

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Panel Decision
S42.001	Jet Boating New Zealand	ASW-O1	ASW-O1	Support	Retain Objective ASW-O1 as notified.	Accept
S42.002	Jet Boating New Zealand	ASW-P1	ASW-P1	Support	Retain Policy ASW-P1 as notified.	Accept
S42.003	Jet Boating New Zealand	ASW-P2	ASW-P2	Support	Retain Policy ASW-P2 as notified.	Accept
S42.004	Jet Boating New Zealand	ASW-P4	ASW-P4	Support	Retain Policy ASW-P4 as notified.	Accept
S42.005	Jet Boating New Zealand	ASW-P5	ASW-P5	Support	Retain Policy ASW-P5 as notified.	Accept
S42.006	Jet Boating New Zealand	ASW-R1	ASW-R1	Support	Retain Rule ASW-R1 as notified.	Accept
S42.007	Jet Boating New Zealand	ASW-R3	ASW-R3	Support	Retain Rule ASW-R3 as notified.	Accept
S47.025	Rangitāne o Wairarapa	ASW-P2	ASW-P2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept
FS95.096	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Support	Allow	Accept
FS105.029	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Accept
S72.003	Aviation New Zealand - New Zealand Helicopter Association	ASW-P1	ASW-P1	Support	Retain ASW-P1 as notified.	Accept
S94.176	Greater Wellington Regional Council	ASW-P3	ASW-P3	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept
FS105.083	Ian Gunn			Support	Allow	Accept
S186.049	Wellington Fish and Game Council	ASW-O1	ASW-O1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Panel Decision
S186.050	Wellington Fish and Game Council	ASW-P1	ASW-P1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept
S186.051	Wellington Fish and Game Council	ASW-P2	ASW-P2	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept
S186.052	Wellington Fish and Game Council	ASW-P3	ASW-P3	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept
S186.053	Wellington Fish and Game Council	ASW-P4	ASW-P4	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept
S186.054	Wellington Fish and Game Council	ASW-P5	ASW-P5	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept
S212.060	Māori Trustee	ASW-O1	ASW-O1	Support	Retain ASW-O1 as notified.	Accept
S212.217	Māori Trustee	ASW-P1	ASW-P1	Support	Retain ASW-P1 as notified.	Accept
S212.218	Māori Trustee	ASW-P2	ASW-P2	Support	Retain ASW-P2 as notified.	Accept
S212.219	Māori Trustee	ASW-P3	ASW-P3	Support	Retain ASW-P3 as notified.	Accept
S212.220	Māori Trustee	ASW-P4	ASW-P4	Support	Retain ASW-P4 as notified.	Accept
S212.221	Māori Trustee	ASW-P5	ASW-P5	Support	Retain ASW-P5 as notified.	Accept
S212.222	Māori Trustee	ASW-R1	ASW-R1	Support	Retain ASW-R1 as notified.	Accept
S212.223	Māori Trustee	ASW-R2	ASW-R2	Support	Retain ASW-R2 as notified.	Accept
S212.224	Māori Trustee	ASW-R3	ASW-R3	Support	Retain ASW-R3 as notified.	Accept

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Panel Decision
S218.073	Transpower New Zealand Limited	ASW-P3	ASW-P3	Support	Retain Policy ASW-P3 as notified.	Accept
S225.009	New Zealand Defence Force	ASW-R1	ASW-R1	Support in part	Retain ASW-R1 rule as drafted, subject to confirmation that temporary military training activities involving the use of motorised craft on water are permitted under this rule.	Accept in part
S225.010	New Zealand Defence Force	ASW-R2	ASW-R2	Oppose	Delete ASW-R2. As secondary relief, seeks ASW-R2 be amended to make an exemption for temporary structures.	Accept in part
S236.059	-Director-General of Conservation Penny Nelson			Support	Retain ASW Chapter as notified.	Accept

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Panel Decision
S79.064	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	LIGHT-O3	LIGHT-O3	Support	Retain Objective LIGHT-O3 as notified.	Accept
S79.065	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	LIGHT-P4	LIGHT-P4	Support	Retain Policy LIGHT-P4 as notified.	Accept
S79.066	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	LIGHT-R1	LIGHT-R1	Support	Retain Rule LIGHT-R1 as notified.	Accept
S79.067	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	LIGHT-S1	LIGHT-S1	Support in part	Amend standard as follows: ... Exception: The provisions do not apply to specific types of activities or lighting which have a functional need or operational need, such as navigational aids, rail signals and lights and vehicle lights. ... Matters of discretion: ... 2. The effects of light direction on the safe and efficient operation of the road or rail network.	Accept
S81.034	Genesis Energy Ltd	LIGHT-P4	LIGHT-P4	Support in part	Amend Policy LIGHT-P4: Provide for appropriate levels of artificial lighting to enable the safe and efficient undertaking of outdoor activities, including nighttime working, recreation, renewable electricity generation activities and entertainment.	Reject
S149.038	NZ Transport Agency (NZTA)	LIGHT-S1	LIGHT-S1	Support in part	Amend LIGHT-S1: Exceptions to LIGHT-S1: the provisions do not apply to specific types of activities or lighting which has a function need or operational need, such as navigational aids and vehicle lights. a. All illuminated signs required to be displayed by any other legislation or discharging a statutory function and displayed in accordance with the provisions of that legislation. b. All illuminated signs required for night-time works for the construction, maintenance and upgrading of network utilities. c. Activities and lighting which has a function need or operation need including navigational aids and vehicle lights.	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Panel Decision
S212.066	Māori Trustee	LIGHT-O1	LIGHT-O1	Support	Retain LIGHT-O1 as notified.	Accept
S212.234	Māori Trustee	LIGHT-O2	LIGHT-O2	Support	Retain LIGHT-O2 as notified.	Accept
S212.235	Māori Trustee	LIGHT-O3	LIGHT-O3	Support	Retain LIGHT-O3 as notified.	Accept
S212.236	Māori Trustee	LIGHT-P1	LIGHT-P1	Support	Retain LIGHT-P1 as notified.	Accept
S212.237	Māori Trustee	LIGHT-P2	LIGHT-P2	Support	Retain LIGHT-P2 as notified.	Accept
S212.238	Māori Trustee	LIGHT-P3	LIGHT-P3	Support	Retain LIGHT-P3 as notified.	Accept
S212.239	Māori Trustee	LIGHT-P4	LIGHT-P4	Support	Retain LIGHT-P4 as notified.	Accept
S212.240	Māori Trustee	LIGHT-R1	LIGHT-R1	Support	Retain LIGHT-R1 as notified.	Accept
S214.093	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	LIGHT-P3	LIGHT-P3	Support	Retain LIGHT-P3 as notified.	Accept
FS95.198	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Oppose	Disallow	Reject
S214.154	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	LIGHT-P4	LIGHT-P4	Support	Retain LIGHT-P4 as notified.	Accept
FS95.259	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Oppose	Disallow	Reject
S214.155	Federated Farmers of New Zealand			Support	Retain Light chapter as notified.	Accept

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Panel Decision
FS95.260	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Oppose	Disallow	Reject
S221.101	Horticulture New Zealand	LIGHT-O3	LIGHT-O3	Support	Retain LIGHT-O3 as notified.	Accept
S221.102	Horticulture New Zealand	LIGHT-P3	LIGHT-P3	Support in part	Amend to exclude the General Rural Zone from LIGHT-P3, since light is necessary for health and safety on a seasonal basis.	Reject
S221.103	Horticulture New Zealand	LIGHT-P4	LIGHT-P4	Support	Retain LIGHT-P4 as notified.	Accept
S221.104	Horticulture New Zealand	LIGHT-S1	LIGHT-S1	Oppose	Delete LIGHT-S1 and insert an effects-based standard based on zones that provides for lighting required for people's health and safety.	Reject
S229.019	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	Introduction	Introduction	Support in part	Amend the introduction to identify the particular artificial lighting needs of primary production activity	Reject
FS13.062	Horticulture New Zealand			Support	Allow	Reject
S229.020	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	LIGHT-S1	LIGHT-S1	Support in part	Amend as follows: Exception: The provisions do not apply to specific types of activities or lighting which have an important functional or operational role, such as navigational aids, mobile machinery associate with primary production and vehicle lights.	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
S10.001	Toby Mills	Introduction	Introduction	Oppose in part	Amend Noise chapter to simplify its contents.	The Proposed District Plan will increase the complexity of noise management. The Operative Plan's noise section was easy to understand and relatively inexpensive for someone to determine compliance of any noise source. The Proposed Plan increases the noise section from 6 pages to 29 pages and introduces many complex rules, exceptions and discretions. Submitter has concerns regarding how councils will be able to ensure compliance as the new rules require a significant degree of knowledge on noise measurement.	Reject
S10.002	Toby Mills	NOISE-S1	NOISE-S1	Oppose	Delete Standard NOISE-S1.	Given noise is measured in a logarithmic scale, a reduction in 5dB(A) from 55dBA to 50dBA is essentially a 10 times lowering of the threshold for noise. Submitter considers the standard is impractical and unworkable. It will make the process of determining compliance vastly more complex and expensive. It is impossible to determine measured compliance via the previous method of measuring at a boundary, because there is usually no way of gaining access to private property to conduct a proper measurement exercise. This new standard will make nuisance complaints easier and more damaging, creating large amounts of new work for companies engaged in providing noise reports.	Reject
S22.008	NZ Agricultural Aviation Association	NOISE-O1	NOISE-O1	Support	Retain NOISE-O1 as notified.	Recognising the benefits of appropriate noise generating activities is important to the economic wellbeing of the region.	Accept
FS48.009	Aviation Industry Association for NZ Helicopter Association			Support	Allow		Accept

