Portfolio

Benchmark

Energy Consumption Management and Operational Efficiency



Portfolio

Benchmark

No Information No Effort Some Effort Aggressive Effort

Portfolio : BGEF 30 June ESG

Currency : AUD

Benchmark : MSCI World ex Australia - 30 June 2025

MSCI Implied Temperature Rise Company Analysis

Aggregated Implied Temperature Rise

Portfolio: 2.1°C Benchmark: 2.6°C

Implied Temperature Rise: Companies with Highest Temperature Alignment

Company Name	Weight	Implied Temperature Rise
CORE & MAIN, INC.	0.8%	10
BOOKING HOLDINGS INC.	0.4%	10
BJ'S WHOLESALE CLUB HOLDINGS, INC.	0.7%	6.6
Airbus SE	1.0%	6.2
MonotaRO Co Ltd	0.5%	5.9
COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION	0.5%	4.6
POOL CORPORATION	0.7%	3.9
CATERPILLAR INC.	0.7%	3.4
ADVANCED DRAINAGE SYSTEMS, INC.	0.9%	2.9
OLD DOMINION FREIGHT LINE, INC.	0.7%	2.9


Implied Temperature Rise: Companies with Lowest Temperature Alignment

Company Name	Weight	Implied Temperature Rise
ASML Holding N.V.	1.5%	1.3
AON PUBLIC LIMITED COMPANY	1.4%	1.3
ACCENTURE PUBLIC LIMITED COMPANY	1.2%	1.3
AUTO TRADER GROUP PLC	0.9%	1.3
L'OREAL SA	0.9%	1.3
DISCO CORPORATION	0.8%	1.3
BROADRIDGE FINANCIAL SOLUTIONS, INC.	0.7%	1.3
GENPACT LIMITED	0.7%	1.3
AMERICAN EXPRESS COMPANY	0.6%	1.3
JOHNSON & JOHNSON	0.6%	1.3

Implied Temperature Rise

The Implied Temperature Rise (ITR) metric provides an indication of how well public companies align with global temperature goals. Expressed in degrees Celsius, it is an intuitive,forward-looking metric that shows how a company aligns with the ambitions of the Paris Agreement -which is to keep a global temperature rise this century well below 2°C above pre-industrial levels and to pursue efforts to limit the temperature increase even further to 1.5°C. The portfolio-level Implied Temperature Rise compares the sum of "owned" projected GHG emissions against the sum of "owned" carbon budgets for the underlying fund holdings. The portfolio's total estimated carbon budget over- / undershoot is then converted to a degree of temperature rise (°C) using the TCRE. The allocation base used to define ownership is Enterprise Value including Cash (EVIC) in order to enable the analysis of equity and corporate bond portfolios.

Aggregated Implied Temperature Rise by Industry Group



Aggregated Implied Temperature Rise Spread by Industry Group

The chart above displays the Industry Groups in this portfolio that are associated with the highest aggregated Implied Temperature Rise (ITR). The light blue bars are a measure of the maximum and minimum aggregated ITR per group. Each gray bar represents either the average level of aggregated ITR per group or the benchmark portfolio's aggregated ITR per group (if specified). Each dark blue dot represents the ITR of this portfolio, taking into account holding weights. Use this graphic to identify a group's current deviation from global climate goals and find Industry Groups where climate goal alignment is most feasible. Company and portfolio level Implied Temperature Rises are floored and capped at 1.3°C and 10°C.

MSCI

Climate Scenario Analysis: Sovereign Bond Climate Value at Risk Overview

From MSCI ESG Research LLC

Portfolio : BGEF 30 June ESG

Currency : AUD

Benchmark : MSCI World ex Australia - 30 June 2025

Portfolio Weights of Largest Contributor Countries by Time-to-maturity

Country/Duration	Total
Total	0.00%
Total includes all other country buckets not listed in the above list.	

Portfolio Level Sovereign Climate VaR Results

	Portfolio	Benchmark	Active
1p5C NGFS Orderly	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
1p5C NGFS Disorderly	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
2C NGFS Orderly	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
2C NGFS Disorderly	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
3C NGFS Current Policies	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
3C NGFS	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Coverage	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Coverage is 0.00% for the portfolio, 0.00% for the benchmark.

Coverage here denotes total portfolio coverage across all asset classes, not only the sovereign portion of the portfolio. The coverage metrics presented in this report are computed in the context of the entire long-only side of the portfolio - no weight adjustments are performed for the respective scopes of corporate or sovereign exposures.

