

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
S14.001	Jordan Pratt and Kyla Coulson	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Not Stated	No decision requested.	Discuss a specific storm water pipe management with Masterton District Council	Reject
S16.001	Arya Franklyn	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Support	Amend the District Plan to enable use of recycled aggregates from local sources.	Considers Councils should explore provisions for using recycled aggregates from a local source which will cut down on carbon emissions.	Reject
S16.002	Arya Franklyn	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Support	No decision requested.	Generally supports the Proposed District Plan.	Accept
S18.001	Patrick Ward			Not Stated	Not applicable	Seeks that Council prioritises core responsibilities such as water and drainage before other projects such as town halls and events centres due to funding shortfalls.	Reject
S19.001	Edward Henrard	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Not Stated	No decision requested.	Submission relates to boundary adjustments; submitter discusses intent to subdivide.	Reject
S39.002	Jennifer McKenzie	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Not Stated	No specific decision requested.	The Council should be considering future use of the Lansdowne Nursery site, in light of soil contamination in the north-west area.	Reject
S47.001	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Amend	Amend the District Plan to ensure consistency with national direction (specifically NPSFM, NPSUD, NZCPS) and PC1 of the Wellington RPS.	Change 1 to the GWRC Policy Statement (PC1) is still proposed and therefore not required to be given effect to under Section 75 of the RMA. While PC1 is not operative, decisions are likely to be made on the policy statement prior to the district plan becoming operative. It is therefore sensible that the proposed district plan considers PC1. Additionally, PC1 has been proposed to give partial effect to the NPSFM and NPSUD. In its operative state the RPS does not give full effect to these national policy statements.	Reject
FS95.072	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
FS105.001	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Support the submission, as consider iwi work from a holistic base to protect Te Taiao, which aligns with the further submitter's views.	Reject
S47.010	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Amend	Inclusion of "Whānau, hapū" within any reference to Tangata Whenua and/or iwi.	Where both iwi are listed, it should also include whānau and hapū as not all whānau and hapū are included within our Iwi.	Reject
FS95.081	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraaw hitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated	Reject
FS105.014	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Support the submission, as consider iwi work from a holistic base to protect Te Taiao, which aligns with the further submitter's views.	Reject
S47.011	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Amend	Review the whole plan to ensure that the right terminology and definition is used for Te Tiriti o Waitangi or Treaty of Waitangi.	Te Tiriti o Waitangi and the Treaty of Waitangi are used interchangeably throughout the proposed district plan. Consistency is required to ensure clarity as these are not the same documents.	Accept
FS95.082	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraaw hitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated	Accept
FS105.015	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Support the submission, as consider iwi work from a holistic base to protect Te Taiao, which aligns with the further submitter's views.	Accept
S47.012	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Amend	A review of the whole plan to include "Mātauranga Māori in partnership with tangata whenua/mana whenua" in provisions for taiao including but not limited to Climate Change, Climate Resilience, Water Resilience,	Mātauranga Māori is just as important as science and to exclude this within the district plan is inappropriate and only looks at half the problem/solution.	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
FS95.083	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraaw hitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated	Reject
FS105.016	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Support the submission, as consider iwi work from a holistic base to protect Te Taiao, which aligns with the further submitter's views. Particularly supports climate change and water resilience.	Reject
S47.013	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Amend	Review plan in it's entirely. All interactions between local authorities and tangata whenua should be a partnership directive.	We support engagement with communities but this is seperate to tangata whenua partnership. Partnership is a Treaty Principle as part of Section 8 of RMA.	Reject
FS95.084	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraaw hitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated	Reject
FS105.017	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Support the submission, as consider iwi work from a holistic base to protect Te Taiao, which aligns with the further submitter's views.	Reject
S47.033	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Amend	Amend the District Plan to ensure it includes the provisions within the NPS-FM, especially including the Te Mana o te Wai plans for Rangitāne o Wairarapa.	Provisions for legislation documents that have been produced and will be embedded into the RPS Proposed Change 1.	Reject
FS90.114	Greater Wellington Regional Council			Support	Allow	Considers that this is consistent with our submission that the plan review does not give full effect to the NPS-FM.	Reject
FS95.104	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraaw hitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated	Reject
FS105.036	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Support the submission, as consider iwi work from a holistic base to protect Te Taiao, which aligns with the further submitter's views.	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
S47.034	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Amend	Amend the District Plan to refer to and be consistent with the Mana Mātauranga-a-hapū strategy.	Rangitāne o Wairarapa has a Māori Data Sovereignty strategy that is developed in our Mana Mātauranga-a-hapū Strategy. This document should be taken into account when reviewing any data that is generated, collected, stored, removed or modified.	Reject
FS95.105	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraaw hitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated	Reject
FS105.037	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Support the submission, as consider iwi work from a holistic base to protect Te Taiao, which aligns with the further submitter's views.	Reject
S64.002	Warren Reiri	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Amend	Amend the Plan to change the name of (Te Whiti Road) to Mangaakutu Road from (Makoura) College to Pokohiwi Road (inferred).	Whanau has lived at the address many years. Road names has been changed three times and none of the names have anything to do with this area.	Reject
S64.005	Warren Reiri	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Amend	Amend Plan to allow housing development on Mangaakuta marae (98 Te Whiti Road) (inferred).	Discusses housing storage and going into business to help whanau.	Accept in part
S91.048	Canoe Wines Limited Partnership	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Neutral	Amend provisions that refer to the 'Council's Engineering Development standard' to refer to the 'Engineering and Development Standards 2023' incorporated by reference into the plan.	Any document incorporated by reference should be correctly referred to in the plan.	Accept
S94.041	Greater Wellington Regional Council	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Amend	Insert a new Three Waters chapter into part 2.	Clause 3.5(4) of the NPS-FM 2020 requires that "every territorial authority must include objectives, policies, and methods in its district plan to promote positive effects, and avoid, remedy, or mitigate adverse effects (including cumulative effects), of urban development on the health and well-being of water bodies, freshwater ecosystems, and receiving environments". While the Wairarapa Combined District Plan does have some excellent provisions in this area (standards in the	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
						zone chapters, for instance), the suite of provisions is not broad enough to give effect to this clause of the NPS-FM 2020. This could be addressed by inserting a new Three Waters chapter that contains direction for the promoting positive effects and avoiding, remedying or mitigating adverse effects of urban development on water in relation to three waters infrastructure, by including objectives, policies and rules which help to achieve these outcomes and contribute towards Te Mana o te Wai. This approach has already been taken in this region by Wellington City Council and Porirua City Council in the most recent iterations of their district plans. Specific relief in terms of provisions are below.	
FS74.031	Genesis Energy Limited			Oppose	Disallow	The Three Waters section and rules proposed by the submitter for new development are too generic and do not recognise the type of development provided for under Part 2 of the Proposed Plan, nor have the proposed provisions addressed the likely implications for other sections of the Proposed Plan (including nullifying the effect of other permitted activity rules in Part 2).	Accept
FS97.057	Transpower New Zealand			Oppose	Disallow	Considers that the insertion an entirely new chapter in the Proposed District Plan is outside the scope of the submission process. Considers the relief sought is better pursued by a plan change or variation so that the provisions are subject to an evaluation under section 32 of the RMA and parties have the opportunity to make a submission on those provisions.	Accept
FS105.064	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Supports submission point, particularly relating to water resilience.	Reject
S94.042	Greater Wellington Regional Council	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Amend	Insert a new objective as follows: THW-O1 Protecting water bodies and freshwater ecosystems Subdivision and development promotes positive effects, and avoids, remedies, or mitigates adverse effects (including cumulative effects), of	As part of giving effect to Clause 3.5(4) of the NPS-FM 2020, an objective that focuses on protecting water bodies and freshwater ecosystems should be inserted.	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					urban development and rural lifestyle development on the health and well-being of water bodies, freshwater ecosystems, and receiving environments.		
FS74.032	Genesis Energy Limited			Oppose	Disallow	The Three Waters section and rules proposed by the submitter for new development are too generic and do not recognise the type of development provided for under Part 2 of the Proposed Plan, nor have the proposed provisions addressed the likely implications for other sections of the Proposed Plan (including nullifying the effect of other permitted activity rules in Part 2).	Accept
FS87.043	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Support	Allow	Support as consistent with the NPSFM, clause 3.5	Reject
FS95.045	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support as consistent with the NPSFM, clause 3.5	Reject
FS105.065	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Supports submission point, particularly relating to water resilience.	Reject
S94.043	Greater Wellington Regional Council	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Amend	Amend by moving SUB-O2 from the subdivision chapter to the new Three Waters chapter as follows: THW-O2 Infrastructure-enabled development Subdivision and developments are serviced to provide for the likely or anticipated use of the land while avoiding, remedying, or mitigating adverse effects on the environment by ensuring: (a) subdivisions within the urban boundary connect to reticulated water and wastewater services (and reticulated stormwater services where they are available or provide for on-site stormwater	SUB-O2 provides good direction on ensuring development is connected to three waters infrastructure, so it makes sense to move this objective to a new Three Waters chapter.	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					disposal) with sufficient capacity to accommodate proposed or anticipated development; and (b) subdivisions in Rural Zones are capable of being serviced via on-site water, wastewater, and stormwater measures when development occurs on the site.		
FS74.033	Genesis Energy Limited			Oppose	Disallow	The Three Waters section and rules proposed by the submitter for new development are too generic and do not recognise the type of development provided for under Part 2 of the Proposed Plan, nor have the proposed provisions addressed the likely implications for other sections of the Proposed Plan (including nullifying the effect of other permitted activity rules in Part 2).	Accept
FS105.066	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Supports submission point, particularly relating to wastewater infrastructure improvements.	Reject
S94.044	Greater Wellington Regional Council	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Amend	Insert a new objective as follows: THW-O3 Hydraulic neutrality. There is no increase in offsite stormwater peak flows and volumes as a result of subdivision and development in Residential Zones, commercial and Mixed use Zones, and the Rural Lifestyle Zone.	Reducing stormwater runoff through achieving hydraulic neutrality is one means of reducing contamination of surface waterbodies to implement the NPS-FM, and so should be inserted as an objective.	Reject
FS74.034	Genesis Energy Limited			Oppose	Disallow	The Three Waters section and rules proposed by the submitter for new development are too generic and do not recognise the type of development provided for under Part 2 of the Proposed Plan, nor have the proposed provisions addressed the likely implications for other sections of the Proposed Plan (including nullifying the effect of other permitted activity rules in Part 2).	Accept
FS105.067	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Supports submission point, particularly relating to water resilience.	Reject
S94.045	Greater Wellington			Amend	Insert a new policy as follows: THW-P1 Water sensitive	One means of protecting water bodies and freshwater ecosystems from the adverse effects of development is	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
	Regional Council				urban design Require new subdivision and development in Residential Zones, Commercial and Mixed use Zones, Industrial Zones, and the Rural Lifestyle Zone to incorporate water sensitive design methods and to be designed, constructed, and maintained to: a. Improve the health and well-being of water bodies and freshwater ecosystems; b. Avoid or mitigate off-site effects from surface water runoff; and c. Be in accordance with available guidance and best practice solutions for the management of stormwater quality and quantity from the subdivision or development at the time.	through the use of water sensitive urban design, so a policy encouraging its use could be inserted to help implement THW-O1 as sought by this submission.	
FS74.035	Genesis Energy Limited			Oppose	Disallow	The Three Waters section and rules proposed by the submitter for new development are too generic and do not recognise the type of development provided for under Part 2 of the Proposed Plan, nor have the proposed provisions addressed the likely implications for other sections of the Proposed Plan (including nullifying the effect of other permitted activity rules in Part 2).	Accept
FS87.044	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Support	Allow	Support policy which provides direction for water sensitive urban design and suggest there is a wider review to ensure that hydraulic neutrality is supported extensively through this plan.	Reject
FS95.046	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support policy which provides direction for water sensitive urban design and ask for a wider review to ensure that hydraulic neutrality is supported extensively in this plan.	Reject
FS105.068	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Supports submission point, particularly relating to water resilience.	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
S94.046	Greater Wellington Regional Council	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Amend	Insert a new policy as follows: THW-P2 Hydraulic neutrality. Ensure that urban development is designed, constructed, and maintained to achieve hydraulic neutrality.	One means of protecting water bodies and freshwater ecosystems from the adverse effects of development is through achieving hydraulic neutrality, so a policy encouraging its use could be inserted to help implement THW-O1 and THW-O3 as sought by this submission.	Reject
FS74.036	Genesis Energy Limited			Oppose	Disallow	The Three Waters section and rules proposed by the submitter for new development are too generic and do not recognise the type of development provided for under Part 2 of the Proposed Plan, nor have the proposed provisions addressed the likely implications for other sections of the Proposed Plan (including nullifying the effect of other permitted activity rules in Part 2).	Accept
FS87.045	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Support	Allow	Support policy which provides direction for water sensitive urban design and suggest there is a wider review to ensure that hydraulic neutrality is supported extensively	Reject
FS95.047	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support policy which provides direction for water sensitive urban design and ask for a wider review to ensure that hydraulic neutrality is supported extensively in this plan.	Reject
FS105.069	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Supports submission point, particularly relating to water resilience.	Reject
S94.047	Greater Wellington Regional Council	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Amend	Insert a new policy as follows: THW-P3 Protection of waterbodies. Ensure that urban development is located and designed to protect and enhance freshwater and receiving environments, including rivers, lakes, wetlands, springs, riparian margins, and estuaries.	One means of protecting water bodies and freshwater ecosystems from the adverse effects of development is through appropriate locating and design of urban development, so a policy encouraging this could be inserted to help implement THW-O1 as sought by this submission.	Reject
FS74.037	Genesis Energy Limited			Oppose	Disallow	The Three Waters section and rules proposed by the submitter for new development are too generic and do not recognise the type of development provided for under Part 2 of the Proposed Plan, nor have the proposed provisions addressed the likely implications for	Accept

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
						other sections of the Proposed Plan (including nullifying the effect of other permitted activity rules in Part 2).	
FS87.046	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Support	Allow	Support	Reject
FS95.048	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraaw hitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support	Reject
FS105.070	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Supports submission point, particularly relating to water resilience.	Reject
S94.048	Greater Wellington Regional Council	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Amend	Insert a new policy as follows: THW-P4 Building materials. Avoid the use of exposed copper and zinc as an exterior building product unless: a. The copper or zinc product is treated or finished in a manner that prevents the release of copper or zinc into water, orb. The stormwater is treated on-site to remove any copper or zinc from the stormwater prior to discharging into the three waters stormwater network.	Certain heavy metals used in construction that are washed into freshwater bodies in stormwater is an area that territorial authorities can control, so policy direction on this should be inserted for consistency with RPS Change 1 (Policy FW.3) and NPS-FM clause 3.5(4).	Reject
FS74.038	Genesis Energy Limited			Oppose	Disallow	The Three Waters section and rules proposed by the submitter for new development are too generic and do not recognise the type of development provided for under Part 2 of the Proposed Plan, nor have the proposed provisions addressed the likely implications for other sections of the Proposed Plan (including nullifying the effect of other permitted activity rules in Part 2).	Accept
FS87.048	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Support	Allow	Support and some analysis about any other chemicals or materials that should be added to this list.	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
FS95.050	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support and some analysis about any other chemicals or materials that should be added to this list.	Reject
S94.049	Greater Wellington Regional Council	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Amend	Insert a new policy as follows: THW-P5 Three waters infrastructure servicing Require that all new subdivision and buildings are serviced by three waters infrastructure by: a. ensuring that subdivision and buildings inside or adjacent to the urban boundary are connected to reticulated water supply and wastewater services that have sufficient capacity to accommodate the development and are in position prior to the commencement of construction; and b. ensuring that subdivision and buildings outside the urban boundary are on allotments of a sufficient size and shape with appropriate soil conditions to accommodate on-site wastewater, stormwater, and water supply infrastructure, and that there is sufficient water supply capacity for firefighting purposes	New policy direction to ensure appropriate three waters servicing across zones would be useful to support the three waters objectives sought in our submission.	Reject
FS74.039	Genesis Energy Limited			Oppose	Disallow	The Three Waters section and rules proposed by the submitter for new development are too generic and do not recognise the type of development provided for under Part 2 of the Proposed Plan, nor have the proposed provisions addressed the likely implications for other sections of the Proposed Plan (including nullifying the effect of other permitted activity rules in Part 2).	Accept
FS105.071	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Supports submission point, particularly relating to water resilience.	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
S94.050	Greater Wellington Regional Council	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Amend	Insert a new rule for all zones as follows: THW-R1 Use of water sensitive urban design in development 1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. The development is for no more than three residential units. 2. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Where: a. The development is for: i. four or more residential units, or ii. a retirement village, or iii. a non-residential building (excluding accessory buildings), or iv. a subdivision that creates four or more allotments. Matters of discretion are: 1. Design, location, efficiency and effectiveness of water sensitive urban design methods; 2. Ownership, maintenance and operation arrangements for the water sensitive urban design methods from the site; 3. The level of hydraulic neutrality achieved by the water sensitive urban design methods; 4. Any site constraints that may prevent water sensitive urban design methods from be constructed on the site; 5. Any wider public water sensitive urban design treatment devices that the stormwater from the site may discharge into, thereby providing treatment prior to discharging into a water body; and 6. The matters set out in Policies THW-P1, THW-P2, and THW-P3.	This rule would implement THW-P1 as sought by our submission and support consistency with RPS Change 1.	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
FS74.040	Genesis Energy Limited			Oppose	Disallow	The Three Waters section and rules proposed by the submitter for new development are too generic and do not recognise the type of development provided for under Part 2 of the Proposed Plan, nor have the proposed provisions addressed the likely implications for other sections of the Proposed Plan (including nullifying the effect of other permitted activity rules in Part 2).	Accept
FS105.072	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Supports submission point, particularly relating to minimising runoff and discharges to rivers.	Reject
S94.051	Greater Wellington Regional Council	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Amend	THW-R2 Use of hydraulic neutrality in new development 1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. The development is for no more than three residential units. 2. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Where: a. The development is for: i. four or more residential units, or ii. a retirement village, or iii. a non-residential building (excluding accessory buildings), or iv. a subdivision that creates four or more allotments. Matters of discretion are: 1. The extent to which the development incorporates stormwater management techniques or controls to mitigate any increase in pre-development peak stormwater runoff; 2. Design, location, efficiency and effectiveness of measures to manage peak stormwater flows and volumes; 3. Ownership, maintenance and operation arrangements of any measures to reduce stormwater runoff from the site; 4. Any changes in off-site flood extents and depths from not achieving hydraulic	This rule would implement THW-P2 as sought by our submission and support consistency with RPS Change 1.	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					neutrality; and5. Any site constraints that may prevent hydraulic neutrality from be achieved on the site.		
FS74.041	Genesis Energy Limited			Oppose	Disallow	The Three Waters section and rules proposed by the submitter for new development are too generic and do not recognise the type of development provided for under Part 2 of the Proposed Plan, nor have the proposed provisions addressed the likely implications for other sections of the Proposed Plan (including nullifying the effect of other permitted activity rules in Part 2).	Accept
S94.052	Greater Wellington Regional Council	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Amend	Insert a new rule for all zones as follows: THW-R3 Proximity of new development to surface waterbodies 1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. The development is located more than 5m from any surface waterbody or more than 25 from any significant waterbody.2. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Where: a. Compliance is not achieved with THW-R3(1). Matters of discretion are: 1. The distance of the setback from any surface waterbodies;2. The use of water sensitive urban design methods to avoid, remedy, or mitigate adverse effects on surface water bodies;3. The level of hydraulic neutrality achieved by the water sensitive urban design methods.	This rule would implement THW-P3 as sought by our submission and support consistency with RPS Change 1.	Reject
FS74.042	Genesis Energy Limited			Oppose	Disallow	The Three Waters section and rules proposed by the submitter for new development are too generic and do not recognise the type of development provided for under Part 2 of the Proposed Plan, nor have the proposed provisions addressed the likely implications for	Accept