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
S22.009	NZ Agricultural Aviation Association	NOISE-O2	NOISE-O2	Support	Retain NOISE-O2 as notified.	Where appropriate, protecting noise generating activities from reverse sensitivity effects is important	Accept
FS48.010	Aviation Industry Association for NZ Helicopter Association			Support	Allow		Accept
S22.010	NZ Agricultural Aviation Association	NOISE-P8	NOISE-P8	Support in part	Amend the policy as follows: The use of noisy equipment that has a limited duration and frequency (in particular, audible bird scaring devices, agricultural aviation activities and frost protection devices, and harvesters) that supports agricultural primary production in the rural environment is enabled by exempting these activities from noise limits, subject to reasonable use.	Seeks a policy that enables noisy equipment and recognises agricultural aviation as an ancillary activity supporting primary production	Accept in part
S22.011	NZ Agricultural Aviation Association	New provision request	New provision request	Support in part	Retain the Noise rule exemptions and Insert: 1. conservation, activities undertaken for a limited duration, including agricultural aviation activities and, helicopter landing areas, in the Natural Open Space Zone.	Enabling short duration intermittent activities that support primary production and conservation in the GRUZ and RLZ provides for the economic and social being of the region. Maintaining and enhancing biodiversity values in the NOSZ is dependent on activities that control or eradicate biodiversity threats.	Reject
FS48.011	Aviation Industry Association for NZ Helicopter Association			Support	Allow		Reject
FS48.027	Aviation Industry Association for			Support	Allow		Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
	NZ Helicopter Association						
S38.001	Amalgamated Helicopters NZ LTD	NOISE-R9	NOISE-R9	Support	Amend NOISE-R9 to reference the new rapid number as follows: "Emission of noise from helicopter operations at 625 145-Chester Road, Carterton ..."	Update of information to the current rapid number.	Accept
FS48.024	Aviation Industry Association for NZ Helicopter Association			Support	Allow		Accept
S38.002	Amalgamated Helicopters NZ LTD	NOISE-R15	NOISE-R15	Support	Amend NOISE-R15 to reference the new rapid number 625 instead of 145 (Chester Road, Carterton).	To update the rapid number to the new number.	Accept
FS48.025	Aviation Industry Association for NZ Helicopter Association			Support	Allow		Accept
S38.003	Amalgamated Helicopters NZ LTD	NOISE-S2	NOISE-S2	Support	Amend NOISE-S2(6) to update the rapid number as follows: "6. Operation of a helicopter landing activity at 625 145-Chester Road, Carterton, legally described as Lot 1 DP 88190, is to comply with the following: ..."	To update information on addressing specified.	Accept
FS48.026	Aviation Industry Association for NZ Helicopter Association			Support	Allow		Accept
S42.008	Jet Boating New Zealand	NOISE-P8	NOISE-P8	Support	Retain Policy NOISE-P8 as notified.	Supports the proposed policy. Noise from powered watercraft has a limited duration and frequency and for this reason is best excluded from noise	Accept

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
						rules and standards in the chapter, provided the use is reasonable.	
S42.009	Jet Boating New Zealand	NOISE-R8	NOISE-R8	Support	Retain Rule NOISE-R8 as notified.	Supports the rule relating to ASW. The noise from powered craft on the surface of water should not be subject to the rules and standards in the chapter because the craft are used in a transitional way, with quick passage past any location. Given that land boundaries are set back from the river, effects are of a low intensity.	Accept
S72.004	Aviation New Zealand - New Zealand Helicopter Association	Introduction	Introduction	Support in part	Amend the introductory text under the 'Rules' heading to except commercial helicopter aviation from the rules and standards of the NOISE chapter: "d. Agriculture, horticulture, pastoral farming, and conservation, activities undertaken for a limited duration, including using agricultural vehicles, agricultural aviation, commercial helicopter aviation , helicopter landing areas..."	Seeks that Council recognises that the rule does not consider the full range of temporary and infrequent commercial operations necessary for positive social, economic, and cultural outcomes for the district. Commercial helicopter operations are used in a wide range of public good activities such as (but not limited to), aerial spotting, asset management, construction and maintenance (including powerlines and telecommunications), disaster relief work, flight training, frost protection, gravelling tracks, infrastructure repairs and development, science and research, search and rescue, surveillance, survey operations, tourism, transportation of people, TV and film. If these activities are restricted, considers there would be job and economic losses in the region. Some simple operations such as moving plant and equipment onto sites would require large setbacks often rendering them non-compliant with either R3 or R3a and therefore unable to be completed. The two return flights per day would also be exceeded (example of three return flights needed to lift a water tank into place, or frost protection requiring 15).	Reject
FS29.003	NZ Agricultural Aviation Association			Support	Allow	Intermittent commercial helicopter activities support critical infrastructure security, establishment and maintenance therefore it is appropriate to include the activities in the NOISE exemptions.	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
S72.005	Aviation New Zealand - New Zealand Helicopter Association	NOISE-R2	NOISE-R2	Support in part	Amend NOISE-R2 to exempt aircraft noise while conducting construction activities.	The submitter supports this rule as a variety of construction, maintenance and demolition activities are reliant on the use of helicopters where cranes are not suitable for a variety of reasons. Construction noise therefore should be the limiting factor for aircraft noise in this instance. Considers aircraft noise should be exempt from NOISE-R2 due to the temporary and infrequent take offs and landings.	Reject
FS29.004	NZ Agricultural Aviation Association			Support	Allow	Intermittent commercial helicopter activities should be provided for.	Reject
S72.006	Aviation New Zealand - New Zealand Helicopter Association	NOISE-R8	NOISE-R8	Oppose in part	Amend NOISE-R8 to allow for Commercial Aviation as a permitted activity where the activity is no more than 315 hours or 30 days, whichever is greater in a calendar year of helicopter operations on the same site.	The submitter supports the rule above in respect to providing for private operations from a fixed location Heliport such as at a private residence. However, this rule does not consider the full range of temporary and infrequent commercial operations necessary for positive social, economic, and cultural outcomes for the district.	Reject
FS29.005	NZ Agricultural Aviation Association			Support	Allow	Intermittent commercial helicopter activities should be provided for.	Reject
S72.007	Aviation New Zealand - New Zealand Helicopter Association	NOISE-S2	NOISE-S2	Support in part	Amend NOISE-S2 to separate section 6d (under Helicopters at Chester Road) into its own standard for the operation of helicopter activities that are exempt from complying with NOISE-S2.	Considers it is correct for other Emergency Helicopter Activities to be separated into its own subsection of NOISE-S2. As written, it could be confusing if other activities would or would not be acceptable in NOISE-S2 as it is defined in relation to 145 Chester Road.	Reject
S72.008	Aviation New Zealand - New Zealand Helicopter Association	NOISE-S2	NOISE-S2	Support	Amend NOISE-S2 to provide for Commercial Helicopter Aviation Activities subject to the standard that "no more than 315 flight hours or 30 days which ever is greater in a calendar year of helicopter operations on the same site."	Placing this limitation into this section could be a suitable place for commercial aviation to become permitted leaving NOISE-R8 mostly intact with the addition similar to NOISE-R9 that the activity is Permitted providing compliance is achieved with NOISE-S2 (and proposed decision limitation above)	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
FS29.007	NZ Agricultural Aviation Association			Support	Allow	The decision sought would adequately provide for commercial helicopter activities.	Reject
S72.009	Aviation New Zealand - New Zealand Helicopter Association	NOISE-P7	NOISE-P7	Support in part	Amend NOISE-P7 to include reference to Commercial Aviation.	Commercial aviation varies in its activity and is a noisy activity which is of limited duration and frequency.	Reject
S72.010	Aviation New Zealand - New Zealand Helicopter Association	NOISE-P8	NOISE-P8	Support in part	Amend NOISE-P8 to provide for helicopters used for frost protection purposes.	Helicopters are widely used for Frost Protection as not all sites are able to use other frost devices. These activities are time-limited, and limits produce lost to frost which provides economic benefits to the region. Seeks that helicopters are provided for in NOISE-P8 providing the other noise requirements on temperature and use are met.	Accept in part
S72.011	Aviation New Zealand - New Zealand Helicopter Association	NOISE-P1	NOISE-P1	Support	Retain NOISE-P1 as notified.	Many helicopter operations are essential to the wellbeing of the public in the district and if restricted would remove jobs, economic benefits and could seriously affect Helicopter operators' ability to thrive in the region. The submitter generally supports this Policy providing existing Commercial Helicopter Activities are permitted.	Accept
S72.012	Aviation New Zealand - New Zealand Helicopter Association	NOISE-P2	NOISE-P2	Support	Retain NOISE-P2 as notified.	Many helicopter operations are essential to the wellbeing of the public in the district and if restricted would remove jobs, economic benefits and could seriously affect Helicopter operators' ability to thrive in the region. The submitter supports in part the Policy where it allows for the use of Helicopters to positively benefit the region.	Accept
S72.013	Aviation New Zealand - New Zealand Helicopter Association	NOISE-P3	NOISE-P3	Support	Retain NOISE-P3 as notified.	The submitter supports NOISE-P3.	Accept
S72.014	Aviation New Zealand - New Zealand	NOISE-P5	NOISE-P5	Support	Retain NOISE-P5 as notified.	The submitter supports NOISE-P5.	Accept

