Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
S28.002	Victoria Jane Stanbridge			Support	Retain SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori.	Supports the inclusion of SASM - Sites and areas of significance to Māori, and the inclusion of information about mana whenua and iwi connections with their rohe.	Accept	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S47.017	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	Introduction	Introduction	Amend	Amend Introductory text in SASM Chapter to replace the word "engagement" with "partnership", and delete the following text: ~~Accordingly, it is only those sites that have been identified which are protected by the provisions of the District Plan.~~	Engagement with mana whenua is used throughout this introductory section. Tangata whenua require sovereignty to share this data how and where they want to - this needs to be done in partnership between Council and mana whenua/tangata whenua, not just through engagement. This is consistent with Section 8 of the RMA. The section also specifies that it is only sites that have been identified which are protected by the provisions of the district plan. Section 6(e) of the RMA requires the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu, and other taonga is recognised and provided for. There is no qualifier in Section 6(e) for waahi tapu and other taonga to be identified in a document in order to be protected.	Accept in part	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
FS95.088	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated	Accept in part	
FS105.021	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Support the submission, as consider iwi work from a holistic base to protect Te Taiao, which aligns with the further submitter's views.	Accept in part	

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
S47.018	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	SASM-O1	SASM-O1	Support	Retain as notified.	This objective provides for recognition, protection and maintenance of sites and areas of significance to Māori.	Accept	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
FS95.089	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated	Accept	
FS105.022	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Support the submission, as consider iwi work from a holistic base to protect Te Taiao, which aligns with the further submitter's views.	Accept	
S47.019	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	SASM-O2	SASM-O2	Support	Retain as notified	This objective provides for the exercise of kaitiakitanga in line with Section 7 (a) of the RMA.	Accept	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
FS95.090	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated	Accept	
FS105.023	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Support the submission, as consider iwi work from a holistic base to protect Te Taiao, which aligns with the further submitter's views.	Accept	
S47.020	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	SASM-O3	SASM-O3	Support	Retain as notified	This objective provides protection of sites and areas of significance to Māori from inappropriate subdivision, use, and development.	Accept	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
FS95.091	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated	Accept	
FS105.024	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Support the submission, as consider iwi work from a holistic base to protect Te Taiao, which aligns with the further submitter's views.	Accept	
S47.021	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	SASM-P1	SASM-P1	Support in part	Amend SASM-P1: ~~Work~~ **Partner** with **whānau, hapū and both iwi**	Partnership is a well-established principle under Te Tiriti o	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
					Rangitāne o Wairarapa and Ngāti Kahungunu ki Wairarapa in accordance with tikanga Māori to identify and schedule sites and areas of significance to Māori, and their cultural and spiritual values.	Waitangi/The Treaty of Waitangi. Amendment of this objective to refer to partnering with tangata whenua/mana whenua rather than working with provides more certainty of the requirements. The inclusion of whānau and hapū is necessary as not all engagements with whānau and hapū will be through the iwi (nor should it).		Significance to Māori
FS95.092	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated	Reject	
FS105.025	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Support the submission, as consider iwi work from a holistic base to protect Te Taiao, which aligns with the further submitter's views.	Reject	
S47.022	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	SASM-P4	SASM-P4	Amend	Amend SASM-P4 to require to engagement with tangata whenua when activities occur in proximity of sites and areas of significance to Māori.	Management of sites of significance to Māori should occur through engagement with mana whenua/tangata whenua to ensure use of mātauranga, tikanga and kaitiakitanga is Māori-led.	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
FS81.054	Wairarapa Federated Farmers			Oppose in part	Disallow	Opposes the amendment sought. It is inappropriate to require activities that are located outside of a site and area of significance to Māori to require engagement with tangata whenua in every instance.	Accept in part	
FS95.093	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated	Reject	
FS105.026	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Support the submission, as consider iwi work from a holistic base to protect Te Taiao, which aligns with the further submitter's views.	Reject	

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
S47.023	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	SASM-P5	SASM-P5	Amend	Amend SASM P5: ... b) outcomes articulated by tangata whenua through **engagement with mana whenua/tangata whenua,** an assessment of environmental effects, cultural impact assessment, or iwi planning documents; ... d) how values of significance to tangata whenua, including tikanga, kaitiakitanga, and mātauranga Māori may be incorporated **through engagement with mana whenua/tangata whenua**; and...	Management of sites of significance to Māori should occur through engagement with mana whenua/tangata whenua to ensure use of mātauranga, tikanga and kaitiakitanga is Māori-led. Tikanga, kaitiakitanga and mātauranga is knowledge held by mana whenua/tangata whenua and should only occur through engagement.	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
FS95.094	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated	Reject	
FS105.027	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Support the submission, as consider iwi work from a holistic base to protect Te Taiao, which aligns with the further submitter's views.	Reject	
S47.024	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	SASM-P7	SASM-P7	Support	Retain as notified.	Engagement with tangata whenua and use of mātauranga Māori is consistent with recognising and providing for Section (c) of the RMA	Accept in part	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
FS95.095	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated	Accept in part	
FS105.028	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Support the submission, as consider iwi work from a holistic base to protect Te Taiao, which aligns with the further submitter's views.	Accept in part	
S47.026	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	SASM-P8	SASM-P8	Support in part	Amend SASM-P8 to encourage ongoing relationships with tangata whenua, not just engagement when activities have the potential	Ongoing relationships have built the best outcomes. Not just engaging with tangata whenua for adversely affected mahi.	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
					to adversely affect sites or areas of significance.			
FS95.097	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated	Reject	
FS105.030	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Support the submission, as consider iwi work from a holistic base to protect Te Taiao, which aligns with the further submitter's views.	Reject	
S67.002	Alistair and Jenny Boyne	Introduction	Introduction	Oppose in part	Delete the blanket covering on properties, define specific sites.	Concerns around the blanketing of large areas of land with multiple landowners and the subsequent restrictions placed on property. Subdivision has been occurring on land identified as SASM, and the Rangitane website does not identify significant sites where the District Plan has.	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S81.027	Genesis Energy Ltd	SASM-P2	SASM-P2	Oppose in part	Amend Policy SASM-P2: ... a. ensuring sites and areas of significance to Māori are not modified, destroyed, **and/or**, removed, ~~and/or visually encroached upon~~ by inappropriate activities; b. requiring **that** activities on, or ~~in proximity~~ **immediately adjacent** to sites and areas of significance to Māori ~~to~~ **are undertaken in a way that** maintains the site or area's cultural, spiritual, and historical values, interests, or associations of importance to tangata whenua; ...	Considers that the terms "visually encroached upon by innapropriate activities" and "in proximity to" have vague and uncertain meanings and would be difficult to implement given that different opinions could be held by different parties considering the same proposal. Considers that these phrases should be deleted from the policy or amended to make them more certain. Also considers that the requirement for activities "to maintain the values of a site can be interpreted in different way and should be clarified to mean that an activity should not be established or undertaken in a manner that degrades the values of a site of significance.	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
FS87.049	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Oppose in part	Allow in part	We understand the concern relating with the vagueness of 'in proximity to,' however, linking to effect and site is important. "In proximity" may not be relevant for pā, wahi tapu sites for example but may be for larger or landscape scale sites.	Accept in part	
FS95.051	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Oppose in part	Disallow in part	We understand the concern relating with the vagueness of 'in proximity to,' however, linking to effect and site is important. "In proximity" may not be relevant for pā, wahi tapu sites for example but may be for larger or landscape scale sites.	Accept in part	
FS97.053	Transpower New Zealand			Support	Allow	Supports the submission and similarly considers that the terms in the Policy are not sufficiently clear.	Reject	
S81.028	Genesis Energy Ltd	SASM-P3	SASM-P3	Oppose in part	Amend Policy SASM-P3: ...b. Other earthworks **within** ~~on, or in proximity to~~ sites and areas of significance to Māori only where it can be demonstrated that the identified values will be protected, having regard to...	Considers that the term "in proximity to" has a vague and uncertain meaning and should be deleted from the policy to ensure consistency with the policy heading (which is within sites and areas of significance to Māori) and the relevant Rule SASM-R3.	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
FS87.050	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Oppose in part	Allow in part	We understand the concern relating with the vagueness of 'in proximity to,' however, linking to effect and site is important. "In proximity" may not be relevant for pā, wahi tapu sites for example but may be for larger or landscape scale sites.	Accept in part	
FS95.052	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Oppose in part	Disallow in part	We understand the concern relating with the vagueness of 'in proximity to,' however, linking to effect and site is important. "In proximity" may not be relevant for pā, wahi tapu sites	Accept in part	

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
						for example but may be for larger or landscape scale sites.		
FS97.054	Transpower New Zealand			Support	Allow	Supports the submission and similarly considers that the term 'in proximity to' is not sufficiently clear.	Reject	
S81.029	Genesis Energy Ltd	SASM-P4	SASM-P4	Oppose in part	Amend Policy SASM-P4: Allow the following activities to occur **within** ~~on, or in proximity to~~ sites and areas of significance to Māori, while ensuring their design, scale, and intensity will not compromise cultural, spiritual, and historical values, interests, or associations of importance to tangata whenua...	Considers that the term "in proximity to" has a vague and uncertain meaning and should be deleted from the policy to ensure consistency with the policy heading (which is within sites and areas of significance to Māori) and the relevant Rules SASM-R2 to SASM-R7.	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
FS13.044	Horticulture New Zealand			Support	Allow	"In proximity to" is an unclear description and should be deleted.	Reject	
FS87.051	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Oppose in part	Allow in part	We understand the concern relating with the vagueness of 'in proximity to,' however, linking to effect and site is important. "In proximity" may not be relevant for pā, wahi tapu sites for example but may be for larger or landscape scale sites.	Accept in part	
FS95.053	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Oppose in part	Disallow in part	We understand the concern relating with the vagueness of 'in proximity to,' however, linking to effect and site is important. "In proximity" may not be relevant for pā, wahi tapu sites for example but may be for larger or landscape scale sites.	Accept in part	
FS97.055	Transpower New Zealand			Support	Allow	Supports the relief sought by and similarly considers that the term 'in proximity to' is not sufficiently clear.	Reject	
S81.030	Genesis Energy Ltd	SASM-P5	SASM-P5	Oppose in part	Amend Policy SASM-P5: Only allow any other use and development on, or ~~in proximity~~ **immediately adjacent** to sites	Consider that the term "in proximity to" has a vague and uncertain	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
					and areas of significance to Māori...	meaning and should be deleted from the policy.		Significance to Māori
FS13.045	Horticulture New Zealand			Support	Allow	"In proximity to" is an unclear description and should be deleted.	Reject	
FS87.052	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Oppose in part	Allow in part	We understand the concern relating with the vagueness of 'in proximity to,' however, linking to effect and site is important. "In proximity" may not be relevant for pā, wahi tapu sites for example but may be for larger or landscape scale sites.	Accept in part	
FS95.054	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Oppose in part	Disallow in part	We understand the concern relating with the vagueness of 'in proximity to,' however, linking to effect and site is important. "In proximity" may not be relevant for pā, wahi tapu sites for example but may be for larger or landscape scale sites.	Accept in part	
FS97.056	Transpower New Zealand			Support	Allow	Supports the submission and similarly considers that the term 'in proximity to' is not sufficiently clear.	Reject	
S102.001	Susan Taylor			Amend	Amend to include wāhi tapu and sites of significance in the maps.	The rohe of Ngai Tumapuhia-a-Rangi is located on the eastern shores of Wairarapa and extends inland to the Maungaraki Range and south to the Awhea River. The traditional rohe is defined by the following boundary markers "Mai i Whareama ki Taueru, whiti atu ki te Taumata o te Hangatu, ahu atu ki te Karaka o Waimatua ki Wainuioru, ahu atu ki te Karaka ki Te Awhea rere atu ki te ngutu awa o Te Awhea, rere atu ma te takutai ki Whareama". There are numerous wāhi tapu and sites of significance within the traditional markers, that should be included on the planning maps.	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
S105.002	Kate Reedy	SASM-P5	SASM-P5	Oppose	Delete SASM-P5.	Oppose landowners bearing the cost of consultation with tangata whenua and council to protect SASM.	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S105.003	Kate Reedy	SASM-P9	SASM-P9	Oppose	Delete SASM-P9.	Concerns regarding promoting areas which need to be left in their natural state. Monitoring and regulation of the coast is already taking place, to preserve local seafood stocks and the fauna and flora. Access should be given to those with customary rights, but this should not be promoted.	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S106.001	Ngāi Tūmapūhia-ā-Rangi i Mōtuwairaka Inc			Amend	Amend planning maps to include wāhi tapu and sites of significance.	The rohe of Ngai Tumapuhia-a-Rangi, is located on the eastern shores of the district of Wairarapa and extends inland to the Maungaraki Range and south to the Awhea River. The traditional rohe is defined by the following boundary markers"Mai i Whareama ki Taueru, whiti atu ki te Taumata o te Hangatu, ahu atu ki te Karaka o Waimatua ki Wainuioru, ahu atu ki te Karaka ki Te Awhea rere atu ki te ngutu awa o Te Awhea, rere atu ma te takutai ki Whareama" Within those traditional markers there are numerous wāhi tapu or sites of significance, that need to be included on maps that will be part of the Proposed Plan	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S135.046	Greytown Heritage Trust			Support	No decision requested.	Not stated.	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S140.001	Teoroi Trust			Not Stated	No specific decision requested.	Submitter is aware of and respects archaeological sites on their property, and notes others may yet	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
						be identified. Seeks to carry on farming their land, repair and maintenance on roads and fences, and build houses on the surveyed areas without requiring further resource consents. Do not wish to have public access onto private property. Do not wish to have further regulations imposed on them with regard to sites and areas of significance.		Significance to Māori
S154.016	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia	Introduction	Introduction	Support	Amend SASM - Introduction to replace '~~engagement~~' with '**partnership**' throughout this section, and: ~~Accordingly, it is only those sites that have been identified which are protected by the provisions of the District Plan.~~	Considers Tangata whenua require sovereignty to share this data how and where they want to - this needs to be done in partnership between Council and whānau, hapū, tangata whenua, not just through engagement. Notes the section also specifies that it is only sites that have been identified which are protected by the provisions of the district plan. Notes there is no qualifier in Section 6(e) for waahi tapu and other taonga to be identified in a document in order to be protected.	Accept in part	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S169.005	Suzanne Rauhina Cooper	SASM-O1	SASM-O1	Support	Retain SASM-O1.	Support provisions SASM-O1.	Accept	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S169.007	Suzanne Rauhina Cooper	SASM-O2	SASM-O2	Support	Retain SASM-02.	Support provisions in SASM-O2.	Accept	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S169.008	Suzanne Rauhina Cooper	SASM-O3	SASM-O3	Support	Retain SASM-O3.	Support provisions in SASM-O3.	Accept	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
S184.001	Kawakawa 1D2 Ahu Whenua Trust	SASM-P1	SASM-P1	Support in part	Amend SASM-P1 to recognise that in the case of Māori land, including Ahu Whenua Trusts, rangatiratanga and kaitiakitanga is exercised by the owners of that land.	In the case of Māori land, including Ahu Whenua Trusts, rangatiratanga and kaitiakitanga is exercised by the owners of that land. Submitter notes that as trustees of Kawakawa 1D2 they exercise rangatiratanga over the land and the abutting foreshore. While the submitter acknowledges the overall governance role that the iwi entities exercise in the Wairarapa, in their role as owners of Māori land, they consider it their duty (duty of the landowner themself) to protect the mauri of their tūpuna though a meaningful relationship with the SWDC.	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S184.003	Kawakawa 1D2 Ahu Whenua Trust			Support in part	Amend - Taking into account SASM-P1, submitter asks that the Council's take steps to ensure that the site information; (a) includes non-archaeological sites, including wāhi tapu; and (b) meets the requred standard for site recognisiton, protection and management under the RMA (1991).	Submitter obtained a copy of the schedule and accompanying map, and notes the schedule includes archaeological sites but does not include other sites of Māori significance, including wāhi tapu. This raises the question of whether the archaeological data within South Wairarapa is on its own, sufficient value for site recognition, protection and management under the RMA. The current information is inadequate for now, but will enable a starting point to identifying sites, and wāhi tapu and contribute to the development of a sites of significance management plan for the future.	Accept in part	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S184.004	Kawakawa 1D2 Ahu Whenua Trust	SASM-P5	SASM-P5	Support in part	Amend SASM-P5 to include an additional clause which reads: **(f) the importance of avoiding serious offence to tikanga Maori through the disclosure of the**	Section 42(1) of the RMA provides that in order to avoid serious offence to tikanga Maori or to avoid the disclosure of the location of wāhi tapu; a local	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
					location of wāhi tapu; by prohibiting publication of any information supplied to it, or obtained by it, in the course of any proceedings, whether or not the information may be material to any proposal, application, or requirement, as provided under s.42(1) of the RMA.	body may make an order prohibiting publication of any information supplied to it, or obtained by it, in the course of any proceedings, whether or not the information may be material to any proposal, application, or requirement.		
S184.006	Kawakawa 1D2 Ahu Whenua Trust			Oppose in part	Amend - The Council's review the adequacy of its consultation process with Māori.	The RMA specifically provides for Māori interests and values in Part II. This means that all persons exercising their duties and functions under the Act must take these into consideration. This implies a specific requirement by both consent applicants and consent authorities to take account of Maori interests and values. It is questionable as to whether this can be undertaken without some form of consultation with any Māori landowner who may be affected.	Accept in part	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S184.007	Kawakawa 1D2 Ahu Whenua Trust			Amend	Amend - The submitter recommends that the plan promotes wider community understanding of tikanga Māori in relation to the protection of sites and areas of significance to Māori including wāhi tapu; along the following lines; (a) Develop a database which identifies; (i) all Māori land in the region; (ii) all Crown land and public reserves, in which sites of Māori significance have been currently identified; (iii) land in private ownership in which sites of Māori significance have been currently identified.	This submission point proposes a strategy to (a) improve relationships between local government and Māori landowners; and (b) promote wider community understanding of tikanga Māori in relation to the protection of sites and areas of significance to Māori including wāhi tapu	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
					(b) Develop a process by which sites of significance for Māori and wāhi tapu can be identified for the purpose of the RMA, without the need for public notification. (c) Develop a communication and engagement strategy which targets a specific class of land owner e.g. Māori land owners, or an agreed location, e.g. Ngawi Township, to trial and develop a process which enables all land owners to work collaboratively with mana whenua and the Council to identify the sites, including wāhi tapu, on the understanding that those sites will not be publicly notified; (d) Target an area in which Council cultural advisers together with local Māori land owners can engage with private land owners, in a way which avoids racial tension, and promotes shared understanding. (e) Identify local kaitiaki or kaumatua who have the respect of the wider community to explain the significance of tikanga Māori to the community in relation to wāhi tapu. (f) Establish a database which records the status of each site area and accurately identifies sites of significance to Māori and wāhi tapu. (f) Set a goal to increase the number of identified sites including wāhi tapu, by the next 10 year review.			
FS37.001	Robert Kinsela Workman on			Support	Allow		Reject	