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
						other sections of the Proposed Plan (including nullifying the effect of other permitted activity rules in Part 2).	
FS105.073	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Supports submission point, particularly relating to water resilience.	Reject
S94.053	Greater Wellington Regional Council	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Amend	Insert a new rule for all zones as follows: THW-R4 Use of copper and zinc building materials ¹ . Activity status: Permitted Where: a. Any copper or zinc surfaces in external building materials (including roofing, guttering, spouting and cladding) are: i. sealed, painted, or finished in a manner that prevents the copper or zinc surfaces from being directly exposed to rainfall; or ii. contained in stormwater that is treated on-site to remove any copper or zinc from the stormwater prior to discharging into the three waters stormwater network. 2. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Where: a. The requirements of THW-R3.1 are not met. Matters of discretion are: 1. The matters set out in THW-P1 and THW-P4.	This rule would implement THW-P4 as sought by our submission and support consistency with RPS Change 1.	Reject
FS74.043	Genesis Energy Limited			Oppose	Disallow	The Three Waters section and rules proposed by the submitter for new development are too generic and do not recognise the type of development provided for under Part 2 of the Proposed Plan, nor have the proposed provisions addressed the likely implications for other sections of the Proposed Plan (including nullifying the effect of other permitted activity rules in Part 2).	Accept
S94.054	Greater Wellington Regional Council	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Amend	Insert a new rule as follows: THW-R5 New buildings (except accessory buildings), conversions of existing buildings and new allotments 1.	This rule would implement THW-P5 as sought by our submission.	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					Activity status: Permitted Where: a. The building or allotment is connected to municipal three waters infrastructure for: i. Wastewater disposal; andii. Drinking water supply; andiii. Stormwater management 2. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. The building or allotment is served by on-site systems for: i. wastewater disposal; andii. drinking water supply; andiii. stormwater management 3. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Where: a. Compliance with any of the requirements of THWR5.1 cannot be achieved. Matters of discretion are: The matters set out in THW-P1, THW-P2, and THWP3 4. Activity status: Restricted Discretionary Where: a. Compliance with any of the requirements of THWR5.2 cannot be achieved. Matters of discretion are: The matters set out in THW-P1, THW-P2, and THWP3 Note on relief sought: THW-R5.1 and R5.3 are intended to apply to Residential Zones, Commercial and Mixed-Use Zones, Industrial Zones, Open Space and Recreation Zones, and Special Purpose Zones, while THW-R5.2 and R5.4 are intended to apply to Rural Zones.		
FS74.044	Genesis Energy Limited			Oppose	Disallow	The Three Waters section and rules proposed by the submitter for new development are too generic and do not recognise the type of development provided for under Part 2 of the Proposed Plan, nor have the	Accept

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
						proposed provisions addressed the likely implications for other sections of the Proposed Plan (including nullifying the effect of other permitted activity rules in Part 2).	
S103.001	Marama Tuuta	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Amend	Amend the District Plan to refer to Mana Whenua alongside Tangata Whenua (e.g. Tangata Whenua/Mana Whenua).	Ngāti Kahungunu ki Wairarapa and Ngāti Rangitane are two distinct Iwi that both have manawhenua over the Wairarapa. However, throughout this document only the Ngāti Rangitane vocabulary is evidenced. The vocabulary of Ngāti Kahungunu ki Wairarapa should also be used in the plan, to represent members of that iwi.	Reject
S122.067	Fulton Hogan Limited	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Support in part	Amend the Plan to provide for a consistent approach to address reverse sensitivity effects.	The plan recognises the potential for reverse sensitivity effects to affect primary production activities but does so in a disparate way. Considers this may lead to a situation where plan users only interacting with part of the plan may miss some of this critical policy direction.	Reject
FS13.001	Horticulture New Zealand			Support	Allow	Allow	Reject
S128.001	Nelson Francis Rangi	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Not Stated	Amend so the Part Papawai DCOB Parcel 3823308, following exchange with SWDC, be non-rateable Ahu Whenua.	Part Papawai DCOB Parcel 3823308 (Maori owned land) is subject to an exchange between owners and SWDC to allow for legal access to Council-owned land. At the time of the exchange it is intended to create an Ahu Whenua Trust of the descendants of the strip owners (all deceased) to protect the ownership of the exchange land. This submission gives notice that in the event that some under-employed council or other authority officer attempts to rezone the exchange land as rateable, the Ahu Whenua Trustees will vehemently oppose any such attempt.	Reject
S130.004	Xavier Warne	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Amend	Amend the Plan to enable more housing and a wider variety of housing.	Affordable housing is critical. Housing affordability pressures are increasingly being felt in the Wairarapa. The Wairarapa Combined District Plan is an important tool to recognise and respond to the severity of this issue.	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
S152.002	Adamson Shaw Ltd			Amend	Amend Council's Engineering Development Standards.	The Engineering Development Standard should apply to all districts. There is no geographic reason for engineering standards to differ across the region.	Reject
S154.015	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Support in part	Amend references to iwi throughout the plan to "whānau, hapū and iwi - Rangitāne o Wairarapa & Kahungunu ki Wairarapa"	Notes not all hapū are under the iwi banner and can engage in mahi outside of iwi engagement, e.g., Kahukuraawhitia whānau and Hurunui-o-rangi Marae have direct engagement with Carterton District Council.	Reject
S154.025	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Amend	Amend the full plan and ensure that Te Tiriti o Waitangi or Treaty of Waitangi is used.	States Te Tiriti o Waitangi is not the same as Treaty of Waitangi and is not interchangeable. Update the plan with the correct terminology as per the requirements.	Reject
S154.029	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Support in part	Amend the plan where it refers to 'engagement' with tangata whenua and replace this with 'partnership'.	Tangata whenua are kaitiaki as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi and hold tino rangatiratanga over taonga.	Reject
S154.030	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Amend	Amend the plan to add "Tangata Whenua leading mātauranga māori solutions" for any taiao provisions, such as but not limited to climate change, climate resilience, water resilience and other provisions.	Submitter maintains tino rangatiratanga over taonga and as mātauranga māori is as equal to science it is vital this is included in our solutions, or we only want to address half of a problem. Our intergenerational knowledge is vital to how we fix ongoing crisis' we face.	Reject
S188.002	Aidan Ellims	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Not Stated	Amend Plan to implement a District wide Water Conservation Plan.	Targeting and promoting water conservation. Councils face increasing costs for water infrastructure networks, with a growing population and demand for treated potable water.	Reject
S188.003	Aidan Ellims	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Not Stated	Amend to create a Combined Wairarapa District wide Rainwater and Greywater Code of Practice.	Kapiti Coast District Council published a "Rainwater and Greywater Code of Practice Guidelines" which records that in their District Plan (2008), they required all new residential dwellings connected to the town water supply system to reduce peak reticulated water used by 30%.	Reject
S189.001	Chorus New Zealand Limited (Chorus), Connexa	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Oppose	Amend the term 'functional and operational need' each time it is used in the PDP as follows: Functional need and or operational need.	Throughout the plan, whether it be a matter of discretion or in an objective or policy, the term functional and operational need has been used. The terms functional need and operational need are separately defined in the PDP (as per the National Planning Standards) and as	Accept

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
	Limited (Connexa), Aotearoa Tower Group (trading as FortySouth), One New Zealand Group Limited (One NZ) and Spark New Zealand Trading Limited (Spark)					such, need to be separated when being referred to. Any assessment for a network utility should not have to meet both terms, but only one of them.	
FS97.002	Transpower New Zealand			Support	Allow	Supports the submission and agrees that the terms 'functional need' and 'operational need' should be separated.	Accept
FS106.006	Radio New Zealand			Support	Allow	Supports the submission point.	Accept
S202.007	Woolworths New Zealand Limited	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Support	Retain as notified.	The submitter supports the PDP in that where activities infringe identified standards, a restricted discretionary activity consent status is appropriate. This is because the effects of such infringements can be assessed in a more targeted way. Such assessments in the consenting framework can therefore be comfortably limited in discretion for certainty and proportionality.	Accept
S216.001	Sara Hiranni O'Donnell	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Oppose	Amend the District Plan so it does not apply to Maori Land.	Opposes the District Plan applying to Maori land, and seeks that Maori land is left to Ahii Kii Ora Whanau Patu Trust to administrate.	Reject
S233.002	Scott Anstis	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Oppose	Amend Council's Engineering Development Standard to be consistent across the region.	The submission states the engineering standards should be the same for all Councils in this region.	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
S236.001	-Director-General of Conservation Penny Nelson	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Neutral	Amend the District Plan Rules Tables to include the correct conjunctive or disjunctive term ('and' 'or').	When reviewing the rules of the Proposed Plan it was noted that some of the tables do not include the conjunctive or disjunctive term ('and' 'or').	Reject
FS87.035	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Oppose	Disallow	Customary activities should be at the right and discretion of tangata whenua through their whakapapa and role as Kaitiaki.	Accept
S236.002	-Director-General of Conservation Penny Nelson	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Neutral	Amend the District Plan provision titles to align with the outcome and content of the provision.	The Proposed Plan includes titles for its objectives and policies. In some cases, this title does not reflect the content on the Objective or Policy.	Reject
S236.003	-Director-General of Conservation Penny Nelson	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Oppose	Amend the District Plan to include 'indigenous biodiversity' in matters of control, matters of discretion and assessment matters where appropriate.	The submitter notes inconsistencies with the matters of control, matters of discretion and assessment matters with reference to district wide matters, overlays and values.	Reject
FS74.121	Genesis Energy Limited			Oppose	Disallow	The submitter requests that 'indigenous biodiversity' is included in matters of control, matters of discretion and assessment matters for District Wide Matters. This does not recognise that National Policy Statement Indigenous Biodiversity 2023 (NPS-IB) does not apply to the development, operation, maintenance or upgrade of renewable electricity generation assets and activities.	Accept
FS87.008	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Support	Allow	Support a general review of the plan to ensure indigenous biodiversity is included as matters of control, matters of discretion and assessment matters where appropriate.	Reject
FS95.0010	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Ensure that Indigenous biodiversity is included through this plan and ensure that it is in collaboration with mana whenua who are the kaitiaki of Indigenous Biodiversity.	Reject
S239.001	East Leigh Limited ("ELL")	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Support	Retain	East Leigh Limited supports all provisions not submitted on below.	Accept

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
S249.071	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Support	Retain reference to "New Zealand Heritage List Rārangi Kōrero" throughout the Plan.	Supports reference to "New Zealand Heritage List Rārangi Kōrero"	Accept
S252.003	New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Inc	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Amend	Insert a new Appendix - Relocatable Building Pre-inspection Report Template.	That a new appendix be added to the Plan, being a relocatable building pre-inspection report template (example attached to original submission), and reference to the new appendix added throughout the relevant zone standards.	Reject
S256.011	Ngāti Kahungunu ki Wairarapa Iwi Development Trust	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Amend	Amend the plan so all te reo Māori words are translated throughout the document.	All te reo Māori words need to be translated and where necessary, defined.	Reject
FS13.004	Horticulture New Zealand			Support	Allow	Translating all te reo Māori words will improve plan usability.	Reject
FS87.076	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Kahungunu Wairarapa Iwi Development Trust	Reject
FS95.065	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Kahungunu Wairarapa Iwi Development Trust	Reject
FS105.048	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Support the submission, as they consider iwi work from a holistic base to protect Te Taiao, which aligns with the further submitter's views.	Reject
S258.030	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Oppose in part	Amend ENG - Energy Chapter, NU - Network Utilities Chapter and TR - Transport Chapter so that each introduction explains the aspects of "Infrastructure" and where	This submission specifically relates to ENG-Energy, NU-Network Utilities, and TR-Transport Chapters. The submitter states that it is not clear whether all infrastructure activities are addressed by the following chapters. All chapters appear to be subsets of	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
	New Zealand Inc				appropriate "RSI" that are considered within the chapters. Ensure that all infrastructure relevant to the council's functions is addressed. Consider changing the same of the NU chapter to Infrastructure and Network Utilities.	infrastructure. Nor is there any clear consideration of Regionally Significant Infrastructure (RSI).	
FS61.001	New Zealand Transport Agency Waka Kotahi (NZTA)			Support	Allow	Agree with the point raised regarding clarity of plan provisions and the need for clear consideration of Regionally Significant Infrastructure (RSI).	Reject
S258.180	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Amend	Insert a new EW-Earthworks chapter with objectives, policies, rules, and standards to identify and manage effects of earthworks (including limiting earthworks to ensure mature exotic trees are not removed prior to determining whether they provide bat roosting habitat, and applying earthworks limits to new primary production activities)	Notes earthworks can result in the loss of indigenous biodiversity and degradation of ecosystem functions, including habitat destruction (including vegetation removal), and should therefore be managed accordingly to avoid, remedy, or mitigate adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity. Considers earthworks are not consistently managed under the plan, e.g. ENG-P4 considers earthworks effects but the chapter makes no further mention of earthworks. NU-R2 and NU-R17 includes earthworks as a matter of discretion but there are no supporting policies. SASM and NFL chapters manage comprehensively. CE chapter permits earthworks for temporary military training activities without limits on scale outside natural character overlays. Considers it is unclear how or whether earthworks are managed outside coastal and natural environment overlays, and how earthworks will be controlled to manage effects on indigenous biodiversity. Considers that where limits do exist, they may not be enough to protect habitat. Where matters of discretion mention earthworks, considers it is not clear how the effects of earthworks need to be considered with respect to indigenous biodiversity.	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
FS13.005	Horticulture New Zealand			Oppose in part	Disallow in part	Applying earthworks limits to new primary production activities would be a barrier to productive use of the land	Accept
FS85.001	New Zealand Defence Force			Oppose	Disallow	Specific and appropriate earthworks provisions related to temporary military training activities (TMTA) are required in the Proposed Plan. In this instance, provisions under the Coastal Environment chapter to enable training exercises such as beach landings. Rule CE-R1 under the Coastal Environment chapter provides for earthworks or buildings and structures associated with TMTA as a permitted activity provided it is not located within an area identified as Outstanding Natural Character or Very High and High Natural Character. Additionally, these activities must not be associated with a new residential activity within the Foreshore Protection Area. As such, the earthworks provisions related to TMTA are reasonably managed to protect indigenous biodiversity.	Accept
FS105.168	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Supports the submission, particularly relating to conservation for indigenous biodiversity.	Reject
S258.194	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Amend	Amend the term "overlay chapters" to "district-wide matters chapters".	Considers the terms "overlays" and "overlay chapters" are used inconsistently, resulting in uncertainty that may affect loss of biodiversity and other values. Supports "overlays" being used to spatially identify values and risks but considers the guidance on how a proposed activity within an overlay is to be considered is inaccurate (set out in the table under Relationships between spatial layers (page 11 in How the Plan Works)) because the term "overlay rule" is not explained or used elsewhere in the plan. In the situation where specific overlay rules do not apply, considers there may still be Permitted activity standards to be met by the activity within other rules of the same or different chapters. Examples given include NATC, SUB, ECO, SCHED5, CE, GRUZ (Martinborough Soils Overlay), chapters.	Reject
S260.010	Tony Garstang	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Support in part	Amend the names of Awa and change back to their original te reo Māori names throughout the Plan.	The awa should have their te reo Māori names back, just as we have recovered for the original names for some of the Tararua Maunga - Mount Jumbo now Pukeahurangi and Castlepoint now Rangiwahakaoma (the place where	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
						the sky runs). Awa should not be treated as stormwater drains but as dynamic living parts of our Mountains to the River landscape, precious Taonga, and home to a host of living revered creatures.	
S260.018	Tony Garstang	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Amend	Insert new provision on the Awa network.	The Plan should incorporate a whole new chapter on the Awa network. This would fit with Council's obligation to keep the community informed. This aspect of public education could extend to signage to show the existence of the Awa passing under the roads and through reserves. Submitter undertook a series of Waiwaka Stream public walks in 2019 and 2020. Perhaps the MD could expand on this with guided bus tours around the public viewing points, schools, reserves, and parks shown on the Tuna Highway Map.	Reject
S260.047	Tony Garstang			Not Stated	Continue installing signs to identify rivers where they cross streets.	Supports Masterton District Councils efforts to install signage to identify rivers where they cross streets. Considers this restores mana, increases public connection to the waterways, and assists the public with navigating the river network.	Reject
S260.052	Tony Garstang	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Not Stated	Undertake riparian planting in Council-owned reserves where needed.	Notes that Coddington Reserve and the reserve between Derby and Durham Streets requires riparian planting to lower water temperatures and improve oxygen levels for migrating eels.	Reject
S260.053	Tony Garstang	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Not Stated	No specific decision requested.	Supports the walkway bridge from Sussex Street over the Makoura. Considers this is good urban development as it is away from cars, peaceful, cycle friendly, well-planted, with many tuna visible in the stream.	Reject
S266.001	Kirsten Browne	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Oppose in part	Amend the Plan and planning maps to achieve more aspirational environmental development goals for Masterton.	Considers mapped overlays are the only mechanism in our District Plan for seeing our reality. That is: one space can embody multiple values, cross referencing several District Plan categories. Considers the Whakaoriori Masterton maps are underdeveloped and is living with outdated environmental development goals simply because the town has not updated its chief development mechanism.	Reject
S266.002	Kirsten Browne	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Oppose in part	Amend the Plan and planning maps to align with the Masterton	Begin aligning the provisions that relate to the Masterton town centre with the Masterton Wellbeing Strategy,	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					Wellbeing Strategy to improve environmental and public outcomes for the town.	using terms beyond retail, business, and motor vehicle movement to recognise other values (e.g., trees, rivers, natural character)	
S269.001	Dan Riddiford	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Not Stated	No decision requested.	The submitter suggests topics to be treated as a submission during the preparation of a future submission. These topics include: The failure of the operative and proposed Wairarapa District Plan to correctly consider the property rights of ratepayers despite the obiter dicta of the Supreme Court in <i>Waitakere v Estate Homes</i> [2006] from para 43 or the stated wishes of the present Government in the Coalition Agreement. All Rules and Policies in the Coastal Marine Environment (including the Coastal Strip, Coastal Seafaces and all rivers, estuaries and "waterways" and soils). Previous communications with SWDC over SASM's declaring them as significant despite (1) the statutory power requiring declaration as to significant parts rather than the entirety and (2) the proposed Rules and Policies will effect a substantial deprivation of the property rights of ratepayers in these areas. Controls on vegetation removal and earthworks. All concerns raised in the submissions of Federated Farmers and Sheep and Cattle farmers generally in the South Wairarapa.	Reject
S256.002	Ngāti Kahungunu ki Wairarapa Tāmaki nui-a-Rua Statutory Acknowledgement	Ngāti Kahungunu ki Wairarapa Tāmaki nui-a-Rua Statutory Acknowledgement	Ngāti Kahungunu ki Wairarapa Tāmaki nui-a-Rua Statutory Acknowledgement	Support in part	Amend Proposed District Plan to include Te Rohe o Rongokako Joint Redress Act. Referring to specific provisions 44-70, 98, 111, 115 and 121. Including other sections of the Act that include one or three Wairarapa local authorities including the regional council.	The Wairarapa District Plan has included statutory Acknowledgement from two of the three Wairarapa Treaty Settlement Acts. The third Act "Te Rohe o Rongokako Joint Redress Act" should also be included. Local authorities have specific outcomes under the Te Rohe o Rongokako Joint Redress Act 2022.	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
S149.043	NZ Transport Agency (NZTA)	General Approach	General Approach	Support in part	Amend the TR-Transport Chapter in accordance with the relief sought in other submission points.	The zone descriptions detail how to apply the Plan by using all Plan chapters, however the links between Area Specific Matters for land use and TR-Transport chapter are not strong. Such links are important because transport matters affect every land use in the district and are a functional requirement for integrated planning outcomes the Plan seeks to achieve, as different from other district wide resource management issues which can be site specific. This approach has been taken under the SUB-Subdivision chapter by use of Standard SUB-S7, which requires all new allotments to have an access meeting the requirements of the relevant TR-Transport chapter rules and standards. The land use chapter does not have a similar rule or standard framework; the submission points and relief sought to the TR-Transport chapter will provide a sound framework to address and implement these important resource management issues.	Reject
S218.001	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Statutory context	Statutory context	Support in part	Amend the table in the 'Statutory Context: Relationship with relevant RMA planning and other documents' to also describe relevant national planning instruments, including the NPSET and NESETA.	Generally supports the description of the statutory context included in the Proposed District Plan. However, believes that there is merit in duplicating reference to the relevant RMA national planning instruments (from a usability and clarity perspective) in the table that describes relevant planning documents.	Accept in part