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
	Helicopter Association						
S72.015	Aviation New Zealand - New Zealand Helicopter Association	NOISE-R8	NOISE-R8	Oppose in part	Amend NOISE-R8 to remove setback limitations for Commercial Helicopter operations that are temporary and infrequent and abide by the permitted activity standard.	Seeks that Council recognises that the rule does not consider the full range of temporary and infrequent commercial operations necessary for positive social, economic, and cultural outcomes for the district.	Reject
FS29.006	NZ Agricultural Aviation Association			Support	Allow	By their nature commercial helicopter activities are intermittent therefore it is inappropriate to apply setbacks.	Reject
S74.001	Jack Cameron	NOISE-S1	NOISE-S1	Oppose	Amend wording of NOISE-R1 to include the use of rural airstrips for non-commercial general aviation to be a permitted activity.	When rural roads are closed, such as Hinakura, helicopters are used for delivery of essential supplies. Rural airstrips, like on submitters property, also get used for training purposes.	Reject
S79.068	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	Introduction	Introduction	Amend	Amend introduction as follows: ... Noise sensitive activities will be defined and managed to mitigate potential effects on human health occurring from operational noise from established transport routes. A Rail Vibration Alert Overlay has been applied which identified the vibration-sensitive area within 60 metres each side of the railway designation boundary as properties within this area may experience rail vibration effects. No specific district plan provisions apply in relation to vibration controls as a result of this Rail Vibration Alert Area. The Rail Vibration Alert Overlay is to advise property owners of the potential vibration effects but leaves the site owner to determine an appropriate response.	Seeks the inclusion of a new Rail Vibration Alert Area overlay to identify areas susceptible to rail vibration, within 60m of the rail corridor boundary. The submitter seeks the inclusion of a description of the mapped overlay and its purpose within the introduction section of the Noise Chapter.	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
FS96.004	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga			Oppose	Disallow	Neutral on the provisions proposed by KiwiRail in relation to noise-sensitive activities. However, considers themselves the best placed to determine the appropriate noise levels for school facilities. Already has a range of standards in place that dictate the noise levels permitted in the different range of spaces that may comprise a school. Already has comprehensive standards and advice that support the development of appropriate ventilation for teaching and other spaces within their facilities. Does not support either a dB noise standard nor a ventilation requirement for education facilities and considers that it is not appropriate to list these standards in relation to infrastructure in a rule, as these will continue to change and evolve over time as teaching styles and the needs of students change.	Accept
S79.069	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	NOISE-O2	NOISE-O2	Support	Retain Objective NOISE-O2 as notified.	Supports Objective NOISE-O2 as proposed.	Accept
S79.070	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	NOISE-P1	NOISE-P1	Support	Retain Policy NOISE-P1 as notified.	Supports Policy NOISE-P1 as proposed.	Accept
S79.071	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	New provision request	New provision request	Amend	Insert new Policy NOISE-P9 as follows: NOISE-P9 Noise insulation within the Rail Corridor Noise Control Overlay. Ensure noise sensitive activities are appropriately located and separated from the rail corridor and/or that any adverse noise, amenity, and adverse human health effects are avoided, remedied, or mitigated by requiring acoustic insulation measures within the Rail Corridor Noise Control Overlay.	The Proposed Plan contains policy NOISE-P4 which seeks to ensure noise sensitivity activities are appropriately located and separated from state highways and/or adverse effects on human health are managed by requiring noise insulation. Seeks the inclusion of a new policy requiring noise insulation for new/ altered noise sensitive activities located near the rail network.	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
S79.072	KiwiRail Holdings Limited			Support	Retain NOISE Rules exemptions as proposed.	Supports the exclusion of rail activities as specified in clause c.	Accept
S79.073	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	New provision request	New provision request	Amend	Insert new rule NOISE-R15 as follows: 'Noise sensitive activities within the Rail Corridor Noise Control OverlayAll zonesActivity Status: PermittedWhere:Any new building or alterations to an existing building containing a noise sensitive activity which complies with the noise standards in NOISE-S4.All zonesActivity Status where activity conditions are not met: Restricted DiscretionaryMatters of discretion are:1. Adverse effects on health and amenity of people indoors within the Railway Corridor Noise Overlay.2. Alternative options for building design or location that would achieve compliance with the standards in Table-S4.3. Adverse effects on the continuing operation of the railway corridor as a result of non-compliance with the standards in Table-S44. The reverse sensitivity effects on the rail network, including the extent to which the activity will unduly constrain the ongoing operation, maintenance, and upgrade of the rail network.5. Any natural or built features of the site or surrounding area that will mitigate noise effects.6. The	Seeks the inclusion of noise provisions requiring acoustic insulation and appropriate mechanical ventilation to be installed in new (or altered) noise sensitive activities within 100m of the railway corridor. Appropriate mitigation, installed to ensure that the health and wellbeing of those living and working near to the rail network are not adversely affected, is crucial to ensure that undue restrictions are not placed on the operation of the rail network.	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					outcome of any consultation with KiwiRail.'		
S79.074	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	New provision request	New provision request	Amend	Insert new Standard NOISE-S4 as follows: 'NOISE-S4: Noise insulation standards for noise sensitive activities within the Rail Corridor Noise Control OverlayIndoor railway noise:1. Any new building, or alteration to an existing building, that contains a noise sensitive activity where the building or alteration is:a. designed, constructed and maintained to achieve indoor design noise levels resulting from the railway not exceeding the maximum values in Table-S4; or b. at least 50 metres from the railway network, and is designed so that a noise barrier entirely blocks line-of-sight from all parts of doors and windows to all points 3.8 metres above railway tracks; or c. is single-storey framed residential building with habitable rooms designed, constructed and maintained in accordance with the construction schedule NOISE-APP1.Table-S4Building type Occupancy/ activity Maximum railway noise level LAeq(1h)Residential Sleeping spaces 35dB All other habitable rooms 40dBEducation Lecture rooms/ theatres, music studios, assembly halls 35dB Teaching areas, conference	Seeks the inclusion of provisions requiring acoustic insulation and appropriate mechanical ventilation to be installed in new (or altered) noise sensitive activities within 100m of the railway corridor. Appropriate mitigation, installed to ensure that the health and wellbeing of those living and working near to the rail network are not adversely affected, is crucial to ensure that undue restrictions are not placed on the operation of the rail network.	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					rooms, drama studios, sleeping areas 40 dB Library 45dBHealth Clinics Overnight medical care, wards 40dB Consulting rooms, theatres, nurses' stations 45dBCultural Place of worship, marae 35dB Mechanical ventilation2. If the opening windows must be closed to achieve the design noise levels in Table-S4, the building must be designed, constructed and maintained with a mechanical ventilation system that:a. for habitable rooms located within the Rail Corridor Noise Control Overlay containing a residential activity, achieves the following requirements:i. Provides mechanical ventilation that can operate continuously to satisfy clause G4 of the New Zealand Building Code and that provides at least 1 air changes per house, but no less than 7.5L/s per occupant;ii. Provides cooling and heating that is controllable by the occupant and can maintain the inside temperature between 18°C and 25°C when assessed using a 2.5% design weather condition for the applicable location. An acceptable design weather set would include IRHACE Yearbook 2009 NIWA weather data; andiii. HVAC system installed in compliance with (a) and (b) above must not generate more than 35dB LAeg(30s)		

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser. The noise level must be measured after the system has cooled the rooms to the temperatures in (ii), or after a period of 30 minutes from the commencement of cooling (whichever is the lesser).b. Alternatively, in lieu of section (2)a. above, a design verified by a suitably qualified and experienced HVAC expert stating the design proposed will provide ventilation and internal space temperature controls to meet or exceed the outcomes described in parts (2)a,c. A commissioning report must be submitted to the Council prior to occupation of the building demonstrating compliance with all of the mechanical ventilation system performance requirements in (2). Design report3. A report is submitted to the council demonstrating compliance with clauses (1) to (2) above (as relevant) prior to the construction or alteration of any building containing an activity sensitive to noise. Compliance with 1(a) and (c) must be confirmed by a Registered Acoustician and when doing so railway noise must be assumed to be 70 LAeg(1h) at a distance of 12 metres from the track, and must be deemed to reduce at a rate of 3dB per doubling of distance up to 40 metres and 6dB per		

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					doubling of distance beyond 40 metres. Matters of discretion are: 1. Adverse effects on health and amenity of people indoors within the 'Railway Corridor Noise Overlay.2. Alternative options for building design or location that would achieve compliance with the standards in Table-S4.3. Adverse effects on the continuing operation of the railway corridor as a result of non-compliance with the standards in Table-S4.4. The reverse sensitive effects on the railway network, including the extent to which the activity will unduly constrain the ongoing operation, maintenance and upgrade of the rail network.5. Any natural or built features of the sites or surrounding area that will mitigate noise effects.6. The outcome of any consultation with KiwiRail.'		
S79.075	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	New provision request	New provision request	Amend	Insert new appendix "NOISE-APP1 Construction schedule for indoor noise control" as follows: 'NOISE-APP1 Construction schedule for indoor noise control Elements: Minimum construction for noise control in addition to the requirements of the New Zealand Building CodeExternal Walls: Wall cavity infill of fibrous insulation, batts or similar (minimum density of 9 kg/m3)Cladding and internal wall lining complying with either Options A, B or C below:Option A - Light cladding: timber	Seeks the inclusion of noise provisions requiring acoustic insulation and appropriate mechanical ventilation to be installed in new (or altered) noise sensitive activities within 100m of the railway corridor. Appropriate mitigation, installed to ensure that the health and wellbeing of those living and working near to the rail network are not adversely affected, is crucial to ensure that undue restrictions are not placed on the operation of the rail network.	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					weatherboard or sheet materials with surface mass between 8 kg/m² and 30 kg/m² of wall cladding. Internal lining of minimum 17 kg/m² plasterboard, such as two layers of 10 mm thick high-density plasterboard, on resilient/ isolating mountings Option B - Medium cladding: surface mass between 30 kg/m² and 80 kg/m² of wall cladding. Internal lining of minimum 17 kg/m² plasterboard, such as two layers of 10 mm thick high-density plasterboard. Option C - Heavy cladding: surface mass between 80 kg/m² and 220 kg/m² of wall cladding. No requirements additional to New Zealand Building Code. Roof/ Ceiling: Ceiling cavity infill of fibrous insulation, batts, or similar (minimum density of 7 kg/m³). Ceiling penetrations, such as for recessed lighting or ventilation shall not allow additional noise break-in. Roof type and internal ceiling complying with either Options A, B, or C below: Option A - Skillion roof with light cladding: surface mass up to 20 kg/m² of roof cladding. Internal lining of minimum 25 kg/m² plasterboard, such as two layers of 13mm thick high-density plasterboard. Option B - Pitched roof with light cladding: surface mass up to 20kg/m² of roof cladding. Internal lining of		

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					minimum 17 kg/m² plasterboard, such as two layers of 10mm thick high-density plasterboard Option C - Roof with heavy cladding: surface mass between 20 kg/m² and 60 kg/m² of roof cladding No requirements additional to New Zealand Building Code Glazed areas: Aluminium frames with full compression seals on opening panes Glazed areas shall be less than 35% of each room floor area Either, double glazing with:- laminated pane of glass at least 6mm thick; and - a cavity between the two panes of glass at least 12mm deep; and - a second pane of glass at least 4mm thick or, any other glazing with a minimum performance of Rw 33dB Exterior doors: Exterior door with line-of-sight, to any part of the state highway road surface or to any point 3.8 metres above railway tracks Solid core exterior door, minimum surface mass 24 kg/m² with edge and threshold compression seals; or other doorset with minimum performance of Rw 30dB Exterior door shielded by the building so there is no line-of-sight to any parts of the state highway road surface or any points 3.8 metres above railway tracks Exterior door with edge and threshold compression seals.'		