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
	behalf of the Kawakawa 1D2 Ahu Whenua Trust							
S189.047	Chorus New Zealand Limited (Chorus), Connexa Limited (Connexa), Aotearoa Tower Group (trading as FortySouth), One New Zealand Group Limited (One NZ) and Spark New Zealand Trading Limited (Spark)	SASM-R6	SASM-R6	Support	Retain SASM-R6 as notified	The rule clearly permits earthworks for the purposes of installing underground network utilities, and this is supported.	Accept	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S189.048	Chorus New Zealand Limited (Chorus), Connexa Limited (Connexa), Aotearoa Tower Group (trading as FortySouth), One New Zealand Group Limited (One NZ) and Spark New	SASM-R7	SASM-R7	Support in part	Amend the rule, or have a separate rule for upgrading and a separate rule for new, so that it is clear what the scope of an existing legal instrument is	A rule providing clear guidance as to what new or extensions of existing buildings or structures in site or area of significance to Māori listed in SCHED4 Sites and Significance to Māori is supported. However it is unclear what the scope of an existing legal instrument includes. Does this include resource consents or leases? And how does new infrastructure fall into a permitted activity, when it is unlikely to have an existing legal instrument, but one may form through the process of establishing the infrastructure.	Accept	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
	Zealand Trading Limited (Spark)							
S201.001	Ko Hinetau Te Whaiti-Trueman	SASM-O1	SASM-O1	Support	Retain SASM-O1 as notified.	Supports SASM O1 and the process to implement sites	Accept	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S201.003	Ko Hinetau Te Whaiti-Trueman	SASM-O2	SASM-O2	Support	Retain SASM-O2 as notified	Supports SASM O2 and the process to implement sites	Accept	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S201.004	Ko Hinetau Te Whaiti-Trueman	SASM-O3	SASM-O3	Support	Retain SASM-O3 as notified	Supports SASM-O3 and the process to implement sites	Accept	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S209.046	Powerco Limited	SASM-R3	SASM-R3	Oppose in part	Amend as follows: 1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. Earthworks are for burials within an existing urupā; or b. Earthworks are authorised by and located within an approved area in an existing legal instrument (such as consent notice or local authority covenant) for the site. or **c. Earthworks are undertaken by a Network Utility Operator**	On occasion, the submitter will need to undertake earthworks in areas that are on a site or area of significance.	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S209.047	Powerco Limited	SASM-R6	SASM-R6	Oppose in part	Amend as follows: Maintenance, ~~and~~ repair **or replacement** of an existing network utility structure and existing primary production structures within a site or area of significance to Māori listed in SCHED4 Sites and Significance to Māori 1. Activity status: Permitted	On occasion, the submitter will need to replace structures - and sometimes these structures are not exactly the same dimensions.	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
					Where: a. The works do not involve any land disturbance (except where the land disturbance is permitted by SASM-R2); and b. ~~There is no change to~~ the size or location of the foundation or footprint of the existing building or structure **is the same or similar**; or c. Is for the trimming of trees or vegetation, providing it is required for the purpose of protecting the integrity of a structure or is otherwise undertaken in accordance with the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003.			
S209.048	Powerco Limited	SASM-R7	SASM-R7	Oppose in part	Amend as follows: 1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. The new building or structure is authorised by and is located within an approved area in an existing legal instrument (such as consent notice or local authority covenant) for the site. **orb. The works are located within legal road.**	A good majority of the submitter's assets are located within legal road - provision needs to be made within this rule for such assets.	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S212.033	Māori Trustee	SASM-O2	SASM-O2	Support in part	Amend as follows: ~~Tangata whenua~~ **Owners of Māori land** can exercise kaitiakitanga in relation to sites and areas of significance to them in the Wairarapa.	The submitter supports and acknowledges Rangitāne o Wairarapa and Ngāti Kahungunu ki Wairarapa as tangata whenua in the Wairarapa District. The submitter administers whenua Māori on behalf of Māori freehold landowners, who have had their whakapapa connection to their ancestral lands confirmed by a Māori Land Court order upon succession. However, the current definition of tangata	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
						whenua in the RMA 1991 does not expressly provide for Māori freehold landowners. The submitter notes that the current drafting of SASM-O2 only provides for tangata whenua. This appears to be inconsistent with the National Planning Standards 2019, which directs that sites and areas of significance to Māori in a plan are identified where there is agreement by Māori to include them. Therefore, objectives in relation to SASM sites should recognise and provide for the rights and interests of all Māori to have their sites and areas identified within the PDP, not just tangata whenua. The submitter therefore considers owners of Māori land, as defined in paragraph 9, should be included within this objective.		
S212.034	Māori Trustee	SASM-P1	SASM-P1	Support in part	Amend as follows: Work with ~~Rangitāne o Wairarapa and Ngāti Kahungūnu ki Wairarapa~~ **owners of Māori land** in accordance with tikanga Māori to identify and schedule sites and areas of significance to Māori, and their cultural and spiritual values, including by: (a) ~~for sites in Schedule 4,~~ **seeking to establish an extentthrough engagement and collaboration with** ~~tangata whenua~~ **owners of Māori land**.	The submitter supports and acknowledges Rangitāne o Wairarapa and Ngāti Kahungūnu ki Wairarapa as tangata whenua in the Wairarapa District. The submitter administers whenua Māori on behalf of Māori freehold landowners, who have had their whakapapa connection to their ancestral lands confirmed by a Māori Land Court order upon succession. However, the current definition of tangata whenua in the RMA 1991 does not expressly provide for Māori freehold landowners. The submitter notes that the current drafting of SASM-P1 only provides for tangata whenua.	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
						This appears to be inconsistent with the National Planning Standards 2019, which directs that sites and areas of significance to Māori in a plan are identified where there is agreement by Māori to include them. Therefore, all Māori, including Māori freehold landowners, with rights and interests in the region should have the ability to identify SASM sites. This can be achieved by including 'owners of Māori land', as defined in paragraph 9. Furthermore, the submitter supports Rangitāne o Wairarapa and Ngāti Kahungūnu ki Wairarapa identifying sites and areas of significance. However, considers it is not clear how involved they have been in identifying SASM sites, including the extent of some of the mapping. The Proposed Plan should therefore clearly state their involvement in the identification process. Additionally, the submitter considers SASM-P7(e) should be removed from SASM-P7 and inserted into SASM-P1. This is because it better aligns with the policy and ensures that the extend of SASM mapping is not being identified late in the process or placing an expectation on Māori to redefine the boundaries of the SASM sites.		
S212.035	Māori Trustee	SASM-P2	SASM-P2	Support in part	Amend as follows: (b). requiring activities on, or in proximity to sites and areas of significance to Māori to maintain the site or area's cultural, spiritual,	The submitter supports and acknowledges Rangitāne o Wairarapa and Ngāti Kahungūnu ki Wairarapa as tangata whenua in the Wairarapa District. The submitter	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
					and historical values, interests, or associations of importance to ~~tangata whenua~~ **owners of Māori land**; and (c). enabling maintenance, repair and restoration of sites and areas of significance to Māori where the cultural, spiritual, and historical values, interests, associations of importance to ~~tangata whenua~~ **owners of Māori land** of the site or area are protected.	administers whenua Māori on behalf of Māori freehold landowners, who have had their whakapapa connection to their ancestral lands confirmed by a Māori Land Court order upon succession. However, the current definition of tangata whenua in the RMA 1991 does not expressly provide for Māori freehold landowners. The submitter notes that the current drafting of SASM-P2 only provides for tangata whenua. This appears to be inconsistent with the National Planning Standards 2019, which directs that sites and areas of significance are to all Māori. Therefore, Māori freehold landowners should be able to protect and maintain sites and areas of significance to them in addition to Rangitāne o Wairarapa and Ngāti Kahungūnu ki Wairarapa. This can be achieved by including 'owners of Māori land', as defined in paragraph 9.		
S212.036	Māori Trustee	SASM-P3	SASM-P3	Support in part	Amend as follows: (iv). the cultural, spiritual, and historical values, interests, associations of importance to ~~tangata whenua~~ **owners of Māori land** of the site or area.	The submitter supports and acknowledges Rangitāne o Wairarapa and Ngāti Kahungūnu ki Wairarapa as tangata whenua in the Wairarapa District. The submitter administers whenua Māori on behalf of Māori freehold landowners, who have had their whakapapa connection to their ancestral lands confirmed by a Māori Land Court order upon succession. However, the current definition of tangata whenua in the RMA 1991 does not expressly provide for Māori freehold	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
						landowners. The submitter notes that the current drafting of SASM-P3 only provides for tangata whenua. This appears to be inconsistent with the National Planning Standards 2019, which directs that sites and areas of significance are to all Māori. Therefore, SASM-P3 should give protection and regard to the cultural, spiritual, and historical values of all Māori, with rights and interests in the region, within or near their SASM sites. This can be achieved by including 'owners of Māori land', as defined in paragraph 9.		
S212.037	Māori Trustee	SASM-P4	SASM-P4	Support in part	Amend as follows: Allow the following activities to occur on, or in proximity to sites and areas of significance to Māori, while ensuring their design, scale, and intensity will not compromise cultural, spiritual, and historical values, interests, or associations ofimportance to ~~tangata whenua~~ **owners of Māori land**:	The submitter supports and acknowledges Rangitāne o Wairarapa and Ngāti Kahungūnu ki Wairarapa as tangata whenua in the Wairarapa District. The submitter administers whenua Māori on behalf of Māori freehold landowners, who have had their whakapapa connection to their ancestral lands confirmed by a Māori Land Court order upon succession. However, the current definition of tangata whenua in the RMA 1991 does not expressly provide for Māori freehold landowners. The submitter notes that the current drafting of SASM-P4 only provides for tangata whenua. This appears to be inconsistent with the National Planning Standards 2019, which directs that sites and areas of significance are to all Māori. Therefore, SASM-P4 should not	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
						compromise the cultural, spiritual and historical values of all Māori, with rights and interests in the region, within or near their SASM sites. This can be achieved by including 'owners of Māori land', as defined in paragraph 9.		
S212.038	Māori Trustee	SASM-P5	SASM-P5	Support in part	Amend as follows: Only allow any other use and development on, or in proximity to sites and areas of significance to Māori where it can be demonstrated that the cultural, spiritual, and historical values, interests, or associations of importance to tangata ~~whenua~~ **owners of Māori land** of the site or area are protected and maintained, having regard to: (a). whether there are alternative methods, locations, or designs that would avoid or reduce the impact on the values, interests, or associations of importance to ~~tangata whenua~~ **owners of Māori land** associated with the site or area of significance; (b). outcomes articulated by ~~tangata whenua~~ **owners of Māori land** through an assessment of environmental effects, culturalimpact assessment, or iwi planning documents; (c). the protection and maintenance or potential enhancement of the values, interests, or associations of importance to ~~tangata whenua~~ **owners of Māori land** of the site or area of significance and the relationship of by ~~tangata whenua~~	The submitter supports and acknowledges Rangitāne o Wairarapa and Ngāti Kahungūnu ki Wairarapa as tangata whenua in the Wairarapa District. The submitter administers whenua Māori on behalf of Māori freehold landowners, who have had their whakapapa connection to their ancestral lands confirmed by a Māori Land Court order upon succession. However, the current definition of tangata whenua in the RMA 1991 does not expressly provide for Māori freehold landowners. The submitter notes that the current drafting of SASM-P5 only provides for tangata whenua. This appears to be inconsistent with the National Planning Standards 2019, which directs that sites and areas of significance are to all Māori. Therefore, SASM-P5 should recognise and provide for the rights and interests of all Māori to have their sites and areas of significance protected and maintained within the Proposed Plan, not just tangata whenua. This can be achieved by including 'owners of Māori land', as defined in paragraph 9.	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
					owners of Māori land with their taonga, commensurate with the scale and nature of the proposal; (d). how values of significance to by ~~tangata whenua~~ **owners of Māori land**, including tikanga, kaitiakitanga, andmātauranga Māori may be incorporated; and (e). for subdivision, ensure sufficient land is provided around the site or area of significance to Māori to protect values, interests, or associations of importance to by ~~tangata whenua~~ **owners of Māori land** and the remainder of the site is of a size which continues to provide it with a suitable setting to the values, interests, or associations of importance to by ~~tangata whenua~~ **owners of Māori land** of the site or area.			
S212.039	Māori Trustee	SASM-P7	SASM-P7	Support in part	Amend as follows: Support **and resource** landowners to manage, maintain, preserve, and protect sites and areas of significance to Māori, including by: (b). encouraging landowners to engage with local ~~tangata whenua~~ owners **of Māori land** and/or marae and develop positive working relationships in respect of the ongoing management and/or protection of sites or areas of significance to Māori; (c). promoting the use of m**ā**tauranga Māori, tikanga, andkaitiakitanga to manage, maintain, preserve, and protect sites and areas of significance to Māori through engagement and	The submitter supports and acknowledges Rangitāne o Wairarapa and Ngāti Kahungūnu ki Wairarapa as tangata whenua in the Wairarapa District. The submitter administers whenua Māori on behalf of Māori freehold landowners, who have had their whakapapa connection to their ancestral lands confirmed by a Māori Land Court order upon succession. However, the current definition of tangata whenua in the RMA 1991 does not expressly provide for Māori freehold landowners. The submitter notes that the current drafting of SASM-P7 only provides for tangata whenua. This appears to	Accept in part	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
					collaboration with ~~tangata whenua~~ **owners of Māori land**;~~(e). for sites in Schedule 4, seeking to establish an extent through engagement and collaboration with tangata whenua.~~	be inconsistent with the National Planning Standards 2019, which directs that sites and areas of significance are to all Māori. Therefore, SASM-P7 should recognise and provide for all Māori rights and interests, including the ability to engage with owners of Māori land regarding the management, maintenance and preservation of sites and areas of significance to Māori. This can be achieved by including 'owners of Māori land', as defined in paragraph 9. Furthermore, the submitter reiterates the point made in SASM-P1 that SASM-P7(e) should be removed and inserted into SASM-P1. This is because it better aligns with the intended outcome of SASM-P1 and ensures the extend of SASM mapping is not only being identified this late in the process or placing an expectation on Māori to redefine the boundaries of the SASM sites. The submitter also considers that SASM-P7 should expressly provide for the resourcing of landowners to manage, maintain and preserve sites and areas of significance to Māori. This will further incentivise landowners to actively engage with Māori for the protection of SASM sites.		
S212.040	Māori Trustee	SASM-P8	SASM-P8	Support in part	Amend as follows: Encourage engagement with ~~tangata whenua~~ **owners of Māori land** where activities have the	The submitter supports and acknowledges Rangitāne o Wairarapa and Ngāti Kahungūnu ki Wairarapa as tangata whenua in the	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
					potential to adversely affect sites or areas of significance to Māori.	Wairarapa District. The submitter administers whenua Māori on behalf of Māori freehold landowners, who have had their whakapapa connection to their ancestral lands confirmed by a Māori Land Court order upon succession. However, the current definition of tangata whenua in the RMA 1991 does not expressly provide for Māori freehold landowners. The submitter notes that the current drafting of SASM-P8 only provides for tangata whenua. This appears to be inconsistent with the National Planning Standards 2019, which directs that sites and areas of significance are to all Māori. Therefore, SASM-P8 should recognise and provide for all Māori rights and interests, including the ability to engage with owners of Māori land regarding where activities could have a potential adverse effect on sites and areas of significance to Māori. This can be achieved by including 'owners of Māori land', as defined in paragraph 9.		
S212.041	Māori Trustee	SASM-P9	SASM-P9	Support in part	Amend as follows: Promote the provision or development of access for ~~tangata whenua~~ owners **of Māori land** to sites and areas of significance to Māori, including through: (b). informal arrangements or understandings between landowners and local ~~tangata whenua~~ **owners of Māori land**, iwi, hapū, or marae.	The submitter supports and acknowledges Rangitāne o Wairarapa and Ngāti Kahungūnu ki Wairarapa as tangata whenua in the Wairarapa District. The submitter administers whenua Māori on behalf of Māori freehold landowners, who have had their whakapapa connection to their ancestral lands confirmed by a Māori Land Court order upon succession. However, the current definition of tangata	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
						whenua in the RMA 1991 does not expressly provide for Māori freehold landowners. The submitter notes that the current drafting of SASM-P9 only provides for tangata whenua. This appears to be inconsistent the National Planning Standards 2019, which directs that sites and areas of significance are to all Māori. Therefore, SASM-P9 should recognise and provide for all Māori rights and interests, including the promotion or development of access to sites and areas of significance to Māori. This can be achieved by including 'owners of Māori land', as defined in paragraph 9.		
S212.042	Māori Trustee	SASM-R1	SASM-R1	Support	Retain SASM-R1 as notfiied.	The submitter is generally comfortable with the 'Sites of Significance to Māori' rules in this chapter.	Accept	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S212.176	Māori Trustee	SASM-O1	SASM-O1	Support	Retain SASM-O1 as notified.	The submitter is generally comfortable with the 'Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori' objectives in this chapter.	Accept	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S212.177	Māori Trustee	SASM-O3	SASM-O3	Support	Retain SASM-O3 as notified.	The submitter is generally comfortable with the 'Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori' objectives in this chapter.	Accept	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S212.178	Māori Trustee	SASM-R2	SASM-R2	Support	Retain SASM-R2 as notified.	The submitter is generally comfortable with the 'Sites of Significance to Māori' rules in this chapter.	Accept in part	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S212.179	Māori Trustee	SASM-R3	SASM-R3	Support	Retain SASM-R3 as notified.	The submitter is generally comfortable with the 'Sites of	Accept	SASM - Sites and Areas of

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
						Significance to Māori' rules in this chapter.		Significance to Māori
S212.180	Māori Trustee	SASM-R4	SASM-R4	Support	Retain SASM-R4 as notified.	The submitter is generally comfortable with the 'Sites of Significance to Māori' rules in this chapter.	Accept	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S212.181	Māori Trustee	SASM-R5	SASM-R5	Support	Retain SASM-R5 as notified.	The submitter is generally comfortable with the 'Sites of Significance to Māori' rules in this chapter.	Accept in part	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S212.182	Māori Trustee	SASM-R6	SASM-R6	Support	Retain SASM-R6 as notified.	The submitter is generally comfortable with the 'Sites of Significance to Māori' rules in this chapter.	Accept	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S212.183	Māori Trustee	SASM-R7	SASM-R7	Support	Retain SASM-R7 as notified.	The submitter is generally comfortable with the 'Sites of Significance to Māori' rules in this chapter.	Accept in part	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S212.184	Māori Trustee	SASM-R8	SASM-R8	Support	Retain SASM-R8 as notified.	The submitter is generally comfortable with the 'Sites of Significance to Māori' rules in this chapter.	Accept	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S214.041	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	SASM-O1	SASM-O1	Support in part	Amend as follows: Sites and areas of significance to Māori are ~~recognised~~ **identified in order to enable the Council and Māori to make arrangementswith landowners for such sites and areas to be** protected and maintained;	Recognition of sites and areas of significance to Māori may result in broad capture of private land with new SASM layers. Concerns regarding the broad remit of recognition provides no certainty for private landowners as to what they are entitled to do on their own land in this situation. If the Councils wish to negotiate protections for the newly recorded SASMs on private land, then they are free to negotiate with the landowner(s). Identification of SASMs would be a more appropriate objective. Then the process of	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
						negotiation over management of these sites could occur.		
FS75.012	Heritage New Zealand			Oppose	Disallow	HNZPT supports SASM-O1 as notified in the Proposed District Plan to allow sites and areas of significance to Māori to be recognised, protected, and maintained. The RMA includes "The relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, wāhi tapu, and other taonga", and "The protection of historic heritage (which includes sites and areas of significance to Māori) from inappropriate subdivision, use, and development" as matters of national importance. Furthermore, the Council is required under the National Planning Standards to identify sites and areas of significance to Māori. Protection of these areas by including them in the district plan schedule should not be able to be vetoed due to lack of agreement of the current landowners	Accept	
FS87.054	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Oppose	Disallow	As identified in the introductory section of this chapter, "there is a reluctance by tangata whenua to identify the exact location of some wāhi tapu sites because of the need to protect their sacredness." Recognition ensures that SASM are acknowledged and validated, whereas identification specifies particulars, which may be contrary to the goals and aspirations of tangata whenua. There are many examples where tangata whenua have worked with Farmers/Landowners to	Accept	