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
FS13.006	Horticulture New Zealand			Support in part	Allow	Direct reference to the NPSHPL will support the usability and clarity of the plan.	Accept in part
FS67.194	Meridian Energy Limited			Support	Allow	This amendment is consistent with the amendment to Objective CE-O2 requested by Meridian's submission (to address inappropriate subdivision, use and development).	Accept
S218.002	Transpower New Zealand Limited	General Approach	General Approach	Support in part	Amend the General Approach: Parts of the District Plan description as follows: a. Strategic Direction - the strategic objectives set the direction for the District Plan to guide decision making at a strategic level. All other objectives and policies in the District Plan should be read and achieved in a manner consistent with the strategic objectives. No fixed hierarchy exists between the strategic objectives or between the strategic objectives and other objectives and policies in the District Plan.	Generally supports the description of the various parts of the Proposed District Plan included in the 'General Approach'. States that it is critical that the Proposed District Plan clearly articulates the purpose of the Strategic Direction objectives so that there is no ambiguity in future RMA planning approval processes, including in respect of whether there is any hierarchy within the Proposed District Plan. For this reason, supports the inclusion of further interpretation guidance to be clear there is no hierarchy within the Strategic Direction objectives or between the Strategic Direction objectives and other objectives in the Proposed District Plan.	Accept in part
S218.003	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Cross-boundary matters	Cross-boundary matters	Support in part	Amend the 'cross-boundary matters' to include the following additional paragraph: Infrastructure networks, including regionally and nationally significant networks, necessarily traverse jurisdictions as they carry people, goods, electricity and other services between and beyond the district boundaries.	Generally supports the description of, and responses to, cross-boundary matters included in the Proposed District Plan. Considers that these descriptions and responses failed to consider cross-boundary issues in respect of linear infrastructure, including the National Grid. That is, in concluding that cross-boundary issues are not likely to be significant, the needs of linear	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					To recognise and provide for these infrastructure networks, it is important that there are consistent policy and regulatory approaches by local authorities.	infrastructure, such as roading and electricity networks have not been considered. Therefore, seeks that the 'cross-boundary' matters are amended to reflect and respond to the issues identified in the NPSET. Such an approach is consistent with the direction given in 2.5 of the WRPS and Policy P2 of the NRP.	
S247.001	Enviro NZ Services Ltd	Statutory context	Statutory context	Amend	Insert the Wellington Region Waste Management and Minimisation Plan as an 'other document' with respect to section 74(2)(b)(i) of the Resource Management Act.	District Plans must be prepared having regard to management plans and strategies prepared under other Acts (s74(2)(b)(i) of the RMA). The Wellington Region Waste Management and Minimisation Plan is one of those documents that should inform the strategic context of the Combined District Plan. Waste management and minimisation is a critical issue in the Wairarapa region and has significant impacts on meeting climate change goals. The actions of the WRWMMP need to inform District Plan provisions.	Accept
S256.005	Ngāti Kahungunu ki Wairarapa Iwi Development Trust	Statutory context	Statutory context	Support in part	Insert Ngāti Kahungunu ki Wairarapa Tāmaki Nui-a-Rua Settlement Claims Act 2022 and Te Rohe o Rongokako Joint Redress Act 2022, in the 'Relationship with relevant RMA planning and other documents' table.	The Ngāti Kahungunu ki Wairarapa Tāmaki Nui-a-Rua Settlement Claims Act 2022 and Te Rohe o Rongokako Joint Redress 2022 are not mentioned, however they are legislative acts that influence the WCDP, as outlines in the Te Rohe o Rongokako Joint Redress Act 2022, under section 89 to prepare a reserves management plan under the Act. Section 98 of the Act "must recognise and provide for the content of the natural resources	Accept in part

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
						document to the extent that it is relevant to matters covered by the statement plan."	
FS87.070	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Kahungunu Wairarapa Iwi Development Trust	Accept
FS95.059	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Kahungunu Wairarapa Iwi Development Trust	Accept
FS105.042	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Support the submission, as they consider iwi work from a holistic base to protect Te Taiao, which aligns with the further submitter's views.	Accept
S256.006	Ngāti Kahungunu ki Wairarapa Iwi Development Trust	Statutory context	Statutory context	Support in part	Amend statutory context as follows "... The councils have a duty under section 8 of the RMA to take into account the principals of the Treaty of Waitangi (Te Tiriti o Waitangi). The principles are not explicitly defined in the RMA and will vary depending upon the special needs of the iwi within each district. Te Tiriti o Waitangi will be implemented by iwi on a case-by-case basis. Recognising and accepting the there are different conceptions of resource consent allocation between Tangata Whenua and the Councils... ..."	It is not accurate to state, 'The principles are not explicitly defined in the RMA and will vary depending upon the special needs of the iwi within each district.'	Reject
FS87.071	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Kahungunu Wairarapa Iwi Development Trust	Accept

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
FS95.060	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Kahungunu Wairarapa Iwi Development Trust	Accept
FS105.043	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Support the submission, as they consider iwi work from a holistic base to protect Te Taiao, which aligns with the further submitter's views.	Accept
S256.007	Ngāti Kahungunu ki Wairarapa Iwi Development Trust	Statutory context	Statutory context	Support	Amend Statutory Context as follows "Recognising and accepting that there are different conceptions of resource allocation between Tangata Whenua and the Councils is an important resource management issue. Consultation Wānanga between parties will provide the basis for achieving a greater empathy understanding between Tangata Whenua and the Councils."	There are a couple of words that should be changed as the tone could be improved.	Accept in part
FS87.072	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Kahungunu Wairarapa Iwi Development Trust	Accept
FS95.061	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Kahungunu Wairarapa Iwi Development Trust	Accept
FS105.044	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Support the submission, as they consider iwi work from a holistic base to protect Te Taiao, which aligns with the further submitter's views.	Accept
S258.195	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of	Relationships between spatial layers	Relationships between spatial layers	Amend	Amend 'How the Plan Works' to include a list of all the different overlays in the Plan.	Considers the terms "overlays" and "overlay chapters" are used inconsistently, resulting in uncertainty that may affect loss of biodiversity and other values.	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
	New Zealand Inc					Supports "overlays" being used to spatially identify values and risks, but considers the guidance on how a proposed activity within an overlay is to be considered is inaccurate (set out in the table under Relationships between spatial layers (page 11 in How the Plan Works)) because the term "overlay rule" is not explained or used elsewhere in the plan. In the situation where specific overlay rules do not apply, considers there may still be Permitted activity standards to be met by the activity within other rules of the same or different chapters. Examples given include NATC, SUB, ECO, SCHED5, CE, GRUZ (Martinborough Soils Overlay), chapters.	

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Panel Decision
S174.001	Monique Leerschool	Foreword	Foreword	Amend	Amend Foreword: Wairarapa of tomorrow provides national leadership in supporting economic generative farming practices, effective native biodiversity protection, and resilient and thriving community life.	Reject
S256.003	Ngāti Kahungunu ki Wairarapa Iwi Development Trust	Mihi	Mihi	Support in part	Delete credits to mihimihi and include Ngāti Kahungunu ki Wairarapa alongside Rangitāne o Wairarapa.	Accept
FS87.068	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Support	Allow	Accept
FS95.057	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Accept
FS105.040	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Accept
S256.004	Ngāti Kahungunu ki Wairarapa Iwi Development Trust	Foreword	Foreword	Support in part	Insert reference to the Ngāti Kahungunu ki Wairarapa Tāmaki Nui-a-Rua Claims Settlement Act 2022 and Te Rohe o Rongokoko Joint Redress Act 2022. The WCDP sets out rules for land use and subdivision in the Wairarapa balancing the need for growth, desired environment outcomes and tangata whenua aspirations through the respective Wairarapa Treaty Settlements, Marae, Māori Land Trusts and Hāpu aspirations.	Reject
FS87.069	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Support	Allow	Reject
FS95.058	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Reject
FS105.041	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Panel Decision
S119.001	Simon Byrne	National policy statements and New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement	National policy statements and New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement	Oppose	Submitter requests that Masterton District Council write to the Ministry of the Environment stating the Council has not allowed for sufficient new housing capacity in either the operative or proposed district plan.	Reject
FS12.001	Phillip Gareth Spilhaus			Oppose	Disallow	Accept
S189.007	Chorus New Zealand Limited (Chorus), Connexa Limited (Connexa), Aotearoa Tower Group (trading as FortySouth), One New Zealand Group Limited (One NZ) and Spark New Zealand Trading Limited (Spark)	National Environmental Standards	National Environmental Standards	Support	Retain the recognition of the NESTF as notified.	Accept
S189.008	Chorus New Zealand Limited (Chorus), Connexa Limited (Connexa), Aotearoa	Regulations	Regulations	Support	Retain the recognition of the Resource Management (Network Utility Operations) Regulations 2016 as notified.	Accept

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Panel Decision
	Tower Group (trading as FortySouth), One New Zealand Group Limited (One NZ) and Spark New Zealand Trading Limited (Spark)					
S218.011	Transpower New Zealand Limited	National policy statements and New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement	National policy statements and New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement	Support	Retain the commentary in relation to national policy statements as notified.	Accept
S218.012	Transpower New Zealand Limited	National Environmental Standards	National Environmental Standards	Support	Retain the commentary in relation to national environmental standards as notified.	Accept
S220.001	Meridian Energy Limited	National policy statements and New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement	National policy statements and New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement	Support in part	Retain but amend the references to the National Policy Statement for Renewable Electricity Generation and the National Policy Statement on Electricity Transmission if those National Policy Statements are updated or replaced before the hearing and determination of submissions on the PWCDP.	Accept
FS74.002	Genesis Energy Limited			Support in part	Allow in part	Accept

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
S22.005	NZ Agricultural Aviation Association	RE-O2	RE-O2	Support	Retain RE-O2 as notified.	Primary production is important to the economic and social wellbeing of the region therefore productive capacity should be protected.	Accept in part
FS48.006	Aviation Industry Association for NZ Helicopter Association			Support	Allow		Accept in part
S32.007	Harvey Norman Properties (N.Z.) Limited	UFD-O5	UFD-O5	Support	Retain UFD-O5 as notified.	Supports the proposed objective.	Accept
S32.008	Harvey Norman Properties (N.Z.) Limited	UFD-O6	UFD-O6	Support	Retain UFD-O6 as notified.	Supports the proposed objective.	Accept
S47.002	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	HC-O1	HC-O1	Support in part	Amend HC-O1: The cultural, spiritual, and/or historical values associated with historic heritage and sites and areas of significance to Rangitāne o Wairarapa, and Ngāti Kahungūnu ki Wairarapa, whānau, and hapū are recognised, protected and maintained.	This objective provides for recognition, protection and maintenance of heritage values and sites of significance to Rangitāne o Wairarapa and Ngāti Kahungūnu ki Wairarapa consistent with requirements in Section 6 (c) and (f) of the RMA. Whānau and hapū are an important part of this and not all hapū are covered under Rangitāne o Wairarapa and Kahungūnu ki Wairarapa.	Accept
FS95.073	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhit ia Trust			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated	Accept
FS105.006	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Support the submission, as consider iwi work from a holistic base to protect Te Taiao, which aligns with the further submitter's views.	Accept
S47.003	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	HC-O2	HC-O2	Amend	Amend HC-O2: Sites and features that have been identified as having special qualities and values that	As currently worded, this objective only protects those sites that are identified in the district plan. Many sites may not be	Accept in part

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					contribute to whānau, hapū and iwi, Rangitāne o Wairarapa and Ngāti Kahungūnu ki Wairarapa sense of place and identity are recognised and protected.	identified until a resource consent or designation process occurs through engagement with mana whenua/tangata whenua. The objective also uses the terms 'sense of place and identity' to describe mana whenua/tangata whenua connection to sites and features. These are westernised terms and do not reflect the deep-rooted connection that mana whenua/tangata whenua have to sites of significance.	
FS95.074	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated	Accept in part
FS105.007	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Support the submission, as consider iwi work from a holistic base to protect Te Taiao, which aligns with the further submitter's views.	Accept in part
S47.004	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	NE-O1	NE-O1	Amend	Amend NE-O1 to: Wairarapa sense of place and identity is enhanced by the positive contributions from the natural environment.	As currently worded this objective implies that the natural environment must provide something for Wairarapa's sense of place and identity, rather than it having intrinsic value.	Accept in part
FS95.075	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated	Accept in part
FS105.008	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Support the submission, as consider iwi work from a holistic base to protect Te Taiao, which aligns with the further submitter's views.	Accept in part
S47.005	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	NE-O2	NE-O2	Support in part	Amend NE-O2 to: The mauri of Wairarapa Moana is actively protected and restored.	The intent of the objective is supported but would be strengthened with an additional directive term to ensure a comprehensive approach. The use of 'protect' would ensure consideration of prevention of harm and ongoing preservation, as well as restoration.	Accept in part

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
FS95.076	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated	Accept in part
FS105.009	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Support the submission, as consider iwi work from a holistic base to protect Te Taiao, which aligns with the further submitter's views.	Accept in part
S47.006	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	NE-O5	NE-O5	Amend	Amend NE-O5: Land and water are managed using an integrated approach, in collaboration partnership with tangata whenua and through engagement with the community, and other government entities.	The wording of this objective should specify a partnership directive for tangata whenua and engagement for community and other government entities. This would be consistent with the NPSFM and Section 8 of the RMA.	Reject
FS13.019	Horticulture New Zealand			Oppose	Disallow	Communities should have equal opportunity to tangata whenua to contribute to freshwater management, as supported by the NPSFM.	Accept
FS95.077	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated	Reject
FS105.0010	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Support the submission, as consider iwi work from a holistic base to protect Te Taiao, which aligns with the further submitter's views. Particularly supports water resilience.	Reject
S47.007	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	TW-O1	TW-O1	Support in part	Amend TW-O1: The values, rights, and interests of Rangitāne o Wairarapa, and Ngāti Kahungūnu ki Wairarapa, whānau, and hapū are recognised and protected.	Not all whānau and hapū sit within Rangitāne o Wairarapa and Kahungūnu ki Wairarapa and should be included within these statements. This objective provides for recognition, protection and whānau, hapū and iwi both Rangitāne o Wairarapa and Ngāti Kahungūnu ki Wairarapa values rights and interests.	Accept
FS95.078	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated	Accept

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
FS105.011	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Support the submission, as consider iwi work from a holistic base to protect Te Taiao, which aligns with the further submitter's views.	Accept
S47.008	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	TW-O2	TW-O2	Amend	Amend TW-O2: Rangitāne o Wairarapa, and Ngāti Kahungūnu ki Wairarapa, whānau, and hapū are actively involved participate in resource management processes.	As currently worded, this puts the onus on mana whenua/tangata whenua to ensure participation in resource management processes, rather than sharing responsibility with local authorities to provide active involvement opportunities for mana whenua/tangata whenua. In addition to listing out the iwi, please include whānau, hapū as not all whānau and hapū are part of the iwi.	Accept in part
FS95.079	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated	Accept in part
FS105.012	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Support the submission, as consider iwi work from a holistic base to protect Te Taiao, which aligns with the further submitter's views.	Accept in part
S47.009	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	TW-O3	TW-O3	Support in part	Amend TW-O3: Rangitāne o Wairarapa, and Ngāti Kahungūnu ki Wairarapa, whānau, and hapū can protect, develop, and use Māori land to undertake customary activities, and to support their social and economic aspirations.	Not all whānau, hapū are part of Rangitāne o Wairarapa and Kahungunu ki Wairarapa.	Accept in part
FS95.080	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated	Accept in part
FS105.013	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Support the submission, as consider iwi work from a holistic base to protect Te Taiao, which aligns with the further submitter's views.	Accept in part
S47.015	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	TW-O4	TW-O4	Support in part	Amend TW-O4: Rangitāne o Wairarapa, and Ngāti Kahungūnu	This objective is supported as consistent with Section 7 (a) of the RMA. However,	Accept

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					ki Wairarapa, whānau, and hapū can exercise kaitiakitanga and engage with their culture, traditions, ancestral lands, waterbodies, sites, areas, and landscapes and other taonga of significance to Māori.	whānau and hapū need to be included as not all hapū sit within the two iwi.	
FS95.086	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated	Accept
FS105.019	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Support the submission, as consider iwi work from a holistic base to protect Te Taiao, which aligns with the further submitter's views.	Accept
S47.016	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	UFD-O1	UFD-O1	Amend	Amend UFD-O1: Maintain Wairarapa's urban form as a series of connected urban areas located along the main transport routes which each support a local community.	This is already true of the Wairarapa urban form and therefore not an objective. If the intent is that this urban form is maintained, this needs to be specified. This is not necessarily a specific goal of Rangitāne o Wairarapa, however, amendment to this wording provides general clarity.	Accept in part
FS95.087	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated	Accept in part
FS105.020	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Support the submission, as consider iwi work from a holistic base to protect Te Taiao, which aligns with the further submitter's views.	Accept in part
S79.013	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	INF-O1	INF-O1	Support	Retain Objective INF-O1 as notified.	Supports INF-O1 as it recognises the benefits of infrastructure and the need to protect infrastructure from incompatible land use, subdivision and development.	Accept in part
S81.010	Genesis Energy Ltd	New provision request	New provision request	Amend	Insert a new Climate Change and Resilience objective as follows: CCR-O5 Renewable electricity: Recognise the benefits of renewable electricity generation activities and the electricity	Supports Objective 1 CCR-O1 (Climate change mitigation) that the Wairarapa develops and functions in a way that assists in the transition to a low-carbon future. However, given that development of	Accept in part

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					transmission network, including reducing greenhouse gas emissions, and provide for their development, operation, maintenance, and upgrade within the Wairarapa to assist with the decarbonisation of the economy.	renewable electricity generation will form a significant part of New Zealand's climate change response. Considers that a strategic direction to recognise the benefits of renewable electricity generation and providing for their development, operation, maintenance, and upgrade.	
FS67.156	Meridian Energy Limited			Support	Allow	Meridian agrees the proposed Objective CCR-O5 is appropriate, given the importance of REG in contributing to emissions reductions and climate change resilience recognised in national strategies.	Accept in part
S81.011	Genesis Energy Ltd	New provision request	New provision request	Amend	Insert a new Rural Environment objective as follows: RE-O6 Renewable Electricity Generation: The General Rural Zone is available for renewable electricity generation activities and contributes positively to New Zealand's climate change targets and commitments.	Considers that the development of renewable electricity generation (solar and wind) within the Wairarapa is most likely to occur within the rural environment. Considers that an objective recognising that renewable electricity generation is likely to form part of the rural environment in the future and recognises that the Rural Environment is where such activities are most likely to occur.	Accept in part
FS67.157	Meridian Energy Limited			Support	Allow	Meridian's submission proposed amendment of Objective ENG-O2 to similar effect. Meridian agrees it is appropriate to also emphasise these matters in the Rural Environment context.	Accept in part
S81.012	Genesis Energy Ltd	New provision request	New provision request	Amend	Insert a new Infrastructure objective as follows: INF-O2 Infrastructure Location: Infrastructure activities must be recognised and provided for within all environments in the District, while ensuring adverse effects are well managed.	States that infrastructure activities may occur in all areas of the Wairarapa and are necessary to both ensure that economic and social wellbeing is provided for across the district. Considers that a strategic direction explicitly recognising that infrastructure can be expected to occur in any environment identified in the Proposed Plan is necessary to alert all plan users to the likelihood of such activities occurring in all areas.	Reject

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
FS73.001	Director-General of Conservation Penny Nelson			Oppose	Disallow	Disagrees with the submitter that infrastructure is recognised and provided for in all environments. Different environments are sensitive to different activities and the functional need for the activities must be considered first.	Accept in part
FS97.052	Transpower New Zealand			Support	Allow	For the reasons given in the primary submission, and in order to give effect to the NPSET, supports the relief sought.	Reject
S90.006	Toka Tū Ake EQC	CCR-O3	CCR-O3	Support	Retain CCR-O3 as notified.	Supports the objective of developing the Wairarapa in a way that does not increase the risk and consequences of natural hazards.	Accept
S91.004	Canoe Wines Limited Partnership	TW-O1	TW-O1	Support	Retain TW-O1 as notified.	Support intention of Objective.	Accept in part
S91.005	Canoe Wines Limited Partnership	TW-O2	TW-O2	Support	Retain TW-O2 as notified.	Support intention of Objective.	Accept in part n
S91.006	Canoe Wines Limited Partnership	TW-O4	TW-O4	Support	Retain TW-O4 as notified.	Support intention of Objective.	Accept in part
S91.007	Canoe Wines Limited Partnership	UFD-O2	UFD-O2	Support	Retain UFD-O2 as notified.	Support intention of Objective.	Accept in part n
S91.008	Canoe Wines Limited Partnership	UFD-O3	UFD-O3	Support	Retain UFD-O3 as notified.	Support intention of Objective.	Accept in part
S91.009	Canoe Wines Limited Partnership	UFD-O4	UFD-O4	Support	Retain UFD-O4 as notified.	Support intention of Objective.	Accept in part
S94.023	Greater Wellington Regional Council	CCR-O1	CCR-O1	Support	Retain as notified.	It is appropriate to include strategic direction on the management of climate change and resilience, including providing for planning for adaptation and mitigation measures.	Accept in part n