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
S99.002	Manu Te Whata	New provision request	New provision request	Not Stated	Insert noise testing and noise control plan for Akura, Kibblewhite and Paierau Rds.	Since the Akura Road Alignment 1993, these roads have been turned into a bypass route especially for heavy trucks, which has created problems with traffic noise and exhaust pollution close to local whare, including unrestricted speed and out of control burnouts on Kibblewhite Rd and Akura Rd corner.	Reject
S122.041	Fulton Hogan Limited	NOISE-O2	NOISE-O2	Support	Retain NOISE-O2 as notified.	Reverse sensitivity effects are significant for activities such as quarrying. A specific objective that existing activities are not compromised is therefore an important inclusion in the Plan.	Accept
S122.042	Fulton Hogan Limited	NOISE-P2	NOISE-P2	Oppose	Amend NOISE-P2: ... i. potential positive effects associated with the activity which is generating the noise that has a functional need to occur in that location.	Considers that given the remainder of the policy that requires the consideration of potential adverse effects, it is unnecessary and overly restrictive to only enable the consideration of positive effects where there is a functional need for the activity to occur in a particular location.	Reject
S122.043	Fulton Hogan Limited	NOISE-P3	NOISE-P3	Support	Retain NOISE-P3 as notified	Supports recognition that at times, compliance with construction noise standards may be challenging due to site specific factors such as topography. Given construction noise effects are often temporary, non-compliance with noise standards may not necessarily lead to a significant effect.	Accept
S122.044	Fulton Hogan Limited	NOISE-P8	NOISE-P8	Oppose	Amend NOISE-P8: The use of noisy equipment that has a limited duration and frequency (in particular, audible bird scaring devices and frost protection devices, and harvesters) that supports agricultural primary production in the rural environment is enabled by exempting these activities from noise limits, subject to reasonable use.	Supports the recognition that the character of the rural environment is influenced by noise but considers that all primary production activities should be included given the qualifier that the noise has limited duration and frequency.	Reject
S122.045	Fulton Hogan Limited	Introduction	Introduction	Oppose	Amend the exemptions under the NOISE - Rules heading:	This submission relates to NOISE Rules (exemptions). The submitter believes that all	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					The following activities are not subject to the rules and standards in this chapter: ... d. Agriculture, horticulture, pastoral farming Primary Production , and conservation, activities undertaken...	primary production activities should be included within the noise rule exemptions given the qualifier that the noise has limited duration and frequency.	
S122.046	Fulton Hogan Limited	NOISE-S1	NOISE-S1	Oppose	Amend NOISE-S1 so the maximum noise limits for the Māori Purpose Zone include a range of limits customised to the particular sensitivities of the land uses anticipated.	The same maximum noise limits apply to the Māori Purpose Zone as to the residential zones which suggests that the sensitivities of the two zones are the same. The submitter argues that while this may be the case for some activities envisaged in the Māori Purpose Zone but may not be for others. These noise limits for this zone should reflect the range of activities that might occur within the zone, the relative sensitivity of these activities to noise, and any temporal influences on these sensitivities.	Reject
S122.047	Fulton Hogan Limited	New provision request	New provision request	Support	Insert a new NOISE rule: NOISE-RX Sensitive activities in proximity to quarrying activities Activity status: Permitted 1. The establishment of a new, or alteration, or expansion of an existing sensitive activity Where: 1. The sensitive activity shall be setback from the boundary of any legally established quarrying activity: a. 200m to any allowable excavation area; and b. 500m to any allowable processing area; and c. 500m to any activity that involves blasting. The establishment of residential units, or minor residential units on the same site as the quarry are exempt from this rule requirement. Existing residential units or minor residential units	Requests a new rule is included within the Plan to control sensitive activities where these are to be located in proximity to quarries so as to avoid reverse sensitivity effects.	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					within the specified setback that are rebuilt on their existing site but no closer to the quarry are exempt from this requirement. Activity status when compliance not achieved: Discretionary.		
S131.002	Aircraft Owners and Pilots Association NZ	NOISE-S1	NOISE-S1	Amend	<p>Amend NOISE-S1 to use LAeq (day) or LAeq (>8hrs) rather than LAeq(15min) as it relates to non-commercial rural airstrips.	LAeq (15min) Noise controls applied in District Plan Noise Chapters are not an appropriate assessment criteria for aircraft, given the very short period of noise (< 30 seconds) an aircraft generates and if that was to occur within the 15 minutes a noise sample was taken. Ldn is the metric applied to aircraft landing areas i.e. airports, and if LAeq is used, LAeq (day), LAeq (>8hrs) is more appropriate.	Reject
S131.003	Aircraft Owners and Pilots Association NZ	NOISE-R1	NOISE-R1	Amend	<p>Amend NOISE-S1 to use LAeq (day) or LAeq (>8hrs) rather than LAeq(15min) as it relates to non-commercial rural airstrips.	LAeq (15min) Noise controls applied in District Plan Noise Chapters are not an appropriate assessment criteria for aircraft, given the very short period of noise (< 30 seconds) an aircraft generates and if that was to occur within the 15 minutes a noise sample was taken. Ldn is the metric applied to aircraft landing areas i.e. airports, and if LAeq is used, LAeq (day), LAeq (>8hrs) is more appropriate.	Reject
S149.040	NZ Transport Agency (NZTA)	NOISE-O2	NOISE-O2	Support in part	Amend NOISE-O2: The function and operation of existing and permitted noise generating activities, including infrastructure , are not compromised by reverse sensitivity effects from noise sensitive activities.	The submitter supports this reverse sensitivity objective and seeks to confirm its relevance to roading infrastructure.	Reject
S149.041	NZ Transport Agency (NZTA)	NOISE-R12	NOISE-R12	Support in part	Amend rule NOISE-R12(1) to apply to all zones, and: NOISE-R12: New buildings, change in use of existing buildings, and additions and	Notes that NOISE-R13 only applies in the rural and residential zones. Considers all zones should be covered as there is provision within all zones for noise sensitive activities to establish, including residential activities, and properties within all zones adjoin a state highway at locations	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					alterations to existing buildings to be occupied by a noise sensitive activity located within the noise control boundary overlay (state highway) as shown on the planning maps.	throughout the Wairarapa. Notes the rule would not cover a scenario where a change of use of an existing building occurs, and the change is to a noise sensitive activity. It is foreseeable however, that a noise sensitive activity could occupy an existing building to which alterations are made, and that would constitute a change of use. Seeks that within all zones, noise sensitive activities protect themselves from state highway noise whether occupying an existing building, occupying an extension to an existing building, or occupying a new building. Notes other relief sought on the definition for noise sensitive activity are sought above and will strengthen the understanding of these activities.	
S149.042	NZ Transport Agency (NZTA)	NOISE-S3	NOISE-S3	Support in part	Amend NOISE-S3: Residential and rural zones All Zones 1. Any noise sensitive activities in a new building, or an addition or alteration to an existing building within the state highway noise boundary noise control boundary overlay (state highway) (as shown on the planning maps) must be designed, constructed, and maintained to achieve an internal noise level of 40dB LAeq(24hr) inside all habitable spaces; and 2. Where it is necessary to have the windows closed to achieve the above design requirements, a ventilation system must be provided. The ventilation system must be designed to satisfy the requirements of the New Zealand Building Code and achieve a noise level of no more than Noise Criteria (NC) 30 in any habitable space and the following: a. Provides mechanical ventilation	Concerned that the standard not applying to and not adequately deal with building alterations and mechanical ventilation requirements to adequately preserve an indoor living environment. To ensure existing established uses within existing established buildings maintain their existing use rights, the submitter seeks to apply reverse sensitivity rules. This would cover for example, an existing dwelling on an existing site (because the use is not changing) but not a new or additional dwelling on the same site.	Accept in part

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					to satisfy clause G4 of the NZ Building Code; and b. Is adjustable by the occupant to control the ventilation rate in increments up to a high air flow setting that provides at least 6 air changes per hour; and c. Provides relief for equivalent volumes of spill air; d. Provides cooling and heating that is controllable by the occupant and can maintain the inside temperature between 18oC and 25oC; and e. Does not generate pre than 35dB LAeq(30s) when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser		
FS96.008	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga			Oppose	Disallow	Neutral on the amendments made to NOISE-S3 proposed by Waka Kotahi in relation to noise-sensitive activities. Considers themselves best placed to determine the appropriate noise levels for school facilities. Already has a range of standards in place that dictate the noise levels permitted in the different range of spaces that may comprise a school. Already has comprehensive standards and advice that support the development of appropriate ventilation for teaching and other spaces within their facilities. Does not support the amended requirements for education facilities, as these will continue to change and evolve over time as teaching styles change and evolve.	Reject
S172.052	Fire and Emergency New Zealand			Support	Retain exemptions for warning device or siren used by emergency services for emergency purposes in the NOISE chapter as notified.	Support that the rules of the Noise chapter do not apply to any warning device or siren used by emergency services for emergency purposes.	Accept

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
S177.001	Tim Williams	NOISE-P8	NOISE-P8	Oppose	No specific decision requested.	Considers activities should be permitted where compliance is achieved with the noise rules.	Reject
S189.076	Chorus New Zealand Limited (Chorus), Connexa Limited (Connexa), Aotearoa Tower Group (trading as FortySouth), One New Zealand Group Limited (One NZ) and Spark New Zealand Trading Limited (Spark)	NOISE-S1	NOISE-S1	Support	Retain NOISE-S1 as notified.	The maximum permitted noise levels in all zones are appropriate for telecommunications infrastructure.	Accept
S203.010	Summerset Group Holdings Limited	Introduction	Introduction	Amend	Amend the exemption, to provide for emergency generators associated with a retirement village or equivalent relief.	The submitter supports the clarification of activities that are not subject to the District Plan Rules. Matter (g) excludes: The use of generators and mobile equipment for emergency purposes, including testing and maintenance not exceeding 48 hours in duration, where they are operated by emergency services or lifeline utilities. Comprehensive care retirement villages utilise emergency generators to support their care functions including hospital level care. However, the exemption would not cover this scenario, as it is not operated by an emergency service, or lifeline utility.	Accept
S208.006	Ballance Agri-Nutrients	NOISE-O1	NOISE-O1	Support	Retain the objective NOISE-O1	It is important for the benefits of noise generating activities that align with the character and amenity values of a zone, to be recognized as being	Accept