Understanding Sovereign Climate VaR

Sovereign Bond Climate VaR is designed to provide a forward-looking and return-based valuation assessment to measure climate related risks in a sovereign bond investment portfolio. The fully quantitative model offers insights into how climate change could affect sovereign bond valuations through the use of a stress testing framework.

It estimates the change in the sovereign yield curve when market expectations move from a climate-agnostic baseline expectation to any other climate scenario. Yield curve changes are then used to stress test the value of local-currency sovereign bonds.

The model produces two types of outputs: the potential impact of climate change and economic decarbonization on implied yield curves and sovereign bond valuations.

MSCI

Climate Scenario Analysis: Sovereign Bond Climate Value at Risk Scenario Breakdown

From MSCI ESG Research LLC

Portfolio : BGEF 30 June ESG

Currency : AUD

Benchmark : MSCI World ex Australia - 30 June 2025

Country and time-to-maturity contribution to portfolio level Sovereign Climate VaR (2°C NGFS Orderly)

Country/Duration	Total
Total	N/A

Understanding Sovereign Climate VaR contributions

In the contribution analysis, values represent the countries' contributions to the total Sovereign Climate VaR by time-to-maturity buckets for the 2°C NGFS Orderly scenario. The Total row is the sum of the 10 major contributor countries plus all others' contributions.

Portfolio : BGEF 30 June ESG Currency : AUD
 Benchmark : MSCI World ex Australia - 30 June 2025

MSCI Climate Change metrics evaluates approximately 10,000+ companies covering the MSCI ACWI IMI. The data availability in the report analysis is the result of two subsequent mappings:

Step 1. Security mapping with MSCI security master when the portfolio is initially uploaded to ESG Manager (out of scope/matched).

Step 2. Security mapping when the portfolio template is run in the analysis tab – the matched securities from step 1 are checked against the data available as of the date of the analysis. While we are aiming to align coverage for all climate datasets, the coverage results may vary depending on the dataset and are detailed in the table below.


	Total securities	Securities covered for S1&2 footprint, fossil fuel exposure, power gen, LCT, green revenues, target data			Securities covered for Scope 3 estimates			Securities covered for ITR			Securities covered for Climate VaR (note climate var results are security specific)		
		Number of securities covered	Percent of total securities	Percent of market cap	Number of securities covered	Percent of total securities	Percent of market cap	Number of securities covered	Percent of total securities	Percent of market cap	Number of securities covered	Percent of total securities	Percent of market cap
Portfolio	97	96	99.0%	99.0%	96	99.0%	99.0%	96	99.0%	99.0%	96	99.0%	99.0%
Benchmark	1,247	1,245	99.8%	99.9%	1,245	99.8%	99.9%	1,242	99.6%	99.9%	1,243	99.7%	99.9%

Availability & quality of carbon emission data

For Scope 1 & 2, when reported data is not available, Scope 1 & 2 carbon emissions are estimated using MSCI's Scope 1 & 2 estimation model which we have mapped to the data quality score defined by PCAF.

Note: All Scope 3 emissions used in this report are estimated by MSCI's S3 estimation model, due to un-usability (inconsistency, volatility) of the reported Scope 3

	Scope 1 & 2	Reported			Estimated		No data	Quality
		Audited emission data	Non-audited emission data,	Production model/Physical activity based	Emission factor per unit of revenue	Emission factor per unit of EVIC		
		PCAF SCORE 1	PCAF SCORE 2	PCAF SCORE 3	PCAF SCORE 4	PCAF SCORE 5		PCAF Weighted Score
Portfolio	No of Securities	0	86	0	10	0	1	2.16
	% of Securities	0.0%	88.7%	0.0%	10.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.16
	% of Market Value	0.0%	91.2%	0.0%	7.8%	0.0%	1.0%	2.16
Benchmark	No of Securities	0	1,053	0	192	0	2	2.20
	% of Securities	0.0%	84.4%	0.0%	15.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.20
	% of Market Value	0.0%	89.8%	0.0%	10.1%	0.0%	0.1%	2.20



Portfolio : BGEF 30 June ESG
Currency : AUD
Benchmark : MSCI World ex Australia - 30 June 2025

Financed Carbon Emission (S1+S2) by Sector

	Portfolio	Benchmark	Active
Industrials	10.8	18.0	-40.0%
Consumer Staples	8.0	13.6	-40.7%
Consumer Discretionary	2.8	6.4	-56.8%
Health Care	2.2	2.1	4.7%
Communication Services	1.0	1.9	-46.2%
Utilities	0	198.3	0.0%
Materials	0	166.3	0.0%
Energy	0.1	151.4	-100.0%
Real Estate	0	5.0	0.0%
Financials	0.3	2.3	-85.7%
Information Technology	0.9	1.4	-38.1%
Total	3.3	20.2	-83.8%


The sector table shows the comparison of the portfolio sector emissions (Scope 1 + Scope 2) to those of the benchmark. The key denotes the magnitude of the emissions in each sector with green denoting lower emissions, and red denoting higher emissions in that sector.