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
						understand the significant sites that they may hold. We have actively done site tours with Dr. Foss Leach and through this have built collaborative engagement with Farmer's and Landowners to protect these significant places.		
FS90.055	Greater Wellington Regional Council			Oppose	Disallow	Considers that the proposed amendments are inconsistent with RMA section 6 and operative RPS Policy 22.	Accept	
FS95.146	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Oppose	Disallow	Our right to enact kaitiakitanga is through our whakapapa and is reinserted as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi. Many legislation and policies talk to early engagement with mana whenua for kaupapa that impacts whenua, awa, āngi. The principle of tangata whenua exercising kaitiakitanga is part of Section 7(a) of the RMA. There are already protections in place for Landowners in many other legislations and anything discussed or proposed here is not done so outside of the Colonial Framework that has been forced upon us.	Accept	
S214.042	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	SASM-O2	SASM-O2	Support in part	Amend as follows: Tangata whenua can exercise kaitiakitanga in relation to sites and areas of significance to them in the Wairarapa, **except for any such sites and areas that are identified on private land, unless the landowners have agreed that tangata whenua can exercise kaitiakitanga on such land...**	Exercise of kaitiakitanga by tangata whenua in relation to sites and areas of significance to Māori provides no certainty for private landowners as to what they are entitled to do on their own land. If the Councils wish to negotiate exercise of kaitiakitanga in relation to sites and areas of significance to Māori that might occur on private land, then they are free to negotiate with the landowner(s) to do so. The PDP should not be used as a mechanism	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
						to circumvent negotiation and agreement with private landowners.		
FS75.013	Heritage New Zealand			Oppose	Disallow	HNZPT supports SASM-O2 as notified. The amendments requested by the submitter do not give effect to Part 2 of the RMA, specifically recognising and providing for the matters of national importance in section 6(e) and (f) or having particular regard to kaitiakitanga as per section 7(a).	Accept	
FS87.055	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Oppose	Disallow	The amended wording is unnecessary and undermines the principle of tangata whenua exercising kaitiakitanga and therefore Section 7 (a) of the RMA. Our right to enact kaitiakitanga is through our whakapapa and is reinserted as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi. There are already protections in place for Landowners in many other legislations.	Accept	
FS90.056	Greater Wellington Regional Council			Oppose	Disallow	Considers that the proposed amendments are inconsistent with RMA section 6 and operative RPS Policy 22.	Accept	
FS95.147	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Oppose	Disallow	Our right to enact kaitiakitanga is through our whakapapa and is reinserted as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi. Many legislation and policies talk to early engagement with mana whenua for kaupapa that impacts whenua, awa, āngi. The principle of tangata whenua exercising kaitiakitanga is part of Section 7(a) of the RMA. There are already protections in place for Landowners in many other legislations and anything discussed	Accept	

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
						or proposed here is not done so outside of the Colonial Framework that has been forced upon us.		
S214.043	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	SASM-O3	SASM-O3	Support in part	Amend as follows: a. Sites and areas of significance to Māori are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development, **except for any such sites and areas that are identified on private land, unless the landowners have agreed to protect such sites from inappropriate subdivision use and development.**	Protection of sites and areas of significance to Māori should not occur on private land without agreement of landowners. If the Councils wish to negotiate exercise of Kaitiakitanga on private land, then they are free to negotiate with the landowner(s).	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
FS75.014	Heritage New Zealand			Oppose	Disallow	HNZPT supports SASM-O3 as notified. The amendments requested by the submitter do not give effect to Part 2 of the RMA, specifically recognising and providing for the matters of national importance in section 6(e) and (f) or having particular regard to kaitiakitanga as per section 7(a).	Accept	
FS87.056	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Oppose	Disallow	The amended wording is unnecessary and undermines the principle of tangata whenua exercising kaitiakitanga and therefore Section 7 (a) of the RMA. Our right to enact kaitiakitanga is through our whakapapa and is reinserted as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi. There are already protections in place for Landowners in many other legislations.	Accept	
FS90.057	Greater Wellington Regional Council			Oppose	Disallow	Considers that the proposed amendments are inconsistent with RMA section 6 and operative RPS Policy 22.	Accept	

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
FS95.148	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Oppose	Disallow	Our right to enact kaitiakitanga is through our whakapapa and is reinserted as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi. Many legislation and policies talk to early engagement with mana whenua for kaupapa that impacts whenua, awa, āngi. The principle of tangata whenua exercising kaitiakitanga is part of Section 7(a) of the RMA. There are already protections in place for Landowners in many other legislations and anything discussed or proposed here is not done so outside of the Colonial Framework that has been forced upon us.	Accept	
S214.044	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	SASM-P2	SASM-P2	Support in part	Amend as follows:**Where agreement has been reached with landowners, p**~~P~~rotect and maintain sites and areas of significance to Māori by: a. Ensuring sites and areas of significance to Māori are not modified, destroyed, removed and/or visually encroached upon by inappropriate activities; b. Requiring activities on, or in proximity to sites and areas of significance to Māori to maintain the site or area's cultural, spiritual, and historical values, interests, or associations of importance to tangata whenua; and c. Enabling maintenance and restoration of sites and areas of significance to Māori where the cultural spiritual and historical values, interests, associations of importance to tangata whenua of	Protection of sites and areas of significance to Māori should not occur on private land without agreement of landowners. If the Councils wish to negotiate exercise of Kaitiakitanga on private land, then they are free to negotiate with the landowner(s).	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
					the sites or area are protected;			
FS75.015	Heritage New Zealand			Oppose	Disallow	HNZPT supports SASM-P2 as notified. The amendments requested by the submitter do not give effect to Part 2 of the RMA, specifically recognising and providing for the matters of national importance in section 6(e) and (f) or having particular regard to kaitiakitanga as per section 7(a).	Accept	
FS87.057	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Oppose	Disallow	The amended wording is unnecessary and undermines the principle of tangata whenua exercising kaitiakitanga and therefore Section 7 (a) of the RMA. Our right to enact kaitiakitanga is through our whakapapa and is reinserted as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi. There are already protections in place for Landowners in many other legislations.	Accept	
FS90.058	Greater Wellington Regional Council			Oppose	Disallow	Considers that the proposed amendments are inconsistent with RMA section 6 and operative RPS Policy 22.	Accept	
FS95.149	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Oppose	Disallow	Our right to enact kaitiakitanga is through our whakapapa and is reinserted as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi. Many legislation and policies talk to early engagement with mana whenua for kaupapa that impacts whenua, awa, āngi. The principle of tangata whenua exercising kaitiakitanga is part of Section 7(a) of the RMA. There are already protections in place for Landowners in many other legislations and anything discussed	Accept	

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
						or proposed here is not done so outside of the Colonial Framework that has been forced upon us.		
S214.045	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	SASM-P3	SASM-P3	Support	Amend as follows: Allow for: a. Small-scale earthworks for burials within existing urupa; and b. Other earthworks on, or in proximity to sites and areas of significance to Māori only where it can be demonstrated that the identified values will be protected, having regard to: i. The extent of the earthworks ii. The manner in which the earthworks are undertaken; iii. The monitoring of earthworks; and v. The cultural, spiritual, and historical values, interests, associations of importance to tangata whenua of the site or area. **This shall not apply to identified sites and areas of significance to Māori that are on private land unless agreement has been reached with landowners to restrict earthworks within such sites and areas.**	Restrictions on earthworks within sites and areas of significance to Māori should not occur on private land without agreement of landowners. If the Councils wish to negotiate exercise of Kaitiakitanga on private land, then they are free to negotiate with the landowner(s).	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
FS75.016	Heritage New Zealand			Oppose	Disallow	HNZPT supports SASM-P3 as notified. The amendments requested by the submitter do not give effect to Part 2 of the RMA, specifically recognising and providing for the matters of national importance in section 6(e) and (f) or having particular regard to kaitiakitanga as per section 7(a).	Accept	

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
FS87.058	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Oppose	Disallow	The amended wording is unnecessary and undermines the principle of tangata whenua exercising kaitiakitanga and therefore Section 7 (a) of the RMA. Our right to enact kaitiakitanga is through our whakapapa and is reinserted as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi. There are already protections in place for Landowners in many other legislations.	Accept	
FS90.059	Greater Wellington Regional Council			Oppose	Disallow	Considers that the proposed amendment is inconsistent with RMA section 6 and the operative RPS.	Accept	
FS95.150	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Oppose	Disallow	Our right to enact kaitiakitanga is through our whakapapa and is reinserted as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi. Many legislation and policies talk to early engagement with mana whenua for kaupapa that impacts whenua, awa, āngi. The principle of tangata whenua exercising kaitiakitanga is part of Section 7(a) of the RMA. There are already protections in place for Landowners in many other legislations and anything discussed or proposed here is not done so outside of the Colonial Framework that has been forced upon us.	Accept	
S214.046	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	SASM-P4	SASM-P4	Support in part	Amend as follows: Allow the following activities to occur on, or in proximity to sites and areas of significance to Māori, while ensuring their design, scale and intensity will not compromise cultural, spiritual, and historical values, interests, or associations	Restrictions of activities within sites and areas of significance to Māori should not occur on private land without agreement of landowners. If the Councils wish to negotiate exercise of Kaitiakitanga on private land, then they are free to negotiate with the landowner(s).	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
					of importance to tangata whenua: a. Land disturbance; b. Demolition or removal of existing buildings and structures where the structure is not or does not form part of the site or area; c. Alterations to existing buildings and structures; d. Operation, maintenance, and repair or upgrading of existing network utility structures; and e. Erection of signs. **This shall not apply to identified sites and areas of significance to Māori that are on private land unless agreement has been reached with landowners to restrict activities within such sites and areas.**			
FS75.017	Heritage New Zealand			Oppose	Disallow	HNZPT supports SASM-P4 as notified. The amendments requested by the submitter do not give effect to Part 2 of the RMA, specifically recognising and providing for the matters of national importance in section 6(e) and (f) or having particular regard to kaitiakitanga as per section 7(a).	Accept	
FS87.059	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Oppose	Disallow	The amended wording is unnecessary and undermines the principle of tangata whenua exercising kaitiakitanga and therefore Section 7 (a) of the RMA. Our right to enact kaitiakitanga is through our whakapapa and is reinserted as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi. There are already protections in place for Landowners in many other legislations.	Accept	

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
FS90.060	Greater Wellington Regional Council			Oppose	Disallow	Considers that the proposed amendment is inconsistent with RMA section 6 and the operative RPS.	Accept	
FS95.151	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Oppose	Disallow	Our right to enact kaitiakitanga is through our whakapapa and is reinserted as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi. Many legislation and policies talk to early engagement with mana whenua for kaupapa that impacts whenua, awa, āngi. The principle of tangata whenua exercising kaitiakitanga is part of Section 7(a) of the RMA. There are already protections in place for Landowners in many other legislations and anything discussed or proposed here is not done so outside of the Colonial Framework that has been forced upon us.	Accept	
S214.047	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	SASM-P5	SASM-P5	Support in part	Amend as follows: Only allow any other use and development on, or in proximity to sites and areas of significance to Māori where it can be demonstrated that the cultural, spiritual, and historical values, interests, or associations of importance to tangata whenua of the site or area are protected and maintained having regard to: a. Whether there are any alternative methods, locations, or designs that would avoid or reduce the impact on the values, interests, or associations of importance totangata whenua associated with the site or area of significance; b. Outcomes articulated by tangata whenua through an	Restrictions of subdivision, use, and development within sites and areas of significance to Māori should not occur on private land without agreement of landowners. If the Councils wish to negotiate exercise of Kaitiakitanga on private land, then they are free to negotiate with the landowner(s).	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
					assessment of environmental effects, cultural impact assessment, or iwi planning documents; c. The protection and maintenance or potential enhancement of the values, interests, or associations of importance to tangata whenua of the site or area of significance and the relationship of tangata whenua with their taonga, commensurate with the scale and nature of the proposal. d. How values of significance to tangata whenua, including tikanga, kaitiakitanga, and 43 atauranga Māori may be incorporated and e. For subdivision, ensure sufficient land is provided around the site or area of significance to Māori to protect values, interests, or associations of importance to tangata whenua and the remainder of the site is a size which continues to provide it with a suitable setting to the values, interests, or associations of importance to tangata whenua of the site or area.**This shall not apply to identified sites and areas of significance to Māori that are on private land unless agreement has been reached with landowners to implement these restrictions within such sites and areas.**			
FS75.018	Heritage New Zealand			Oppose	Disallow	HNZPT supports SASM-P5 as notified. The amendments requested by the submitter do not give effect to Part 2 of the RMA, specifically	Accept	

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
						recognising and providing for the matters of national importance in section 6(e) and (f) or having particular regard to kaitiakitanga as per section 7(a).		
FS87.060	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Oppose	Disallow	The amended wording is unnecessary and undermines the principle of tangata whenua exercising kaitiakitanga and therefore Section 7 (a) of the RMA. Our right to enact kaitiakitanga is through our whakapapa and is reinserted as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi. There are already protections in place for Landowners in many other legislations.	Accept	
FS90.061	Greater Wellington Regional Council			Oppose	Disallow	Considers that the proposed amendment is inconsistent with RMA section 6 and the operative RPS.	Accept	
FS95.152	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Oppose	Disallow	Our right to enact kaitiakitanga is through our whakapapa and is reinserted as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi. Many legislation and policies talk to early engagement with mana whenua for kaupapa that impacts whenua, awa, āngi. The principle of tangata whenua exercising kaitiakitanga is part of Section 7(a) of the RMA. There are already protections in place for Landowners in many other legislations and anything discussed or proposed here is not done so outside of the Colonial Framework that has been forced upon us.	Accept	
S214.048	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	SASM-P6	SASM-P6	Support in part	Amend as follows: Ensure the adverse effects of activities on sites and areas of	Restrictions of subdivision, use, and development within sites and areas of significance to Māori should not	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
					significance to Māori are managed by: a. Avoiding activities within sites and areas of significance to Māori unless there is a functional need to do so and no practicable alternative location; b. Avoiding significant and adverse effects on the site or area's cultural spiritual and historical values; and c. For other adverse effects: i. Where adverse effects cannot be avoided, they are minimised. ii. Where adverse effects cannot be minimised, they are remedied; and iii. Where more than minor residual adverse effects cannot be avoided, minimised, or remedied, the activity itself is avoided. **This shall not apply to identified sites and areas of significance to Māori that are on private land unless agreement has been reached with landowners to implement such consideration and management of effects of activities within such sites and areas.**	occur on private land without agreement of landowners. If the Councils wish to negotiate exercise of Kaitiakitanga on private land, then they are free to negotiate with the landowner(s).		Significance to Māori
FS75.019	Heritage New Zealand			Oppose	Disallow	HNZPT supports SASM-P6 as notified. The amendments requested by the submitter do not give effect to Part 2 of the RMA, specifically recognising and providing for the matters of national importance in section 6(e) and (f) or having particular regard to kaitiakitanga as per section 7(a).	Accept	

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
FS87.061	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Oppose	Disallow	The amended wording is unnecessary and undermines the protection of sites of significance to Māori. The principle of tangata whenua exercising kaitiakitanga is part of Section 7 (a) of the RMA. Our right to enact kaitiakitanga is through our whakapapa and is reinserted as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi. There are already protections in place for Landowners in many other legislations.	Accept	
FS90.062	Greater Wellington Regional Council			Oppose	Disallow	Considers that the proposed amendment is inconsistent with RMA section 6 and the operative RPS.	Accept	
FS95.153	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Oppose	Disallow	Our right to enact kaitiakitanga is through our whakapapa and is reinserted as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi. Many legislation and policies talk to early engagement with mana whenua for kaupapa that impacts whenua, awa, āngi. The principle of tangata whenua exercising kaitiakitanga is part of Section 7(a) of the RMA. There are already protections in place for Landowners in many other legislations and anything discussed or proposed here is not done so outside of the Colonial Framework that has been forced upon us.	Accept	
S214.049	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	SASM-P7	SASM-P7	Support	Amend as follows:**Where agreement has been reached with landowners to implement restrictions on subdivision, use and development activities within sites and areas of significance to Māori that are on**	Special restrictions on subdivision, use, and development activities within sites and areas of significance to Māori should not occur on private land without agreement from landowners. Where the Council have negotiated such restrictions within	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
					private land, the Council shall support landowners to manage, maintain, preserve, and protect sites and areas of significance to Māori, including by: a. increasing awareness, understanding, and appreciation within the local community of the presence and importance of sites and areas of significance to Māori; b. encouraging landowners to engage with local tangata whenua and/or manage and develop positive working relationships in respect of the ongoing management and/or protection of sites and areas of significance to Māori. c. promoting the use of matauranga Māori, tikanga and kaitiakitanga to manage, maintain, preserve, and protect sites and areas of significance to Māori through engagement and collaboration with tangata whenua; d. providing assistance to landowners to preserve, maintain, and enhance sites and areas of significance to Māori; and/or e. for sites in schedule 4, seeking to establish an extent through engagement and collaboration with tangata whenua.	sites on private land (which has historically been alienated and acquired by the crown and on-sold to private owners) then they should support the landowners.		
FS75.020	Heritage New Zealand			Oppose	Disallow	HNZPT supports SASM-P7 as notified. The amendments requested by the submitter do not give effect to Part 2 of the RMA, specifically recognising and providing for the matters of national importance in section 6(e) and (f) or having	Accept	

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
						particular regard to kaitiakitanga as per section 7(a).		
FS87.062	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Oppose	Disallow	The amended wording is unnecessary and undermines the protection of sites of significance to Māori. The principle of tangata whenua exercising kaitiakitanga and is part of Section 7 (a) of the RMA. Our right to enact kaitiakitanga is through our whakapapa and is reinserted as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi. There are already protections in place for Landowners in many other legislations. There are many examples of collaborative work between Landowners, Iwi, Hapū and tangata whenua.	Accept	
FS90.063	Greater Wellington Regional Council			Oppose	Disallow	Considers that the proposed amendment is inconsistent with RMA section 6 and the operative RPS.	Accept	
FS95.154	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Oppose	Disallow	Our right to enact kaitiakitanga is through our whakapapa and is reinserted as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi. Many legislation and policies talk to early engagement with mana whenua for kaupapa that impacts whenua, awa, āngi. The principle of tangata whenua exercising kaitiakitanga is part of Section 7(a) of the RMA. There are already protections in place for Landowners in many other legislations and anything discussed or proposed here is not done so outside of the Colonial Framework that has been forced upon us.	Accept	