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
FS105.060	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Supports submission point, particularly relating to climate change resilience.	Accept in part
S94.024	Greater Wellington Regional Council	CCR-O2	CCR-O2	Support in part	Amend as follows: The Wairarapa is resilient, adapts to the effects of climate change, supports natural functioning ecosystems and processes to help build resilience into the natural and built environments , and recognises the opportunities and risks associated with those effects.	RPS Change 1 seeks for subdivision, use and development to actively provide for climate resilience, including recognising the role of the natural environment in both climate change mitigation and adaptation. Strategic climate change direction in the Combined District Plan should therefore recognise the relationship between the natural environment and climate change. The submitter seeks that CCR-O2 is amended to provide for this direction; we have sought similar wording to the Wellington City Proposed District Plan (and retained in the relevant Section 42A report).	Reject
FS105.061	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Supports submission point, particularly relating to climate change resilience.	Reject
S94.025	Greater Wellington Regional Council	CCR-O3	CCR-O3	Support	Retain as notified.	Although this strategic direction objective discusses natural hazards more generally than just flood hazards, the wording of this objective is acceptable. In particular, GW supports the wording that the risk and consequence of natural hazards, including flood hazards "are not increased".	Accept
S94.026	Greater Wellington Regional Council	CCR-O4	CCR-O4	Support	Retain as notified.	The submitter supports this objective. Water resilience is a critical issue for Wairarapa, and this objective complements the regional plan provisions aiming to improve water resilience.	Accept
FS105.062	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Supports submission point, particularly relating to water resilience.	Acceptn
S94.027	Greater Wellington Regional Council	NE-O1	NE-O1	Support	Retain as notified.	Restoring the mauri of Wairarapa Moana is a key focus of the Ruamāhanga Whaitua Implementation Programme.	Accept in part

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
FS87.047	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Support	Allow	Support and would like some analysis about the policies and rules within this document about how to implement this objective.	Accept in part
FS95.049	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support and would like some analysis about the policies and rules within this document about how to implement this objective.	Accept in part
S94.028	Greater Wellington Regional Council	NE-O5	NE-O5	Support in part	Amend as follows: Land and water Freshwater, land, water bodies, ecosystems, and receiving environments are managed using an integrated approach, in collaboration with tangata whenua, the community, and other government entities	This objective does not fully cover the domains in clause 3.5 of the NPS-FM 2020 and so does not give full effect to that clause. Amendments are needed to properly reflect the domains named in clause 3.5.	Accept
FS13.020	Horticulture New Zealand			Support	Allow	Greater alignment with the NPSFM is supported.	Accept
FS87.040	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Support	Allow	Support as consistent with the NPSFM.	Accept
FS95.042	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support as consistent with the NPSFM.	Accept
S94.029	Greater Wellington Regional Council	New provision request	New provision request	Amend	Insert new objective NE-O7 as follows: Development, use, and subdivision of land in the Wairarapa is managed in a way that prioritises: 1. first, the health and well-being of water bodies and freshwater ecosystems 2. second, the health needs of people 3. third, the ability of people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural wellbeing, now and in the	These objectives do not reflect the hierarchy in clause 2.1 of the NPS-FM 2020. A new objective is needed to give effect to the Part 2.1.	Reject

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					future.		
FS67.158	Meridian Energy Limited			Oppose	Disallow	The proposed objective imports the NPSFM 'hierarchy of obligations' (for the management of freshwater) and applies it to the management of land and subdivision as well as the management of freshwater. Part 1.5 of the NPS-FM states that it applies to all freshwater (including groundwater) and, to the extent they are affected by freshwater, to receiving environments (which may include coastal marine receiving environments). Meridian accepts that there are some land use activities controlled through district plan rules that may affect freshwater quality or freshwater values. However, there is no direct mandate in the NPS-FM for applying the 'hierarchy of obligations' to the management of land use and the control of effects of land use where these do not affect freshwater values.	Accept
FS81.038	Wairarapa Federated Farmers			Oppose	Disallow	Considers that the relief sought by the submitter is inappropriate in a District Plan. A District Plan is not required to address freshwater issues.	Accept
FS87.041	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Support	Allow	Support as consistent with the NPSFM.	Reject
FS95.043	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support as consistent with the NPSFM.	Reject
FS105.063	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Supports submission point, particularly relating to water resilience.	Reject
S94.030	Greater Wellington Regional Council	RE-O2	RE-O2	Support in part	Amend to: Rural land The General Rural Zone remains available for primary production	The submitter generally supports the intent of this objective in giving effect to the NPS-HPL, because the extent of the General Rural Zone will change over time, we	Accept in part

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					activities and productive capacity is protected.	consider the wording of this objective should be amended to refer to 'rural land' instead of the General Rural Zone.	
FS81.039	Wairarapa Federated Farmers			Support	Allow	Supports the reasons stated by the submitter and therefore supports the relief sought.	Accept in part
FS78.002	Holly Hill			Oppose	Disallow	Oppose broadening this objective to include all 'rural land', as opposed to 'the General Rural Zone'. The rural lifestyle zone is distinct from the General Rural Zone, as its intention is to support rural living opportunities. This objective contends with the objective of the General Rural Zone, to use land primarily for primary production. The NPS-HPL supports this distinction between the two zones. Clause 3.5(7) of the NPS-HPL states that references to highly productive land are not references to rural lifestyle land (until a regional policy statement completes final mapping).	Accept in part
FS109.008	East Leigh Limited			Oppose	Disallow	Considers the meaning of 'rural land' is undefined and ambiguous. This is inappropriate with such a directive objective as to 'remain available'	Accept in part
S94.031	Greater Wellington Regional Council	RE-O3	RE-O3	Support	Retain as notified.	Wording aligns with the NPS-HPL.	Accept in part
S94.032	Greater Wellington Regional Council	RE-O5	RE-O5	Support in part	Amend to: Opportunities for rural lifestyle subdivision and development are only provided in parts of the rural environment where they avoid rezoning and development of highly productive land , do not conflict with enabling primary production and protecting the productive capacity of land, and protect the	Given the clear direction on avoiding rezoning to rural lifestyle in Policy 6 of the NPS-HPL we consider that this provision should include explicit reference to highly productive land, which is not synonymous with the productive capacity of land. In addition, this objective should be amended for consistency with the NPS-FM.	Accept in part

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					health and wellbeing of freshwater ecosystems and receiving environments.		
FS87.042	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Support	Allow	Support as consistent with the NPSFM.	Accept in part
FS95.044	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhit ia Trust			Support	Allow	Support as consistent with the NPSFM.	Accept in part
FS78.007	Holly Hill			Oppose	Disallow	Oppose this further submission because the NPS-HPL has already been appropriately considered. Rural lifestyle opportunities must not conflict with protecting the productive capacity of land. Highly productive land has high productive capacity.	Accept in part
S94.033	Greater Wellington Regional Council	UFD-O1	UFD-O1	Support in part	Amend to: Wairarapa's urban form is a series of well-functioning , connected urban areas located along the main transport routes which each support a local community, with the Masterton urban environment functioning as the largest urban centre.	This is a useful articulation of the Wairarapa's urban form which we support. Given the fact that Masterton must give effect to the NPS-UD as an urban environment, we suggest including mention of Masterton's role as an urban environment. Reference to the urban areas being well-functioning should be added for consistency with NPS-UD terminology, as well as with RPS Change 1. The submitter considers that the characteristics of a well-functioning urban environment, as articulated by NPS-UD Policy 1, are relevant to all urban areas in the Wairarapa. As a combined district plan, NPS-UD direction is appropriate to apply in the context of urban form and development.	Accept in part
S94.034	Greater Wellington	UFD-O2	UFD-O2	Support in part	Amend to: UFD-O2 Urban growth The Wairarapa's urban areas grow	The submitter notes that Masterton must give effect to the NPS-UD as a tier 3 local authority and considers that the strategic	Accept in part

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
	Regional Council				in a planned, efficient, compact , and structured way to meet future needs in a responsive manner that: 1. provides for a variety of housing types that respond to a range of community needs; 2. enables greater densities of development in areas with sufficient infrastructure capacity and located close to centres, services, open spaces and/or public transport; 3. is compatible with the character and amenity of the urban areas, recognising that amenity values change over time; and 4. improves housing affordability; and 5. protects the productive capacity of rural land; and 6. support reductions in transport-related greenhouse gas emissions.	direction objectives should refer to NPS-UD direction and terminology in objectives. The submitter also seeks alignment with RPS Objective 22 to achieve compact and well-designed regional form. As a combined district plan, NPS-UD direction is appropriate to apply in the context of urban form and development. A reference to the NPS-HPL objective would be useful in this objective, given its direction relevance to urban growth. We also question whether the locations and scales of the Proposed Future Urban Zones, as well as provisions in the General Residential Zone, adequately contribute to UFD-O2, particularly point 2. The submitter has requested stronger provisions to facilitate infill and greater densities around existing train stations and town centres.	
FS13.027	Horticulture New Zealand			Support in part	Allow in part	A compact urban form supports the purpose of the NPSUD. Urban growth should be planned such that it does not infringe on the productive capacity of the rural zone, in alignment with the NPSHPL.	Accept in part
S94.035	Greater Wellington Regional Council	UFD-O3	UFD-O3	Support in part	Amend policy: UFD-O3 Urban development capacity land supply There is sufficient development capacity to meet the Wairarapa's housing, commercial, industrial, educational, and recreational needs now and into the future, including housing affordability and choice.	The submitter seeks amendments for greater consistency with the NPS-UD and RPS Change 1. The current title of this objective should be consistent with the wording of the objective, which is related to development capacity.	Accept in part

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
S94.036	Greater Wellington Regional Council	UFD-O4	UFD-O4	Support in part	Amend as follows: Urban growth and development is sequenced and integrated with the efficient provision, including the timing and funding, of infrastructure, schools, and open spaces.	The submitter seeks amendments for greater consistency with RPS Change 1 Policy 58.	Accept in part
S94.037	Greater Wellington Regional Council	UFD-O5	UFD-O5	Support in part	Amend as follows: The Wairarapa contains vibrant and viable town centres that are the location for shopping, leisure, cultural, entertainment, and social interaction experiences, support safe use of active and sustainable transport modes , and provide for the community's employment and economic needs.	The submitter generally supports this policy as it is consistent with the RPS direction on centres but does suggest amendments to align with RPS Change 1 (e.g. Policy CC.1) direction and the NPS-UD.	Accept in part
S94.038	Greater Wellington Regional Council	UFD-O6	UFD-O6	Support	Retain as notified.	Consistent with RPS direction on supporting viable and vibrant centres.	Accept
S94.039	Greater Wellington Regional Council	New provision request	New provision request	Amend	Insert new objective: UFD-O7 Connectivity Wairarapa residents have safe, multi-modal access between housing, employment, services, amenities, green space, and local centres, preferably using active and sustainable transport modes.	Insert new objective to reflect NPS-UD Policy 1(c) and RPS Change direction. Direction on integrating transport and urban development is currently missing from the strategic direction objectives, and this would complement direction in the transport chapter as well as that in specific zones.	Accept in part
S94.040	Greater Wellington Regional Council	INF-O1	INF-O1	Amend	Amend to split into three different objectives: 1. The benefits of infrastructure are recognised and existing infrastructure is used efficiently. 2. The and adverse effects of infrastructure are well managed. 3. Infrastructure is protected from incompatible land use, subdivision	This reads as three different objectives, and it would be clearer split out. There should also be reference to the efficient use of existing infrastructure, as sought by operative RPS Objective 22 clause (k).	Accept in part

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					and development, including reverse sensitivity effects.		
S122.006	Fulton Hogan Limited	RE-O1	RE-O1	Oppose in part	Amend RE-O1 to explicitly reference primary production and ancillary activities as contributing to the region's economic and social wellbeing (e.g. The Wairarapa's rural environment, primary production, and ancillary activities contributes positively to the region's economic and social wellbeing.)	Supports the recognition of the contribution the rural environment has to wellbeing but wishes to see key activities that occur in the rural environment specifically recognised.	Accept in part
FS13.022	Horticulture New Zealand			Support	Allow	Primary production and ancillary activities should be explicitly recognised.	Accept in part
S122.007	Fulton Hogan Limited	RE-O2	RE-O2	Oppose	Amend RE-O2 so as not to exclude quarrying activities as follows or in a way that achieves similar relief (e.g. The General Rural Zone remains available for primary production activities and productive capacity is protected against inappropriate activities.)	Considers that with the definition of 'quarrying activities', RE-O2, as notified could exclude quarrying through reference to protecting productive capacity which is a term that focuses on soil rather than the wider value of land. Notes the NPS-HPL provides a consenting pathway for quarrying activities and therefore is considered 'appropriate' under the circumstances outlined in the NPS-HPL. This needs to be recognised in RE-O2 as support for GRUZ-P9.	Accept in part
FS81.031	Wairarapa Federated Farmers			Oppose in part	Disallow	The submitters concerns are already captured in RE-O2 as the objective refers to primary production which is defined to include quarrying activities. RE-O2 does not extinguish the consenting pathway for quarrying activities in the National Policy Statement for Highly Productive Land.	Accept in part
S122.008	Fulton Hogan Limited	RE-O3	RE-O3	Oppose	Amend RE-O3 to recognise that the NPS- HPL provides pathways for the use of Highly Productive Land where these are deemed 'appropriate' in the context of Clause 3.9 of the NPS-HPL (e.g.	Considers that RE-O3 replicates the objective of the NPS-HPL without providing any district-specific direction or recognising the NPS-HPL provides pathways for use of highly productive land for uses other than land based on primary	Accept in part

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					Highly productive land is protected from inappropriate use or development for use in land-based primary production, both now and for future generations).	production such as mineral extraction. Seeks amendments to RE-O3 to reflect these pathways through reference to 'inappropriate use and development' as set out in Clause 3.9 of the NPS-HPL.	
FS22.009	NZ Pork			Support	Allow	Agrees that the objective should recognise pathways for use of highly productive land for uses other than land based primary production, as per Clause 3.9 in the NPS-HPL.	Accept in part
FS81.032	Wairarapa Federated Farmers			Oppose	Disallow	Policy 8 of the National Policy Statement for Highly Productive Land (NPS-HPL) requires that territorial authorities give priority to highly productive land for land based primary production. The submitters amendment would be inconsistent with this priority requirement and the amendment would imply that highly productive land is only protected from inappropriate use and development when it also needs to be given priority for land based primary production.	Accept in part
FS109.009	East Leigh Limited			Support	Allow	Supports reasons of the original submitter	Accept in part
S122.009	Fulton Hogan Limited	RE-O4	RE-O4	Support in part	Retain RE-O4 as notified.	Supports the overall objective, provided that what constitutes or contributes to rural character is clearly expressed within the Plan (references GRUZ-O2 in other submission points).	Accept in part
S122.010	Fulton Hogan Limited	RE-O5	RE-O5	Support	Retain RE-O5 as notified.	Notes reverse sensitivity effects from rural residential and lifestyle development can be significant for activities such as quarrying, and therefore considers it is important this is highlighted at a strategic level in the Plan.	Accept in part
S122.011	Fulton Hogan Limited	UFD-O2	UFD-O2	Oppose in part	Amend UFD-O2 to include direct reference to reverse sensitivity: ... 3. is compatible with the	States that reverse sensitivity effects resulting from urban development can be significant for activities such as quarrying	Reject

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					character and amenity of urban areas; and4. avoids reverse sensitivity effects.	and is therefore important this is highlighted at a strategic level in the Plan.	
FS13.028	Horticulture New Zealand			Support	Allow	Reverse sensitivity effects from urban expansion can have significant adverse effects on horticulture.	Reject
FS106.001	Radio New Zealand			Support	Allow	Supports references to reverse sensitivity effects in relevant provisions in the Proposed Plan for the reasons set out in its original submission.	Reject
S122.012	Fulton Hogan Limited	INF-O1	INF-O1	Oppose in part	Amend INF-O1 to recognise the role that the materials supply chain provides in achieving infrastructure outcomes: The benefits of infrastructure are recognised, while ensuring its adverse effects are well managed, and- infrastructure is protected from incompatible land use, subdivision and development, including reverse sensitivity effects, and infrastructure is supported through a ready, local supply of the physical construction materials.	Notes that access to physical materials can have a significant impact on the cost of infrastructure. Considers it is therefore important that a ready local supply of key physical materials such as aggregate is available to provide effective, efficient, and resilient infrastructure. Considers the importance of these materials in achieving infrastructure objectives including carbon reduction goals needs to be highlighted.	Reject
S130.002	Xavier Warne	New provision request	New provision request	Support in part	Insert strategic direction objective(s) that address housing supply, affordability, and existing character and amenity.	Considers the Plan needs to respond to housing unaffordability and is an opportunity to enable more abundant, diverse, and affordable homes for the Wairarapa. The Plan needs to articulate the importance of housing supply and affordability through objectives, notably existing character and amenity.	Accept in part
S130.008	Xavier Warne	UFD-O2	UFD-O2	Amend	Amend UFD-O2: The Wairarapa's urban areas grown in a planned, efficient, and structured way to meet current and future needs in a proactive responsive manner that:	Considers the objective should reflect the fact that current needs, not only future needs, may not be met. Considers Council should be proactively planning for anticipated housing demand, not just responding. Update to reflect the National	Accept in part

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					... 3. is compatible with the character and amenity of the urban areas, recognising that amenity values, develop and change over time in response to the diverse and changing needs of people, communities, and future generations.	Policy Statement - Urban Development, Objective 4.	
S132.002	Simon Casey	UFD-06	UFD-06	Oppose	Delete UFD-06.	Considers issues around location of commercial business and the need to allow for expansion. Town centres are restricted by land availability and therefore may limit further development of the commercial centres. Considers UFD-06 may prevent commercial area developing outside of the current town centres.	Reject
S144.001	E McGruddy	CCR-03	CCR-03	Support in part	Amend CCR-03 as follows: The Wairarapa develops and functions in a way that does not increase risk and consequences of natural hazards, and which anticipates and plans for community needs in significant events, eg, food security.	Submitter lists a number of reasons to support decisions requested. In summary the submitter notes that the proposed plan creates an unfortunate and perhaps unintended inference that lifestyle block owners and their properties are not valued within Wairarapa society and economy.	Reject
FS13.018	Horticulture New Zealand			Support	Allow	Food security will be an essential consideration during adverse weather events. Local food production will support health and wellbeing if production in other regions is disrupted by natural disaster.	Reject
S144.002	E McGruddy	RE-05	RE-05	Oppose	Delete RE-05 as follows: Opportunities for rural lifestyle subdivision and development are only provided in parts of the rural environment where they do not conflict with enabling primary production and protecting the productive capacity of the land. And insert: The Wairarapa contains vibrant and viable per-urban areas, buffering the	Submitter lists a number of reasons to support decisions requested. In summary the submitter notes that the proposed plan creates an unfortunate and perhaps unintended inference that lifestyle block owners and their properties are not valued within Wairarapa society and economy.	Accept in part

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					interface between urban and rural zones and providing multiple social and economic services.		
FS78.008	Holly Hill			Support	Allow	Support the recognition of lifestyle blocks as a valued and productive part of the rural economy.	Accept in part
S152.003	AdamsonSha w Ltd	RE-O2	RE-O2	Oppose	Delete or Amend RE-O2.	Objective is too broad and not necessary given the inclusion of RE-O3. Land with low productive capacity within the GRUZ does not need to remain available for primary production.	Accept in part
FS22.007	NZ Pork			Oppose	Disallow	Disagrees that land with low productive capacity within the GRUZ does not need to remain available for primary production. Land that is not defined as 'highly productive' may still have productive capacity value for certain primary production activities. Additionally, primary production activities that are not land-based primary production still have a functional or operational need to be based in the GRUZ.	Accept in part
FS78.003	Holly Hill			Support	Allow	Support this submission point for the reasons provided by the primary submitter.	Reject
S154.018	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhit ia	TW-O1	TW-O1	Amend	Amend TW-O1 to include whānau and hapū.	Considers whānau and hapū should be included because it is not always iwi that are engaged and can be engaged with directly as a rūpū without going via iwi.	Accept
S154.019	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhit ia	TW-O2	TW-O2	Amend	Amend TW-O2 to include whānau and hapū.	Notes whānau and hapū can be engaged directly and is not necessarily done via the iwi.	Accept
S154.020	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhit ia	TW-O3	TW-O3	Support	Amend TW-O3 to include whānau and hapū.	Considers whānau and hapū should be included because we hold their own tino rangatiratanga.	Accept