Sectoral Contribution to Financed Carbon Emissions (S1+S2)


	Portfolio	Benchmark
Communication Services	2.8%	0.8%
Consumer Discretionary	9.5%	3.3%
Consumer Staples	15.4%	3.9%
Energy	0.0%	26.4%
Financials	1.3%	1.9%
Health Care	8.7%	1.0%
Industrials	53.9%	10.1%
Information Technology	8.4%	1.9%
Materials	0.0%	24.7%
Real Estate	0.0%	0.5%
Utilities	0.0%	25.6%

The pie chart shows the composition by each sector's contribution to financed carbon emissions. This highlights that dominant sectors, in terms of emissions, tend to be Energy, Utilities, and Materials.

Sector Weight to Financed Carbon Emissions (S1+S2)




The column chart shows the composition by sector of the portfolio and benchmarks by market capitalization to financed carbon emissions. This highlights that dominant sectors, in terms of emissions, tend to be Energy, Utilities, and Materials.



Contribution to Financed Carbon Emissions

Portfolio : BGEF 30 June ESG
Currency : AUD
Benchmark : MSCI World ex Australia - 30 June 2025

Weighted Average Carbon Intensity of Current Holdings Over Time



* Current refers to the selected analysis date and provides additional context to the analysis. For example, the figure shown could either be in the past at a specific point in time, or the present date if not specified.

Change across 5 years = -19.0% Change since baseline NZ year of 2019 = -24.7%

The chart above shows the change over time of the weighted average carbon intensity (WACI) of the portfolio and benchmark based on the portfolio and benchmark constituents and weights at the date of analysis. This analysis is intended to provide an understanding of how the companies in the portfolio have decarbonized over time, as investors increasingly monitor decarbonization to support climate commitments such as net zero.


Please note that the analysis does not take into account changes in constituents over this time period. Please see the Financed Emissions Attribution Report for a more sophisticated analysis.

The portfolio and benchmark WACI are illustrated with blue and grey circles, respectively.

Portfolio coverage of this metric is also provided which provides contextual information. For example, a lower WACI figure may be related to lower coverage of that metric in a certain year. There can be lower coverage due to companies' reporting cycles and take time in different regions around the world.

Also provided is a % change of the WACI over a 5 year period and a % change compared to the commonly used net zero baseline year of 2019 for further monitoring and reporting.


Contribution of Emissions by Scope



The chart above illustrates the emissions profile of the portfolio compared with the benchmark, denoting the share between Scopes 1, 2 and 3 emissions.

Please note Scope 3 here utilizes an estimated emissions data.

MSCI Climate Value at Risk Spread by Industry Group



MSCI Climate Value at Risk Spread by Industry Group

The chart provides an understanding of the Industry Group-level risks found within the portfolio. The highest risk groups are displayed in order of risk exposure. The dark blue circles illustrate the aggregated Climate VaR in each group weighted according to the security weights of the portfolio. The gray bars represent one of two things depending on whether or not a benchmark was selected. If no benchmark was selected then the gray bars represent the arithmetic average of the aggregated CVaR in each group, whereas if a benchmark was selected then the gray bars represent the aggregated CVaR in each group weighted according to the security weights of the benchmark. The light blue bars are a measure of the variability in that group's Climate VaR - demonstrating for each group the spread between maximum and minimum values. This chart can be used to identify the most at risk groups, but also those groups where an optimization of the portfolio's exposure is possible by re-allocating capital to holdings with a lower Climate VaR.