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
S214.050	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	SASM-R2	SASM-R2	Support	Retain SASM-R2 as notified.	Support the permitted activity status for SASM-R2 in allowing for activities necessary for day-to day farming operations.	Accept in part	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
FS95.155	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Oppose	Disallow	Our right to enact kaitiakitanga is through our whakapapa and is reinserted as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi. Many legislation and policies talk to early engagement with mana whenua for kaupapa that impacts whenua, awa, āngi. The principle of tangata whenua exercising kaitiakitanga is part of Section 7(a) of the RMA. There are already protections in place for Landowners in many other legislations and anything discussed or proposed here is not done so outside of the Colonial Framework that has been forced upon us.	Accept in part	
S218.049	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SASM-P4	SASM-P4	Support in part	Amend Policy SASM-P4 as follows: "Allow for the following activities to occur on, or in proximity to sites and areas of significance to Māori, while ensuring their design, scale, and intensity will not compromise cultural, spiritual, and historical values, interests, or associations of importance to tangata whenua: ... d. operation, maintenance, ~~and~~ repair **and upgrading** of existing network utility structures; and e. erection of signs."	Supports Policy SASM-P4 to the extent that the Policy allows the operation, maintenance and repair or upgrading of existing network utility structures. However, considers that, where network utilities are present in sites and areas of significance to Māori, the Policy should also provide for the upgrading of the existing network utilities. Such an approach gives effect to Policy 1, Policy 2 and Policy 5 of the NPSET, insofar as Policy SASM-P4 relates to the National Grid.	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S218.050	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SASM-P5	SASM-P5	Support in part	Amend Policy SASM-P5 as follows: "SASM-P5 Protect the values of sites and areas of significance to	Generally supports Policy SASM-P5, but notes that neither the RMA nor the WRPS direct the absolute protection of the values of sites and	Accept	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
					Māori from **inappropriate** subdivision, use, and development Only allow any other use and development on, or in proximity to sites and areas of significance to Māori where is can be demonstrated that the cultural, spiritual, and historical values, interests, or associations of importance to tangata whenua of the site or area are protected and maintained, having regard to: a. whether there are **practicible** alternative methods, locations, or designs that would avoid or reduce the impact on the values, interests, or associations of importance to tangata whenua associated with the site or area of significance;..."	areas of significance to Māori. Seeks limited amendments to better give effect to SASM-O3 by referencing the appropriateness of activities; and to reflect that alternative methods or locations should be 'viable' or 'practicable'. The use of this term is consistent with the expression in Policy SASM-P6.		
S218.051	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SASM-P6	SASM-P6	Support in part	Amend Policy SASM-P6 as follows: "Ensure the adverse effects of activities and areas of significance to Māori are managed by: a. avoiding activities within sites and areas of significance to Māori, unless there is a functional need to do so and no practicable alternative location; b. avoiding **to the greatest extent practicible** significant adverse effects on the site or area's cultural spiritual and historical values; and c. for other **residual** effects: i. where adverse effects cannot be avoided, they are minimised; **and** ii. where adverse effects cannot be minimised, they are remedied **to the greatest extent practicible**~~; and iii. where more than minor residual adverse effects cannot be~~	Generally supports Policy SASM-P6 but seeks amendment to the Policy to provide a 'pathway' through the Policy for those activities that have a functional need for their location that are provided for in clause (a).	Accept in part	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
					~~avoided, minimised, or remedied, the activity itself is avoided."~~			
S218.052	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SASM-R2	SASM-R2	Support in part	Amend Rule SASM-R2 as follows: "SASM-R2 Land disturbance (excluding earthworks) within a site or area of significance to Māori listen in SCHED4 Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori All Zones except for Settlement Zone 1. Activity status: Permitted Where the land disturbance is for: ... h. the maintenance or repair of existing tracks and culvert provided the area, extent and volume of land disturbed is limited to that which is necessary to maintain an existing track and culvert along its existing alignment;**i. the maintenance, repair or upgrading of existing network utilities provided the area, extent and volume of land disturbed is limited to that which is necessary and is along the existing alignment;**~~i~~ **j.** authorised works and within an approved area by an existing legal instrument (such as consent notice or local authority covenant) for the site. All zones except Settlement Zone 2. Activity status: **Restricted d**~~D~~iscretionary Where: a. Compliance is not achieved with SASM-R2(1). **Matters of discretion:1. The matters set out in SASM-P3, SASM-P4 and**	Supports Rule SASM-R2 to an extent. However, considers that the rule should be expanded to provide for land disturbance associated with the maintenance, repair and upgrading of network utilities in the same way as it does for access tracks. Considers that, insofar as the rule relates to the National Grid, such amendments are necessary to give effect to Policies 1, 2 and 5 of the NPSET; and considers that the provisions of the Proposed District Plan clearly identify the types of adverse effects that ought to be considered under Rule SASM-R2 such that full discretionary activity status is not required.	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
					SASM-P5. 2. The functional need and operational need of, and benefits from, the maintenance, repair or upgrading, including the potential impact on the levels of service or health and safety if the work is not undertaken."			
FS94.007	Powerco Limited			Support	Allow	Supports the expansion of this rule to provide for land disturbance associated with network utilities.	Reject	
S218.053	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SASM-R3	SASM-R3	Support in part	Amend Rule SASM-R3 as follows: "SASM-R3 SASM-R3 Earthworks within a site or area of significance to Māori listed in SCHED4 Sites and areas of Significance to Māori All Zones except Settlement Zone 1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. Earthworks are for burials within an existing urupā; or b. Earthworks are authorised by and located within an approved area in an exciting legal instrument (such as consent notice or local authority covenant) for the site. All Zones except Settlement Zone 2. Activity status: **Restricted d**~~D~~iscretionary Where: a. Compliance is not achieved with SAS-R3(1). **Matters of discretion:1. The matters set out in SASM-P3, SASM-P4 and SASM-P5.2. The functional need and operational need of, and benefits from, the maintenance, repair or upgrading, including the potential impact on the levels of service or health and**	Does not oppose Rule SASM-R3 that has the effect of triggering the requirement for resource consent for earthworks in a Site of Significance to Māori in almost all circumstances. However, considers that the provisions of the Proposed District Plan clearly identify the types of adverse effects that ought to be considered under Rule SASM-R3 such that full discretionary activity status is not required. That is, the effects are known and can be adequately and appropriately addressed by a restricted discretionary activity status.	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
					safety if the work is not undertaken."			
S218.054	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SASM-R6	SASM-R6	Support in part	Amend Rule SASM-R6 as follows: "SASM-R6 Maintenance ~~and~~ repair **and upgrading** of an existing network utility ~~structure~~ and existing primary production structures within a site or area of significance to Māori listed in SCHED4 Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori All Zones except Settlement Zone 1. Activity status: Permitted ...	Supports Rule SASM-R6 to the extent that the Rule provides for the maintenance and repair of network utility structures as a permitted activity, subject to standards. However, considers that there is a gap between Rules SASM-R6 and SASM-R7 because neither rule addresses the upgrading of network utilities. Further, considers that the rule should address network utilities generally, as opposed to being confined to structures. Therefore, seeks amendments to Rules SASM-R6 to address these matters along with further refinements to give effect to Policies 1, 2, and 5 of the NPSET.	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S221.065	Horticulture New Zealand	SASM-P3	SASM-P3	Support in part	Amend SASM-P3 as follows: Allow for... b. Other earthworks, **including ancillary rural earthworks**, on, or in proximity to sites and areas of significance to Māori only where it can be demonstrated that the identified values will be protected, having regard to...	This policy should also provide for ancillary rural earthworks such that primary production activities can continue on privately-owned land. This aligns with the approach taken in SASM-R2 and SASM-R6.	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
FS81.045	Wairarapa Federated Farmers			Support	Allow	Supports the amendment sought. It is important that ancillary rural earthworks are allowed within the intent of SASM-P3.	Reject	
S221.066	Horticulture New Zealand	SASM-P4	SASM-P4	Support in part	Amend SASM-P4 as follows: a. Land disturbance **and cultivation**...	This policy should also provide for cultivation such that primary production activities can continue on privately-owned land. This aligns with the approach taken in SASM-R2 and SASM-R6.	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
FS81.046	Wairarapa Federated Farmers			Support	Allow	Supports the relief sought. The relief sought makes it clear that cultivation is allowed (subject to the intent of SASM-P6).	Reject	
S221.067	Horticulture New Zealand	SASM-P6	SASM-P6	Support in part	Amend SASM-P6 to clarify which activities are avoided while allowing for those activities captured in SASM-R2, SASM-R4, SASM-R5 and SASM-R6.	The policy as written does not clarify what types of activities are avoided. This policy should be more specific to not contradict the other policies and rules in this chapter. Allow some activities like cultivation to take place within sites and areas of significance to Māori.	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S221.068	Horticulture New Zealand	SASM-R2	SASM-R2	Support	Retain SASM-R2 as notified.	Submitter supports provisions for land disturbance necessary to everyday horticultural activities on privately-owned land, including installation of water pipes, gardening, cultivation and maintenance or repair of existing tracks and culverts.	Accept in part	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S221.069	Horticulture New Zealand	SASM-R6	SASM-R6	Support in part	Retain SASM-R6 as notified.	Submitter supports permitted activity status for maintaining or repairing primary production structures within a site of significance, especially artificial crop protection structures and crop support structures.	Accept	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S249.039	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	Introduction	Introduction	Support in part	Amend SASM-Introduction to explain the mapping of SASM sites (points, small extents, and large extents), and subject to any amendments requested by mana whenua.	Commends the work carried out in association with iwi to identify additional SASM sites in South Wairarapa District. Notes the District Plan maps include three types of SASM - some are just a marker (yellow dot), some are 'small extents', and some are 'large extents'. The SASM chapter does not differentiate between these three. Suggests a note be included in the introductory section of the	Accept	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
						SASM chapter on the mapping of sites to assist plan users. Encourages the Council to prioritise the comprehensive investigation and mapping of other potential sites in the three Wairarapa Districts.		
S249.040	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	SASM-O1	SASM-O1	Support	Retain SASM-O1 as notified.	Supports the objective	Accept	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S249.041	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	SASM-P1	SASM-P1	Support	Retain SASM-P1 as notified subject to feedback from mana whenua.	Supports the general intent of the policy.	Accept	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S249.042	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	SASM-R1	SASM-R1	Support in part	Retain SASM-R1, subject to any feedback from mana whenua.	Supports the general intent of the rules. Considers it may be problematic interpreting how some of the rules would apply to the identified sites which do not have a mapped extent, for example rules relating to land disturbance and earthworks.	Accept	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S249.043	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	SASM-R5	SASM-R5	Support in part	Amend SASM-R5: ... **a.** ~~b.~~ The works do not involve any land disturbance... ~~c. for alterations, there is no change to the size or location of the foundation or footprint of the existing building or structure.~~	Notes a typo in SASM-R5 - no permitted activity standard (a). Considers permitted standard (c) seems irrelevant, as this rule relates to demolition and removal of existing buildings, not alterations.	Accept	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S249.044	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	SASM-R8	SASM-R8	Oppose	Amend SASM-R8: All zones ~~except Settlement Zone~~	It is noted that the SASM rules (with the exception of SASM-R1) do not apply in Settlement Zones. Considers that while it is reasonable to expect a differentiated rule framework for the Settlement Zone, there needs to be some level of	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
						control and protection for SASMs which lie within settlement zones and SASM-R8 should therefore apply to all zones.		
S249.074	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	SASM-O2	SASM-O2	Support	Retain SASM-O2 as notified.	Supports the objective	Accept	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S249.075	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	SASM-O3	SASM-O3	Support	Retain SASM-O3 as notified.	Supports the objective	Accept	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S249.076	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	SASM-P2	SASM-P2	Support	Retain SASM-P2 as notified subject to feedback from mana whenua.	Supports the intent of the policy	Accept	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S249.077	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	SASM-P3	SASM-P3	Support	Retain SASM-P3 as notified subject to feedback from mana whenua.	Supports intent of the policy	Accept	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S249.078	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	SASM-P4	SASM-P4	Support	Retain SASM-P4 as notified subject to feedback from mana whenua.	Supports intent of policy	Accept	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S249.079	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	SASM-P5	SASM-P5	Support	Retain SASM-P5 as notified subject to feedback from mana whenua.	Supports intention of policy	Accept in part	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
S249.080	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	SASM-P6	SASM-P6	Support	Retain SASM-P6 as notified subject to feedback from mana whenua.	Supports intention of policy, particularly avoiding removal and destruction of sites and areas of significance	Accept in part	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S249.081	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	SASM-P7	SASM-P7	Support	Retain SASM-P7 as notified subject to feedback from mana whenua.	Supports intention of policy	Accept in part	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S249.082	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	SASM-P8	SASM-P8	Support	Retain SASM-P8 as notified subject to feedback from mana whenua.	Supports intent of policy	Accept	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S249.083	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	SASM-P9	SASM-P9	Support	Retain SASM-P9 as notified subject to feedback from mana whenua.	Supports intent of policy	Accept	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S249.084	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	SASM-R2	SASM-R2	Support	Retain SASM-R2 as notified subject to feedback from mana whenua.	Supports the general intent of the rules. Considers it may be problematic interpreting how some of the rules would apply to the identified sites which do not have a mapped extent, for example rules relating to land disturbance and earthworks.	Accept in part	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S249.085	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	SASM-R3	SASM-R3	Support	Retain SASM-R3 as notified subject to feedback from mana whenua	Supports the general intent of the rules. Considers it may be problematic interpreting how some of the rules would apply to the identified sites which do not have a mapped extent, for example rules relating to land disturbance and earthworks.	Accept	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
S249.086	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	SASM-R4	SASM-R4	Support	Retain SASM-R4 as notified subject to feedback from mana whenua	Supports the general intent of the rules. Considers it may be problematic interpreting how some of the rules would apply to the identified sites which do not have a mapped extent, for example rules relating to land disturbance and earthworks.	Accept	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S249.087	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	SASM-R6	SASM-R6	Support	Retain SASM-R6 as notified subject to feedback from mana whenua	Supports the general intent of the rules. Considers it may be problematic interpreting how some of the rules would apply to the identified sites which do not have a mapped extent, for example rules relating to land disturbance and earthworks.	Accept	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S249.088	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	SASM-R7	SASM-R7	Support	Retain SASM-R7 as notified subject to feedback from mana whenua	Supports the general intent of the rules. Considers it may be problematic interpreting how some of the rules would apply to the identified sites which do not have a mapped extent, for example rules relating to land disturbance and earthworks.	Accept in part	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S256.016	Ngāti Kahungunu ki Wairarapa Iwi Development Trust	SASM-P8	SASM-P8	Support in part	Amend Policy SASM-P8 as follows: Under section 3B engagement with Ngāti Kahungunu ki Wairarapa and Rangitāne o Wairarapa is required where activities have the potential to adversely effect sites or areas of significance to Māori.	No reason specified.	Reject	SASM - Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
FS87.081	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Kahungunu Wairarapa Iwi Development Trust	Reject	

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
FS95.070	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Kahungunu Wairarapa Iwi Development Trust	Reject	
FS105.053	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Support the submission, as they consider iwi work from a holistic base to protect Te Taiao, which aligns with the further submitter's views.	Reject	

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation	Topic
S249.001	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	Definitions	Definitions	Oppose	Insert new definition of "Archaeological site":**Has the same meaning as given in the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 (HNZPT Act) (as set out below): means, subject to section 42(3) of the HNZPT Act,-- a. any place in New Zealand, including any building or structure (or part 2 of a building or structure), that-- i. was associated with human activity that occurred before 1900 or is the site of the wreck of any vessel where the wreck occurred before 1900; and ii. provides or may provide, through investigation by archaeological methods, evidence relating to the history of New Zealand; and b. includes a site for which a declaration is made under section 43(1) of the HNZPT Act.**	Notes that although a definition for archaeological site is contained in the Historic Heritage chapter - Introduction, considers it useful to keep definitions together.	Accept	Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S256.008	Ngāti Kahungunu ki Wairarapa Iwi Development Trust	Definitions	Definitions	Support in part	Insert definition as follows: **Wāhi tapu - places sacred to Māori in the tradition, spiritual, religious, ritual or mythological space. Generally, areas such as urupā or burial caves.**	Key definitions missing from the Interpretation Add definition for Wāhi tapu.	Accept	Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
FS75.001	Heritage New Zealand			Support	Allow	HNZPT supports the definition of Wāhi tapu as it is generally the same definition as stated in Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014.	Accept	SASM
FS87.073	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Kahungunu Wairarapa Iwi Development Trust	Accept	SASM

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation	Topic
FS95.062	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Kahungunu Wairarapa Iwi Development Trust	Accept	SASM
FS105.045	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Support the submission, as they consider iwi work from a holistic base to protect Te Taiao, which aligns with the further submitter's views.	Accept	SASM
S256.009	Ngāti Kahungunu ki Wairarapa Iwi Development Trust	Definitions	Definitions	Support in part	Insert definition as follows: **Wāhi tīpuna - a place important to Māori for its ancestral significance and associated cultural and traditional values. Generally, pā, papakāinga, marae, tauraka waka.**	There are key definitions missing. Add definition for Wāhi tīpuna.	Reject	Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
FS75.002	Heritage New Zealand			Support	Allow	HNZPT supports the definition of Wāhi tīpuna as it is generally the same definition as stated in Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014.	Reject	SASM
FS87.074	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Kahungunu Wairarapa Iwi Development Trust	Reject	SASM
FS95.063	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Kahungunu Wairarapa Iwi Development Trust	Reject	SASM
FS105.046	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Support the submission, as they consider iwi work from a holistic base to protect Te Taiao, which aligns with the further submitter's views.	Reject	SASM
S256.010	Ngāti Kahungunu ki Wairarapa Iwi	Definitions	Definitions	Support in part	Insert definition as follows: **Site of significance - places and features that are of historical,**	There are key definitions missing. Add definition for site of significance.	Accept in part	Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation	Topic
	Development Trust				**cultural/ or spiritual significance to Māori.**			
FS75.003	Heritage New Zealand			Support	Allow	HNZPT supports adding a definition for Site of Significance as places and features that are of historical, cultural, or spiritual significance to Māori.	Accept in part	SASM
FS87.075	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Kahungunu Wairarapa Iwi Development Trust	Accept in part	SASM
FS95.064	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Support in full the submission of Kahungunu Wairarapa Iwi Development Trust	Accept in part	SASM
FS105.047	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Support the submission, as they consider iwi work from a holistic base to protect Te Taiao, which aligns with the further submitter's views.	Accept in part	SASM