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
						important for the economy of the region. Agricultural aviation is one such noise generating activity.	
S208.007	Ballance Agri-Nutrients	NOISE-O2	NOISE-O2	Support	Retain the objective NOISE-O2	It is important for noise generating activities, such as agricultural aviation, which support the regional economy, are protected from reverse sensitivity effects.	Accept
FS29.011	NZ Agricultural Aviation Association			Support	Allow	Protecting noise generating activities from reverse sensitivity effects is important.	Accept
S208.008	Ballance Agri-Nutrients	NOISE-P8	NOISE-P8	Support in part	Amend NOISE-P8: The use of noisy equipment that has a limited duration and frequency (in particular, audible bird scaring devices, agricultural aviation activities and frost protection devices, and harvesters) that supports agricultural primary production in the rural environment is enabled by exempting these activities from noise limits, subject to reasonable use.	It is important to recognize that noisy equipment should include agricultural aviation as an ancillary activity supporting primary production	Accept in part
FS29.012	NZ Agricultural Aviation Association			Support	Allow	Supports agricultural aviation being recognized.	Accept in part
FS13.063	Horticulture New Zealand			Support in part	Allow in part	Agricultural aviation is an ancillary activity to horticulture.	Accept in part
S214.094	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	NOISE-O2	NOISE-O2	Support	Retain NOISE-O2 as notified.	The submitter supports NOISE-O2.	Accept
FS95.199	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Oppose	Disallow	Our right to enact kaitiakitanga is through our whakapapa and is reinserted as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi. Many legislation and policies talk to early engagement with mana whenua for kaupapa that impacts whenua, awa, āngi. The principle of tangata whenua exercising kaitiakitanga is part of	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
						Section 7(a) of the RMA. There are already protections in place for Landowners in many other legislations and anything discussed or proposed here is not done so outside of the Colonial Framework that has been forced upon us.	
S214.095	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	NOISE-P1	NOISE-P1	Support	Amend NOISE-P1 as follows: Enable the generation of noise from activities that: 1. maintain the predominant land-use character and amenity values of the receiving environment by appropriately controlling the types of activities and the levels of noise permitted in each zone; and 2. Does not compromise the health, safety and wellbeing of people and communities.	The management of noise should reflect the predominant land use within each zone. It would be perverse for rural production activities that are characteristically noisy, such as operation of frost fans or bird-scaring devices, to be prevented from occurring in rural areas on account of the sensitivity of nearby rural residential land uses that have chosen to live in remote rural areas.	Reject
FS95.200	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Oppose	Disallow	Our right to enact kaitiakitanga is through our whakapapa and is reinserted as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi. Many legislation and policies talk to early engagement with mana whenua for kaupapa that impacts whenua, awa, āngi. The principle of tangata whenua exercising kaitiakitanga is part of Section 7(a) of the RMA. There are already protections in place for Landowners in many other legislations and anything discussed or proposed here is not done so outside of the Colonial Framework that has been forced upon us.	Accept
S214.096	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	NOISE-P2	NOISE-P2	Not Stated	Amend NOISE-P2 as follows: Provide for other activities that generate noise, where these avoid, remedy, or mitigate any adverse effects , having regard to: 1. the extent to which it avoids conflict with existing noise sensitive activities; 2. whether the level of effects is compatible with the character and	The management of noise should reflect the predominant land use within each zone. It would be perverse for rural production activities that are characteristically noisy, such as operation of frost fans or bird-scaring devices, to be prevented from occurring in rural areas on account of the sensitivity of nearby rural residential land uses that have chosen to live in remote rural areas.	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					amenity of the location and adjacent established activities and their operation; 3. the compatibility of the noise with other noises generated from permitted zone activities and other activities not controlled by the Plan, within the receiving zone; 4. the degree to which the noise breaches the permitted noise standards for the receiving zone(s). 5. Whether adverse effects can be internalised to the site where the noise is generated and the extent to which they can be minimised at site boundaries; 6. the frequency, intensity, duration, and offensiveness of the noise generated; 7. any adverse effects on the health, safety and wellbeing of people and communities within the surrounding area, including sleep disturbance and annoyance. 8. whether the activity adopts the best practicable option to avoid, remedy, or mitigate adverse effects and the appropriateness of potential mitigation measures to control and monitor the noise levels in addition or as alternatives to the best practicable option through noise management plans or other methods; and 9. potential positive effects associated with the activity.		
FS95.201	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Oppose	Disallow	Our right to enact kaitiakitanga is through our whakapapa and is reinserted as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi. Many legislation and policies talk to early engagement with mana whenua for kaupapa that	Accept

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
						impacts whenua, awa, āngi. The principle of tangata whenua exercising kaitiakitanga is part of Section 7(a) of the RMA. There are already protections in place for Landowners in many other legislations and anything discussed or proposed here is not done so outside of the Colonial Framework that has been forced upon us.	
S214.097	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	NOISE-P8	NOISE-P8	Support	Retain NOISE-P8 as notified.	The submitter supports NOISE-P8.	Accept
FS95.202	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Oppose	Disallow	Our right to enact kaitiakitanga is through our whakapapa and is reinserted as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi. Many legislation and policies talk to early engagement with mana whenua for kaupapa that impacts whenua, awa, āngi. The principle of tangata whenua exercising kaitiakitanga is part of Section 7(a) of the RMA. There are already protections in place for Landowners in many other legislations and anything discussed or proposed here is not done so outside of the Colonial Framework that has been forced upon us.	Reject
S214.098	Federated Farmers of New Zealand			Support	Retain the list of activities that are not subject to the rules and standards in the NOISE-Noise Chapter as proposed.	The submitter supports the list of activities that are not subject to the rules and standards in the NOISE - Noise Chapter. We particularly support where Council has included agricultural aviation and helicopter landing areas.	Accept
FS48.022	Aviation Industry Association for NZ Helicopter Association			Support	Allow		Accept
FS95.203	Te Tini o Ngāti Kahukuraawhitia Trust			Oppose	Disallow	Our right to enact kaitiakitanga is through our whakapapa and is reinserted as per Te Tiriti o Waitangi. Many legislation and policies talk to early engagement with mana whenua for kaupapa that impacts whenua, awa, āngi. The principle of tangata whenua exercising kaitiakitanga is part of Section 7(a) of the RMA. There are already	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
						protections in place for Landowners in many other legislations and anything discussed or proposed here is not done so outside of the Colonial Framework that has been forced upon us.	
S219.002	Nigel & Philippa Broom	NOISE-S1	NOISE-S1	Oppose	Amend NOISE-S1 standard to make noise standards more stringent for non-rural and non-residential activities such as rural produce, retail and commercial activities in the GRUZ.	Submitter considers that the ambient night-time noise levels are likely to be very low for existing residential activities located in the General Rural Zone, so any noise from non-primary production/non-residential/rural produce retail activities may have a significant potential to impact on residential amenity, sleep and well-being.	Reject
S221.105	Horticulture New Zealand			Support in part	Retain recognition of the noise characteristics of the rural environment.	The submitter supports recognition that the rural environment is inherently noisy and that rural activities should be able to continue in their appropriate zone, unhindered by reverse sensitivity effects.	Accept
S221.106	Horticulture New Zealand	NOISE-O1	NOISE-O1	Oppose in part	Amend NOISE-O1 and split into three objectives: O1 - The benefits of activities that generate noise are recognised and provided for. O1a) - Noise should be compatible with the character and purpose of the relevant zone. O1b) - Excessive noise does not cause adverse effects on the public health, safety and wellbeing of people and communities.	This objective contains three discrete ideas that should be separated for clarity.	Reject
S221.107	Horticulture New Zealand	NOISE-O2	NOISE-O2	Support	Retain NOISE-O2 as notified	The submitter supports recognition of reverse sensitivity effects.	Accept
S221.108	Horticulture New Zealand	NOISE-P1	NOISE-P1	Support in part	Amend NOISE-P1 as follows: Enable the generation of noise from activities that: a. maintain the predominant character and amenity values of	The policy should clearly link to the zone in which the activity is occurring. This aligns with NOISE-O2 to ensure that noise generating activities appropriate to their zone are not compromised by	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					the zone in which the activity is occurring receiving environment by controlling the types of activities and levels of noise permitted in each zone...	reverse sensitivity effects from noise sensitive activities.	
S221.109	Horticulture New Zealand	NOISE-P8	NOISE-P8	Support	Retain NOISE-P8 as notified.	The submitter strongly supports provisions to enable horticulture, in particular audible bird scaring devices, frost protection devices and harvesters.	Accept
S221.110	Horticulture New Zealand			Support	Retain exclusions from NOISE Rules, particularly (d), as notified.	The submitter supports the list of activities which are provided for in the plan, especially horticultural activities, including agricultural vehicles, agricultural aviation, helicopter landing areas, machinery, frost protection equipment and equipment used on a seasonal or intermittent basis.	Accept
FS29.013	NZ Agricultural Aviation Association			Support	Allow	Supports the activities sought being exempt from the NOISE rules and standards.	Accept
FS48.012	Aviation Industry Association for NZ Helicopter Association			Support	Allow		Accept
S221.111	Horticulture New Zealand	NOISE-R1	NOISE-R1	Support in part	Amend NOISE-R1 title: Emission of noise (not otherwise provided for or exempted in this chapter)	The title of this rule should be clear that it does not include exempted activities.	Reject
S221.112	Horticulture New Zealand	NOISE-R4	NOISE-R4	Support	Retain NOISE-R4 as notified.	Provision for audible bird scaring devices is supported by the submitter.	Accept
S221.113	Horticulture New Zealand	NOISE-R5	NOISE-R5	Support	Retain NOISE-R5 as notified.	Provision for frost protection devices is supported by the submitter.	Accept
S221.114	Horticulture New Zealand	NOISE-R8	NOISE-R8	Support in part	Amend NOISE-R8 to ensure that exemption for aircraft for primary production activities is clear.	Helicopter landing areas for agricultural, horticultural or conservation use are exempt from this chapter's rules.	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
FS48.023	Aviation Industry Association for NZ Helicopter Association			Support	Allow		Reject
S221.115	Horticulture New Zealand	NOISE-S2	NOISE-S2	Support in part	Amend NOISE-S2(2) to allow the operation of bird scaring devices from ½ hour before sunrise to ½ hour after sunset. Delete NOISE-S2(2)(d).	The submitter supports specific provisions for bird scaring devices. Bird scaring devices are used just before sunrise and until just after sunset. The purpose of a noise standard is to manage the level of noise - regardless of the number of emitting sources. Noise levels from the same device may vary based on land contours and location, so noise level - not number of devices - should be the main threshold to manage potential adverse effects.	Accept
S221.116	Horticulture New Zealand	NOISE-S2	NOISE-S2	Support in part	Retain NOISE-S2 as notified.	The proposed rule for frost protection fans is consistent with other rules in district plans. Provisions are sought below for noise insulation in new residential dwellings which is also consistent with rules in other district plans. See discussion in the section on Frost Protection Devices (Frost Fans) of this submission.	Accept
S221.117	Horticulture New Zealand	New provision request	New provision request	Support	Insert new rule NOISE-RX: NOISE-RX Residential activities within 300m of a frost protection device General Rural Zone 1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a) Compliance is achieved with NOISE-S4. 2. Activity Status: Restricted Discretionary Where compliance is not achieved with NOISER16(1). Matters of discretion 1. Ability to meet internal noise standards2. Potential reverse sensitivity effects.3. Mitigation methods.	Temporary short duration noises (such as those created by frost fighting wind machines, bird scaring devices, harvesting at night etc) have been exempt from this chapter's noise restrictions so as not to unduly restrict seasonal agricultural operations. It is also considered appropriate that where a new noise sensitivity activity locates next to an activity that generates noise, the developer of the new activity should take steps to mitigate the effects of that noise. The cost should not be borne by the existing activity.	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
S221.118	Horticulture New Zealand	NOISE-S4	NOISE-S4	Support in part	Amend NOISE-S4 to include the following: Residential activity within 300m of a frost protection device Any new residential activity locating within 300m of an existing frost fan on a separate site in different ownership must be designed and constructed to ensure that the noise level inside any bedroom will not exceed 30dB LAeq when windows are closed, and frost protection devices are operating. Written certification of such compliance from an appropriately qualified and experienced acoustic engineer shall be submitted with the building consent application for residential activity.	A new section is sought by the submitter with standards for acoustic insulation in dwellings within 300m of a frost protection device. Temporary short duration noises (such as those created by frost fighting wind machines, bird scaring devices, harvesting at night etc.) have been exempt from this chapter's noise restrictions so as not to unduly restrict seasonal agricultural operations. It is also considered appropriate that where a new noise sensitive activity locates next to an activity that generates noise, the developer of the new activity should take steps to mitigate the effects of that noise. The cost should not be borne by the existing activity.	Reject
S223.006	Helios Energy Ltd	NOISE-S1	NOISE-S1	Support in part	Amend NOISE-S1: ... d. Night time: (10.00pm to 7.00am): 750 dB LAmax.	Considers it unclear from the Noise Section 32 report as to the reason why there is proposed to be a reduction from the operative District Plan dBA Lmax limit of 75 dBA (9.00pm - 7.00am) to the Proposed District Plan 70 dB LAmax for the period of 10.00pm to 7.00am. Helios seeks the status quo of the operative District Plan dBA Lmax limit of 75dBA for the period of 10.00pm - 7.00am.	Reject
S225.014	New Zealand Defence Force	NOISE-O1	NOISE-O1	Support	Retain NOISE-O1 as notified.	Supports the recognition of reverse sensitivity as an issue for noise generating activities, the enabling of noise-generating activities in appropriate areas and the provision for noise generating activities where effects are avoided, remedied or mitigated. Recognition of the benefits of activities that generate noise is also supported (noting TMTA contribute directly to public health, safety and wellbeing).	Accept