Portfolio : BGEF 30 June ESG
Currency : AUD
Benchmark : MSCI World ex Australia - 30 June 2025

Top 10 Aggregated Climate VaR Risk Contributors

Security	Aggregated Policy Risk Climate VaR	Technology Opportunities Climate VaR	Physical Risk Climate VaR	Aggregated Climate VaR	Weight(%)	Climate VaR Risk Contribution
CENCORA, INC.	-3.15%	0.00%	-20.13%	-23.28%	0.92%	-0.21%
BJ'S WHOLESALE CLUB HOLDINGS, INC.	-3.35%	0.00%	-10.18%	-13.53%	0.66%	-0.09%
Compagnie des chemins de fer nationaux du Canada	-5.96%	0.00%	-1.19%	-7.15%	0.95%	-0.07%
Nestle S.A.	-1.64%	0.00%	-2.17%	-3.81%	1.32%	-0.05%
ALPHABET INC.	-0.07%	0.00%	-0.83%	-0.90%	4.53%	-0.04%
Pepsico, Inc.	-1.48%	0.00%	-1.42%	-2.90%	1.34%	-0.04%
FISERV, INC.	-0.05%	0.00%	-2.80%	-2.85%	1.35%	-0.04%
META PLATFORMS, INC.	-0.03%	0.00%	-1.76%	-1.79%	2.11%	-0.04%
AMAZON.COM, INC.	-0.45%	0.01%	-0.50%	-0.94%	3.62%	-0.03%
MonotaRO Co Ltd	-0.24%	0.00%	-6.21%	-6.45%	0.45%	-0.03%

The table provides an overview of the companies with the highest negative Aggregated Climate VaR contribution in the portfolio. The position weight of each individual security in the portfolio is multiplied by the Aggregated Climate VaR to establish the Climate VaR risk contribution of the portfolio. Aggregated Climate VaR in this chart is the sum of Policy Risk from Direct GHG Emissions (Scope 1) Climate VaR, Technology Opportunities Climate VaR and Physical Climate VaR for the selected scenario. Climate VaR numbers are calculated at the security level, i.e. 2 securities associated with the same issuer could have different Climate VaR.

Portfolio : BGEF 30 June ESG Currency : AUD
Benchmark : MSCI World ex Australia - 30 June 2025

Top 10 Technology Opportunities Climate VaR Companies

Company Name	Total Number of All Patents	Total Score of All Patents	Number of Low Carbon Patents	Score of Low Carbon Patents	Green Percentage % of Low Carbon Score in Total Score	Technology Opportunities Climate VaR
ADVANCED DRAINAGE SYSTEMS, INC.	77	130	3	6	4.54%	9.43%
CORE & MAIN, INC.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	9.11%
CLEAN HARBORS, INC.	11	17	1	2	11.05%	3.27%
CATERPILLAR INC.	8,014	12,347	1,253	2,146	17.38%	0.53%
THE TORO COMPANY	441	575	13	19	3.24%	0.49%
Tencent Holdings Limited	29,587	47,804	1,058	1,654	3.46%	0.10%
OBIC Co.,Ltd.	87	122	15	25	20.69%	0.07%
Airbus SE	10,112	16,146	2,173	3,797	23.51%	0.06%
Partners Group Holding AG	14	6	1	1	15.89%	0.04%
TECHTRONIC INDUSTRIES COMPANY LIMITED	1,620	2,066	75	150	7.25%	0.03%

Low Carbon Patent Statistics

The table above provides an overview of the companies with the highest Technology Opportunities CVaR in the portfolio. Important statistics relating to the patent portfolio of each company are displayed and results of the quality scoring assessment within the technology opportunities methodology are included alongside the Technology Opportunities CVaR. The Technology Opportunities Climate VaR is capped at +100% and is by its nature reflecting future low carbon patent revenues, hence it is expressed as positive value compared with other Climate VaR metrics which are negative.

Portfolio : BGEF 30 June ESG

Currency : AUD

Benchmark : MSCI World ex Australia - 30 June 2025

Top 10 Physical Risk Climate VaR Companies

Security	Physical Risk Climate VaR Contribution	Primary Physical Risk Hazard
CENCORA, INC.	-0.19%	 Extreme Heat
BJ'S WHOLESALE CLUB HOLDINGS, INC.	-0.07%	 Extreme Heat
FISERV, INC.	-0.04%	 Coastal Flooding
ALPHABET INC.	-0.04%	 Coastal Flooding
META PLATFORMS, INC.	-0.04%	 Coastal Flooding
Nestle S.A.	-0.03%	 Extreme Heat
MonotaRO Co Ltd	-0.03%	 Coastal Flooding
CLEAN HARBORS, INC.	-0.02%	 Coastal Flooding
HCA HEALTHCARE, INC.	-0.02%	 Extreme Heat
GMO Payment Gateway, Inc.	-0.02%	 Coastal Flooding