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation	Topic
S169.006	Suzanne Rauhina Cooper	Historical and Cultural Values	Historical and Cultural Values	Support in part	Insert SASMs on Planning Maps and Amend to allow Council flexibility to continue to insert SASMs to the Planning Maps after the District Plan review, as they become available from iwi, hapu, and whanau.	Provides flexibility to continue to add SASMs as they become available from iwi, hapu, and whanau.	Reject	Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S267.001	Fred Waiker	Historical and Cultural Values	Historical and Cultural Values	Oppose	Amend the planning maps to delete the Sites and Areas of Significance to Maori extent from the full coastline.	The properties next to the settlement zones have been occupied and had a succession of ownership over the same time period as the residential properties in Whatarangi, Ngawi and Lake Ferry, as such they should also be excluded from the proposed changes. The integrity of the process must be questioned when there is no data to enforce the changing of the boundaries. The proposed actions for the areas of significance "identification, protection and restriction" are broad and provide no assurances of what will or will not be allowed on the private land.	Reject	Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation	Topic
S212.058	Māori Trustee	SUB-R14	SUB-R14	Oppose	Amend SUB-R14 activity status from Discretionary to Restricted Discretionary.	The submitter considers that the subdivision of land containing a SASM site is a defined issue and should be afforded the same activity status as a subdivision of land within an 'Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape' (restricted discretionary). The impact of a proposed subdivision proposal can be adequately assessed through criteria set under a restricted discretionary activity rule.	Reject	Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S249.050	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	SUB-R14	SUB-R14	Support	Retain SUB-R14 as notified.	Supports the discretionary activity status for the subdivision of land containing a SASM.	Accept	Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation	Topic
S64.003	Warren Reiri	Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori	Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori	Not Stated	Amend the Plan to clear the area and put memorials for the 'marae' located near the Masterton Recycling Centre and the 'urupa' located on Nursery Road (inferred).	There was a marae up by the dump and a urupā on Nursery Road, and the road was put through the urupā	Reject	SCHED4 - Schedule of Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S105.001	Kate Reedy	Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori	Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori	Oppose	Amend mapping of Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori to reduce the area surrounding these sites.	Concerns regarding the ability to subdivide a site with Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori located on it, either it is not allowed or costs too much. The mapping of SASM has taken a 'blanket' approach, which is inaccurate and should be amended.	Reject	SCHED4 - Schedule of Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
FS75.027	Heritage New Zealand			Oppose	Disallow	HNZPT is aware that significant research and investigation has gone into determining the SASMs included in the PDP. Regarding the ability to subdivide, rule SUB-R14 provides a consenting pathway for subdivision. HNZPT supports Schedule 4 as notified. The amendments requested by the submitter do not give effect to Part 2 of the RMA, specifically recognising and providing for the matters of national importance in section 6(e) and (f) or having particular regard to kaitiakitanga as per section 7(a).	Accept	
S154.027	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia	Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori	Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori	Amend	Amend SCHED4 - Sites and Areas of Significance to Maori to insert the areas of significance for the uri of Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia.	The destruction of wahi tapu sites are ongoing and should be captured in this list.	Reject	SCHED4 - Schedule of Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori
S184.005	Kawakawa 1D2 Ahu Whenua Trust			Amend	Amend SASM to develop a process by which sites of significance for Māori and wāhi	The proposed plan will have rules for Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori, it will therefore be important	Reject	SCHED4 - Schedule of Sites and Areas

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation	Topic
					tapu can be identified for the purpose of the RMA, without the need for public notification.	to ensure anyone planning on doing work in those areas is aware of the rules and aware that they are working on wāhi tapu land. The Council's should therefore develop a process in which the information is available to those engaged in the work without making the information publicly available. The use of a 'Silent File' may be a good approach to this, where land titles which Māori know to contain wāhi tapu are marked in local authorities plans to show some of the property was recorded on the silent file as having wāhi tapu - the precise location would not be recorded. If any work was proposed on that land, then the local authority would need to check with the holders of the silent file to ensure that wāhi tapu were not threatened before approval given.		of Significance to Māori
FS37.002	Robert Kinsela Workman on behalf of the Kawakawa 1D2 Ahu Whenua Trust			Support	Allow		Reject	
S212.076	Māori Trustee	Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori	Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori	Support in part	Amend to clearly state the invovlement of Rangitāne o Wairarapa and NgātiKahungūnu ki Wairarapa in the identification process of sitesand areas of significance to Māori.	The submitter supports the following 9 listed SASM sites in Schedule 4 of the PDP: - TWs26 - TWs30 - TWs60 - TWs60 - TWs65 - TWs99 - TWm33 - TWm35	Accept in part	SCHED4 - Schedule of Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation	Topic
						- TWm40 The submitter notes that the current drafting of Schedule 4 only provides for tangata whenua. This appears to be inconsistent with the National Planning Standards 2019, which directs that sites and areas of significance to Māori in a plan are identified where there is agreement by Māori to include them. Therefore, Māori freehold landowners should have the ability to identify sites and areas of significance to Māori in addition to Rangitāne o Wairarapa and Ngāti Kahungūnu ki Wairarapa. The submitter supports Rangitāne o Wairarapa and Ngāti Kahungūnu ki Wairarapa identifying the sites and areas of significance. However, it is not clear how involved they have been in identifying the SASM sites, including the extent of some of the mapping. The PDP should therefore clearly state their involvement in the identification process.		
S214.115	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori	Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori	Oppose	Delete from SCHED4 any site on private land where an agreement with the landowner has not been reached.	The submitter notes that the PDP carries over the existing schedule of Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori from the Operative Wairarapa Combined District Plan. The submitters position is that sites and areas of significance to Māori that are located on, or form part of private land, are not included in SCHED-4 unless the landowner(s) have agreed.	Reject	SCHED4 - Schedule of Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation	Topic
FS75.028	Heritage New Zealand			Oppose	Disallow	The RMA includes as matters of national importance the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, wāhi tapu, and other taonga, and protection of historic heritage (which includes sites and areas of significance to Māori) from inappropriate subdivision, use, and development. Protection of these areas by including in the district plan schedule should not be able to be vetoed due to lack of agreement of the current landowners.	Accept	
FS87.064	Rangitāne o Wairarapa Incorporated			Oppose	Disallow	The removal of SASM undermines the protection of sites of significance to Māori. The principle of tangata whenua exercising kaitiakitanga is part of Section 7 (a) of the RMA. Our right to enact kaitiakitanga is through our whakapapa and is reinserted as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi. There are already protections in place for Landowners in many other legislations.	Accept	
FS90.079	Greater Wellington Regional Council			Oppose	Disallow	Considers that the proposed amendment is inconsistent with the operative RPS.	Accept	
FS95.220	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Oppose	Disallow	Our right to enact kaitiakitanga is through our whakapapa and is reinserted as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi. Many legislation and policies talk to early engagement with mana whenua for kaupapa that impacts whenua, awa, āngi. The principle of tangata whenua exercising kaitiakitanga is part of	Accept	

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Officer recommendation	Topic
						Section 7(a) of the RMA. There are already protections in place for Landowners in many other legislations and anything discussed or proposed here is not done so outside of the Colonial Framework that has been forced upon us.		
S249.069	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori	Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori	Support	Retain SCHED4 - Sites and Areas of Significance to Maori, subject to any amendments suggested by mana whenua	Acknowledges the work that has gone into the SASM schedule, including the identification and mapping of sites, and the importance of protecting these sites through the district plan provisions.	Accept	SCHED4 - Schedule of Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Panel Decision	Topic
S79.045	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	HH-P3	HH-P3	Support	Retain Policy HH-P3 as notified.	Accept – in part	HH – Historic heritage
S79.046	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	HH-P5	HH-P5	Support	Retain Policy HH-P5 as notified.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
S79.047	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	HH-P4	HH-P4	Support	Retain Policy HH-P4 as notified.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
S79.048	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	HH-R1	HH-R1	Support	Retain Rule HH-R1 as notified.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
S79.049	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	HH-R3	HH-R3	Support	Retain Rule HH-R3 as proposed.	Accept in part	HH – Historic heritage
S105.004	Kate Reedy			Oppose	No decision requested.	NC	HH – Historic heritage
S135.030	Greytown Heritage Trust			Support in part	Amend this chapter to better protect Scheduled buildings and curtilage.	Accept in part	HH – Historic heritage
S135.031	Greytown Heritage Trust	HH-P3	HH-P3	Support in part	Amend HH-P3 to remove clause 3 - ~~Demolition of non-scheduled buildings within a heritage precinct~~.	Reject	HH – Historic heritage
FS45.013	Cobblestones Trust			Support in part	Allow in part	Reject in part	
S135.032	Greytown Heritage Trust	HH-P5	HH-P5	Support in part	Amend HH-P5 to add: **Minimising the impact of additions and alterations on the scheduled building or item by being as non-invasive as possible, reversible and not interfering with the heritage fabric.**	Reject	HH – Historic heritage
S135.033	Greytown Heritage Trust	HH-P9	HH-P9	Support in part	Amend HH-P9 to suit reasoning provided.	Reject	HH – Historic heritage
S135.034	Greytown Heritage Trust	HH-R2	HH-R2	Oppose	Amend HH-R2 to a restricted discretionary activity and insert a note as follows: **Note 1. If a resource consent application is made under this rule for a property within the Greytown Historical Heritage Precinct the Greytown Heritage Trust will be considered an affected person in accordance with Section 95E of the RMA and notified of the application, where written approval is not provided.**	Reject	HH – Historic heritage

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Panel Decision	Topic
FS45.025	Cobblestones Trust			Support in part	Allow in part	Reject	
S135.035	Greytown Heritage Trust	HH-R3	HH-R3	Support in part	Amend HH-R3 to make all activities Restricted discretionary and add note as follows: **Note 1. If a resource consent application is made under this rule for a property within the Greytown Historic Heritage Precinct, the Greytown Heritage Trust will be considered an affected person in accordance with Section 95E of the RMA and notified of the application, where written approval is not provided.**	Reject	HH – Historic heritage
S135.036	Greytown Heritage Trust	HH-R4	HH-R4	Support in part	Amend HH-R4 to make all activities Restricted Discretionary and add the following note to HH-R4(1) and HH-R4(2) as follows: **Note 1. If a resource consent application is made under this rule for a property within the Greytown Historic Heritage Precinct, the Greytown Heritage Trust will be considered an affected person in accordance with Section 95E of the RMA and notified of the application, where written approval is not provided.**	Reject	HH – Historic heritage
S135.037	Greytown Heritage Trust	HH-R5	HH-R5	Support in part	Amend HH-R5 to add matters of discretion and add a note as follows: **Note 3. If a resource consent application is made under this rule for a property within the Greytown Heritage Precinct, the Greytown Heritage Trust will be considered an affected person in accordance with Section 95E of the RMA and notified of the application, where written approval is not provided.**	Reject	HH – Historic heritage
S135.038	Greytown Heritage Trust	HH-R9	HH-R9	Support in part	Amend HH-R9 to add a note to HH-R9(1) and HH-R9(2) as follows: **Note 1. If a resource consent application is made under this rule for a property within the Greytown Historic Heritage Precinct, the Greytown Heritage Trust will be considered an affected person in accordance with Section 95E of the RMA and notified of the application, where written approval is not provided.**	Reject	HH – Historic heritage
S135.039	Greytown Heritage Trust	HH-R10	HH-R10	Support in part	Amend HH-R10 to add a note as follows: **Note 1. If a resource consent application is made under this rule for a property within the Greytown Historic Heritage Precinct, the Greytown Heritage Trust will be considered an affected person in accordance with Section 95E of the RMA and notified of the application,**	Reject	HH – Historic heritage

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Panel Decision	Topic
					where written approval is not provided.		
S135.040	Greytown Heritage Trust	HH-R11	HH-R11	Support in part	Amend HH-R11 to add a note as follows: **Note 1. If a resource consent application is made under this rule for a property within the Greytown Historic Heritage Precinct, the Greytown Heritage Trust will be considered an affected person in accordance with Section 95E of the RMA and notified of the application, where written approval is not provided.**	Reject	HH – Historic heritage
S189.037	Chorus New Zealand Limited (Chorus), Connexa Limited (Connexa), Aotearoa Tower Group (trading as FortySouth), One New Zealand Group Limited (One NZ) and Spark New Zealand Trading Limited (Spark)	HH-P3	HH-P3	Support in part	Amend HH-P3 as follows: Enable the following activities relating to scheduled historic heritage buildings and items, where they retain historic heritage values and contribute to the ongoing function and use of the building or item: 1. Maintenance and repair; 2. Seismic strengthening and building safety alterations; and 3. Demolition of non-scheduled buildings within a heritage precinct.**4. Servicing of the building and items with network utilities.**	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
FS45.011	Cobblestones Trust			Support in part	Allow in part	Accept in part	
FS45.012	Cobblestones Trust			Oppose in part	Disallow	Reject in part	
FS75.006	Heritage New Zealand			Support	Allow	Accept	

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Panel Decision	Topic
S189.038	Chorus New Zealand Limited (Chorus), Connexa Limited (Connexa), Aotearoa Tower Group (trading as FortySouth), One New Zealand Group Limited (One NZ) and Spark New Zealand Trading Limited (Spark)	HH-P7	HH-P7	Support in part	Amend HH-P7 as follows: Provide for new buildings and structures, and additions or alterations to existing non-scheduled buildings or structures within a scheduled heritage precinct, where the work: 1. Is compatible with the form, proportions, materials, and setting of existing buildings within the scheduled heritage precinct 2. Provides continuity and coherence with the heritage values and streetscape qualities within the scheduled heritage precinct; 3. Contributes to the long-term viability or ongoing use of the building or item; and 4. Aligns with the guidance for heritage buildings and areas set out in the Residential Design Guide or Centres Design Guide as applicable.**5. Is for a network utility building or structure, and there is a functional or operational requirement for the location of the building or structure**	Accept in part	HH – Historic heritage
FS75.007	Heritage New Zealand			Oppose	Disallow	Reject in part	
S189.040	Chorus New Zealand Limited (Chorus), Connexa Limited (Connexa), Aotearoa Tower Group (trading as FortySouth), One New Zealand Group Limited (One NZ) and Spark New Zealand Trading Limited (Spark)	New provision request	New provision request	Support in part	Insert a new Permitted Activity Rule as follows: **HH-RX Customer connections to a heritage building or item listed in SCHED1 Heritage Buildings and Items** **Activity Status: Permitted where -Customer connections a heritage building, or item listed in SCHED1 Heritage Buildings and Items where the customer connection shall not be attached to a primary feature or front façade of the heritage building or structure.** **Activity status where not achieved: Controlled Where: a. Compliance is not achieved with HH-RX(1). Matters of control:1. The matters listed in HH-P3.**	Accept in part	HH – Historic heritage
FS75.011	Heritage New Zealand			Oppose	Allow in part	Reject	

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Panel Decision	Topic
S199.002	Kahutiaterangi Fahey	New provision request	New provision request	Amend	Insert wāhi tapu and sites of significance to Māori in the Proposed Wairarapa Combined District Plan maps.	Reject	HH – Historic heritage
S209.035	Powerco Limited			Support	Retain as drafted	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
S209.037	Powerco Limited	HH-R3	HH-R3	Oppose in part	Amend 1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. The alterations are only undertaken in the interior of the scheduled heritage building or item where the interior is not specifically listed in SCHED1 Heritage Buildings and Items. **b. The works are for a customer connection line**	Reject	HH – Historic heritage
FS75.009	Heritage New Zealand			Oppose	Disallow	Accept	
S209.038	Powerco Limited	HH-R9	HH-R9	Oppose in part	Amend 1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. The alterations are only undertaken in the interior of the building or structure, or **b. The works are undertaken by a Network Utility Operator**	Reject	HH – Historic heritage
FS75.0010	Heritage New Zealand			Oppose	Disallow	Accept	
S212.032	Māori Trustee	HH-O1	HH-O1	Support	Retain HH-O1 as notified.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
FS45.004	Cobblestones Trust			Support	Allow	Accept	
S212.152	Māori Trustee	HH-O2	HH-O2	Support	Retain HH-O2 as notified.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
FS45.006	Cobblestones Trust			Support	Allow	Accept	
S212.153	Māori Trustee	HH-P1	HH-P1	Support	Retain HH-P1 as notified.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Panel Decision	Topic
FS45.008	Cobblestones Trust			Support	Allow	Accept	
S212.154	Māori Trustee	HH-P2	HH-P2	Support	Retain HH-P2 as notified.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
FS45.010	Cobblestones Trust			Support	Allow	Accept	
S212.155	Māori Trustee	HH-P3	HH-P3	Support	Retain HH-P3 as notified.	Accept in part	HH – Historic heritage
S212.156	Māori Trustee	HH-P4	HH-P4	Support	Retain HH-P4 as notified.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
FS45.015	Cobblestones Trust			Support	Allow	Accept	
S212.157	Māori Trustee	HH-P5	HH-P5	Support	Retain HH-P5 as notified.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
S212.158	Māori Trustee	HH-P6	HH-P6	Support	Retain HH-P6 as notified.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
S212.159	Māori Trustee	HH-P7	HH-P7	Support	Retain HH-P7 as notified.	Accept in part	HH – Historic heritage
S212.160	Māori Trustee	HH-P8	HH-P8	Support	Retain HH-P8 as notified.	Accept in part	HH – Historic heritage
S212.161	Māori Trustee	HH-P9	HH-P9	Support	Retain HH-P9 as notified.	Accept in part	HH – Historic heritage
FS45.018	Cobblestones Trust			Support in part	Allow in part	Accept in part	
S212.162	Māori Trustee	HH-P10	HH-P10	Support	Retain HH-P10 as notified.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
S212.163	Māori Trustee	HH-P11	HH-P11	Support	Retain HH-P11 as notified.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Panel Decision	Topic
FS45.021	Cobblestones Trust			Support	Allow	Accept	
S212.164	Māori Trustee	HH-P12	HH-P12	Support	Retain HH-P12 as notified.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
S212.165	Māori Trustee	HH-R1	HH-R1	Support	Retain HH-R1 as notified.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
FS45.023	Cobblestones Trust			Support	Allow	Accept	
S212.166	Māori Trustee	HH-R2	HH-R2	Support	Retain HH-R2 as notified.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
FS45.026	Cobblestones Trust			Support in part	Allow in part	Accept	
S212.167	Māori Trustee	HH-R3	HH-R3	Support	Retain HH-R3 as notified.	Accept in part	HH – Historic heritage
S212.168	Māori Trustee	HH-R4	HH-R4	Support	Retain HH-R4 as notified.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
S212.169	Māori Trustee	HH-R5	HH-R5	Support	Retain HH-R5 as notified.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
S212.170	Māori Trustee	HH-R6	HH-R6	Support	Retain HH-R6 as notified.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
S212.171	Māori Trustee	HH-R7	HH-R7	Support	Retain HH-R7 as notified.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
S212.172	Māori Trustee	HH-R8	HH-R8	Support	Retain HH-R8 as notified.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
S212.173	Māori Trustee	HH-R9	HH-R9	Support	Retain HH-R9 as notified.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
S212.174	Māori Trustee	HH-R10	HH-R10	Support	Retain HH-R10 as notified.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Panel Decision	Topic
S212.175	Māori Trustee	HH-R11	HH-R11	Support	Retain HH-R11 as notified.	Accept in part	HH – Historic heritage
S214.039	Federated Farmers of New Zealand			Support	Retain the Historic Heritage chapter as notified.	Accept in part	HH – Historic heritage
FS95.144	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Oppose	Disallow	Accept in part	
S236.027	-Director-General of Conservation Penny Nelson			Support in part	Amend HH Chapter to include a note which advises approval may also be required from Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Toanga for certain activities.	Reject	HH – Historic heritage
FS75.004	Heritage New Zealand			Oppose	Disallow	Accept	
S249.013	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	Introduction	Introduction	Support	Retain HH-Introduction as notified.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
S249.014	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	HH-O1	HH-O1	Support	Retain HH-O1 as notified.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
FS45.003	Cobblestones Trust			Support	Allow	Accept	
S249.015	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	HH-O2	HH-O2	Support	Retain HH-O2 as notified.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
FS45.005	Cobblestones Trust			Support	Allow	Accept	
S249.016	Heritage New Zealand	HH-P1	HH-P1	Support	Retain HH-P1 as notified.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Panel Decision	Topic
	Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)						
FS45.007	Cobblestones Trust			Support	Allow	Accept	
S249.017	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	HH-P2	HH-P2	Support	Retain HH-P2 as notified.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
FS45.009	Cobblestones Trust			Support	Allow	Accept	
S249.018	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	HH-P3	HH-P3	Support	Retain HH-P3 as notified.	Accept – in part	HH – Historic heritage
S249.019	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	HH-P4	HH-P4	Support	Retain HH-P4 as notified.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
FS45.014	Cobblestones Trust			Support	Allow	Accept	
S249.020	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	HH-P5	HH-P5	Support	Retain HH-P5 as notified.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
S249.021	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	HH-P6	HH-P6	Support	Retain HH-P6 as notified.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Panel Decision	Topic
FS45.016	Cobblestones Trust			Support	Allow	Accept	
S249.022	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	HH-P7	HH-P7	Support	Retain HH-P7 as notified.	Reject in part	HH – Historic heritage
S249.023	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	HH-P8	HH-P8	Support in part	Amend HH-P8: **1. There are no reasonable alternatives to retain the heritage item in its current location,** ~~1.~~ **2**. The work is necessary to: i. Reduce risk from natural hazards; ~~or ii. Provide for a significant public benefit that could not otherwise be achieved;~~	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
S249.024	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	HH-P9	HH-P9	Support in part	Amend HH-P9: **Avoid** ~~Discourage~~ demolition of scheduled heritage buildings and items unless it can be demonstrated that there are no reasonable alternatives and having regard to the following matters: ... ~~6. Appropriateness, compatibility, and appearance of any replacement building in relation to heritage value~~	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
FS45.017	Cobblestones Trust			Support	Allow	Accept	
S249.025	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	HH-P10	HH-P10	Support	Retain HH-P10 as notified.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
FS45.019	Cobblestones Trust			Support	Allow	Accept	
S249.026	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	HH-P11	HH-P11	Support	Retain HH-P11 as notified.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
FS45.020	Cobblestones Trust			Support	Allow	Accept	