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
S225.015	New Zealand Defence Force	NOISE-O2	NOISE-O2	Support	Retain NOISE-O2 as notified.	Supports the recognition of reverse sensitivity as an issue for noise generating activities, the enabling of noise-generating activities in appropriate areas and the provision for noise generating activities where effects are avoided, remedied or mitigated. Recognition of the benefits of activities that generate noise is also supported (noting TMTA contribute directly to public health, safety and wellbeing).	Accept
S225.016	New Zealand Defence Force	NOISE-P1	NOISE-P1	Support	Retain NOISE-P1 as notified.	Supports the recognition of reverse sensitivity as an issue for noise generating activities, the enabling of noise-generating activities in appropriate areas and the provision for noise generating activities where effects are avoided, remedied or mitigated. Recognition of the benefits of activities that generate noise is also supported (noting TMTA contribute directly to public health, safety and wellbeing).	Accept
S225.017	New Zealand Defence Force	NOISE-P2	NOISE-P2	Support	Retain NOISE-P2 as notified.	Supports the recognition of reverse sensitivity as an issue for noise generating activities, the enabling of noise-generating activities in appropriate areas and the provision for noise generating activities where effects are avoided, remedied or mitigated. Recognition of the benefits of activities that generate noise is also supported (noting TMTA contribute directly to public health, safety and wellbeing).	Accept
S225.018	New Zealand Defence Force	NOISE-P7	NOISE-P7	Support	Retain NOISE-P7 as notified.	This policy acknowledges and recognises the importance of TMTA to the community and provides for TMTA subject to appropriate noise standards.	Accept
S225.019	New Zealand Defence Force	Introduction	Introduction	Support in part	Amend subsection h of Rules as follows: h. Activities at emergency service facilities associated with emergency response and emergency response training;	Supports this exclusion but notes that emergency response and training activities are by necessity regularly undertaken outside of emergency service facilities. This is required to respond to an emergency, or for training to ensure that responders are adequately trained in 'real world' situations to be able to respond.	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
S225.020	New Zealand Defence Force	NOISE-R8	NOISE-R8	Oppose	Amend NOISE-R8 to provide for helicopter landings on a District-wide basis subject to limits on the number of landings. As secondary relief, amend NOISE-R8 to clarify that the rule does not apply to one-off or a very limited number of helicopter landings within any particular area.	This rule appears to require resource consent for any helicopter landing. This is unduly onerous including for helicopter landings associated with TMTA which would occur on a very limited number of occasions.	Reject
S225.021	New Zealand Defence Force	NOISE-R11	NOISE-R11	Support in part	Replace NOISE-R11.1 (and NOISE-S2.8) with NZDF's updated standards (refer to original submission for full table). Noise from stationary or mobile noise sources Time 7.00am - 10.00pm 55dBA LAeq(15 min) Time 10.00pm - 7.00am 45dBA LAeq(15 min), 75dBA LAFmax Where the noise limits for zones in NOISE-S1 are higher, then those higher limits shall apply.	The submitter has recently developed an alternative approach to noise standards, applying to both stationary and mobile noise sources.	Accept in part
S225.022	New Zealand Defence Force	NOISE-R11	NOISE-R11	Support in part	Replace NOISE-R11.2 with NZDF's updated standards and amalgamate with Noise-R11.1 so there is only one rule that applies to noise from stationary or mobile noise sources: Noise from stationary or mobile noise sourcesTime 7.00am - 10.00pm 55dBA LAeq(15 min)Time 10.00pm - 7.00am 45dBA LAeq(15 min), 75dBA LAFmaxWhere the noise limits for zones in NOISE-S1 are higher, then those higher limits shall apply.	Acknowledges that this reflects the provisions it sought through the draft district plan feedback process. While the submitter supports these provisions, it has recently developed an alternative approach which Council may wish to consider.	Accept in part
S225.023	New Zealand Defence Force	NOISE-R11	NOISE-R11	Oppose	Delete NOISE-R11.3 and replace with NZDF's proposed standards	The submitter has sought independent expert advice to develop noise standards that	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					which have been specifically developed to manage the noise effects of weapons firing and the use of explosive across all zones in a district. Delete standards a. to d. and replace with the following: Temporary military training activities involving weapons firing and/or the use of explosives a. Notice is provided to the Council at least 5 working days prior to the commencement of the activity. b. The activity complies with the following minimum separation distances to the notional boundary of any building housing a noise sensitive activity: i. 0700 to 1900 hours: 500m ii. 1900 to 0700 hours: 1,250m c. Where the minimum separation distances specified above cannot be met, then the activity shall comply with the following peak sound pressure level when measured at the notional boundary of any building housing a noise sensitive activity: 0700 to 1900 hours: 95 dBC 1900 to 0700 hours: 85 dBC And provide for TMTA involving the use of weapons and explosives as a permitted activity across all zones (similar to Rules NOISE-R3 and R4).	appropriately manage adverse noise effects from TMTA, such that they can be a permitted activity in all zones. The current approach is not consistent with the approach to the emission of noise from airblasts (NOISE-R3) and the emission of noise from bird scaring devices (NOISE-R4) in the proposed plan notwithstanding the nature of the noise and effects are similar.	
S225.024	New Zealand Defence Force	NOISE-R11	NOISE-R11	Oppose	Amend NOISE-R11 to replace the 'restricted discretionary' activity	TMTA are essential activities with local and national benefits and are undertaken to meet	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					status with 'controlled'. Amend the matters of discretion (or control) in NOISE-R11.4. to be consistent with other rules (e.g. NOISE-R5.2 and R6.2) and to more appropriately respond to the nature and effects of the activity. Matters of discretion: ... 3. Whether the noise levels are likely to cause alarm or adversely affect the character and amenity values of the location and adjacent activities. 4. Any management and mitigation of the noise proposed, in accordance with a best practicable option approach (e.g., site layout and design, design and location of structures, buildings and equipment and the timing of operations, communication and engagement with surrounding properties), including: i. The extent to which alternative locations and methods have been considered to avoid, or mitigate any adverse effects, recognising the practical constraints associated with temporary military training activities; and ii. The ability to mitigate adverse effects through the imposition of conditions. 5. The positive effects of the activity including on the health, safety and wellbeing of people and communities.	statutory purposes under the Defence. The submitter needs certainty that TMTA can be undertaken which is better provided by a controlled activity status. Effects can be controlled through appropriate conditions of resource consent if PA standards cannot be met.	
S225.025	New Zealand Defence Force	NOISE-R11	NOISE-R11	Oppose	Delete NOISE-R11.5 and replace with NZDF's proposed standards set out above which have been specifically developed to manage	The submitter has obtained independent expert advice to develop noise standards that appropriately manage adverse noise effects from TMTA, such that they can be a permitted activity in	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					the noise effects of weapons firing and the use of explosive across all zones: Temporary military training activities involving weapons firing and/or the use of explosives . Notice is provided to the Council at least 5 working days prior to the commencement of the activity.b. The activity complies with the following minimum separation distances to the notional boundary of any building housing a noise sensitive activity: i. 0700 to 1900 hours: 500mii. 1900 to 0700 hours: 1,250mc. Where the minimum separation distances specified above cannot be met, then the activity shall comply with the following peak sound pressure level when measured at the notional boundary of any building housing a noise sensitive activity: 0700 to 1900 hours: 95 dBC 1900 to 0700 hours: 85 dBC	all zones. Through the implementation of the standards, zone based resource consent triggers, such as in the proposed plan, are not required.	
S225.026	New Zealand Defence Force	NOISE-S2	NOISE-S2	Support in part	Delete NOISE-S2.8 and replace it with: Noise from stationary or mobile noise sources Time 7.00am - 10.00pm 55dBA LAeq(15 min) Time 10.00pm - 7.00am 45dBA LAeq(15 min), 75dBA LAFmaxWhere the noise limits for zones in NOISE-S1 are higher, then those higher limits shall apply.	Acknowledges that this reflects the provisions it sought through the draft district plan feedback process. While the submitter supports these provisions, it has recently developed an alternative approach which Council may wish to consider.	Accept in part

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
S227.013	Elisabeth Jane Creevey	Introduction	Introduction	Support in part	Amend NOISE-Noise Introduction as follows: "...d. NZS6807:1994 Noise Management and Land Use Planning for Helicopter Landing Areas; and e. NZS 6808:2010 Acoustics Wind Farm Noise-; and f. NZS [xxxx:xxxx] Acoustics Solar Energy Generation Noise. [attach accompanying document] "	Noise level regulations need to accommodate the low-level electrical buzz from solar facilities. There are also mechanical machinery turning panels. The constant nature of the noise during all daylight hours and its impact on sensitive activities needs to be addressed in the PDP.	Reject
S229.021	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	Introduction	Introduction	Support	Retain Noise Introduction as notified.	Support recognition that rural activities can be inherently noisy and are unable to locate elsewhere or be practically avoided.	Accept
S229.022	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	NOISE-O2	NOISE-O2	Support	Retain NOISE-O2 as notified.	The objective is clear that the function and operation of existing and permitted noise generating activities are not compromised by reverse sensitivity effects from noise sensitive activities.	Accept
S229.023	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	NOISE-P1	NOISE-P1	Support	Retain NOISE-P1 as notified.	Support directive policy on enabling noise-generating activities in appropriate areas.	Accept
S229.024	New Zealand Pork Industry Board			Support	Retain RULES exclusion d. Agriculture, horticulture, pastoral farming, and conservation, activities undertaken for a limited duration, including using agricultural vehicles, agricultural aviation, helicopter landing areas, machinery, frost protection equipment or equipment used on a seasonal or intermittent basis, forestry planting, and forestry harvesting in the General Rural and Rural Lifestyle Zones as notified.	Support the identification that the following activities are not subject to the rules and standards d. Agriculture, horticulture, and pastoral farming activities undertaken for a limited duration, including using agricultural vehicles, machinery, frost protection equipment or equipment used on a seasonal or intermittent basis, forestry planting, and forestry harvesting in the General Rural and Rural Lifestyle Zones.	Accept
FS48.001	Aviation Industry			Support	Allow		Accept