The table provides information on the most exposed companies to physical risk exposure in the portfolio such as extreme weather events in the selected physical risk scenario. However, physical risks can be both positive and negative and be expressed in both positive and negative values. MSCI currently models ten hazards including extreme heat and cold, coastal and river flooding, wildfires as well as wind gusts and precipitation. Physical changes can be event-driven ('acute') or longer-term in nature ('chronic')

ABOUT THIS CLIMATE RISK REPORT

The report features climate change metrics that are informed by the Taskforce on Climate Related Financial Disclosures (TCFD). While the metrics in this report align with the general intent of the recommendations from the TCFD, they may not be an exact one to one match with every element as specified in the updated Guidance on Metrics, Targets, and Transition Plans from October 2021, and are subject to change as MSCI continues to provide both current and forward looking climate change metrics to support reporting under the TCFD framework.

This document and all of the information contained in it, including without limitation all text, data, graphs, charts (collectively, the “Information”) is the property of MSCI Inc. or its subsidiaries (collectively, “MSCI”), or MSCI’s licensors, direct or indirect suppliers or any third party involved in making or compiling any Information (collectively, with MSCI, the “Information Providers”) and is provided for informational purposes only. The Information may not be modified, reverse-engineered, reproduced or disseminated in whole or in part without prior written permission from MSCI. All rights in the Information are reserved by MSCI and/or its Information Providers. The Information may not be used to create derivative works or to verify or correct other data or information. For example (but without limitation), the Information may not be used to create indexes, databases, risk models, analytics, software, or in connection with the issuing, offering, sponsoring, managing or marketing of any securities, portfolios, financial products or other investment vehicles utilizing or based on, linked to, tracking or otherwise derived from the Information or any other MSCI data, information, products or services.

The user of the Information assumes the entire risk of any use it may make or permit to be made of the Information. NONE OF THE INFORMATION PROVIDERS MAKES ANY EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES OR REPRESENTATIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE INFORMATION (OR THE RESULTS TO BE OBTAINED BY THE USE THEREOF), AND TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT PERMITTED BY APPLICABLE LAW, EACH INFORMATION PROVIDER EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMS ALL IMPLIED WARRANTIES (INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF ORIGINALITY, ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, NON-INFRINGEMENT, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE) WITH RESPECT TO ANY OF THE INFORMATION. Without limiting any of the foregoing and to the maximum extent permitted by applicable law, in no event shall any Information Provider have any liability regarding any of the Information for any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential (including lost profits) or any other damages even if notified of the possibility of such damages. The foregoing shall not exclude or limit any liability that may not be by applicable law be excluded or limited, including without limitation (as applicable), any liability for death or personal injury to the extent that such injury results from the negligence or willful default of itself, its servants, agents or sub-contractors.

Information containing any historical information, data or analysis should not be taken as an indication or guarantee of any future performance, analysis, forecast or prediction. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

The Information should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. All Information is impersonal and not tailored to the needs of any person, entity or group of persons.

None of the Information constitutes an offer to sell (or a solicitation of an offer to buy), any security, financial product or other investment vehicle or any trading strategy. It is not possible to invest directly in an index. Exposure to an asset class or trading strategy or other category represented by an index is only available through third party investable instruments (if any) based on that index. MSCI does not issue, sponsor, endorse, market, offer, review or otherwise express any opinion regarding any fund, ETF, derivative or other security, investment, financial product or trading strategy that is based on, linked to or seeks to provide an investment return related to the performance of any MSCI index (collectively, “Index Linked Investments”). MSCI makes no assurance that any Index Linked Investments will accurately track index performance or provide positive investment returns. MSCI Inc. is not an investment adviser or fiduciary and MSCI makes no representation regarding the advisability of investing in any Index Linked Investments.

Index returns do not represent the results of actual trading of investible assets/securities. MSCI maintains and calculates indexes, but does not manage actual assets. Index returns do not reflect payment of any sales charges or fees an investor may pay to purchase the securities underlying the index or Index Linked Investments. The imposition of these fees and charges would cause the performance of an Index Linked Investment to be different than the MSCI index performance.

The Information may contain back tested data. Back-tested performance is not actual performance, but is hypothetical. There are frequently material differences between back tested performance results and actual results subsequently achieved by any investment strategy.