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Panel Decision	Topic
S249.027	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	HH-P12	HH-P12	Support	Retain HH-P12 as notified.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
S249.028	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	HH-R1	HH-R1	Support	Retain HH-R1 as notified.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
FS45.022	Cobblestones Trust			Support	Allow	Accept	
S249.029	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	HH-R2	HH-R2	Support in part	Amend HH-R2: Demolition of a non-scheduled building or structure within heritage curtilage of a heritage building or item listed in SCHED1 Heritage Buildings and Items **and non-contributing buildings within a heritage precinct.**	Reject	HH – Historic heritage
FS45.024	Cobblestones Trust			Support	Allow	Reject	
S249.030	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	HH-R3	HH-R3	Support	Retain HH-R3 as notified.	Reject	HH – Historic heritage
FS75.008	Heritage New Zealand			Support	Allow in part	Reject in part	
S249.031	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	HH-R4	HH-R4	Support	Retain HH-R4 as notified.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
S249.032	Heritage New Zealand	HH-R5	HH-R5	Support	Retain HH-R5 as notified.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Panel Decision	Topic
	Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)						
S249.033	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	HH-R6	HH-R6	Support	Retain HH-R6 as notified.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
FS45.029	Cobblestones Trust			Support	Allow	Accept	
S249.034	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	HH-R7	HH-R7	Support	Retain HH-R7 as notified.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
FS45.030	Cobblestones Trust			Support	Allow	Accept	
FS45.031	Cobblestones Trust			Support	Allow	Accept	
FS45.032	Cobblestones Trust			Support	Allow	Accept	
S249.035	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	HH-R8	HH-R8	Support	Retain HH-R8 as notified.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
FS45.028	Cobblestones Trust			Support	Allow	Accept	
S249.036	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	HH-R9	HH-R9	Support	Retain HH-R9 as notified.	Reject in part – HH-P9 amended	HH – Historic heritage

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Panel Decision	Topic
FS45.033	Cobblestones Trust			Support	Allow	Reject in part	
S249.037	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	HH-R10	HH-R10	Support in part	Retain HH-R10, unless contributing and non-contributing buildings can be identified within each precinct. In which case, the rule should differentiate to enable demolition of non-contributing, whilst protecting contributory buildings from demolition.	Accept in part	HH – Historic heritage
FS45.034	Cobblestones Trust			Support	Allow	Accept in part	
S249.038	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	HH-R11	HH-R11	Support in part	Amend HH-R11: Activity status: **Restricted** Discretionary**Matters of discretion: 1. The matters listed in HH-P7**	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
FS45.027	Cobblestones Trust			Support	Allow	Accept	
S265.002	Carolyn Mary Wait	New provision request	New provision request	Amend	Insert provisions in the Historic Heritage chapter to require large scale commercial activities, such as supermarkets, to locate outside Historic Heritage Precincts.	Reject	HH – Historic heritage
S268.003	Dan Riddiford			Oppose	Amend the HH-Historic Heritage chapter to enable future development of the site at 36 Kitchener St, Martinborough	Reject	HH – Historic heritage
FS75.005	Heritage New Zealand			Oppose	Disallow	Accept	
S135.029	Greytown Heritage Trust	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Amend	Insert a note to all Rules which would activate a Resource Consent within the Greytown Historic Heritage Precinct: Note XX: If a resource consent application is made under this rule for a property within the Greytown Historic Heritage Precinct, the Greytown Heritage Trust will be considered an affected person in accordance with Section 95E of the RMA and notified of the application, where written approval is not provided.	Reject	HH – Historic heritage
S263.001	Chris Peterson	Whole Plan	Whole Plan	Amend	Amend Plan to protect heritage and ecological values of strong walls along Norfolk Road (inferred).	Reject	HH – Historic heritage

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Panel Decision	Topic
S7.001	The Gold Vault LtdLynnette McManaway	Heritage Buildings and Items	Heritage Buildings and Items	Oppose	Delete Hs167 (Former St Andrews Church) from SCHED1 Heritage Buildings and Items	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
S7.002	The Gold Vault LtdLynnette McManaway	Heritage Buildings and Items	Heritage Buildings and Items	Oppose	Delete buildings that have been relocated from elsewhere from SCHED1 Heritage Buildings and Items	Reject	HH – Historic heritage
S11.001	Geoffrey Lush	Heritage Buildings and Items	Heritagmae Buildings and Items	Oppose in part	Amend SCHED1 to delete the following item as follows: ~~Hm0471 | Tironui 35 Essex Street, Masterton | (Lot 1 DP 18680)~~	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
S27.001	Ryan and Nadine Smock	Heritage Buildings and Items	Heritage Buildings and Items	Oppose	Remove Hs160 from SCHED1 - Heritage Buildings and Items	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
S40.001	Masterton Trust Lands Trust	Heritage Buildings and Items	Heritage Buildings and Items	Amend	Amend SCHED1 - Heritage Buildings and Items as follows:~~HM128 Bank of New South Wales Building | 185 Queen Street, Masterton (Pt Sec 9 Town of Masterton)~~ [Remove heritage status from 185 Queen Street, Masterton, Wellington 5810]	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
S135.108	Greytown Heritage Trust	Heritage Buildings and Items	Heritage Buildings and Items	Amend	Insert the following to SCHED1 - Schedule of Heritage Buildings and Items:**xxx | Plunket Building | 10 McMaster Street, Greytown (Lot 2 DP 32660) |**	Reject	HH – Historic heritage
S135.109	Greytown Heritage Trust	Heritage Buildings and Items	Heritage Buildings and Items	Amend	Insert the following to SCHED1 - Schedule of Heritage Buildings and Items:**xxx | Cottage | 26 Kempton Street, Greytown (Lot 2 DP 397363) |**	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
S135.110	Greytown Heritage Trust	Heritage Buildings and Items	Heritage Buildings and Items	Amend	Insert the following to SCHED1 - Schedule of Heritage Buildings and Items:**xxx | Tate House | 5 Kuratāwhiti Street, Greytown (Lot 4 DP 21041) |**	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
S135.111	Greytown Heritage Trust	Heritage Buildings and Items	Heritage Buildings and Items	Amend	Insert the following to SCHED1 - Schedule of Heritage Buildings and Items:**xxx | Gardener's Cottage | 34 Kuratāwhiti Street, Greytown (Lot 2 DP 30704) |**	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
S135.112	Greytown Heritage Trust	Heritage Buildings and Items	Heritage Buildings and Items	Amend	Insert the following to SCHED1 - Schedule of Heritage Buildings and Items: **xxx | Gas flute/ chimney | 139 Kuratāwhiti Street, Greytown (Sec 14 & 16 Greytown Small Farm Sett Blk) |**	Accept	HH – Historic heritage

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Panel Decision	Topic
S135.113	Greytown Heritage Trust	Heritage Buildings and Items	Heritage Buildings and Items	Amend	Insert the following to SCHED1 - Schedule of Heritage Buildings and Items:**xxx | Cottage | 30 Main Street, Greytown (Lot 1 DP 315591) |**	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
S135.114	Greytown Heritage Trust	Heritage Buildings and Items	Heritage Buildings and Items	Amend	Insert the following to SCHED1 - Schedule of Heritage Buildings and Items:**xxx | Shy Cottage | 39 Main Street, Greytown (Lot 1 DP 43512) |**	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
S135.115	Greytown Heritage Trust	Heritage Buildings and Items	Heritage Buildings and Items	Support	Retain site at 75 Main Street, Greytown (Lot 1 DP 76572 SUBJ TO R/W) (Former St Andrew's Church, Fordell near Whanganui) on SCHED1 - Heritage Buildings and Items	Reject	HH – Historic heritage
S135.116	Greytown Heritage Trust	Heritage Buildings and Items	Heritage Buildings and Items	Support	Retain Site at 79 Main Street, Greytown (Lots 1 2 DP 13440 Sub to & Int in Row DP) (The Former Forester's Hall) on SCHED1 - Heritage Buildings and Items.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
S135.119	Greytown Heritage Trust	Heritage Buildings and Items	Heritage Buildings and Items	Amend	Insert the following to SCHED1 - Heritage Buildings and Items: **xxx | Stone fencing and double iron gate | 135 Main Street, Greytown (Lot 1 DP 23295 LOT 2 DP 86779)**	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
S135.120	Greytown Heritage Trust	Heritage Buildings and Items	Heritage Buildings and Items	Amend	Insert the following to SCHED1 - Heritage Buildings and Items:**xxx | Redwood Country House | 53 Udy Street, Greytown (Lot 14 DP 82671) |**	Reject	HH – Historic heritage
FS107.001	Millie Blackwell			Oppose	Disallow	Accept	
S135.121	Greytown Heritage Trust	Heritage Buildings and Items	Heritage Buildings and Items	Amend	Insert the following to SCHED1 - Heritage Buildings and Items:**xxx | Barrett's Cottage | 210 West Street, Greytown (Lot 1 DP 90330) |**	Reject	HH – Historic heritage
FS24.001	Ian and Marilyn Frowde			Oppose	Disallow	Accept	
S135.122	Greytown Heritage Trust	Heritage Buildings and Items	Heritage Buildings and Items	Amend	Insert the following to SCHED1 - Heritage Buildings and Items:**xxx | Gas Flute/ Chimney | 50 Wood Street, Greytown (PT Lot 1 DP 7436) |**	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
FS14.001	Ian Goodman			Oppose	Disallow in part	Reject	

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Panel Decision	Topic
S135.123	Greytown Heritage Trust	Heritage Buildings and Items	Heritage Buildings and Items	Support	Retain buildings and items SCHED1 - Heritage Buildings and Items	Accept in part	HH – Historic heritage
FS26.001	Ryan and Nadine Smock			Oppose	Disallow	Accept	
FS63.001	Peter Edward Ratner and Carol Suzanne Walters			Oppose	Disallow in part	Accept	
S157.002	Francis Minehan			Neutral	Amend SCHED1 - Heritage Buildings and Items to clarify whether HS067 - St Johns Church (64 Fox St, Featherston) is a Methodist or Anglican Church.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
FS75.023	Heritage New Zealand			Support	Allow in part	Accept	
S157.004	Francis Minehan	Heritage Buildings and Items	Heritage Buildings and Items	Amend	Amend SCHED1 - Heritage Buildings and Items: HS106 | ~~Greytown Library~~ **Masonic Lodge** | 115-117 Main Street, Greytown (Parts Lot 1 DP 11855)	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
S157.005	Francis Minehan	Heritage Buildings and Items	Heritage Buildings and Items	Amend	Amend SCHED1 Heritage Buildings and Items to include as follows: **HS (xxx) | Cottage, Piwakawaka Gardens | 118 Mole Street, Greytown |**	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
S157.006	Francis Minehan	Heritage Buildings and Items	Heritage Buildings and Items	Amend	Amend SCHED1 - Heritage Buildings and Items to include as follows: **HS (xxx) | Gas Flue / Chimney | 139 Kuratawhiti Street, Greytown**	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
S163.001	Craig Dowling	Heritage Buildings and Items	Heritage Buildings and Items	Oppose	Amend SCHED1 - Heritage buildings and Items: ~~Hm161 - Oddfellows Hall - 11 Hastwell St, Greytown - (ALL DP 8727)~~	Reject	HH – Historic heritage
S212.075	Māori Trustee	Heritage Buildings and Items	Heritage Buildings and Items	Support	Retain Hs179 as notified.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
S236.078	-Director-General of			Neutral	Amend SCHED1 to include the Rimutaka Railway Tunnel and Water Drop Shaft.	Reject	HH – Historic heritage

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Panel Decision	Topic
	Conservation Penny Nelson						
FS75.024	Heritage New Zealand			Support	Allow in part	Reject	
S249.061	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	Heritage Buildings and Items	Heritage Buildings and Items	Support in part	Amend SCHED1 - Heritage Buildings and Items to insert a link to the heritage inventory for each listed building or item.	Reject	HH – Historic heritage
S249.062	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	Heritage Buildings and Items	Heritage Buildings and Items	Support in part	Amend entry HC53 (Korarau Power Station) in SCHED1 - Heritage Buildings and Items: **Te Wharau Road, Gladstone HNZPT List 7814, Category 2**	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
S249.063	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	Heritage Buildings and Items	Heritage Buildings and Items	Support in part	Amend entry HS158 (Featherston Military Camp) in SCHED1 - Heritage Buildings and Items: **HNZPT Ref 9661 Category 1**	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
S249.064	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	Heritage Buildings and Items	Heritage Buildings and Items	Support in part	Amend entry HS159 (Carkeek Observatory) in SCHED1 - Heritage Buildings and Items: **List number 9808, Category 1**	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
S249.065	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	Heritage Buildings and Items	Heritage Buildings and Items	Oppose	Amend SCHED1 - Heritage Buildings and Items to add a new entry: **Joinery Factory, 26 Revans Street (HNZPT number 2867, Category 2)**	Reject	HH – Historic heritage
FS75.026	Heritage New Zealand			Support	Allow in part	Reject	
S249.066	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere	Heritage Buildings and Items	Heritage Buildings and Items	Oppose	Amend SCHED1 - Heritage Buildings and Items to insert a new entry: **Waihenga, List No. 1314 (Category 2 historic place) located at 154A Jellicoe Street, Martinborough**	Reject	HH – Historic heritage

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Panel Decision	Topic
	Taonga (HNZPT)						
S251.008	Masterton, Carterton, and South Wairarapa District Councils	Heritage Buildings and Items	Heritage Buildings and Items	Support in part	Amend Schedule 1: Heritage buildings and Items as per Attachment 2 of the Original Submission. These amendments relate to: Langdale Homestead, Otahuao Homestead, Awamaru (villa), Brentwood (villa), Brancepeth, Old Kopuaranga School, Polyclough (villa), Woodchester Homestead, Edenbridge (villa), Former Seddon Technical School, Tironui Homestead, Carlingford (villa), Homeleigh Christian School, Eparaima (villa), Rotomahana (villa), Building (facade only), Building (facade only), Building (facade only), Carrick Homestead, Tyneside (villa), Llandaff Homestead, Tapia Homestead, Hartlands Cottage, Grassmere (Pitarrow) (villa), Te Whanga Homestead, Cartercade Building, Larnoch Homestead, Kahutara School, Fernside, Tauherenikau Racecourse (stands and memorial gates), Tablelands, Featherston Military Training Camp.	Accept	HH – Historic heritage
FS75.025	Heritage New Zealand			Support	Allow	Accept	
FS96.006	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga			Support	Allow	Accept	
S251.009	Masterton, Carterton, and South Wairarapa District Councils	Heritage Buildings and Items	Heritage Buildings and Items	Support	Delete Hm098 "Memorial Oaks" from Schedule 1 - Heritage Buildings and Items	Accept	HH – Historic heritage

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
S43.001	Jeremy Partridge	TREE-P1	TREE-P1	Oppose	Amend Policy TREE-P1 to include the numerical STEM threshold/s within the Plan and to have one universal numerical STEM threshold for all three councils.	Readers of the Plan should be able to understand what numerical threshold is required to include a tree in the Plan for transparency reasons. Other councils include this information in their Plans. Masterton and Carterton TA's have a STEM threshold of 120 and South Wairarapa have a STEM threshold of 110. It does not make sense why SWDC has a lower threshold. Submitter notes that no professional justification has been provided for having two different thresholds. It is also important for trees with lower point scores to be added to the Plan. Well formed young trees should be protected to ensure recruitment to the Notable Tree list for the future to replace the older specimens that naturally die over time.	Accept in part	TREE – Notable Trees
S43.002	Jeremy Partridge	TREE-P2	TREE-P2	Oppose in part	Amend Policy TREE-P2 to state that minor tree works may be carried out if the effects are adequately mitigated.	The Policy requires amendment to state that minor tree works may be allowed if the effects are adequately mitigated. The ability to trim or prune roots as a permitted activity within the RPA should be removed unless improved and tighter rules are put in place to prevent damaging root loss which could deleteriously affect a tree's health or stability.	Reject	TREE – Notable Trees
S43.003	Jeremy Partridge	TREE-O1	TREE-O1	Oppose in part	Amend Policy TREE-O1 to state that Council will consider overriding the objection of a landowner to the scheduling of a tree on private land where that tree has outstanding amenity, cultural, historic or intrinsic value.	Many trees have not been scheduled as Notable in the combined DP because the tree owner has objected to the tree being scheduled as Notable. Whilst the tree assessor's report mentions such trees and many others in SWDC plan change 16 there is no discussion or analysis of why Council allows any tree owner to prevent the scheduling of a Notable Tree under any circumstance as a blanket policy. Very important trees should have the chance to be protected where the tree owner objects. Council should at least hear the argument as to why a landowner's objection should not be allowed, as that tree may have very important amenity, cultural, historic, or intrinsic value.	Reject	TREE – Notable Trees