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
	Association for NZ Helicopter Association						
S243.003	Alan Flynn	NOISE-S4	NOISE-S4	Amend	Amend NOISE-S4 (consequential amendments for the following): Amend the Outer Air Noise Boundaries be set to 55Ldn, and the Air Noise Boundaries be set at 65 Ldn for Chester Road Helicopters and Hood Aerodrome. Amend the Outer Air Noise and Air Noise Boundaries to factor in additional modelling based on reasonable forecasted growth of fixed wing and helicopter movements. Insert a noise management plan for Chester Road Helicopters and Hood Aerodrome enabling measurements of aircraft noise be undertaken in accordance with NZS 6805:1992.	Considers the variance from the New Zealand standards adds unnecessary controls and cost to an additional 668ha of rural and urban land, including 69 residential dwellings in the Masterton and Carterton districts. Considers these properties should not have reverse sensitivity controls applied as they are outside the area specified in NZS 6805:1992 Airport Noise Management and Land Use Planning Standard. The requested amendments align with this New Zealand Standard.	Reject
S245.023	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga	NOISE-O1	NOISE-O1	Support	Retain as notified.	Supports the inclusion of objective NOISE-O1 as it recognises benefits of noise generating activities while the activity does not compromise the well-being of communities, which broadly covers educational facilities.	Accept
S245.024	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga	NOISE-P1	NOISE-P1	Support	Retain as notified.	Supports the inclusion of policy NOISE-P1, as it enables noise generating activities provided, they do not compromise the health, safety and well-being of people and communities, which broadly covers educational facilities.	Accept
S245.025	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga	NOISE-P2	NOISE-P2	Support	Retain as notified.	Supports the inclusion of policy NOISE-P2 as it enables noise from activities to be generated provided steps are taken to avoid conflict with existing noise sensitive activities.	Accept
S245.026	Ministry of Education Te	NOISE-P4	NOISE-P4	Support	Retain as notified.	Supports the inclusion of policy NOISE-P4 as it incorporates acoustic insulation measures for	Accept

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
	Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga					noise sensitive activities, such as educational facilities. This policy recognises that noise sensitive activities should be appropriately located and separated from state highways, and rail corridors.	
S245.027	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga	NOISE-P6	NOISE-P6	Support	Retain as notified.	Support the inclusion of the policy NOISE-P6 as it enables noise sensitive activities, such as educational facilities to be located within commercial and industrial zones provided they are located, designed, constructed, and operated to reduce adverse noise and health and safety effects are minimised, and will reduce any adverse effects on reverse sensitivity.	Accept
S245.028	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga	NOISE-R12	NOISE-R12	Support	Retain as notified.	Support NOISE-R12 as it provides for acoustic insulation measures for noise sensitive activities in Commercial and Mixed Use Zones and General Industrial Zones.	Accept
S245.029	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga	NOISE-R13	NOISE-R13	Support	Retain as notified.	Support NOISE-R13 as it provides for acoustic insulation measures for noise sensitive activities in Rural Zones and Residential Zones.	Accept
S245.056	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga	NOISE-S3	NOISE-S3	Oppose	Amend NOISE-S3 as follows: Noise insulation standards for noise sensitive activities in Zones (excluding educational facilities) Note: Educational facilities designated by the Ministry of Education are excluded from NOISE-S3 as the Ministry of Education has their own noise insulation requirements. ...	Seeks an amendment to this rule to exclude educational facilities. The submitter has their own acoustic treatment requirements specific to school uses that ensure children have a quite productive classroom environment and can reduce effects on reverse sensitivity.	Reject
S251.012	Masterton, Carterton, and South Wairarapa	New provision request	New provision request	Support	Amend the note below the "Rules" heading as follows: "The following activities are not subject to the rules and standards in this chapter:	The text box immediately above the rules in the Noise chapter sets out activities that are not subject to the rules and standards in the chapter. The wording of (i) should be amended to make it clear that helicopters used for an emergency and	Accept

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
	District Councils				... (i) Helicopters used for an emergency-and or as an air ambulance;"	helicopters used as an air ambulance are both exempt.	
FS85.003	New Zealand Defence Force			Support	Allow	Allow submitter's relief and amend to include helicopters used for TMTA purposes: "The following activities are not subject to the rules and standards in this chapter: ... (i) Helicopters used for TMTA purposes, an emergency and or as an air ambulance;" One-off or limited number of helicopter landings within a particular area are required for TMTA purposes. It is unduly onerous to require a consent for such landings.	Accept
S253.014	Gaylene Leslie O'Connor	Introduction	Introduction	Support in part	Amend NOISE-Noise Introduction as follows: "... e. NZS 6808:2010 Acoustics Wind Farm Noise-; andf. NZS [xxxx:xxxxx] acoustics Solar Energy Generation Noise. [Refer to attachment in Original Submission] "	Noise level regulations need to accommodate the low-level electrical buzz from solar facilities. There are also mechanical machinery turning panels. The constant nature of the noise during all daylight hours and its impact on sensitive activities.	Reject
S259.001	Russell Hooper	NOISE-S3	NOISE-S3	Oppose	Delete NOISE-S3.	Considers the cost of complying with these requirements is significant. Considers there isn't any evidence that reverse sensitivity issues are arising from the use of the State Highway in the Wairarapa. Considers the State Highway Noise Boundary provisions further increase regulation and the cost of housing at a time when housing is already unaffordable. Considers people who live next to a State Highway will expect traffic noise.	Reject
S259.002	Russell Hooper	NOISE-R13	NOISE-R13	Oppose	Delete NOISE-R13.	Considers the cost of complying with these requirements is significant. Considers there isn't any evidence that reverse sensitivity issues are arising from the use of the State Highway in the Wairarapa. Considers the State Highway Noise	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
						Boundary provisions further increase regulation and the cost of housing at a time when housing is already unaffordable. Considers people who live next to a State Highway will expect traffic noise.	
S259.003	Russell Hooper	NOISE-P4	NOISE-P4	Oppose	Delete NOISE-P4.	Considers the cost of complying with these requirements is significant. Considers there isn't any evidence that reverse sensitivity issues are arising from the use of the State Highway in the Wairarapa. Considers the State Highway Noise Boundary provisions further increase regulation and the cost of housing at a time when housing is already unaffordable. Considers people who live next to a State Highway will expect traffic noise.	Reject
S288.034	Radio New Zealand Limited (RNZ)			Support	Retain as notified	The submitter strongly supports the exemption for generators operated by lifeline utilities for emergency, testing and maintenance. On the rare occasions RNZ's emergency generator is used, a level of noise is unavoidable. It is important for RNZ's emergency functions that the generators are able to function so RNZ can continue to provide services in the event of an emergency where electricity has failed.	Accept

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
S79.005	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	Definitions	Definitions	Support in part	Amend definition Noise sensitive activity as follows: Means any lawfully established : a. Any habitable room in a residential activity unit (including visitor accommodation), boarding houses, papakāinga , and retirement accommodation); b. Any educational activity (teaching areas and sleeping rooms only); c. Any healthcare activity, including hospitals facility (rooms used for overnight patient medical care only); d. Any congregations within any places of worship; and e. Activity at a marae.	Seeks amendment to this definition to ensure it captures all activities, night and day, which are sensitive to noise. Disturbance from rail operations can occur at any time and rail operator seeks to ensure new and altered nearby noise sensitive activities are adequately protected. Also seeks amendment to list activities as opposed to facilities for consistency and clarity.	Accept in part
FS67.126	Meridian Energy Limited			Support	Allow in part	Meridian agrees the requested amendments better characterise the activities that are sensitive to noise.	Accept in part
FS96.003	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga			Oppose	Disallow	Opposes the amendment of the definition of noise sensitive activity. Supports the wording of this provision as notified. The notified provision provided a distinction between parts of educational facilities that are sensitive to noise (teaching spaces and sleeping rooms) and other areas within facilities, which may not need to be protected from noise (such as fields or play spaces). Reiterates that that the term 'educational activity' should be amended to 'educational facilities' as a consistent term should be used throughout the plan.	Accept in part
S79.007	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	Definitions	Definitions	Amend	Insert new definition as follows: Rail Corridor Noise Control Overlay: means the area potentially affected by noise between a railway corridor boundary and a distance of 100m. Rail Corridor Noise	Seeks the inclusion of a new definition of Rail Corridor Noise Control Overlay. This submission point aligns with other submission points on the Noise Chapter and Planning Maps seeking the inclusion of a new policy, rule, standard and mapped overlay for noise controls within proximity to the rail corridor.	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					Control Overlay shown on the planning maps identifies areas that have the potential to experience high noise levels from rail traffic. New buildings and alterations to habitable rooms in buildings used for noise sensitive land uses are required to demonstrate that adverse noise effects have been appropriately managed by demonstrating compliance with the standards specified in Appendix A. [For reference, Appendix A contains a new proposed rule NOISE-R15: Noise sensitive activities within the Rail Corridor Noise Control Overlay, and a new proposed standards NOISE-S4: Noise insulation standards for noise sensitive activities within the Rail Corridor Noise Control Overlay - the full wording of these are captured in subsequent submission points]		
S79.008	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	Definitions	Definitions	Amend	Insert new definition as follows: Rail Vibration Alert Area Overlay: means the area potentially affected by vibration between a railway corridor boundary and a distance of 60m.	Seeks the inclusion of a new definition of Rail Vibration Alert Area Overlay for clarity. This submission aligns with other submission points on the Noise Chapter and Planning Maps seeking the inclusion of new introductory text and a mapped overlay.	Reject
S149.004	NZ Transport Agency (NZTA)	Definitions	Definitions	Support in part	Amend the definition for 'noise sensitive activities' as follows: Means: a. Any habitable room in of a residential unit activity (including visitor accommodation, seasonal worker accommodation, and retirement	The definition provided does not cover a sufficient range of noise sensitive activities and the submitter seeks additions to this definition to ensure that when applying reverse sensitivity rules under the Noise chapter, all appropriate activities are captured for the benefit of the health and wellbeing of the occupants of a property.	Accept in part