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
S154.024	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhit ia	TW-O4	TW-O4	Amend	Amend TW-O4 to include whānau and hapū.	Considers whānau and hapū hold their own tino rangatiratanga and should not be engaged through the iwi, but via their own engagements.	Accept
S167.006	Ara Poutama Aotearoa the Department of Corrections	UFD-O2	UFD-O2	Support	Retain UFD-O2 as notified.	This objective enables housing types that respond to the needs of the South Wairarapa, Masterton, and Carterton communities, such as supported and transitional residential activities.	Accept in part
S167.007	Ara Poutama Aotearoa the Department of Corrections	New provision request	New provision request	Oppose in part	Insert new Strategic Direction Objective UFD-OX as follows: UFD-OX - Social and community facilitiesSocial and community facilities are enabled to support the wellbeing of all members of the community.	There is no strategic objective which enables social and community facilities, which are essential for the health, social and cultural wellbeing of the community. Social and community facilities encompass community corrections facilities. These are important as they enable people and communities to provide for their wellbeing, health and safety.	Reject
S172.014	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	CCR-O3	CCR-O3	Support	Retain CCR-O3 as notified.	Supports CCR-O3 insofar as it encourages development that does not increase the consequences of natural hazards.	Accept
S172.015	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	UFD-O2	UFD-O2	Support	Retain UFD-O2 as notified.	Supports UFD-O2 insofar as it encourages urban growth in areas with sufficient infrastructure capacity. As defined in the RMA, infrastructure includes a water supply distribution system which is a critical part of providing firefighting water supply.	Accept in part
S172.016	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	UFD-O4	UFD-O4	Support	Retain UFD-O4 as notified.	Supports UFD-O4 insofar as it promotes urban growth and development which is integrated with efficient provision, timing, and funding of infrastructure.	Accept in part
S172.017	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	INF-O1	INF-O1	Support	Retain INF-O1 as notified.	Supports INF-O1 insofar as it recognises the benefits of infrastructure and the importance of protecting infrastructure from incompatible land use, subdivision, and development.	Accept in part

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
S174.002	Monique Leerschool	NE-O1	NE-O1	Amend	Amend NE-O1: The natural environment, especially the indigenous biodiversity, is proactively managed and protected.	Notes indigenous biodiversity in New Zealand has been reported to be in a general state of crises, including in the Wairarapa. Considers District Councils need to be more proactive about managing and protecting indigenous biodiversity, including as a landowner in all the areas they own, such as parks, reserves, water supply areas and plantations. The Wairarapa Plan needs to signal this intention more clearly.	Reject
FS67.149	Meridian Energy Limited			Oppose	Disallow	The requested amendment prioritises indigenous biodiversity over other elements of the natural environment in a manner inconsistent with the Act. Indigenous biodiversity is already explicitly addressed by Objective NE-O6.	Accept
S182.001	Aggregate and Quarry Association	New provision request	New provision request	Support	Insert a new Strategic Direction Objective in the RE - Rural Environment section to support quarrying activities.	Quarrying is important and should be better supported. Notes that RE-O2 and RE-O3 and Rural Environment objectives should be referring to quarrying but references elsewhere in the Plan suggest this is not the case. Seeks a new or amended Strategic Direction Objective - Rural Environment to this effect.	Reject
FS87.001	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Oppose	Disallow	Quarrying is included in the definition for primary production (the same definition is in the National Planning Standards) and is therefore provided for in RE-O2.	Accept
FS95.001	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhit ia Trust			Oppose	Disallow	Definitions and policies are appropriate for the impact that the quarrying industry has on the whenua and whānau in turn. The removal of such stones affects Ātua Hineahuone, and through this whakapapa, affects Soil and Kai Sovereignty. The impacts of such kaupapa should be discussed with mana whenua (whānau, hapū and iwi) to understand the	Accept

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
						intergenerational impacts that these actions will have.	
S186.001	Wellington Fish and Game Council	CCR-O2	CCR-O2	Support in part	Amend CCR-O2 to also include reference to the integration of resilience with restoration and protection of the natural environment.	Climate change and resilience adaptations integrate resilience with restoration and protection of the natural environment, including freshwater, and allow for new modalities such as giving rivers room to move as knowledge and technology become known into the future.	Reject
S186.002	Wellington Fish and Game Council	CCR-O4	CCR-O4	Support in part	Amend CCR-O4 to read "Land use activities which support and enhance water resilience and adaptive management is encouraged."	Strongly encourage CCR-O4 just needs the word "which" added.	Accept in part
FS81.065	Wairarapa Federated Farmers			Oppose	Disallow	The Resource Management Act 1991 does not prioritise any one land use over another, and all land uses are required to avoid remedy or mitigate their adverse effects.	Accept in part
S186.003	Wellington Fish and Game Council	NE-O1	NE-O1	Support	Retain as notified.	Support this provision.	Accept in part
S186.004	Wellington Fish and Game Council	NE-O3	NE-O3	Support	Retain as notified.	Support	Accept in part
S186.005	Wellington Fish and Game Council	NE-O5	NE-O5	Support in part	Amend NE-O5 as follows: "...in collaboration with tangata whenua, the community, stakeholders , and other government entities."	Support with amendments and include stakeholders in the provision and in consultation policies, as stakeholders often have a wealth of knowledge and expertise developed over time.	Reject
FS13.021	Horticulture New Zealand			Support	Allow	Stakeholders provide valuable expertise in resource management.	Reject
S186.006	Wellington Fish and Game Council	NE-O6	NE-O6	Support in part	"The biological diversity of indigenous and valued introduced species and habitats within the Wairarapa are	Valued introduced species such as trout and their habitats have legislative protections, which can often lead to improvements for indigenous freshwater species.	Reject

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					maintained and enhanced, and restored where degraded.		
FS90.136	Greater Wellington Regional Council			Oppose	Disallow	Considers that 'Valued species' is a broad term. It raises questions as to what those values are and to whom are they of value. While it is understood that Fish and Game has a primary interest in game species, such as trout, salmon, ducks, deer and pigs, use of such a generic term could capture a range of species, including those valued for food production (e.g., sheep and cows). The relief sought is inconsistent with the wording and direction on fish passage clause 3.26 in the NPS-FM 2020, which requires to protect desired fish species. "Valued introduced species" could be 'undesirable fish species' in certain locations which might undermine the maintenance, enhancement and restoration efforts this policy enables. Additionally, NE-O6 is responding to pressures on indigenous biodiversity. It is not appropriate to include 'valued introduced species'.	Accept
S186.007	Wellington Fish and Game Council	RE-O3	RE-O3	Neutral	No specific change requested.	It is important to allow for flexibility in planning and forward thinking to preserve land integrity. This could include options such as giving rivers more room to move, allowing floodplain recharge and river inputs to land to increase soil health without extensive inputs of nutrients and fertilizers. Highly productive land is a vital resource; however it is important to allow flexibility for future land use technology and culture changes.	Accept in part
S186.008	Wellington Fish and Game Council	INF-O1	INF-O1	Not Stated	No decision requested.	Ensure there is a focus on managing the potential adverse effects of infrastructure on the environment, and that reverse sensitivity issues do not prevent environmental restoration projects.	Accept in part

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
S187.011	New Zealand Frost Fans	RE-O1	RE-O1	Support	Retain as notified.	The proposed is an appropriate objective and supports land based primary production and the National Policy Statement for Highly Productive Land.	Accept in part
S187.012	New Zealand Frost Fans	RE-O2	RE-O2	Support in part	Amend RE-O2 as follows: RE-O2 Productive Capacity The productive land resources of the General Rural Zone remains available for primary production and their productive capacity is maintained.	While the objective is generally supported, it is the resources of the zone and their productive capacity, not the zone itself, that should be available for primary production to give effect to the National Policy Statement for Highly Productive Land.	Accept in part
FS89.014	Fulton Hogan Limited			Oppose	Disallow	The submitter seeks to amend the objective to re-focus it on the 'productive land resources' of the general rural zone as opposed to the zone itself. This significantly narrows the focus of the policy to the point where it may exclude a range of activities that necessarily and appropriately occur in rural areas.	Accept in part
FS78.004	Holly Hill			Support	Allow	Support this submission point for the reasons provided by the primary submitter.	Accept in part
S187.013	New Zealand Frost Fans	RE-O3	RE-O3	Support in part	Amend Re-O3 as follows: RE-O3 Highly productive land and land with highly productive characteristics Highly productive land and land with highly productive characteristics is protected and prioritised for use in land based primary production, both now and for future generations.	The Objective should include the matters set out in 3.4(3) of the National Policy Statement as they have a strong relationship to the Wairarapa and the Martinborough Soils Overlay in particular.	Reject
S187.014	New Zealand Frost Fans	RE-O4	RE-O4	Support in part	Amend RE-O4 as follows: RE-O4 Character and amenity of the rural environment The character and amenity of the rural environment is maintained and/or enhanced	Objective should refer to amenity as well as character. In particular this will address the conflicts of uses with differing amenity expectations.	Accept in part

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
FS67.153	Meridian Energy Limited			Oppose	Disallow	Agrees the 'and' should be an 'or'. The objective is addressing overall rural character defined by its broad landscape quality. Matters of amenity are addressed by other PDP objectives and policies.	Accept in part
S187.015	New Zealand Frost Fans	RE-O5	RE-O5	Support in part	Amend RE-O5 as follows: Rural Lifestyle Opportunities for rural lifestyle subdivision and development are only provided in parts of the rural environment and associated use is located, designed and constructed where they in a manner which does not conflict with enabling primary production and protecting the productive capacity of land.	It is not just the location of lifestyle of primary production that creates conflict; it is the design, construction and use. This is a vital part of managing sensitivity effects and giving effect to the NPS-HPL.	Reject
S189.009	Chorus New Zealand Limited (Chorus), Connexa Limited (Connexa), Aotearoa Tower Group (trading as FortySouth), One New Zealand Group Limited (One NZ) and Spark New Zealand Trading Limited (Spark)	New provision request	New provision request	Amend	Insert a new objective as follows: RE-O6 - Infrastructure Rural communities and development are provided with infrastructure to enable connections with and ongoing positive contributions to the Wairarapa's economic and social wellbeing.	A strategic objective seeking that rural communities and development are supported with the provision of infrastructure to enable rural communities to connect with and positively contribute to the area's economic and social wellbeing is sought.	Reject
S189.010	Chorus New Zealand Limited	UFD-O1	UFD-O1	Support in part	Amend UDF-O1 as follows: Wairarapa's urban form is a series of connected urban areas	The general direction of UFD-O1 is supported, however clarification is sought to what the term 'connected' means, and	Reject

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
	(Chorus), Connexa Limited (Connexa), Aotearoa Tower Group (trading as FortySouth), One New Zealand Group Limited (One NZ) and Spark New Zealand Trading Limited (Spark)				connected by infrastructure located along the main transport routes which each support a local community.	ensuring it is broader than simply a transport connection.	
S189.011	Chorus New Zealand Limited (Chorus), Connexa Limited (Connexa), Aotearoa Tower Group (trading as FortySouth), One New Zealand Group Limited (One NZ) and Spark New Zealand Trading Limited (Spark)	UFD-O2	UFD-O2	Support	Retain UFD-O2 as notified.	Recognising that urban growth needs to be serviced by infrastructure is supported.	Accept in part
S189.012	Chorus New Zealand Limited (Chorus),	UFD-O4	UFD-O4	Support	Retain UFD-O4 as notified.	Recognising that urban growth needs to be integrated with infrastructure is supported.	Accept in part

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
	Connexa Limited (Connexa), Aotearoa Tower Group (trading as FortySouth), One New Zealand Group Limited (One NZ) and Spark New Zealand Trading Limited (Spark)						
S189.013	Chorus New Zealand Limited (Chorus), Connexa Limited (Connexa), Aotearoa Tower Group (trading as FortySouth), One New Zealand Group Limited (One NZ) and Spark New Zealand Trading Limited (Spark)	INF-O1	INF-O1	Support in part	Amend INF-O1 as follows: The benefits of infrastructure are recognised, while ensuring its adverse effects are well managed (and consideration given to the functional or operational need of the infrastructure) . and Ensure infrastructure is protected from incompatible land use, subdivision and development, including reverse sensitivity effects.	A strategic direction objective for infrastructure is supported. However, it is unclear what "well managed" means in terms of adverse effects. Some infrastructure, due to its functional and operational need, will have residual adverse effects of varying degrees, which needs to be recognised in the objective.	Reject
FS97.003	Transpower New Zealand			Support	Allow	Supports the relief sought and particularly supports the acknowledgement that some infrastructure will have residual adverse effects on the environment due to its functional need or operational need.	Reject

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
FS106.007	Radio New Zealand			Support	Allow	Also submitted on INF-O1, seeking that the benefits of infrastructure are "enabled" as well as recognised. Agrees with the amendments proposed by the original submitter et al and seeks that the submission is accepted.	Reject
S203.002	Summerset Group Holdings Limited	UFD-O2	UFD-O2	Amend	Amend as follows: 1. provides for a variety of housing types, including retirement villages , that respond to a range of community needs, including the growth of ageing populations	The submitter supports the objective but considers that it could be amended to specifically recognise the strategic importance of retirement villages.	Reject
S203.003	Summerset Group Holdings Limited			Support	Retain the provisions as notified	The submitter supports the balance of the Strategic Direction objectives	Accept in part
S208.004	Ballance Agri-Nutrients	RE-O2	RE-O2	Support	Retain the objective RE-O2	This objective highlights the importance of primary production to the economic and social wellbeing of the region and the need for primary production to be protected	Accept in part
S209.011	Powerco Limited	INF-O1	INF-O1	Support	Retain as drafted	Submitter supports this objective and the clarity which it provides.	Accept in part
S212.001	Māori Trustee	New provision request	New provision request	Support	Insert new objective as follows: CCR-O5. Owners of Māori land are empowered to become resilient so they can build adaptive capacity using mātauranga Māori, accurate data and information to support informed decision-making where climate adaptation and retreat processes are implemented.	The submitter states that an objective is required to address impact of climate change on Maori land.	Reject
FS90.0100	Greater Wellington			Support	Allow	Considers that the relief sought is consistent with the operative RPS and Proposed RPS Change 1.	Reject

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
	Regional Council						
S212.002	Māori Trustee	HC-O1	HC-O1	Support	Retain as notified.	The submitter supports this objective.	Accept in part
S212.003	Māori Trustee	HC-O2	HC-O2	Support	Retain as notified.	The submitter supports this objective.	Accept in part
S212.004	Māori Trustee	NE-O1	NE-O1	Support in part	Amend as follows: Recognise, protect and sustain the natural environment's ability to positively contribute to the Wairarapa's sense of place and identity.	The submitter generally supports the Natural Environment objectives however notes the intention is not clear and requires amending. The current drafting seems to place an obligation on the natural environment to justify its worth, rather than highlighting the district's responsibility to acknowledge and preserve its inherent natural values.	Reject
S212.005	Māori Trustee	NE-O3	NE-O3	Support in part	Amend as follows: (2). areas with natural, ecological, and landscape values, and sites of significance to tangata whenua Māori are protected.	The submitter states for consistency with other areas of the Plan, that sites of significance to Maori should be used for this objective.	Accept
S212.006	Māori Trustee	NE-O5	NE-O5	Support in part	Amend as follows: Land and water are managed using an integrated approach, in collaboration with tangata whenua owners of Māori land , the community and other government entities.	The submitter supports and acknowledges Rangitāne o Wairarapa and Ngāti Kahungūnu ki Wairarapa as tangata whenua in the Wairarapa district. The submitter administers whenua Māori on behalf of Māori freehold landowners, who have had their whakapapa connection to their ancestral lands confirmed by a Māori Land Court order upon succession. The current definition of tangata whenua in the RMA 1991 does not expressly provide for Māori freehold landowners. s74 of the RMA requires territorial authorities to prepare and change their plans in accordance with Part 2 matters - including recognising and providing for "the relationship for Maori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water sites, waahi tapu, and other taonga"	Reject

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
						as a matter of national importance. In order for the council to perform their functions and duties under the Act, the PDP should therefore recognise and provide for all Māori rights and interests within this objective. Owners of Māori land, as defined in paragraph 9, should be included in this objective.	
S212.007	Māori Trustee	NE-O6	NE-O6	Support in part	Amend as follows: The biological diversity and ecosystem services of indigenous species and habitats within the Wairarapa are maintained and enhanced, and restored where degraded.	The objective should be amended to reference 'ecosystem services', in addition to biological diversity, as an important function of a healthy ecosystem. As a strategic objective it is highly relevant to consider that healthy ecosystems, in addition to providing habitat for indigenous biodiversity, can also provide important services for people and communities such as: - food and water - flood and disease control - nutrient cycling - spiritual, recreational, and cultural benefits	Reject
FS83.015	Brookside Development - Featherston Limited			Support in part	Allow in part	Agree that the map notations and terminology create uncertainty. However, considers the issue is greater than described in the original submission, as the flood alert areas are not based on robust data and should not be included in the District Plan until further study has been completed.	Reject
S212.008	Māori Trustee	RE-O1	RE-O1	Support in part	Delete the Highly Productive Land overlay from all land in the Maori Purpose Zone.	The submitter is generally comfortable with the 'Rural Environment' objectives in this chapter. The NPS-HPL only requires the mapping of highly productive land that is within the general rural or rural productive zones and	Reject

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
						that are also predominantly LUC 1, 2, and 3. The PDP incorrectly identified blocks within the MPZ as 'Highly Productive Land'. Given that there are no associated rules within the MPZ for highly productive land, this overlay should be removed.	
S212.009	Māori Trustee	TW-O3	TW-O3	Support in part	Amend as follows: Rangitāne o Wairarapa and Ngāti Kahungunu ki Wairarapa Owners of Māori land can protect, develop, and use Māori land to undertake customary activity, and to support their cultural, environmental , social and economic aspirations.	The submitter is generally comfortable with the 'Tangata Whenua' objectives in this chapter. The PDP does not currently provide a definition for 'Māori land' within the definitions chapter. However, the introduction to the Māori Purpose Zone chapter states the purpose of the zone is to recognise and provide for the "relationship of Māori with Māori land as defined by the Te Ture Whenua Māori Act 1993". If the use of Māori land within TW-O3 adopts Te Ture Whenua Māori Act's definition, this only refers to Māori freehold and customary land, which is land not owned by iwi and hapū (tangata whenua). The submitter considers that a definition for Māori land, which is inclusive of land owned by Rangitāne o Wairarapa and Ngāti Kahungunu ki Wairarapa and any additional holding companies, should be included within the definitions chapter of the PDP. s74 of the RMA requires territorial authorities to prepare and change their plans in accordance with Part 2 matters - including recognising and providing for "the relationship of Maori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water sites, waahi tapu, and other taonga" as a matter of national importance. In order for the council to perform their functions and duties under the Act, the Proposed Plan should therefore recognise	Accept in part