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
S43.004	Jeremy Partridge	TREE-R2	TREE-R2	Oppose in part	Amend Rule TREE-R2 to tighten the rules surrounding permitted activities within the root protection area of notable trees to prevent a tree's collapse, death serious decline. also Amend Rule TREE-R2 as follows: "a. earthworks for the purpose of installing underground network utilities, including customer connections, provided these are: i. no **deeper** ~~shallower~~ than 1m below ground level; ii. installed by hand-digging..."	The permitted rules allow for the removal/ severance of any amount of roots of any size located below 1m of ground level. This means that large structural roots very close to a tree can be removed as a permitted activity as long as the above requirements are followed. These roots are critical for a tree's ability to remain upright and severing these could cause a tree's collapse or sever decline. Furthermore, the term 'shallow' is also unclear and confusing, this should be replaced with 'no deeper than 1m below ground level.	Accept in part	TREE – Notable Trees
S43.006	Jeremy Partridge	TREE-P5	TREE-P5	Oppose in part	Amend Policy TREE-P5 to delete the term 'terminal decline' from this provision and any other rule or reference within Notable or Street Trees and replace with wording such as 'is likely to die within six months'.	The term 'terminal' is not used by professional arborists as trees can live for hundreds to thousands of years and go through many phases. The term terminal is generally used for people or an acute illness where death may be near, this term is inappropriate for trees. Considers the intention of this term is likely to allow Council to consider applications to remove trees where death is imminent, or the tree is unsafe, and mitigation is not possible. Suggest either removing this term or replacing it with working such as 'is likely to die within six months'.	Accept in part	TREE – Notable Trees
S43.007	Jeremy Partridge			Oppose	Amend Notable Trees chapter to delete the term 'trimming' and replace it with 'prune/ pruning'	The term 'trimming' is not used in arboriculture apart from when applied to work such as trimming hedges. Trimming trees without reference can likely lead to increased rates of decay, stress, and fungal ingress to trees. Many recent District Plans have replaced the term 'trimming' with 'pruning' as it is the more modern and correct arboricultural term. The use of 'trim' may encourage notable tree owners to	Accept	TREE – Notable Trees

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
						undertake poor quality tree work which damages the tree's health and structure.		
S122.020	Fulton Hogan Limited	TREE-P3	TREE-P3	Support	Retain TREE-P3 as notified	Supports the ability to undertake trimming of notable trees and activities in their root protection areas where the work will have minimal effect on the tree.	Accept	TREE – Notable Trees
S122.021	Fulton Hogan Limited	TREE-P2	TREE-P2	Support	Retain TREE-P2 as notified	Supports the ability to undertake trimming of notable trees and activities in their root protection areas in specific circumstances including for the installation of underground network utilities.	Accept	TREE – Notable Trees
S122.022	Fulton Hogan Limited	TREE-R2	TREE-R2	Support	Retain TREE-R2 as notified	Supports that the framework under TREE-P2 is predicated on reasonable limits within TREE-R2.	Accept	TREE – Notable Trees
S135.041	Greytown Heritage Trust	TREE-O1	TREE-O1	Support in part	Amend TREE-O1 to add process for the protection of trees above a certain girth and height.	The submitter supports the inclusion of this chapter and the Objectives in particular. Ideally there should be a process for trees to be more easily added if owners request or if they are of a certain size.	Reject	TREE – Notable Trees
S135.042	Greytown Heritage Trust	TREE-O2	TREE-O2	Support	Retain TREE-O2 as notified.	The submitter supports the Objective; there is a need for overt referencing of the registration process.	Accept	TREE – Notable Trees
S135.043	Greytown Heritage Trust	TREE-P4	TREE-P4	Support in part	Amend TREE-P4 as follows: 'TREE-P4 Subdivision of sites with notable trees ... c. the location of any necessary network utilities; ~~and~~ d. the likelihood of any serious threat to people or property from the tree(s).~~;~~ **and****e. do not compromise the root protection areas and the long-term health of the notable tree.**'	The submitter supports the Policy but believes that the root protection should be specifically noted.	Reject	TREE – Notable Trees
S135.044	Greytown Heritage Trust	TREE-P5	TREE-P5	Support in part	Amend TREE-P5 as follows: 'TREE-P5 Removal of notable trees ... b. the tree is dead or is in	The submitter considers that a robust peer review of the qualified arborist's work is required. If a second opinion had not been sought on the West Street Oak, it would have been removed.	Reject	TREE – Notable Trees

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
					terminal decline as assessed and certified by a qualified arborist **and peer reviewed by a qualified arborist**.'			
S135.045	Greytown Heritage Trust	TREE-P8	TREE-P8	Support in part	Amend TREE-P8 as follows: 'TREE-P8 Removal of street trees ... b. the tree is dead or in terminal decline as assessed and certified by a qualified arborist **and peer reviewed by a qualified arborist**; ~~or~~ **and** c. the removal...'	The submitter considers that a robust peer review of the qualified arborist's work is required. If a second opinion had not been sought on the West Street Oak, it would have been removed.	Reject	TREE – Notable Trees
S149.025	NZ Transport Agency (NZTA)	TREE-R1	TREE-R1	Support in part	Amend TREE-R1: ... iii. the trimming is required by statute or regulations, including the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003 or the Telecommunications Act 2001; **or the Government Roading Powers Act 1989.**	There are scheduled trees that either have limbs that extend over a state highway, or which significantly shade a state highway. Section 55 of the Government Roading Powers Act provides for the trimming or removal of trees that is overhanging or overshadowing a road to such an extent as to damage the road, or to endanger or obstruct the lawful use of the road to be detrimental to the maintenance of the road and any associated draining system. The submitter seeks that this provision is added to the permitted activity status in the same way the electricity statute is.	Accept	TREE – Notable Trees
S157.003	francis MInehan			Support in part	Amend TREE - Notable Trees Chapter to enhance recognition of notable trees equivalent to heritage sites.	Considers that notable trees should be given full recognition equivalent to heritage sites. Submitter considers the council to not rely on the RMA to provide an indirection recognition of the heritage value/status of notable trees.	Reject	TREE – Notable Trees
S189.041	Chorus New Zealand Limited (Chorus), Connexa Limited (Connexa),	TREE-P2	TREE-P2	Support	Retain TREE-P2 as notified	Recognising that works to prevent damage to infrastructure and to enable the installation of underground network utilities in the policy framework is supported.	Accept	TREE – Notable Trees

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
	Aotearoa Tower Group (trading as FortySouth), One New Zealand Group Limited (One NZ) and Spark New Zealand Trading Limited (Spark)							
S189.042	Chorus New Zealand Limited (Chorus), Connexa Limited (Connexa), Aotearoa Tower Group (trading as FortySouth), One New Zealand Group Limited (One NZ) and Spark New Zealand Trading Limited (Spark)	TREE-P6	TREE-P6	Support	Retain TREE-P6 as notified	Recognising that works to prevent damage to infrastructure and to enable the installation of underground network utilities in the policy framework is supported.	Accept	TREE – Notable Trees
S189.043	Chorus New Zealand Limited (Chorus), Connexa Limited (Connexa), Aotearoa Tower Group (trading as FortySouth), One New Zealand Group Limited (One NZ) and Spark New Zealand	TREE-R1	TREE-R1	Support in part	Amend TREE-R1 as follows: 1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. The activity does not result in more than minor trimming of any notable tree, where minor trimming means: i. the removal of broken branches, deadwood, or diseased vegetation; ii. the removal of branches interfering with buildings or structures, but only to the extent that the branches are	Permitting trimming as required by the Telecommunications Act 1991 is supported. Amending subclause 1(a)(iv) to recognise telecommunications lines alongside an electricity line is sought. There is no difference in effect between telecommunication or electricity lines.	Accept	TREE – Notable Trees

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
	Trading Limited (Spark)				touching those buildings, or structures; iii. the trimming is required by statute or regulations, including the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003 or the Telecommunications Act 2001; iv. the trimming is required to address an imminent danger to an electricity **or telecommunication line;** or v. other trimming necessary to maintain the health of a listed tree, certified by a qualified arborist.			
S189.044	Chorus New Zealand Limited (Chorus), Connexa Limited (Connexa), Aotearoa Tower Group (trading as FortySouth), One New Zealand Group Limited (One NZ) and Spark New Zealand Trading Limited (Spark)	TREE-R2	TREE-R2	Support	Retain TREE-R2 as notified.	The rule clearly permits earthworks for the purposes of installing underground network utilities, and this is supported.	Accept	TREE – Notable Trees
S189.045	Chorus New Zealand Limited (Chorus), Connexa Limited (Connexa), Aotearoa Tower	TREE-R3	TREE-R3	Support in part	Amend TREE-R3 as follows: 1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. The activity does not result in more than minor trimming of any street tree, where minor trimming means:	Permitting trimming as required by the Telecommunications Act 1991 is supported. Amending subclause 1(a)(iv) to recognise telecommunications lines alongside an electricity line is sought. There is no difference in effect between telecommunication or electricity lines.	Accept	TREE – Notable Trees

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
	Group (trading as FortySouth), One New Zealand Group Limited (One NZ) and Spark New Zealand Trading Limited (Spark)				i. the removal of broken branches, deadwood, or diseased vegetation; ii. the removal of branches interfering with buildings or structures, but only to the extent that the branches are touching those buildings, or structures; iii. the trimming is required by statute or regulations, including the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003 or the Telecommunications Act 2001; iv. the trimming is required to address an imminent danger to an electricity **or telecommunication** line; or v. other trimming necessary to maintain the health of a listed tree, certified by a qualified arborist.			
S189.046	Chorus New Zealand Limited (Chorus), Connexa Limited (Connexa), Aotearoa Tower Group (trading as FortySouth), One New Zealand Group Limited (One NZ) and Spark New Zealand Trading Limited (Spark)	TREE-R4	TREE-R4	Support	Retain TREE-R4 as notified.	The rule clearly permits earthworks for the purposes of installing underground network utilities, and this is supported.	Accept	TREE – Notable Trees

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
S209.039	Powerco Limited	TREE-P2	TREE-P2	Support	Retain as drafted	Submitter supports this policy and the clarity which it provides.	Accept	TREE – Notable Trees
S209.040	Powerco Limited	TREE-R1	TREE-R1	Support	Retain as drafted.	Submitter supports this rule, specifically the references to the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003 and electricity lines. .	Accept	TREE – Notable Trees
S209.041	Powerco Limited	TREE-R2	TREE-R2	Support	Retain as drafted.	Submitter supports this rule, specifically the references to installation of underground utilities.	Accept	TREE – Notable Trees
S209.042	Powerco Limited	TREE-R3	TREE-R3	Oppose	Delete in entirety	Submitter is concerned that every tree located in road, whether intentionally planted or not, is being afforded a significant amount of protection despite its characteristics / quality. This rule provides 'blanket tree protection' across the whole of the Wairarapa which seems to be contrary to the requirements of s76 of the RMA.	Reject	TREE – Notable Trees
S209.043	Powerco Limited	TREE-R4	TREE-R4	Oppose	Delete in entirety.	Submitter is concerned that every tree located in road, whether intentionally planted or not, is being afforded a significant amount of protection despite its characteristics / quality. This rule provides 'blanket tree protection' across the whole of the Wairarapa which seems to be contrary to the requirements of s76 of the RMA	Reject	TREE – Notable Trees
S209.044	Powerco Limited	TREE-R5	TREE-R5	Oppose	Delete in entirety.	Submitter is concerned that every tree located in road, whether intentionally planted or not, is being afforded a significant amount of protection despite its characteristics / quality. This rule provides 'blanket tree protection' across the whole of the Wairarapa which seems to be contrary to the requirements of s76 of the RMA.	Reject in part	TREE – Notable Trees
S209.045	Powerco Limited	TREE-R7	TREE-R7	Oppose	Delete in entirety	Submitter is concerned that every tree located in road, whether intentionally planted or not, is being afforded a significant amount of protection despite its characteristics / quality. This rule provides 'blanket tree protection' across the whole of the Wairarapa which seems to be contrary to the requirements of s76 of the RMA	Accept	TREE – Notable Trees

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
S214.040	Federated Farmers of New Zealand			Support	Retain the Notable Trees chapter as notified.	The submitter supports the objectives, policies, and rules in the Notable Trees chapter.	Accept	TREE – Notable Trees
FS95.145	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Oppose	Disallow	Our right to enact kaitiakitanga is through our whakapapa and is reinserted as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi. Many legislation and policies talk to early engagement with mana whenua for kaupapa that impacts whenua, awa, āngi. The principle of tangata whenua exercising kaitiakitanga is part of Section 7(a) of the RMA. There are already protections in place for Landowners in many other legislations and anything discussed or proposed here is not done so outside of the Colonial Framework that has been forced upon us.	Reject	
S218.045	Transpower New Zealand Limited	TREE-P2	TREE-P2	Support	Retain Policy TREE-P2 as proposed.	Supports Policy TREE-P2 on the basis the Policy allows for minor trimming of notable trees where the trimming is to prevent damage to property or infrastructure or improve public safety. Such an approach is consistent with the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003.	Accept	TREE – Notable Trees
S218.046	Transpower New Zealand Limited	TREE-P6	TREE-P6	Support	Retain Policy TREE-P6 as proposed.	Supports Policy TREE-P6 on the basis the Policy allows for minor trimming of street trees where the trimming is to prevent damage to property or infrastructure or improve public safety. Such an approach is consistent with the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003.	Accept	TREE – Notable Trees
S218.047	Transpower New Zealand Limited	TREE-R1	TREE-R1	Support	Retain Rule TREE-R1 as proposed.	Supports Rule TREE-R1 on the basis that the Rule appropriately provides for minor trimming of a notable tree where the trimming is required by statute or regulation, including the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations or the trimming is required to address an imminent danger to an electricity line.	Accept	TREE – Notable Trees
S218.048	Transpower New Zealand Limited	TREE-R3	TREE-R3	Support	Retain Rule TREE-R3 as proposed.	Supports Rule TREE-R3 on the basis that the Rule appropriately provides for minor trimming of a street tree where the trimming is required by statute or regulations, including the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations or the trimming is required to address an imminent danger to an electricity line.	Accept	TREE – Notable Trees

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
S258.081	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Introduction	Introduction	Support in part	Amend Introduction for Notable Trees Chapter as follows: **Notable trees act as landmarks or connect communities to the past.** Wairarapa's notable trees are those that have been identified and assessed as being of significant value for botanical, **ecological, natural heritage** and/ or historic, cultural, spiritual, landmark, or other community reasons. **Heritage includes indigenous natural heritage and a connection to landcover that has long since been destroyed in the Wairarapa.** Trees may be identified as an individual stand-alone tree or a small group of trees where each tree within the group is protected. Notable trees include both exotic and indigenous species and have significance to the community...	Historic heritage when it comes to Notable Trees, has been interpreted with a very Eurocentric view. Notable Trees provide visual amenity, act as landmarks or connect communities to the past. Heritage includes indigenous natural heritage and a connection to landcover that has long since been destroyed in the Wairarapa. This needs to be reflected in the introduction.	Reject	TREE – Notable Trees
FS105.119	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Supports the submission, particularly relating to conservation for indigenous biodiversity.	Reject	
S258.082	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	TREE-O1	TREE-O1	Support in part	Amend Objective TREE-O1 as follows: Notable trees that contribute to amenity, landscape, historical, cultural, or botanical**, ecological and natural heritage** values are recognised, identified, ~~and~~	Suggest this Objective should go further to ensure that Notable Trees are not only protected, but also retained.	Reject	TREE – Notable Trees

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
					protected and **retained for their natural life**.			
S258.083	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	TREE-O2	TREE-O2	Support	Retain Objective TREE-O2 as proposed.	Supports the Objective as it is appropriate.	Accept	TREE – Notable Trees
S258.084	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	TREE-P1	TREE-P1	Oppose in part	Amend Policy TREE-P1 as follows: ... a. botanical**,** ~~and~~ ecological**, and natural heritage** values; b. cultural, landscape, amenity, and heritage (including tangata whenua) values; **and**~~c. ongoing management of the tree and proximity to surrounding structures and infrastructure; and c~~**c**d. appearance and health of the tree. **Where the STEM threshold for trees native to the Wairarapa is 100 and for the trees not native to the Wairarapa a STEM threshold of 120 applies.**'	Seeks a thorough district-wide survey undertaken to protect the trees that represent vestiges of habitat that were once present in abundance across the Wairarapa. They suggest a STEM threshold should be included and used in favour of native trees over exotic. Furthermore, they oppose the consideration of clause c. regarding ongoing management and proximity to surrounding structures and infrastructure. By definition notable trees are old, and in most cases pre-date the existence of surrounding structures and infrastructure. Therefore, it is the structures that should be managed to not affect the trees, not the other way around.	Reject	TREE – Notable Trees
S258.085	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	TREE-P2	TREE-P2	Oppose in part	Amend Policy TREE-P2 as follows: ... ~~c. enable installation of a minor nature using methods that avoid adverse effects on the notable tree.~~'	Questions why it's necessary to have two policies that are providing for activities that are exactly the same. They oppose clause c. in TREE-P2 because it does not give effect to TREE-O1.	Reject	TREE – Notable Trees
FS97.0100	Transpower New Zealand			Oppose	Disallow	Opposes the relief sought. Considers that Policy TREE-P2 and TREE-P3 do not provide for activities in the same way. Supports Policy TREE-P2 because the policy clearly provides for essential trimming to protect infrastructure and the health and safety of people and communities. Notes that providing for	Accept	

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
						trimming in the manner is consistent with the statutory requirements that apply under the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003.		
S258.086	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	TREE-P3	TREE-P3	Oppose in part	Delete Policy TREE-P3.	This Policy is used as matters of discretion in TREE-R1. As per submission point above. This Policy provides unnecessary duplication. Seek deletion and the matters listed in matters of discretion in TREE-R1.	Reject	TREE – Notable Trees
FS97.101	Transpower New Zealand			Oppose	Disallow	Opposes the relief sought. Considers that Policy TREE-P2 and TREE-P3 do not provide for activities in the same way. Supports Policy TREE-P2 because the policy clearly provides for essential trimming to protect infrastructure and the health and safety of people and communities. Notes that providing for trimming in the manner is consistent with the statutory requirements that apply under the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003.	Accept	
S258.087	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	TREE-P4	TREE-P4	Oppose	Amend Policy TREE-P4 as follows: Manage subdivision of sites containing a notable tree to ensure any adverse effects on the tree are avoided, remedied, or mitigated, ~~considering~~ **by requiring a 10m setback from the root protection area of all Notable Trees.**~~:a. the specific significance and values of the tree(s);b. the extent that the subdivision provides for protection of the tree(s) including consideration of the lot size, configuration, and layout and the location of potential building platforms and accessways;c. the location of any necessary network utilities; and d. the likelihood of any serious~~	State that none of the matters for consideration are relevant to the effect management hierarchy. They oppose consideration of a. because if the tree is Notable, then it has achieved the required significance criteria, therefore, specific significance of the trees has already been considered. oppose b. as written because it would be much simpler to require subdivision to have a setback from all Notable Trees. Opposes clause c. because Network Utilities are not a consideration under s6(f). Finally, as s6(f) requires the protection of historic heritage from inappropriate subdivision, use, and development, therefore, consideration of d. is also not a matter of relevance.	Reject	TREE – Notable Trees