Constituents of MSCI equity indexes are listed companies, which are included in or excluded from the indexes according to the application of the relevant index methodologies. Accordingly, constituents in MSCI equity indexes may include MSCI Inc., clients of MSCI or suppliers to MSCI. Inclusion of a security within an MSCI index is not a recommendation by MSCI to buy, sell, or hold such security, nor is it considered to be investment advice.

Data and information produced by various affiliates of MSCI Inc., including MSCI ESG Research LLC and Barra LLC, may be used in calculating certain MSCI indexes. More information can be found in the relevant index methodologies on www.msci.com.

MSCI receives compensation in connection with licensing its indexes to third parties. MSCI Inc.’s revenue includes fees based on assets in Index Linked Investments. Information can be found in MSCI Inc.’s company filings on the Investor Relations section of www.msci.com.

MSCI ESG Research LLC is a Registered Investment Adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 and a subsidiary of MSCI Inc. Neither MSCI nor any of its products or services recommends, endorses, approves or otherwise expresses any opinion regarding any issuer, securities, financial products or instruments or trading strategies and MSCI’s products or services are not a recommendation to make (or refrain from making) any kind of investment decision and may not be relied on as such, provided that applicable products or services from MSCI ESG Research may constitute investment advice. MSCI ESG Research materials, including materials utilized in any MSCI ESG Indexes or other products, have not been submitted to, nor received approval from, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission or any other regulatory body. MSCI ESG and climate ratings, research and data are produced by MSCI ESG Research LLC, a subsidiary of MSCI Inc. MSCI ESG Indexes, Analytics and Real Estate are products of MSCI Inc. that utilize information from MSCI ESG Research LLC. MSCI Indexes are administered by MSCI Limited (UK).

Please note that the issuers mentioned in MSCI ESG Research materials sometimes have commercial relationships with MSCI ESG Research and/or MSCI Inc. (collectively, “MSCI”) and that these relationships create potential conflicts of interest. In some cases, the issuers or their affiliates purchase research or other products or services from one or more MSCI affiliates. In other cases, MSCI ESG Research rates financial products such as mutual funds or ETFs that are managed by MSCI’s clients or their affiliates or are based on MSCI Inc. Indexes, and for which MSCI may charge asset-based fees. In addition, constituents in MSCI Inc. equity indexes include companies that subscribe to MSCI products or services. MSCI ESG Research has taken a number of steps to mitigate potential conflicts of interest and safeguard the integrity and independence of its research and ratings. More information about these conflict mitigation measures is available in our Form ADV, available at IAPD - Investment Adviser Public Disclosure - Homepage.

Any use of or access to products, services or information of MSCI requires a license from MSCI. MSCI, Barra, RiskMetrics, IPD and other MSCI brands and product names are the trademarks, service marks, or registered

trademarks of MSCI or its subsidiaries in the United States and other jurisdictions. The Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS) was developed by and is the exclusive property of MSCI and Standard & Poor's. *Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS)* is a service mark of MSCI and Standard & Poor's.

MIFID2/MIFIR notice: MSCI ESG Research LLC does not distribute or act as an intermediary for financial instruments or structured deposits, nor does it deal on its own account, provide execution services for others or manage client accounts. No MSCI ESG Research product or service supports, promotes or is intended to support or promote any such activity. MSCI ESG Research is an independent provider of ESG data, reports and ratings based on published methodologies and available to clients on a subscription basis . We do not provide custom or one-off ratings or recommendations of securities or other financial instruments upon request. Privacy notice: For information about how MSCI collects and uses personal data, please refer to our Privacy Notice at <https://www.msci.com/privacy-pledge>.

Contact Us

esgclientservice@msci.com

Americas

+ 1 212 804 5299

Europe, Middle East & Africa

+ 44 20 7618 2510

Asia Pacific

+ 612 9033 9339

About MSCI ESG research products and services

MSCI ESG Research products and services are provided by MSCI ESG Research LLC, and are designed to provide in-depth research, ratings and analysis of environmental, social and governance-related business practices to companies worldwide. ESG ratings, data and analysis from MSCI ESG Research LLC. are also used in the construction of the MSCI ESG Indexes. MSCI ESG Research LLC is a Registered Investment Adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 and a subsidiary of MSCI Inc.

About MSCI

MSCI is a leading provider of critical decision support tools and services for the global investment community. With over 45 years of expertise in research, data and technology, we power better investment decisions by enabling clients to understand and analyze key drivers of risk and return and confidently build more effective portfolios. We create industry-leading research-enhanced solutions that clients use to gain insight into and improve transparency across the investment process.

To learn more, please visit www.msci.com.