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
						and provide for all Māori rights and interests within this objective. The submitter also considers that the objective should additionally provide for the cultural and environmental aspirations of Māori.	
S212.011	Māori Trustee	UFD-O1	UFD-O1	Support	Retain UFD-O1 as notified.	The submitter supports the objective.	Accept in part
S212.012	Māori Trustee	INF-O1	INF-O1	Support	Retain as notified.	The submitter supports this objective.	Accept in part
S212.080	Māori Trustee	RE-O2	RE-O2	Support in part	Delete the Highly Productive Land overlay from all land in the Maori Purpose Zone.	The submitter is generally comfortable with the 'Rural Environment' objectives in this chapter. The NPS-HPL only requires the mapping of highly productive land that is within the general rural or rural productive zones and that are also predominantly LUC 1, 2, and 3. The PDP incorrectly identified blocks within the MPZ as 'Highly Productive Land'. Given that there are no associated rules within the MPZ for highly productive land, this overlay should be removed.	Reject
S212.081	Māori Trustee	RE-O3	RE-O3	Support in part	Delete the Highly Productive Land overlay from all land in the Maori Purpose Zone.	The submitter is generally comfortable with the 'Rural Environment' objectives in this chapter. The NPS-HPL only requires the mapping of highly productive land that is within the general rural or rural productive zones and that are also predominantly LUC 1, 2, and 3. The PDP incorrectly identified blocks within the MPZ as 'Highly Productive Land'. Given that there are no associated rules within the MPZ for highly productive land, this overlay should be removed.	Reject
S212.082	Māori Trustee	RE-O4	RE-O4	Support in part	Delete the Highly Productive Land overlay from all land in the Maori Purpose Zone.	The submitter is generally comfortable with the 'Rural Environment' objectives in this chapter.	Reject

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
						The NPS-HPL only requires the mapping of highly productive land that is within the general rural or rural productive zones and that are also predominantly LUC 1, 2, and 3. The PDP incorrectly identified blocks within the MPZ as 'Highly Productive Land'. Given that there are no associated rules within the MPZ for highly productive land, this overlay should be removed.	
S212.083	Māori Trustee	RE-O5	RE-O5	Support in part	Delete the Highly Productive Land overlay from all land in the Maori Purpose Zone.	The submitter is generally comfortable with the 'Rural Environment' objectives in this chapter. The NPS-HPL only requires the mapping of highly productive land that is within the general rural or rural productive zones and that are also predominantly LUC 1, 2, and 3. The PDP incorrectly identified blocks within the MPZ as 'Highly Productive Land'. Given that there are no associated rules within the MPZ for highly productive land, this overlay should be removed.	Reject
S212.084	Māori Trustee	UFD-O2	UFD-O2	Support	Retain UFD-O2 as notified.	The submitter supports the objective.	Accept in part
S212.085	Māori Trustee	UFD-O3	UFD-O3	Support	Retain UFD-O3 as notified.	The submitter supports the objective.	Accept in part
S212.086	Māori Trustee	UFD-O4	UFD-O4	Support	Retain UFD-O4 as notified.	The submitter supports the objective.	Accept in part
S212.087	Māori Trustee	UFD-O5	UFD-O5	Support	Retain UFD-O5 as notified.	The submitter supports the objective.	Accept in part
S212.088	Māori Trustee	UFD-O6	UFD-O6	Support	Retain UFD-O6 as notified.	The submitter supports the objective.	Accept in part
S214.012	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	CCR-O1	CCR-O1	Support in part	Amend as follows: The Wairarapa develops and functions in a way that assists the community in the transition to a low-carbon future.	The submitter supports in part strategic direction CCR-O1. In a changing regulatory environment with national targets to achieve a low carbon future it is important that those persons exercising functions and powers under the RMA assist the community to transition to a low carbon future. Many activities in rural areas are significantly impacted by climate change regulations which can have	Accept

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
						consequences on the local, regional, and national economy.	
FS13.017	Horticulture New Zealand			Support	Allow	Supports the transition to a low emissions economy and recognises that horticulture is a low emissions activity.	Accept
FS74.103	Genesis Energy Limited			Support	Allow	Aligns with the original submission made by Genesis.	Accept
FS95.117	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhit ia Trust			Oppose	Disallow	Our right to enact kaitiakitanga is through our whakapapa and is reinserted as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi. Many legislation and policies talk to early engagement with mana whenua for kaupapa that impacts whenua, awa, āngi. The principle of tangata whenua exercising kaitiakitanga is part of Section 7(a) of the RMA. There are already protections in place for Landowners in many other legislations and anything discussed or proposed here is not done so outside of the Colonial Framework that has been forced upon us.	Reject
S214.013	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	RE-O1	RE-O1	Support	Retain RE-O1 as notified.	The submitter supports RE-O1 which recognises the important contribution that the rural environment has to the region's economic and social wellbeing.	Accept in part
FS95.118	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhit ia Trust			Oppose	Disallow	Our right to enact kaitiakitanga is through our whakapapa and is reinserted as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi. Many legislation and policies talk to early engagement with mana whenua for kaupapa that impacts whenua, awa, āngi. The principle of tangata whenua exercising kaitiakitanga is part of Section 7(a) of the RMA. There are already protections in place for Landowners in many other legislations and anything discussed or proposed here is not done so outside of the Colonial Framework that has been forced upon us.	Accept in part

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
S214.014	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	New provision request	New provision request	Support	Insert new objective as follows: RE-OX Primary production activities are supported to adapt to change required by regulatory and consumer demands.	The submitter acknowledges that everyone must adapt to ensure sustainable management of natural and physical resources in accordance with the purpose of the RMA. The submitter seeks the inclusion of an additional Strategic Direction Objective to recognise the importance of providing for and supporting land practice change to address.	Reject
FS13.029	Horticulture New Zealand			Support	Allow	Rural land users should be supported to adapt to changes in regulatory and consumer requirements.	Reject
FS74.104	Genesis Energy Limited			Support	Allow in part	The original submission by Genesis requested a new Rural Environment objective which is worded as follows 'the General Rural Zone is available for renewable electricity generation activities and contributes positively to the new Zealand's climate change tates and commitments. This additional provision requested by Federated Farmers aligns with this by adding flexibility for changing use.	Reject
FS95.119	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Oppose	Disallow	Our right to enact kaitiakitanga is through our whakapapa and is reinserted as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi. Many legislation and policies talk to early engagement with mana whenua for kaupapa that impacts whenua, awa, āngi. The principle of tangata whenua exercising kaitiakitanga is part of Section 7(a) of the RMA. There are already protections in place for Landowners in many other legislations and anything discussed or proposed here is not done so outside of the Colonial Framework that has been forced upon us.	Accept
S214.015	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	UFD-O2	UFD-O2	Support	Retain UFD-O2 as notified.	The submitter supports UFD-O2 which sets the direction for urban growth in the Wairarapa's urban areas. Efficient and effective planning for urban growth is	Accept in part

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
						important to ensure minimal loss of versatile soils.	
FS95.120	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Oppose	Disallow	Our right to enact kaitiakitanga is through our whakapapa and is reinserted as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi. Many legislation and policies talk to early engagement with mana whenua for kaupapa that impacts whenua, awa, āngi. The principle of tangata whenua exercising kaitiakitanga is part of Section 7(a) of the RMA. There are already protections in place for Landowners in many other legislations and anything discussed or proposed here is not done so outside of the Colonial Framework that has been forced upon us.	Accept in part
S214.016	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	UFD-O3	UFD-O3	Support in part	Amend UFD-O3 as follows: There is sufficient development capacity to meet the Wairarapa's housing, commercial, industrial, educational and recreational needs within the Masterton urban area.	Sufficient development capacity for recreational needs is beyond scope of the territorial authorities' function under section 31(1)(a) of the RMA which is to ensure that there is sufficient development capacity to meet the expected demands of the district in respect of housing and business land. The submitter acknowledges that the NPS-UD definition of 'community services' includes community facilities, educational facilities and those commercial activities that serve the needs of the community. However, Policy 5 of the NPS-UD only requires the district plan to enable 'community services' in Tier 3 urban environments, which only applies to Masterton. South Wairarapa District Council and Carterton District Council have already determined they are not Tier 3 urban environments, as they have not deleted the requirements for on-site parking in their district jurisdictions in accordance with Clause 3.38 of the NPS-UD.	Reject
FS90.054	Greater Wellington			Oppose	Disallow in part	Proposed RPS Change 1 seeks sufficient development capacity for all urban areas,	Accept

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
	Regional Council					so this direction is relevant to all urban areas in the Wairarapa.	
FS95.121	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhit ia Trust			Oppose	Disallow	Our right to enact kaitiakitanga is through our whakapapa and is reinserted as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi. Many legislation and policies talk to early engagement with mana whenua for kaupapa that impacts whenua, awa, āngi. The principle of tangata whenua exercising kaitiakitanga is part of Section 7(a) of the RMA. There are already protections in place for Landowners in many other legislations and anything discussed or proposed here is not done so outside of the Colonial Framework that has been forced upon us.	Reject
S214.122	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	RE-O2	RE-O2	Support	Retain RE-O2 as notified.	The submitter supports a strategic direction objective that focuses on protecting the productive capacity of primary production activities in the General Rural Zone. This recognises that primary production activities and their productive capacity need to be protected from any negative effects from sensitive or incompatible activities that seek to establish adjacent to them.	Accept in part
FS95.227	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhit ia Trust			Oppose	Disallow	Our right to enact kaitiakitanga is through our whakapapa and is reinserted as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi. Many legislation and policies talk to early engagement with mana whenua for kaupapa that impacts whenua, awa, āngi. The principle of tangata whenua exercising kaitiakitanga is part of Section 7(a) of the RMA. There are already protections in place for Landowners in many other legislations and anything discussed or proposed here is not done so outside of the Colonial Framework that has been forced upon us.	Accept in part

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
S214.123	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	RE-O3	RE-O3	Support	Retain RE-O3 as notified.	The submitter supports RE-O3 which identified the need to protect highly productive soils from inappropriate development.	Accept in part
FS95.228	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhit ia Trust			Oppose	Disallow	Our right to enact kaitiakitanga is through our whakapapa and is reinserted as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi. Many legislation and policies talk to early engagement with mana whenua for kaupapa that impacts whenua, awa, āngi. The principle of tangata whenua exercising kaitiakitanga is part of Section 7(a) of the RMA. There are already protections in place for Landowners in many other legislations and anything discussed or proposed here is not done so outside of the Colonial Framework that has been forced upon us.	Reject
S214.124	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	RE-O4	RE-O4	Support	Retain RE-O4 as notified.	The submitter supports maintaining and enhancing the character of the rural environment.	Accept in part
FS95.229	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhit ia Trust			Oppose	Disallow	Our right to enact kaitiakitanga is through our whakapapa and is reinserted as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi. Many legislation and policies talk to early engagement with mana whenua for kaupapa that impacts whenua, awa, āngi. The principle of tangata whenua exercising kaitiakitanga is part of Section 7(a) of the RMA. There are already protections in place for Landowners in many other legislations and anything discussed or proposed here is not done so outside of the Colonial Framework that has been forced upon us.	Accept in part
S214.125	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	RE-O5	RE-O5	Support	Retain RE-O5 as notified.	The submitter supports RE-O5 as it sufficiently balances enabling rural lifestyle subdivision with enabling primary production and protecting the productive capacity of land.	Accept in part

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
FS95.230	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Oppose	Disallow	Our right to enact kaitiakitanga is through our whakapapa and is reinserted as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi. Many legislation and policies talk to early engagement with mana whenua for kaupapa that impacts whenua, awa, āngi. The principle of tangata whenua exercising kaitiakitanga is part of Section 7(a) of the RMA. There are already protections in place for Landowners in many other legislations and anything discussed or proposed here is not done so outside of the Colonial Framework that has been forced upon us.	Accept in part
S218.013	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Introduction	Introduction	Support in part	Amend the introductory text to the Strategic Direction chapter as follows: "The objectives in the Strategic Direction Chapter outline the key strategic matters for the districts and guide making at a strategic level. The objectives in the Strategic Direction Chapter are to be read together and there is no hierarchy between them strategic objectives or between the strategic objectives and the other objectives and policies in the District Plan. All other objective and policies in the District Plan should be read and achieved in a manner consistent with the objectives in the Strategic Direction Chapter. ..."	Considers that it is critical that the Proposed District Plan clearly articulates the purpose of the Strategic Direction objectives so that there is no ambiguity in future RMA planning approval processes, including in respect of whether there is any hierarchy within the Proposed District Plan. Seeks the inclusion of further interpretation guidance to be clear there is no hierarchy between the Strategic Direction objectives and other objectives in the Proposed District Plan. That is, the objectives should be read together, but there should not be primacy or precedence in respect of the Strategic Direction objectives.	Accept in part
FS73.002	Director-General of Conservation Penny Nelson			Oppose	Disallow	Disagrees with the submitter's request for all the strategic objectives to be treated with the same weight as the objectives in the rest of the Plan. Treating all objectives with an equal weighting means that the strategic objectives lose their strategic direction function as they lose their	Accept in part

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
						directive function. Agrees that there should be no hierarchy between the strategic objectives but disagrees that they should be treated on an equal basis with the remaining of the objectives.	
S218.014	Transpower New Zealand Limited	CCR-O1	CCR-O1	Support	Retain Objective CCR-O1 as proposed.	The National Grid is the infrastructure on which our zero-carbon future will be built. For this reason, supports objective CCR-O1 and considers that the Objective appropriately directs outcomes aligned with New Zealand's zero carbon commitment and the National Grid's role in meeting that commitment.	Accept in part
FS74.101	Genesis Energy Limited			Support	Allow	Genesis supports the retention of the Strategic Direction objective CCR-O1	Accept in part
S218.015	Transpower New Zealand Limited	INF-O1	INF-O1	Support in part	Amend objective INF-O1 as follows: "The benefits of infrastructure are recognised by enabling the on-going operation, maintenance and upgrading of existing infrastructure and providing for the development of new infrastructure , while ensuring its adverse effects are well managed, and infrastructure is protected from incompatible land use, subdivision and development, including reverse sensitivity effects.	Supports Objective INF-O1 to the extent that the Objective seeks that the benefits of infrastructure are recognised. However, seeks that the Objective is amended to say how the benefits of infrastructure are recognised.	Accept in part
FS73.003	Director-General of Conservation Penny Nelson			Oppose	Disallow	Understands the need for infrastructure in the districts, but notes that the Plan needs to give effect to the Greater Wellington Regional Policy Statement (GWRPS). The Objective in the GWRPS provides for significant infrastructure to be recognised and protected. The submitter's point goes far beyond the Objective of the GWRPS. Proposes that	Accept in part

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
						recognising and protecting infrastructure can be done in line with the further submitters original submission point instead and is in line with higher order documentation.	
FS106.019	Radio New Zealand			Support	Allow	Supports the submission point.	Accept in part
S221.031	Horticulture New Zealand	CCR-O1	CCR-O1	Support	Retain CCR-O1 as notified.	The submitter supports the transition to a low emissions economy and recognises that horticulture is a low emissions activity.	Accept in part
FS74.095	Genesis Energy Limited			Support	Allow	Genesis supports CCR-O1 as outlined in the original submission.	Accept in part
S221.032	Horticulture New Zealand	CCR-O2	CCR-O2	Support	Retain CCR-O2 as notified.	The submitter supports climate change adaptation, including using the opportunity of climatic changes to the Wairarapa for horticultural expansion.	Accept
S221.033	Horticulture New Zealand	CCR-O4	CCR-O4	Support	Retain CCR-O4 as notified.	The submitter supports planning for water resilience and recognises that more efficient activities support this objective.	Accept in part
S221.034	Horticulture New Zealand	RE-O2	RE-O2	Support	Retain RE-O2 as notified.	The submitter supports preserving the productive capacity of the General Rural Zone for primary production activities.	Accept in part
FS74.096	Genesis Energy Limited			Support in part	Allow in part	The submitter supports the strategic direction in so far as it provides for primary production activities. Genesis considers that the development of renewable electricity generation within the Wairarapa is most likely to occur within the rural environment and as such, an additional strategic direction objective RE-06 (refer to original submission) is proposed by Genesis to provide for this.	Accept in part
S221.035	Horticulture New Zealand	RE-O3	RE-O3	Support in part	Amend RE-O3 as follows: Highly productive land is protected for use in land-based primary	The submitter supports recognition of highly productive land. Hydroponic growing	Reject

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					production, both now and for future generations.	(like for lettuce) and the use of growing mediums (like strawberries in coconut coir) should also be supported, since these are primary production activities appropriate to the rural environment.	
FS74.097	Genesis Energy Limited			Support in part	Allow in part	The submitter supports the strategic direction in so far as it provides for primary production activities. Genesis considers that the development of renewable electricity generation within the Wairarapa is most likely to occur within the rural environment and as such, an additional strategic direction objective RE-06 (refer to original submission) is proposed by Genesis to provide for this.	Reject
S221.036	Horticulture New Zealand	New provision request	New provision request	Support	Insert a new objective as follows: RE-OX Supporting activitiesThe rural environment includes activities that support primary production activities such as rural industry.	Activities that support primary production activities are essential to the effective and productive functioning of the rural zone. Horticultural success in the Wairarapa will require packhouses, freight, agricultural aviation and other ancillary activities. This is a strategic matter to actively enable appropriate use of the rural zone for a thriving rural economy.	Reject
S221.037	Horticulture New Zealand	UFD-O2	UFD-O2	Support in part	Amend UFD-O2 as follows: The Wairarapa's urban areas grow in a planned, efficient, and structured way to meet future needs in a responsive manner that: 1. provides for a variety of housing types that respond to a range of community needs; 2. enables greater densities of development in areas with sufficient infrastructure capacity and located close to centres, services, open spaces and/or public transport; 3. is compatible with the character and amenity of the urban areas; 4.	Urban growth should be planned such that it does not infringe on the productive capacity of the rural zone, in alignment with the NPS-HPL.	Reject

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					does not compromise the productive capacity of the rural environment.		
S221.038	Horticulture New Zealand	UFD-O6	UFD-O6	Support in part	Amend UFD-O6 as follows: Commercial activities located outside of town centres do not undermine the function and viability of the Wairarapa's town centres or the productive capacity of the rural environment.	It should be clear that commercial activities outside of town centres do not infringe on the productive capacity of the rural environment.	Reject
S229.007	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	CCR-O1	CCR-O1	Support	Retain CCR-O1 as notified.	Support a direction for the Wairarapa that will see a transition to a low -carbon economy.	Accept in part
S229.008	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	CCR-O4	CCR-O4	Support	Retain CCR-O4 as notified.	Support an objective to support and enhance water resilience and adaptive management.	Accept in part
S229.009	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	RE-O1	RE-O1	Support	Retain RE-O1 as notified.	Support objective that recognises the rural environment's contribution to the region's economic and social wellbeing	Accept in part
S229.010	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	RE-O2	RE-O2	Support in part	Amend RE-O2 follows: ' Productive capacity-Primary Production The General Rural Zone remains available for primary production activities and productive capacity is protected.'	Support an objective that seeks the general Rural Zone remains available for primary production activities and productive capacity is protected, however the link to the defined term of productive capacity confuses the direction on other non-land based primary production activities.	Accept in part
FS13.023	Horticulture New Zealand			Support	Allow	'Primary Production' is a more appropriate title for the objective.	Accept in part
S229.011	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	RE-O3	RE-O3	Support	Retain RE-O3 as notified.	The objective repeats Objective 2.1 of the NPSHPL.	Accept in part
S229.012	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	RE-O4	RE-O4	Support	Retain RE-O4 as notified.	Support objective to maintain and enhance the character of the rural environment.	Accept in part

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
FS13.025	Horticulture New Zealand			Support	Allow	The objective to maintain and enhance the character of the rural environment is supported.	Accept in part
S229.013	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	RE-O5	RE-O5	Support	Retain RE-O5 as notified.	Support objective to limit rural lifestyle subdivision to areas not conflicting with primary production and the productive potential of the land.	Accept in part
FS13.026	Horticulture New Zealand			Support	Allow	The objective to limit rural lifestyle subdivision to areas not conflicting with primary production and the productive capacity of the land is supported.	Accept in part
S233.003	Scott Anstis	RE-O2	RE-O2	Oppose	Delete RE-O2.	Considers the objective is too broad and notes not all rural land needs to be protected for productive capacity. Considers areas with low productive capacity do not need to remain available for primary production. Considers this objective is unnecessary given RE-O3.	Accept in part
FS22.008	NZ Pork			Oppose	Disallow	Disagrees that land with low productive capacity within the GRUZ does not need to remain available for primary production. Land that is not defined as 'highly productive' may still have productive capacity value for certain primary production activities. Additionally, primary production activities that are not land-based primary production still have a functional or operational need to be based in the GRUZ.	Accept in part
S236.013	-Director- General of Conservation Penny Nelson			Support	Retain Strategic Direction objectives that give effect to the RMA, NZCPS, NPSIB and RPS as notified.	The submitter supports the general strategic objectives that give effect to the RMA, NZCPS, NPSIB and RPS.	Accept in part
FS87.012	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Support	Allow	Support a general review to ensure consistency with national direction	Accept in part