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
					~~threat to people or property from the tree(s).~~			
S258.088	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	TREE-P5	TREE-P5	Oppose in part	Amend Policy TREE-P5 as follows: ~~Discourage~~ **Prohibit** the removal, partial removal, or destruction of a notable tree, unless...	This Policy does not give effect to s6(f). Discourage does not mean the tree is protected.	Reject	TREE – Notable Trees
FS97.102	Transpower New Zealand			Oppose	Disallow	Does not support the relief sought and considers that it is not clear how the Policy does not give effect to section 6(f). Further, considers that a prohibition, as suggested in the submission, is not necessary to recognise and provide for the matters in section 6(f). Considers the term 'prohibit' suggests a prohibited activity status and considers that the appropriateness and necessity for such a stringent approach must be explicitly evaluated under section 32 of the RMA.	Accept	
S258.089	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	TREE-P6	TREE-P6	Oppose	Delete Policy TREE-P6	The definition of a Street Tree is: any tree where the trunk is located within legal road reserve. As stated in the s32 report: Council manages street trees, which are located on road reserve. So, therefore, all works associated with Street Trees is the responsibility of each respective council. Therefore, it is not appropriate for others to conduct trimming, pruning, removal or works in the root protection area without the express permission of council.	Reject	TREE – Notable Trees
S258.090	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	TREE-P7	TREE-P7	Oppose	Delete Policy TREE-P7	The definition of a Street Tree is: any tree where the trunk is located within legal road reserve. As stated in the s32 report: Council manages street trees, which are located on road reserve. So, therefore, all works associated with Street Trees is the responsibility of each respective council. Therefore, it is not appropriate for others to conduct trimming, pruning, removal or works in the root protection area without the express permission of council.	Reject	TREE – Notable Trees
S258.091	Royal Forest and Bird	TREE-P8	TREE-P8	Oppose	Delete Policy TREE-P8.	The definition of a Street Tree is: any tree where the trunk is located within legal road reserve.	Reject	TREE – Notable Trees

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
	Protection Society of New Zealand Inc					As stated in the s32 report: Council manages street trees, which are located on road reserve. So, therefore, all works associated with Street Trees is the responsibility of each respective council. Therefore, it is not appropriate for others to conduct trimming, pruning, removal or works in the root protection area without the express permission of council.		
S258.092	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	TREE-P9	TREE-P9	Support	Retain Policy TREE-P9 as notified.	This is a suitable provision applicable to all Street Trees as they are the property of the councils. Allow works on street trees where they are undertaken by or supervised by Council using best arboricultural practices.	Accept	TREE – Notable Trees
S258.093	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	TREE-R1	TREE-R1	Support in part	Amend Rule TREE-R1 as follows: 2. Activity status: Restricted discretionary ... Matters of discretion: 1. ~~The matters in TREE-P3.~~ **Trimming will not compromise the long term health or reduce the natural life of the notable tree;2. trimming will not compromise the notable values of the tree listed in SCHED3 Notable Trees;3. Trimming will not increase the risk of the notable tree or nearby trees being subject to wind damage;4. trimming will not impact the natural shape and form of the notable tree;5. the extent to which the trimming follows accepted arboriculture best practice;6. whether the activity has a functional**	It is not appropriate to refer to a policy as a matter of discretion. The matters need to be listed in the rule to make it clearer for plan users.	Reject	TREE – Notable Trees

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
					need or operational need to occur;~~2.~~ **7. disposal of removed vegetation.**			
S258.094	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	TREE-R2	TREE-R2	Oppose in part	Amend Rule TREE-R2 as follows: 1. Activity status: Permitted ... ~~ii. earthworks, except for: a. earthworks, for the purpose of installing underground network utilities, including customer connections, provided these are: i. no shallower than 1m below ground level; ii. installed by hand digging or trenchless means (such as air spade, hydro vac or directional drilling methods); and iii. have an entry point either outside of the root protection area or that has an area of less than 1m2;~~ ... 2. Activity status: Restricted discretionary ... Matters of discretion: 1. ~~The matters in TREE-P3.~~ The extent to which the activity is likely to damage the tree or endanger its health;**2. The functional or operational need of the activity to occur within the root zone;3. Any alternative methods available to undertake the activity;4. Best arboricultural practice relevant to the activity**~~2.~~	It is not appropriate to allow earthworks of any form as a permitted activity as this does not give effect to s6(f). Nor does it give effect to TREE-O1. It is not appropriate to refer to a Policy as a matter of discretion. The matters need to be listed in the rule to make it clearer for plan users.	Reject	TREE – Notable Trees

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
					~~Options for the tree's management, including protection.3. Replacement planting in the event the works result in loss of the tree.~~			
S258.095	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	New provision request	New provision request	Oppose	Delete TREE-R3 and replace with the following rule to consolidate provisions for street trees into one rule: **TREE-R3 Trimming, removal or any activity in the road reserve within the root protection area of any street tree.1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. The work is undertaken by the Council to safeguard life or property, including for the maintenance of existing network utilities; andb. the work is undertaken in accordance with best arboriculture practice.2. Activity status: Restricted discretionary Where: a. compliance is not achieved with TREE-R3(1). Matters of discretion:1. works do not compromise the long-term health of the street tree;2. do not reduce the natural life of the street tree;3. do not impact the natural shape and amenity of the street tree.4. the tree is dead or is in terminal decline as assessed and certified by a qualified arborist; or 5. options for the tree's management,**	There are far too many rules regarding street trees. These trees are the responsibility of each respective council. These rules are unnecessary and should be reduced to just one. See Hutt City Council's approach to Notable Trees in their draft district plan. They don't have street tree provisions, but their approach is much simpler and clearer than what is provided here.	Reject	TREE – Notable Trees

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
					including protection or relocation.6. Disposal of removed vegetation.7. Replacement planting.			
FS97.103	Transpower New Zealand			Oppose	Disallow	Opposes the relief sought on the basis that the relief includes a requirement for permitted trimming to be undertaken by the councils. With reference to the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003, Notes that it has a statutory requirement to trim trees near the National Grid. Transpower and its contractors, are best placed to do this work, as opposed to the councils.	Accept	
S258.096	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	TREE-R4	TREE-R4	Oppose	Seek merging of street tree rules to align management with that of council. See suggested rule above.	See submission point above.	Reject	TREE – Notable Trees
S258.097	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	TREE-R5	TREE-R5	Oppose	Seek merging of street tree rules to align management with that of council. See suggested rule above.	See submission point above.	Reject	TREE – Notable Trees
S258.098	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	TREE-R6	TREE-R6	Oppose	Seek merging of street tree rules to align management with that of council. See suggested rule above.	See submission point above.	Reject	TREE – Notable Trees
S258.099	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	TREE-R7	TREE-R7	Oppose	Seek merging of street tree rules to align management with that of council. See suggested rule above.	See submission point above.	Accept in part	TREE – Notable Trees
S43.005	Jeremy Partridge	Definitions	Definitions	Oppose in part	Amend definition for 'qualified arborist' as follows: - the minimum qualification should be Level 6 Qualified Arborist.	The definition of a Qualified Arborist needs to be amended. Many Plans around New Zealand require a NZQA level 6 qualified arborist to consult, report and advise on Notable Trees. It would provide better nationally consistency and enable the best	Reject	TREE – Notable Trees

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
					- delete 'and/or equivalent experience, the knowledge and skills enabling that person to perform the required tasks' and make it so that only NZQA Level 6 qualified Arborists to consult and undertake reports on Notable Trees.	consultation and advice on Notable Trees if a level 6 arborist is required. The third part of the definition which states 'and/or equivalent experience, the knowledge and skills enabling that person to perform the required tasks' could allow for conflicts of interest. Only qualified arborists without ties to Councils should be able to carry out reports on notable trees and act on the tree's health free from the influence from third parties.		
S43.008	Jeremy Partridge	Definitions	Definitions	Support in part	Amend definition for 'root protection area' to include conversion factors for multi stemmed trees in order to calculate diameter of a tree at 1.4m correctly.	Multi stemmed trees have a different calculation methodology which has significant implications for the size of the RPA if calculated incorrectly.	Reject	TREE – Notable Trees
S122.004	Fulton Hogan Limited	Definitions	Definitions	Oppose	Amend the definition of Street Tree to clarify that the definition only applies to trees that have been purposefully planted for landscaping or amenity purposes (for example, "Any tree **that has been purposefully planted for landscaping or amenity purposes** where the trunk is located within the legal road reserve").	Considers the definition would capture any tree located in the road reserve regardless of its location or whether it was planted for landscape or amenity purposes or not. This would potentially capture wilding trees or trees planted for hedging.	Reject	TREE – Notable Trees
S258.005	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc	Definitions	Definitions	Oppose in part	Amend definition for 'root protection area':**means for a tree with a spreading canopy, the area beneath the canopy spread of the tree, measured at ground level from the surface of the trunk, with a radius to the outer most extent of the spread of the tree's branches, and for a**	States that the proposed definition is overly complicated. Opposed to the maximum radius of 15m as trees are so variable. Some tall trees like the emergent rata and Norfolk pines will have a root protection area far greater than 15m. Therefore, suggests that a clearer definition that is determined tree by tree and accompanied by a diagram to make it clear for Plan users.	Reject	TREE – Notable Trees

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
					columnar tree, means the area beneath the canopy extending to a radius half the height of the tree (whichever is greater). [refer to original submission for diagram]~~Means the circular area of ground surrounding a notable tree, for a distance of 12 times the diameter of the tree 1.4 meters above ground level, up to a maximum radius of 15m.~~			
S3.001	Adam Lee	Notable Trees	Notable Trees	Oppose	Delete TSG48a from SCHED3 Notable Trees.	The tree listings at 9 Mole Street were voluntarily added to the register in 2019, and the new owner would like to see them removed. The tree roots are impacting the driveway and lifting up concrete, creating a trip hazard from uneven surface. Needles from the trees block gutters (requiring weekly cleaning), and water during heavy rainfall events is not discharged off the roof effectively. The trees are located close to the house, resulting in concerns they will fall. Limbs have previously fallen during windy periods. The trees restrict natural light from entering the house, resulting in it being much cooler and requiring more energy to keep warm. An arborist has assessed two of the three trees, and raised concerns regarding poor form, minor decay, limbs pressing and rubbing, side branches being overextended, and rubbing branches resulting in loss of strength and decay. The water line to the house has already been replaced, after impact from the roots of these trees. These trees are exotic species and are a health and safety risk to the residents of the house.	Reject	TREE – Notable Trees
S3.002	Adam Lee	Notable Trees	Notable Trees	Oppose	Delete TSG48b from SCHED3 Notable Trees.	The tree listings at 9 Mole Street were voluntarily added to the register in 2019, and the new owner would like to see them removed. The tree roots are impacting the driveway and lifting up concrete,	Reject	TREE – Notable Trees

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
						creating a trip hazard from uneven surface. Needles from the trees block gutters (requiring weekly cleaning), and water during heavy rainfall events is not discharged off the roof effectively. The trees are located close to the house, resulting in concerns they will fall. Limbs have previously fallen during windy periods. The trees restrict natural light from entering the house, resulting in it being much cooler and requiring more energy to keep warm. An arborist has assessed two of the three trees, and raised concerns regarding poor form, minor decay, limbs pressing and rubbing, side branches being overextended, and rubbing branches resulting in loss of strength and decay. The water line to the house has already been replaced, after impact from the roots of these trees. These trees are exotic species and are a health and safety risk to the residents of the house.		
S3.003	Adam Lee	Notable Trees	Notable Trees	Oppose	Delete TSG48c from SCHED3 Notable Trees.	The tree listings on 9 Mole Street were voluntarily added to the register in 2019, and the new owner would like to see them removed. The tree roots are impacting the driveway and lifting up concrete, creating a trip hazard from uneven surface. Needles from the trees block gutters (requiring weekly cleaning), and water during heavy rainfall events is not discharged off the roof effectively. The trees are located close to the house, resulting in concerns they will fall. Limbs have previously fallen during windy periods. The trees restrict natural light from entering the house, resulting in it being much cooler and requiring more energy to keep warm. An arborist has assessed two of the three trees, and raised concerns regarding poor form, minor decay, limbs pressing and rubbing, side branches being overextended, and rubbing branches resulting in loss of strength and decay. The water line to the house has already been replaced, after impact from the roots of these trees. These trees are exotic species and are a health and safety risk to the residents of the house.	Reject	TREE – Notable Trees

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
S39.001	Jennifer McKenzie	Notable Trees	Notable Trees	Amend	Insert trees on the Lansdowne Nursery Site into SCHED3 - Notable Trees.	Consider listing the trees at Landsdowne Nursery in SCHED3 - Notable Trees. The trees include species such as Redwood Cedar, Oak, European Lime, Linden, and Buckeye.	Reject	TREE – Notable Trees
S80.001	Frank & Lisa Cornelissen	Notable Trees	Notable Trees	Oppose	Delete Tsm04mlt from SCHED3 - Notable Trees.	The listing is for a hedgerow of 19. The submission states the trees are no longer a hedge and have been cut through the middle, reducing the value of the trees.	Accept	TREE – Notable Trees
S80.002	Frank & Lisa Cornelissen	Notable Trees	Notable Trees	Amend	Amend the address for Tsm04a to Tsm05n (16 trees) on SCHED3 - Notable Trees.	The submission states the address should be 10 Vintners Lane not 1-3 Vintners Lane.	Accept	TREE – Notable Trees
S80.003	Frank & Lisa Cornelissen	Notable Trees	Notable Trees	Amend	Delete Tsm05a from SCHED3 - Notable Trees	The submission states this tree was cut down by South Wairarapa District Council several years ago.	Accept	TREE – Notable Trees
S135.117	Greytown Heritage Trust	Notable Trees	Notable Trees	Amend	Insert the following to SCHED3 - Notable Trees:**xxx | Copper beech (fagus sylvatica) | 134 Main Street, Greytown (Lot 3 DP 18242) |**	The submitter proposes that a copper beach tree sited on the corner of the 134 Main Street section owned by Woolworth's NZ Ltd be added to SCHED3. The tree has significant heritage values and is held in high regard by the community.	Accept	TREE – Notable Trees
S135.118	Greytown Heritage Trust	Notable Trees	Notable Trees	Amend	Insert the following to SCHED3 - Notable Trees:**xxx | Horokea/Lancewood (psuedopanax crassifolius) | 134 Main Street, Greytown (Lot 3 DP 18242) |**	The submitter proposes that this tree be scheduled as a notable tree due to its heritage, amenity and high value to the Historic Heritage Precinct of Greytown. [see submission for complete reasoning]	Reject	TREE – Notable Trees
FS33.003	Woolworths New Zealand Limited			Oppose	Disallow	No evidence has been produced to support the eligibility of the Lancewood as a notable tree. Without a thorough evaluation of its condition, health, and impact on the surrounding environment, it is unreasonable to consider scheduling the tree. Any decision regarding its scheduling as a notable tree should be based on an assessment of its impact on both the site and the broader community, which has not been provided. Scheduling the Lancewood tree on the subject site would compromise its future development potential given the site's Town Centre zoning, which	Accept	

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
						encourages commercial use and development of the site.		
S135.124	Greytown Heritage Trust	Notable Trees	Notable Trees	Support	Retain trees listed in SCHED3 - Notable Trees	Supports the trees included in SCHED3 - Notable Trees	Accept	TREE – Notable Trees
S147.001	Adrienne Young-Cooper	Notable Trees	Notable Trees	Amend	Amend SCHED3 - Schedule of Notable Trees to include groups of large gums, groups of large oak trees and other trees located on the Recreation Reserve on Todds Road (also known as the Martinborough Golf Course), subject to an assessment of the trees.	The Martinborough Golf Club (MGC) is a Recreation Reserve under the Reserve Management Act. MGC holds a lease for the land and public access is permitted. The land has been held in trust for over 100 years for various community uses and the tree planting therefore reflects community effort over that time. Considers the stand of large gum trees, oak groves, and other mature specimens in the interior of the site reflect community effort and values. An assessment of the trees is warranted to determine whether they should be listed on the Notable Tree Schedule.	Withdrawn – no further action needed	TREE – Notable Trees
FS82.001	South Wairarapa District Council, Partnerships and Operations Team			Oppose in part	Disallow in part	Opposes the inclusion of gums on the notable tree register as they are shedding branches and shadowing neighbours creating potential health and safety risks to people. Including those trees on the notable trees register would mean that South Wairarapa District Council would need to apply for resource consent to remove the trees which would increase the cost and complexity of the work.	Original submission withdrawn – no further action needed	
FS30.001	Roland Griffiths			Oppose	Disallow	Notes that the trees were planted by golf club members. Notes these are now mature and attractive trees they require management. Notes the Golf Club spends time and money ensuring that the trees are cared for and looked after, as they provide the architecture and natural beauty of the course. Notes they are sometimes also hazards for golfers. Considers that there would be a consent requirement for any work on the trees if listed, which would complicate management of the trees.	Original submission withdrawn – no further action needed	
FS71.001	Allan Johnson			Oppose	Allow in part	Opposes the inclusion of trees in the notable tree register that impact dwellings due to significant shading and pose potential safety issues to residents of the dwellings. These trees in close proximity to the	Original submission withdrawn – no	

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
						dwellings should not be registered as notable trees. Supports the inclusion of the trees in the notable tree register that do not impact dwellings.	further action needed	
FS68.001	Trevor Petersen			Oppose	Disallow	Opposes listing the trees at 52 Todds Road, Martinborough in SCHED3 - Notable Trees.	Original submission withdrawn – no further action needed	
FS72.001	Martinborough Golf Club			Oppose	Disallow	Does not support the trees on Martinborough Golf Course at 52 Todds Road being listed in SCHED3 - Notable Trees. Notes there are no mature native trees. All planting has been undertaken by the golf club and not the community as stated by the original submitter. Notes many of the trees require regular and sometimes urgent pruning and limb management to be maintained, which would be restricted by rules in the Notable Trees chapter if listed in SCHED3. Considers this would be unworkable, costly, and unnecessary. The lease from South Wairarapa District Council to use the reserve land as a gold course has a clause for trees and protocols that the golf club must adhere to when managing the trees and must already gain permission from Council to remove the trees. Considers another layer of rules to protect the trees is therefore unnecessary.	Original submission withdrawn – no further action needed	
S157.001	francis MInehan	Notable Trees	Notable Trees	Amend	Amend SCHED3 - Notable Trees to include:**xxx | Copper Beech (fagus sylvatica) | 81 Main Street, Greytown | Woolworths NZ Ltd**	Considers that the 81 Main Street Greytown Copper Beech (Woolworths NZ Ltd) should be proposed for notable tree status. Considers it worth approaching the company/owner to seek agreement for its listing, noting Woolworths's acceptance of the tree's significance/status/right to preservation during the recent Commissioner hearing.	Reject	TREE – Notable Trees
FS66.001	Joy Durrant			Oppose	Disallow	Opposes the inclusion of a Copper Beech tree in the notable tree register. The submitter believes this has been made in error and that there is no Copper Beech Tree at 81 Main Street. The original submission references Woolworths NZ which owns	Accept	

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision	Topic
						134 Main Street Greytown which does contain a large Copper Beech tree.		
S251.027	Masterton, Carterton, and South Wairarapa District Councils			Support in part	Insert into SCHED 3 - Schedule of Notable Trees as follows: Reference number: **Tm25mlt** Tree Record (Common name, botanical name): **Scarlet Oak (Quercus coccinea) x 36** Location and Legal Description: **Masterton Martinborough Road (Te Whiti Road) Te Whiti (Pt Lot 4 DP 4494 and road reserve)**	As there is no building associated with this heritage item the more appropriate schedule for it to be included in is Schedule 3 - Schedule of Notable Trees. The inclusion of the avenue of trees in Schedule 3 would mean the provisions in the Notable Trees chapter would apply and these are more relevant to the protection of trees than the provisions in the Historic Heritage Chapter.	Accept	TREE – Notable Trees