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
FS95.014	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support a general review to ensure consistency with national direction.	Accept in part
S236.014	-Director-General of Conservation Penny Nelson	CCR-O1	CCR-O1	Oppose	Amend CCR-O1 as follows: The Wairarapa develops and functions in a way that avoids or mitigates the effects of climate change.	The submitter notes concerns that the strategic direction for climate change only includes mitigation of the effects. The sought amendment aligns with the RMA and Objective 5 of the NZCPS.	Reject
FS87.013	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Support	Allow	Support the amendment as consistent with the RMA and Objective 5 of the NZCPS.	Reject
FS95.015	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support the amendment as consistent with the RMA and Objective 5 of the NZCPS.	Reject
S236.015	-Director-General of Conservation Penny Nelson	CCR-O4	CCR-O4	Oppose in part	Amend CCR-O4 to clarify meaning of 'water resilience' OR Insert definition for 'water resilience'.	The submitter notes the meaning of water resilience is unclear and is not considered as part of the s32 reporting.	Accept in part
FS81.011	Wairarapa Federated Farmers			Support	Allow	Agrees that a definition for water resilience would clarify the interpretation of CCR-O4. Farming is a land use activity, and it is important to be clear on what water resilience means if land use activities are to support and enhance it.	Accept in part
FS87.014	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Support in part	Allow in part	Support the clarification of water resilience in the district plan. However, further analysis and understanding of the Water Resilience Strategy, who provided feedback, who approved this document and how it relates to related provisions.	Accept in part
FS95.016	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support in part	Allow in part	Water Resilience is not kaupapa Māori and therefore as this has not been engaged with whānau and hapū nor the general public there needs to be discussions about the validity of such a strategy and its implementation.	Accept in part

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
S236.016	-Director- General of Conservation Penny Nelson	NE-O1	NE-O1	Oppose	Amend NE-O1 as follows: Natural character, landscapes, features, and areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitat of indigenous fauna are protected and restored so that the natural environment they contribute positively to the Wairarapa's sense of place and identity.	The submitter notes the title does not correspond to the content of the strategic direction. Amendments are also sought to ensure the strategic direction gives effect to the RMA, NZCPS, NPSIB, and RPS.	Accept in part
FS67.150	Meridian Energy Limited			Oppose in part	Disallow in part	The requested amendment elevates all natural character, all landscapes and features as requiring protection and restoration in a manner inconsistent with the s. 5 purpose of the RMA.	Accept in part
FS87.015	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Support	Allow	Support clarification	Accept in part
FS95.017	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Supports clarification	Accept in part
FS97.0010	Transpower New Zealand			Oppose	Disallow	Opposes the submission and considers that the relief sought does not correctly reflect the direction given by higher order planning instruments.	Accept in part
S236.017	-Director- General of Conservation Penny Nelson	INF-O1	INF-O1	Oppose	Amend INF-O1 as follows: The benefits of infrastructure are recognised, while ensuring its adverse effects are well managed avoided where practicable, remedied or mitigated , and infrastructure is protected from incompatible land use, subdivision and development, including reverse sensitivity effects.	The submitter notes concerns that the objective does not provide sufficient direction and 'well managed' is subjective.	Reject

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
FS67.154	Meridian Energy Limited			Oppose	Disallow	The amended text suggests that all adverse effects must be avoided where practicable. Significant adverse effects should be avoided where practicable, and otherwise remedied or mitigated or addressed by way of offsetting or compensation in accordance with any effects management hierarchy specified. The publicly notified wording is preferred.	Accept
FS87.016	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Support	Allow	Support clarification	Reject
FS95.018	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhit ia Trust			Support	Allow	Supports clarification provided by this wording	Reject
FS97.011	Transpower New Zealand			Oppose	Disallow	Supports the use of "well managed" and considers that the way this management occurs can be more appropriately set out in policies within subsequent chapters of the District Plan.	Accept
S239.007	East Leigh Limited ("ELL")	RE-O2	RE-O2	Oppose	Delete Objective RE-O2.	The objective is overly broad and not necessary given the inclusion of RN-O3. Not all land in the GRUZ needs to remain available for primary production and not all productive capacity needs to be protected. This policy would require absurd outcomes. For example, the restoration of wetlands in the GRUZ would be contrary to the outcome because it would render the land available for primary production. Areas with low productive capacity do not need to remain available for primary production. Sustainable management requires that areas of low productivity be available for other purposes.	Accept in part
FS80.002	AdamsonSha w Ltd			Support	Allow	The objective is overly broad and not necessary given the inclusion of RN-O3	Accept in part

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
FS78.006	Holly Hill			Support	Allow	Support this submission point for the reasons provided by the primary submitter.	Accept in part
S239.008	East Leigh Limited ("ELL")	New provision request	New provision request	Amend	Insert new objective as follows or an equivalent objective in an appropriate location: CS - Coastal Settlements Objectives CS-O1 Infrastructure There is sufficient development capacity to meet demand for growth in Wairarapa's coastal communities.	The strategic direction chapter is silent as to coastal communities in the Wairarapa. Such communities include Castlepoint, Riversdale Beach, Ngawi, Cape Palliser and Lake Ferry. These communities have special character and are not adequately covered by UFD-O5. Many New Zealanders desire the opportunity to live in these sorts of communities. An objective should be inserted addressing objectives for these communities.	Accept in part
FS86.066	Brian John McGuinness			Support	Allow	The proposed amendments support providing for additional development capacity within the Settlement Zones.	Accept in part
S245.004	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga	UFD-O3	UFD-O3	Support	Retain UFD-O3 as notified.	Supports the inclusion of objective UFD-O3 as it provides high-level strategic direction ensuring there is sufficient development capacity (such as educational facilities) to meet the growing needs Wairarapa.	Accept in part
S245.054	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga	UFD-O4	UFD-O4	Support in part	Amend UFD-O4 as follows: Infrastructure Capacity Urban growth and development is integrated with the efficient provision, including the timing and funding, of infrastructure, schools educational facilities , and open spaces.	Supportive of objective UFD-O4 as it identifies that urban development and growth is integrated with the efficient provision, including timing and funding, of schools. However, requests that 'school' used in the objective is amended to educational facilities as this is a defined term in the PWCDP.	Accept in part
S247.012	Enviro NZ Services Ltd	RE-O5	RE-O5	Support in part	Amend RE-O5 as follows: "Opportunities for rural lifestyle subdivision and development are only provided in parts of the rural environment where they do not conflict with enabling primary production or activities and infrastructure that need to be located in the rural environment	The objective does not include rural industry or waste facilities which have buffer distance requirements that can only be satisfied in a rural environment. These activities are particularly susceptible to encroachment from lifestyle development.	Accept in part

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					and protecting the productive capacity of land."		
S247.013	Enviro NZ Services Ltd	UFD-O4	UFD-O4	Support in part	Amend UFD-O4 as follows: "Urban growth and development is integrated with the efficient provision, including the timing and funding, of infrastructure (including local infrastructure) , schools, and open spaces."	The proposed addition of 'local infrastructure' would include district or regional resource recovery or waste disposal facilities which are essential to urban growth and development, if not defined as infrastructure as under the Definitions. District or regional waste processing and disposal facilities should be listed as infrastructure and therefore considered as infrastructure under this objective.	Accept in part
S247.014	Enviro NZ Services Ltd	INF-O1	INF-O1	Support in part	Amend INF-O1 as follows: "The benefits of infrastructure (including resource recovery and waste disposal facilities) are recognised, while ensuring its adverse effects are well managed, and infrastructure is protected from incompatible land use, subdivision and development, including reverse sensitivity effects."	The additional wording will support infrastructure not defined as such in the definition but defined under the NPS-UD. These other types of infrastructure which includes waste infrastructure owned by Councils) are critical to the fabric of a successful district. Waste infrastructure in particular, can be subject to reverse sensitivity.	Reject
S249.006	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	HC-O1	HC-O1	Support	Retain HC-O1 as notified.	Supports strategic objectives for the protection and management of historic and cultural heritage.	Accept in part
S249.007	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	HC-O2	HC-O2	Support	Retain HC-O2 as notified.	Supports strategic objectives for the protection and management of historic and cultural heritage.	Accept in part
S258.008	Royal Forest and Bird Protection	Introduction	Introduction	Support in part	Amend Introduction for Strategic Direction chapter: The objectives in the Strategic	The submitter supports the Introductory section of Strategic Direction in part. However, opposes the sentence that "All	Reject

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
	Society of New Zealand Inc				Direction Chapter outline the key strategic matters for the districts and guide decision making at a strategic level. The objectives in the Strategic Direction Chapter are to be read together and there is no hierarchy between them. All other objectives and policies in the District Plan should be read and achieved in a manner consistent with the objectives in the Strategic Direction Chapter...	other objectives and policies in the District Plan should be read and achieved in a manner consistent with the objectives in the Strategic Direction Chapter". Considers it is inappropriate to require other plan provisions are achieved in accordance with SD objectives as these objectives do not give effect to higher order documents in themselves and do not capture all of councils' responsibilities and functions or resolves potential conflicting outcomes.	
FS67.148	Meridian Energy Limited			Oppose	Disallow	The approach signalled in the Strategic Direction Chapter is important in terms of the outcomes sought by other parts of the PDP, including in relation to climate change mitigation and adaptation. Meridian wishes to participate in any discussions of wording amendments that would alter the current approach.	Accept
S258.009	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	CCR-O1	CCR-O1	Support	Retain Objective CCR-O1 as proposed.	The submitter supports the objective of transition to a low-carbon future.	Accept in part
S258.010	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	CCR-O2	CCR-O2	Support in part	Amend Objective CCR-O2 to capture adaption outcomes for indigenous biodiversity (or insert a new objective to this effect)	The submitter is generally supportive of Objective CRR-O2. However, it is uncertain whether the objective includes scope for the adaption of indigenous biodiversity. For example, through provision for inland migration of coastal margins affected by sea level rise for bird breeding and feeding habitat.	Reject
FS105.096	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Supports submission point, particularly relating to conservation for indigenous biodiversity.	Reject
S258.011	Royal Forest and Bird	CCR-O3	CCR-O3	Support in part	Amend Objective CCR-O3 to capture resilience outcomes for	The submitter is generally supportive of the objective. However, it is not clear	Reject

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
	Protection Society of New Zealand Inc				indigenous biodiversity and for building resilience through nature- based solutions (or add a new objective to this effect)	whether resilience is achieved through nature-based solutions, and it is uncertain whether the objective includes scope for the resilience of indigenous biodiversity. For example, through provision for inland migration of coastal margins affected by sea level rise for bird breeding and feeding habitat.	
FS105.097	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Supports submission point, particularly relating to conservation for indigenous biodiversity.	Reject
S258.012	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	CCR-O4	CCR-O4	Oppose	Delete Objective CRR-O4 - Water resilience.	Water resilience is not defined. It is unclear if the objective is intended to protect life supporting capacity or rather a quantity issue for drinking water supply as suggested by the use of this term in GRZ- P8. The submitter is also concerned with the use of terms "enhance" and "adaptive management" in this objective. Restoration is a better term where freshwater is degraded. Enhance is often used in offsetting, and compensation measures where adverse effects are not avoided, remedied or mitigated. While adaptive management may be appropriate in some situations, it may not be in others such as where adverse effects are to be avoided under the NZCPS.	Reject
FS105.174	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Supports the submission, particularly relating to water resilience.	Reject
S258.013	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	NE-O1	NE-O1	Support in part	Amend Objective NE-O1 as follows: 'The natural environment is respected and recognised to contributes positively to the Wairarapa's sense of place and identity. '	As worded the objective seems to be setting an outcome for the natural environment to achieve rather than something the community can achieve.	Reject
S258.014	Royal Forest and Bird	NE-O2	NE-O2	Support	Retain Objective NE-O2.	The Wairarapa Moana is of international importance as the largest wetland complex	Accept in part

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
	Protection Society of New Zealand Inc					in the lower North Island. It is recognised as a RAMSAR site.	
S258.015	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	NE-O3	NE-O3	Support in part	Retain NE-O3 as notified, subject to adding an additional strategic objective regarding natural values (separate submission point)	"Open space" should not be the only place where natural, ecological, and landscape values, and sites of significance to tangata whenua are protected. The term "open space" could be interpreted in different ways, i.e. based on zoning or a feeling of openness.	Accept in part
S258.016	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	NE-O4	NE-O4	Support in part	Amend Objective NE-O4 as follows: 'NE-O4: Coastal environment The special qualities of the Wairarapa coastal environment are recognised and protected from inappropriate subdivision, use, and development.	The proposed words "inappropriate subdivision, use and development" in a strategic objective is not supported. This is because of how protection is achieved is better set out in the coastal chapter provisions where policy can provide direction on what is inappropriate, i.e. by avoiding adverse effects on Outstanding Natural Character in accordance with Policy 13 of the NZCPS. As per the King Salmon decision what is inappropriate/ appropriate is to be determined on what is to be protected. This means provision direction to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects, to protect. That level of direction sits within subsequent chapters.	Reject
FS67.151	Meridian Energy Limited			Oppose	Disallow	The requested amendment conflicts with the direction in s. 6 (a) of the Act (which includes the reference 'from inappropriate subdivision, use, and development').	Accept
FS97.090	Transpower New Zealand			Oppose	Disallow	Does not support the submissions and considers that Objective NE-O4, as notified, is entirely consistent with section 6(a) of the RMA. Notes that the Objective relates to natural character generally, as opposed to outstanding natural character.	Accept
S258.017	Royal Forest and Bird	NE-O5	NE-O5	Support in part	Amend Objective NE-O5 as follows:	The submitter acknowledges the need to work in collaboration with others, but this	Reject

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
	Protection Society of New Zealand Inc				Land and water are managed using an integrated and holistic approach, in collaboration with tangata whenua, the community, and other government entities.	objective should also recognise the need to consider activities and effects holistically across the district.	
FS105.175	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Supports the submission, particularly relating to water resilience.	Reject
S258.018	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	NE-O6	NE-O6	Support in part	Amend Objective NE-O6 as follows: The biological diversity of indigenous species and habitats within the Wairarapa are maintained and enhanced , and restored where degraded.	Concerned with the use of terms "enhance" in this objective. Restoration is a better term where freshwater is degraded. Enhance is often used in offsetting, and compensation measures where adverse effects are not avoided, remedied or mitigated.	Reject
FS105.098	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Supports submission point, particularly relating to conservation for indigenous biodiversity.	Reject
S258.019	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	RE-O1	RE-O1	Support in part	Amend Objective RE-O1 as follows: 'The Wairarapa's rural environment contributes positively to the region's economic, cultural and social wellbeing and to maintaining indigenous biodiversity .'	The rural environment is where much of our indigenous biodiversity thrives. These areas are also important to cultural well-being.	Reject
FS105.099	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Support the submission, particularly submission points relating to conservation for indigenous biodiversity.	Reject
S258.020	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	RE-O2	RE-O2	Oppose in part	Amend Objective RE-O2 as follows: 'The General Rural Zone remains available for primary production activities, over other activities and productive capacity is maintained protected.'	The objective should also be clarified that "available" does not mean primary production should occur where natural values are to be protected. Rather this is about a preference for one activity over others. Further it is not clear why "protection" is to be afforded rural zoned land capacity. A preferable word would be to maintain. There are SNA's and potential for	Reject

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
						identification for further SNAs within the rural zone. The objective wording could conflict with the protection of SNA's where this is seen as a loss in "productive capacity".	
FS13.024	Horticulture New Zealand			Oppose	Disallow	The amendments sought are inconsistent with the NPS-HPL.	Reject
FS81.056	Wairarapa Federated Farmers			Oppose	Disallow	Considers that the amendment sought by the submitter is inconsistent with the direction in the National Policy Statement for Highly Productive Land (NPSHPL) which directs to protect highly productive land by avoiding any significant loss of productive capacity of highly productive land in the district.	Reject
S258.021	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	RE-O3	RE-O3	Support in part	Amend Objective RE-O3 and italicise 'highly productive land': Highly productive land is protected, from adverse effects of other activities , for use in land-based primary production, both now and for future generations.'	It is not clear that "Highly productive land" is defined and shown on the planning maps. The objective should also be clarified that "protected for use" does not mean primary production should occur where other natural values are to be protected. Rather this is about a preference for one activity over others. Forest & Bird's Fensham reserve, which is zoned NOSZ but not yet identified as SNA, is overlain in part by the "Highly productive land" maps.	Reject
S258.022	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	RE-O4	RE-O4	Support in part	Amend Objective RE-O4 as follows: Use and development contributes positively to maintain the rural character of the rural environment is maintained and enhanced.	There is no specific RMA requirement to maintain rural character. As drafted this objective could be read to conflict with protection of S6 matters. The submitter considers this objective needs to be clarified in terms of managing land use activities to maintain rural character. In addition, the definition of rural character needs to include indigenous biodiversity with the rural environment.	Reject

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
FS74.106	Genesis Energy Limited			Oppose	Disallow	The suggested amendments are not considered to add any meaningful value to the Objective. As outlined in the original submission made by Genesis, a new strategic objective RE-O6 should also be included to provide for renewable electricity generation activities in the General Rural Zone. This must be a consideration in any alteration to the other objectives and policies of the Rural Environment Zone.	Accept
S258.023	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	RE-O5	RE-O5	Support in part	Amend Objective RE-O5 as follows: 'RE-O5 Rural lifestyle Opportunities for Rural lifestyle subdivision and development are only provided in parts of the rural environment where they does not conflict with enabling primary production and protecting maintaining the productive capacity of the land.'	There are other considerations too e.g. protecting biodiversity and the natural character of the coastal environment.	Reject
S258.024	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	TW-O4	TW-O4	Support	Retain TW-O4 as notified.	The submitter supports the objective and considers that Mātātauranga Māori should also be provided for in the plan.	Accept in part
S258.025	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	UFD-O2	UFD-O2	Support in part	Amend Objective UFD-O2 to recognise indigenous biodiversity within the character and amenity of urban areas.	Character and amenity of urban areas should be described to include indigenous biodiversity.	Reject
FS90.116	Greater Wellington Regional Council			Support	Allow	Considers this is consistent with the NPS-IB and Proposed RPS Change 1.	Reject

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
FS105.0100	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Support the submission, particularly relating to conservation for indigenous biodiversity.	Reject
S258.026	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	UFD-O3	UFD-O3	Support in part	Amend Objective UFD-O3: There is sufficient developmental capacity, in the right locations to meet the Wairarapa's housing, commercial, industrial, educational, and recreational, cultural and social needs including through the maintenance of and provision for indigenous biodiversity values.	The outcomes for urban land supply should include provision for indigenous biodiversity, recognising the importance of that to economic, cultural, and social wellbeing.	Reject
FS105.101	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Supports the submission, particularly relating to conservation for indigenous biodiversity.	Reject
S258.027	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	UFD-O4	UFD-O4	Support in part	Amend Objective UFD-O4: Urban growth and development is integrated with the efficient provision, including the timing and funding, of infrastructure, schools, and open spaces and incorporate space for indigenous biodiversity.	The Objective should capture the outcomes that integrate with provision for indigenous biodiversity.	Reject
S258.028	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	UFD-O5	UFD-O5	Support in part	Amend Objective UFD-O5 'Vibrant town centres' as follows: 'The Wairarapa contains vibrant and viable town centres that are in the location for shopping, leisure, cultural, entertainment, and social interaction experiences and connection with indigenous fauna and flora and provide for the community's employment and economic needs.'	Town centres should not be devoid of open space or nature. Recognise the importance of indigenous biodiversity to vibrant communities.	Reject
FS90.117	Greater Wellington			Support	Allow in part	Considers that the relief sought is consistent with Proposed RPS Change 1. For clarity, relief sought should be	Reject

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
	Regional Council					amendment to read "...experiences and provide for connections with indigenous fauna and flora and the community's employment and economic needs."	
S258.029	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	INF-O1	INF-O1	Support in part	Amend Objective INF-O1 as follows: 'The benefits of infrastructure are recognised, while ensuring its adverse effects are well-managed avoided, remedied or mitigated , and infrastructure is protected from incompatible land use, subdivision and development, including reverse sensitivity effects.	It is not clear what "well managed" means S5 of the RMA sets out that adverse effects in the environment are to be avoided, remedied or mitigated. The NZCPS includes specific direction on adverse effects affecting indigenous biodiversity, natural character and natural features and landscapes in the coastal environment.	Accept
FS67.155	Meridian Energy Limited			Support	Allow	The wording reflects the approach of the Act.	Accept
FS97.091	Transpower New Zealand			Oppose	Disallow	Supports the use of "well managed" and considers that the way this management occurs can be more appropriately set out in policies within subsequent chapters of the District Plan.	Reject
S258.197	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	New provision request	New provision request	Amend	Insert new strategic direction objective: NE-OX: Natural Areas Significant natural areas, natural character of the coastal environment and waterbodies, outstanding features and landscape, and sites of significance to tangata whenua are protected.'	Regarding NE-O3, considers "Open space" should not be the only place where natural, ecological, and landscape values, and sites of significance to tangata whenua are protected. The term "open space" could be interpreted in different ways, i.e. based on zoning or a feeling of openness.	Reject
FS97.111	Transpower New Zealand			Oppose	Disallow	Does not support the relief sought and considers that the matters addressed are sufficiently through other strategic direction objectives.	Accept
S258.199	Royal Forest and Bird	New provision request	New provision request	Amend	Insert a new strategic direction objective to provide for and	Considers that Mātauranga Māori should also be provided for in the plan.	Reject

	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
	Protection Society of New Zealand Inc				incorporate Mātauranga Māori in decision making under this Plan.		
FS67.159	Meridian Energy Limited			Oppose	Disallow	The requested additional objective requires absolute protection for areas and features identified as significant in section 6 of the Act whereas s. 6 directs that they should be protected from inappropriate subdivision, use, and development.	Reject
S288.015	Radio New Zealand Limited (RNZ)	INF-O1	INF-O1	Support	Amend 'The benefits of infrastructure are recognised and enabled , while ensuring its adverse effects are well managed, and infrastructure is protected from incompatible land use, subdivision and development, including reverse sensitivity effects.'	The submitter supports INF-O1, particularly the high-level recognition of the importance of protecting infrastructure from reverse sensitivity effects. However, the objective would be improved by also making reference to 'enabling' infrastructure. This would provide clear direction that decisions should not only allow for, but support, outcomes where the benefits of infrastructure (as defined in the RMA) can be realised.	Accept in part

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
S28.001	Victoria Jane Stanbridge			Support	Retain the Tangata Whenua chapter in the District Plan.	Supports the inclusion and recognition of Tangata Whenua. Submitter states that the content of this section is valuable to the wider community to learn about and recognise the ongoing occupation of Tangata Whenua in the Wairarapa and their connections to their rohe.	Accept in part	Tangata Whenua
S76.002	Erina Te Whaiti	Statutory Relationships	Statutory Relationships	Oppose in part	Amend to ensure that Statutory Boards do not speak on behalf of individual owners of whenua Māori land.	There are multiple owners of the land, but Iwi do not govern those properties.	Accept in part	Tangata Whenua
S154.026	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia	The Area of Interest	The Area of Interest	Amend	Amend Tangata Whenua Chapter to include hapū that wish to be included.	While this includes our two iwi, this is heavily focused on iwi and not our hapū. Whānau and hapū are the important part of this and should be given the opportunity to tell their story too.	Accept	Tangata Whenua
S179.002	Anne Jessie Te Aroha Carter			Support in part	Amend to reflect the full range of Māori rights and interest holders at place; acknowledgement of the role of Māori landowners as kaitiaki in relation to sites and areas of cultural significance on their whenua.	The Tangata Whenua section of the WCDP should be amended to be more inclusive of all Māori with rights and interests at place e.g., marae and Māori landowners. Iwi are not mandated to speak on behalf of Māori landowners, Ahuwhenua Trusts and Incorporations. Owners of Māori land exercise kaitiakitanga in relation to the sites and areas of cultural significance. The WCDP should include a specific requirement to engage with Māori landowners in this respect.	Accept in part	Tangata Whenua

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
S184.002	Kawakawa 1D2 Ahu Whenua Trust	Introduction	Introduction	Amend	Amend the tangata whenua chapter, to include description that Kahungunu ki Wairarapa as one of the four iwi entities.	The is currently no reference in the section on tangata whenua, that Kahungunu ki Wairarapa is one of the four iwi entities. This is currently not included and needs to be rectified.	Reject	Tangata Whenua
S249.005	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)			Support	Retain the Tangata Whenua chapter subject to any amendments requested by mana whenua.	Supportive of this chapter and the approach taken by council to acknowledge Tangata Whenua in the Proposed Plan.	Accept	Tangata Whenua
S256.012	Ngāti Kahungunu ki Wairarapa Iwi Development Trust	Introduction	Introduction	Support in part	Amend Tangata Whenua Introduction as follows: " ...This chapter offers practical examples of how Treaty of Waitangi principals can be implemented alongside best practice engagement advice. This chapter provides practical examples of how Te Tiriti o Waitangi and the Wairarapa Treaty Settlement Acts provisions can be implemented alongside best practice engagement advice. ..."	As legislative documents, Wairarapa Treaty Settlements need to be included. The Wairarapa District Councils, recognise they must give effect to Te Tiriti o Waitangi and section 30 of the Ngāti Kahungunu Tāmaki Nui-a-Rua Settlement Act 2022 states "a relevant consent authority must have regard to the statutory acknowledgement relating to the statutory area in deciding, under section 95E of the Resource Management Act 1991, whether the trustees are affected persons in relation to the activity."	Accept	Tangata Whenua
FS87.077	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Kahungunu Wairarapa Iwi Development Trust	Accept	
FS95.066	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Kahungunu Wairarapa Iwi Development Trust	Accept	
FS105.049	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Support the submission, as they consider iwi work from a holistic base to protect Te Taiao, which aligns with the further submitter's views.	Accept	

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
S256.013	Ngāti Kahungunu ki Wairarapa Iwi Development Trust	Introduction	Introduction	Support in part	Amend Tangata Whenua Introduction as follows: "For the purpose of the Wairarapa Combined District Plan, Tangata Whenua applies to uri (descendants of Ngāti Kahungunu ki Wairarapa and Rangitāne o Wairarapa). We acknowledge that for many Wairarapa Māori, Mana Whenua is also referred to as Wairarapa uri whose mana derives from the whenua over centuries acknowledging their whakapapa to the whenua."	Tangata Whenua and Mana Whenua need to be clearly defined as both terms have been used in the current draft document.	Accept	Tangata Whenua
FS87.078	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Kahungunu Wairarapa Iwi Development Trust	Accept	
FS95.067	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Kahungunu Wairarapa Iwi Development Trust	Accept	
FS105.050	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Support the submission, as they consider iwi work from a holistic base to protect Te Taiao, which aligns with the further submitter's views.	Accept	
S256.014	Ngāti Kahungunu ki Wairarapa Iwi Development Trust	Te Tiriti o Waitangi/The Treaty of Waitangi	Te Tiriti o Waitangi/The Treaty of Waitangi	Support in part	Amend Tangata Whenua - Mātāpono, Rangatiratanga as follows "The inherent right through whakapapa and reiterated under Te Tiriti o Waitangi, to protect the environment, including, but not exclusive to whenua, awa, moana, wāhi tapu and taonga tuku iho through kaitiakitanga practices. Active and meaningful participation of both iwi in the decision-making process."	Rangatiratanga is our intent right through whakapapa not Te Tiriti o Waitangi.	Accept in part	Tangata Whenua

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
FS87.079	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Kahungunu Wairarapa Iwi Development Trust	Accept in part	
FS95.068	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Kahungunu Wairarapa Iwi Development Trust	Accept in part	
FS105.051	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Support the submission, as they consider iwi work from a holistic base to protect Te Taiao, which aligns with the further submitter's views.	Accept in part	
S256.015	Ngāti Kahungunu ki Wairarapa Iwi Development Trust	The Area of Interest	The Area of Interest	Support in part	Insert new Ngāti Kahungunu ki Wairarapa section of Tangata Whenua chapter. A new version of the chapter has been attached, with reference to the Wairarapa Treaty Settlements as noted but not referenced in first version. Note: Ngāti Kahungunu not Ngāti Kahungūnu. No macron on the second 'u'. Amend spelling for Ngāti Kahungunu where applicable as follows: Ngāti Kahungūnu Ngāti Kahungunu	Ngāti Kahungunu ki Wairarapa section of Tangata Whenua chapter.	Accept	Tangata Whenua
FS87.080	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Kahungunu Wairarapa Iwi Development Trust	Accept	
FS95.069	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Kahungunu Wairarapa Iwi Development Trust	Accept	
FS105.052	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Support the submission, as they consider iwi work from a holistic base to protect Te Taiao, which aligns with the further submitter's views.	Accept	

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Panel Decision
S79.001	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	Definitions	Definitions	Support	Retain definition 'Functional need' as notified.	Accept
S79.006	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	Definitions	Definitions	Support	Retain definition 'operational need' as notified.	Accept
S79.009	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	Definitions	Definitions	Amend	Insert new definition as follows: Reverse sensitivity: means the potential for the development, upgrading, operation and maintenance of an existing lawfully established activity to be compromised, constrained or curtailed by the more recent establishment or alteration of another activity which may be sensitive to the actual, potential or perceived environmental effects generated by an existing activity.	Accept in part
FS61.002	New Zealand Transport Agency Waka Kotahi (NZTA)			Support	Allow	Accept in part
FS67.127	Meridian Energy Limited			Support	Allow	Accept in part
FS97.068	Transpower New Zealand			Support	Allow	Accept in part
FS106.014	Radio New Zealand			Support	Allow	Accept in part
S79.010	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	Definitions	Definitions	Support	Retain definition for 'Sensitive activities' as notified.	Accept

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Panel Decision
S122.003	Fulton Hogan Limited	Definitions	Definitions	Support	Retain the definition of Sensitive Activity as notified.	Accept
S152.021	AdamsonS haw Ltd	Definitions	Definitions	Amend	Insert definition of 'planning maps'.	Reject
S172.001	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Definitions	Definitions	Support	Retain 'Accessory building' definition.	Accept
S172.002	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Definitions	Definitions	Support in part	Amend 'Community facility' definition: means land and buildings used by members of the community for recreational, sporting, cultural, safety, health, welfare, or worship purposes. It includes provision for any ancillary activity that assists with the operation of the community facility. Note: 'Community facility' excludes land and buildings used for emergency service activities which is covered by the definition 'emergency service facility'.	Reject
FS31.001	Ara Poutama Aotearoa the Department of Corrections			Neutral	Allow in part	Reject
S172.003	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Definitions	Definitions	Support	Retain 'Emergency service facilities' definition.	Accept
S172.004	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Definitions	Definitions	Support	Retain 'Functional need' definition.	Accept
S172.005	Fire and Emergency	Definitions	Definitions	Support	Retain 'Habitable room' definition.	Accept

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Panel Decision
	New Zealand					
S172.008	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Definitions	Definitions	Support	Retain 'Operational need' definition.	Accept
S172.011	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Definitions	Definitions	Support	Retain 'Structure' definition.	Accept
S209.004	Powerco Limited	Definitions	Definitions	Support	Retain definition of 'Functional need' as drafted.	Accept
S214.009	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Definitions	Definitions	Support	Insert new definition for 'reverse sensitivity' as follows: Reverse sensitivity Means the potential for the operation of an existing lawfully established activity to be compromised, constrained, or curtailed by the establishment or alteration of another activity which may be sensitive to the actual, potential, or perceived environmental effects generated by an existing activity.	Accept in part
FS22.002	NZ Pork			Support	Allow	Accept in part
FS67.136	Meridian Energy Limited			Support	Allow	Accept in part
FS74.102	Genesis Energy Limited			Support	Allow	Accept in part
FS89.013	Fulton Hogan Limited			Support	Allow	Accept in part

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Panel Decision
FS90.053	Greater Wellington Regional Council			Oppose	Disallow	Reject
FS95.114	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraa whitia Trust			Oppose	Disallow	Reject
FS97.037	Transpower New Zealand			Support	Allow	Accept in part
S214.011	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Definitions	Definitions	Oppose	Delete the definition for 'surface waterbody'.	Reject
FS95.116	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraa whitia Trust			Oppose	Disallow	Accept
S215.003	Z Energy Limited	Definitions	Definitions	Not Stated	Insert new definition for reverse sensitivity as follows: Means the potential for the operation of an existing lawfully established activity to be compromised, constrained, or curtailed by the more recent establishment or alteration of another activity which may be sensitive to the actual, potential or perceived adverse environmental effects generated by the existing activity.	Accept in part
FS22.003	NZ Pork			Support	Allow	Accept in part
FS67.138	Meridian Energy Limited			Support	Allow	Accept in part

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Panel Decision
FS97.126	Transpower New Zealand			Support	Allow	Accept in part
S215.004	Z Energy Limited	Definitions	Definitions	Support	Retain definition for sensitive activities as notified.	Accept
S218.009	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Definitions	Definitions	Support	Retain the definition of 'Sensitive activities' as proposed.	Accept
S221.004	Horticulture New Zealand	Definitions	Definitions	Support	Retain 'earthworks' definition as notified.	Accept
S221.016	Horticulture New Zealand	Definitions	Definitions	Oppose in part	Amend definition of 'Noxious or offensive industry' as follows: Means an industrial activity involving: a. blood or offal...i. b. flax pulping...ii. c. slaughtering...iv. any other processes involving fuel-burning equipment, which individually or in combination with other equipment, have a fuel burning rate of up to 1000 kg/hr; v. d. burning out of the residual content of metal containers used for the transport or storage of chemicals...	Accept in part
S221.022	Horticulture New Zealand	Definitions	Definitions	Not Stated	Insert the definition for 'Reverse sensitivity' contained in the Draft Wairarapa Combined District Plan: Reverse sensitivity Means the vulnerability of an existing lawfully established activity to other activities in the vicinity which are sensitive to adverse environmental effects that may be generated by such existing activity, thereby creating the potential for the operation of such existing activity to be constrained.	Accept in part
FS22.004	NZ Pork			Support in part	Allow in part	Accept in part

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Panel Decision
FS97.059	Transpower New Zealand			Support	Allow	Accept in part
S221.026	Horticulture New Zealand	Definitions	Definitions	Amend	Amend the definition of 'sensitive activities' as follows or insert a new definition of sensitive activities in the National Grid Yard. Has the same meaning as in the National Policy Statement on Electricity Transmission (as set out below): Means schools, residential buildings and hospitals.	Reject
FS96.001	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga			Neutral	Not stated	Accept in part
FS97.060	Transpower New Zealand			Oppose	Disallow	Accept
S221.030	Horticulture New Zealand	Definitions	Definitions	Oppose	Amend definition of 'surface waterbody' as follows: Means a body of freshwater in a river, lake, stream, pond, water race, artificial channel, or wetland.	Reject
FS22.005	NZ Pork			Support	Allow	Reject
S225.006	New Zealand Defence Force	Definitions	Definitions	Amend	Add definition for 'reverse sensitivity' (as provided in the draft plan)	Accept in part
FS67.141	Meridian Energy Limited			Support in part	Allow in part	Accept in part
FS97.082	Transpower New Zealand			Support	Allow	Accept in part
S229.006	New Zealand Pork	Definitions	Definitions	Support in part	Amend definition of 'Sensitive activities' by adding the following activities: Conservation Activities, Camping grounds, Conference facilities	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Panel Decision
	Industry Board					
FS97.083	Transpower New Zealand			Support in part	Allow in part	Accept in part
S232.001	CentrePort Limited	Definitions	Definitions	Support	Retain definition of 'Industrial Activity' as notified.	Accept
S232.015	CentrePort Limited	Definitions	Definitions	Support	Retain definition of 'outdoor storage' as notified.	Accept
S232.021	CentrePort Limited	Definitions	Definitions	Amend	Insert a new definition of 'adjacent'.	Reject
S232.022	CentrePort Limited	Definitions	Definitions	Support	Insert a new definition of 'adjoining'.	Reject
S233.019	Scott Anstis	Definitions	Definitions	Amend	Insert definition for 'planning maps'.	Reject
S236.004	-Director-General of Conservation Penny Nelson	Definitions	Definitions	Support	Retain Definitions chapter as notified.	Accept in Part
S238.001	bp Oil New Zealand Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and Z Energy Limited ('the Fuel Companies')	Definitions	Definitions	Support	Retain the 'accessory building' definition as proposed.	Accept
S238.002	bp Oil New Zealand Limited,	Definitions	Definitions	Support	Retain the 'addition' definition as proposed.	Accept

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Panel Decision
	Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and Z Energy Limited ('the Fuel Companies')					
S238.003	bp Oil New Zealand Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and Z Energy Limited ('the Fuel Companies')	Definitions	Definitions	Support	Retain the 'alteration' definition as proposed.	Accept
S238.010	bp Oil New Zealand Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and Z Energy Limited ('the Fuel Companies')	Definitions	Definitions	Support	Retain the 'land disturbance' definition as proposed.	Accept
S239.002	East Leigh Limited ("ELL")	Definitions	Definitions	Oppose in part	Delete references to source documents for definitions and instead restate definitions where applicable.	Reject
S239.006	East Leigh Limited ("ELL")	Definitions	Definitions	Amend	Insert definition for "Development capacity" as follows: Development capacity means the capacity of land to be developed for housing or for	Accept

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Panel Decision
					business use, based on:(a) the zoning, objectives, policies, rules, and overlays that apply in the relevant proposed and operative RMA planning documents; and(b) the provision of adequate development infrastructure to support the development of land for housing or business use.	
S245.001	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga	Definitions	Definitions	Support	Retain definition for 'Educational facilities' as notified.	Accept
S245.003	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga	Definitions	Definitions	Support in part	Retain definition for 'Sensitive Activities' as notified.	Accept
S247.007	Enviro NZ Services Ltd	Definitions	Definitions	Amend	Amend definition for 'Noxious or offensive activity' as follows: "Means an industrial activity involving: a. blood or offal treating; bone boiling or crushing; dag crushing; fellmongering; fish cleaning or curing; gut scraping and treating; and tallow melting; b. i.-flax pulping; flock manufacture or teasing of textile materials for any purpose; and wood pulping; c ii.- storage and disposal of sewage, septic tank sludge, or refuse (excluding municipal recycling processing facilities); diii. slaughtering of animals; storage, drying or preserving of bones, hides, hoofs or skins; tanning; and wool scouring. eiv- any other processes involving fuelburning equipment, which individually or in combination with other equipment, have a fuelburning rate of up to 1000 kg/hr; fv. burning out of the residual content of metal containers used for the transport or storage of chemicals;	Accept

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Panel Decision
					gvi. the burning of municipal, commercial or industrial wastes, by the use of incinerators for disposal of waste; hvi. any industrial wood pulp process in which wood or other cellulose material is cooked with chemical solutions to dissolve lining, and the associated processes of bleaching and chemical and by-product recovery; iviii. crematoriums; and jix. any industrial activity which involves the discharge of odour or dust beyond the site boundary"	
S247.009	Enviro NZ Services Ltd	Definitions	Definitions	Support	Retain definition for 'sensitive activities' as notified.	Accept
S253.003	Gaylene Leslie O'Connor	Definitions	Definitions	Oppose in part	Insert a definition of "sustainable" (inferred).	Reject
S288.001	Radio New Zealand Limited (RNZ)	Definitions	Definitions	Support	Retain definition of 'functional need' as notified.	Accept
S288.002	Radio New Zealand Limited (RNZ)	Definitions	Definitions	Support	Retain definition of "height" as notified.	Accept
S288.008	Radio New Zealand Limited (RNZ)	Definitions	Definitions	Support	Retain definition of "operational need" as notified.	Accept
S288.011	Radio New Zealand Limited (RNZ)	Definitions	Definitions	Support	Retain definition of "sensitive activity" as notified	Accept
S288.012	Radio New Zealand	Definitions	Definitions	Support	Retain definition of "structure" as notified.	Accept

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Panel Decision
	Limited (RNZ)					
S288.014	Radio New Zealand Limited (RNZ)	Definitions	Definitions	Oppose	Insert definition for reverse sensitivity. RNZ suggests Means the vulnerability of an existing lawfully established activity to the establishment or alteration of another activity which is sensitive to adverse environmental effects that may be generated by such existing activity, thereby creating the potential of such existing activity to be compromised or constrained .	Accept in part
FS22.006	NZ Pork			Support in part	Allow in part	Accept in part
FS67.147	Meridian Energy Limited			Support in part	Allow in part	Accept in part
FS97.088	Transpower New Zealand			Support	Allow	Accept in part