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					accommodation); b. Any educational activity (teaching areas including libraries, and drama and music studios , and sleeping rooms only) c. Any healthcare facility (rooms used for overnight patient medical care and consultation rooms only). d. Any congregations within places of worship/marae and community facilities .		
FS13.0010	Horticulture New Zealand			Oppose in part	Disallow	Seasonal worker accommodation is an ancillary activity to primary production, and it should be able to locate in proximity to primary production activities.	Accept in part
FS67.133	Meridian Energy Limited			Support	Allow in part	Meridian agrees the amendments better characterise the activities that are sensitive to noise.	Accept in part
FS89.015	Fulton Hogan Limited			Support	Allow	The submitter seeks amendments to the definition to cover a sufficient range of activities to enable reverse sensitivity rules to benefit the health and wellbeing of the occupants of a property.	Accept in part
FS96.007	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga			Oppose	Disallow	Opposes the amendment of the definition of noise sensitive activity as the definition drafted in the PWCDP was supported in the original submission. The notified provision provided a distinction between parts of educational facilities that are sensitive to noise (such as teaching areas or sleeping rooms) and other areas of a school that may not need to be protected from noise.	Accept in part
S187.009	New Zealand Frost Fans	Definitions	Definitions	Amend	Insert new definition for 'Special Audible Characteristic' as follows: Special audible characteristic: Has the same meaning as 'special audible characteristic' in section 6.3 of New Zealand Standard 6802:2008 Acoustics -	The provision is appropriate and necessary to measure sound appropriately as set out in section 6.3 of the New Zealand Standard 6802:2008 Acoustics - Environmental Noise and will be required for clarity for the framework in the Proposed District Plan.	Accept

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					Environmental Noise.		
S215.001	Z Energy Limited	Definitions	Definitions	Support	Retain noise sensitive activities as notified.	The submission supports the definition of noise sensitive activities.	Accept
S225.005	New Zealand Defence Force	Definitions	Definitions	Support	Retain definition for 'noise sensitive activities' as drafted.	It is appropriate to define noise sensitive activities to help guide interpretation of objectives, policies and rules. The definition captures the relevant activities.	Accept
S245.053	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga	Definitions	Definitions	Support in part	Amend definition for 'Noise Sensitive Activity' as follows: Means: a. Any habitable room in a residential unit (including visitor accommodation and retirement accommodation); b. Any educational activity facilities (teaching areas and sleeping rooms only);	'Educational activities' is not a defined term under the plan. Requests that council amend 'educational activities' to 'educational facilities.' A consistent term and definition should be used throughout the plan.	Accept

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
S32.002	Harvey Norman Properties (N.Z.) Limited	Specific Controls	Specific Controls	Amend	Amend to remove the State Highway Noise Boundary overlay from the site located at 230 High Street, Solway, Masterton.	The State Highway Noise Boundary Overlay has been applied to the frontage of the Site located at 230 High Street, Solway, Masterton. The associated rules contained within the Noise Chapter do not apply to commercial zones. The presence of the overlay across the Site is redundant and should therefore be removed.	Reject
S79.076	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	General District-Wide Matters	General District-Wide Matters	Amend	Insert a Rail Corridor Noise Control Overlay to the planning maps as provided in the submitted GIS shapefile. [See original submission]	Noise from the rail corridor can give rise to adverse health effects on sensitive land uses located within 100m of the corridor boundary. The research and guidelines relating to these effects are widely accepted internationally and applied in New Zealand Seeks the inclusion of a new Rail Corridor Noise Control Overlay to identify areas susceptible to rail noise, within 100m of the rail corridor boundary.	Reject
S79.077	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	General District-Wide Matters	General District-Wide Matters	Amend	Insert Rail Vibration Alert Area Overlay to the planning maps as provided in the submitted GIS shapefile. [See original submission]	Seeks the inclusion of a new Rail Vibration Alert Area Overlay to identify areas susceptible to rail vibration, within 60m of the rail corridor boundary. The submitter has provided a GIS shapefile of the overlay and seeks this to be included on the planning maps.	Reject
S149.039	NZ Transport Agency (NZTA)	Specific Controls	Specific Controls	Support in part	Amend the name of 'State highway noise boundary' to Noise control boundary overlay (state highways) and amend map key to align with national planning standards.	Support use of the state highway noise boundary as a tool to manage reverse sensitivity however, the symbol should align with national planning standards.	Accept
S243.001	Alan Flynn	General District-Wide Matters	General District-Wide Matters	Oppose	Amend the planning maps: Amend the Outer Air Noise Boundaries be set to 55Ldn, and the Air Noise Boundaries be set at 65 Ldn for Chester Road Helicopters and Hood Aerodrome. Amend the Outer Air Noise and Air Noise Boundaries to factor in additional modelling based on reasonable forecasted growth of	Considers the variance from the New Zealand standards adds unnecessary controls and cost to an additional 668ha of rural and urban land, including 69 residential dwellings in the Masterton and Carterton districts. These properties should not have reverse sensitivity controls applied as they are outside the area specified in NZS 6805:1992 Airport Noise Management and Land Use Planning Standard. The requested	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					fixed wing and helicopter movements.	amendments align with this New Zealand Standard.	
S259.004	Russell Hooper	General District-Wide Matters	General District-Wide Matters	Oppose	Amend the planning maps to delete the State Highway Noise Boundary.	Considers the cost of complying with these requirements is significant. Considers there isn't any evidence that reverse sensitivity issues are arising from the use of the State Highway in the Wairarapa. Considers the State Highway Noise Boundary provisions further increase regulation and the cost of housing at a time when housing is already unaffordable. Considers people who live next to a State Highway will expect traffic noise.	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
S79.078	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	SIGN-O1	SIGN-O1	Support	Retain Objective SIGN-O1 as notified.	Supports Objective SIGN-O1 as proposed.	Accept
S79.079	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	SIGN-P1	SIGN-P1	Support	Retain Policy SIGN-P1 as notified.	Supports Policy SIGN-P1 as proposed.	Accept
S79.080	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	SIGN-P6	SIGN-P6	Support	Retain Policy SIGN-P6 as notified.	Supports Policy SIGN-P6 as proposed.	Accept
S99.001	Manu Te Whata	Introduction	Introduction	Amend	Install speed signs installed or reduced on Akura, Kibblewhite, and Paieru Roads, and STOP sign on corner of Ngaumutawa, Akura, and Lincoln Roads.	Since the Akura Road Alignment 1993, these roads have been turned into a bypass route especially for heavy trucks, which has created problems with traffic noise and exhaust pollution close to local whare, including unrestricted speed and out of control burnouts on Kibblewhite Rd and Akura Rd corner.	Reject
S135.052	Greytown Heritage Trust	SIGN-O1	SIGN-O1	Support	Retain SIGN-O1 as notified.	The submitter supports the inclusion of heritage in this Objective.	Accept
S135.053	Greytown Heritage Trust	SIGN-P2	SIGN-P2	Support	Retain SIGN-P2 as notified.	The submitter supports this Policy particularly with regards to existing character and amenity.	Accept
S135.054	Greytown Heritage Trust	SIGN-P4	SIGN-P4	Support in part	Retain SIGN-P4 and amend to include signs which are out of character with the Historic Heritage Precinct.	The submitter supports this policy and proposes it be extended to include signs which are out of character with the Historic Heritage Precinct.	Accept
S135.055	Greytown Heritage Trust	SIGN-P5	SIGN-P5	Support	Retain SIGN-P5 as notified.	The submitter supports this policy particularly a., b., c., d., e., and f., and when it is read to include the Historic Heritage Precinct.	Accept
S135.056	Greytown Heritage Trust	SIGN-P7	SIGN-P7	Support in part	Retain SIGN-P7 and amend to acknowledge that highly illuminated	The submitter supports this policy. This policy may be more appropriate in the	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					windows are not appropriate within the Historic Heritage Precinct.	rules - there needs to be acknowledgement that highly illuminated windows are not appropriate. Display/ advertising windows should be lit in a subtle manner in the Historic Heritage Precinct.	
S135.057	Greytown Heritage Trust	SIGN-P8	SIGN-P8	Support	Retain SIGN-P8 as notified.	The submitter supports the policy.	Accept
S135.058	Greytown Heritage Trust			Support in part	Amend SIGN chapter rules to include note as follows where necessary - Note 1. If a resource consent application is made under this rule for a property within the Greytown Historic Heritage Precinct, the Greytown Heritage Trust will be considered an affected person in accordance with Section 95E of the RMA and notified of the application, where written approval is not provided.	The submitter states that this is an area where lack of understanding and knowledge prompted a Style Guide to assist retailers in understanding what would be considered appropriate.	Reject
S135.059	Greytown Heritage Trust	SIGN-R2	SIGN-R2	Support in part	Amend SIGN-R2 as follows: 'SIGN-R2 Signs in the scheduled heritage precincts listed in SCHED2 Heritage Precincts ... a. For signs on buildings, no individual sign shall exceed 2m ² 1m ² in area (all faces). Total signage on buildings on a site shall not exceed 4m ² 2m ² in area; ... e. There is no more than one free-standing sign per site, and it shall not exceed 0.5m ² in all area (all faces) and 7.5m 4.5m in height. ... Residential Zones: 3. Activity status: Restricted discretionary ... d. No free-standing sign shall exceed	Sign design and placement should respect historic buildings and the heritage character of Greytown. Signs should not obscure building details or important vistas. Ideally hand-painted (by a sign writer) on the building, provided they do not alter or obscure parts of the building. Alternatively, signs can be styled to replicate historically resonant signs. Brackets and frames need to be considered as part of the sign. All signs should be in frame and not aluminum (or similar) directly onto a building. [see original submission for full reasoning]	Accept in part

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
					2m 3.5m in height ...		
S135.060	Greytown Heritage Trust	SIGN-R8	SIGN-R8	Oppose	Amend SIGN-R8 to prohibit digital signs in Historic Heritage Precincts.	Digital signs should be prohibited in the Historic Heritage Precincts.	Reject
S135.061	Greytown Heritage Trust	SIGN-S3	SIGN-S3	Oppose in part	Amend SIGN-S3 as follows: SIGN-S3 Maximum height above ground level of free standing signs ... Residential Zones: 2- 2m 3.5m ...	The submitter seeks to increase the maximum height of signs permitted in the GRZ from 2m to 3.5. They consider that the proposed height is too low to be able to walk under. States that there also needs to be a rule so that these signs must not project over the footpath.	Reject
S135.062	Greytown Heritage Trust	SIGN-S9	SIGN-S9	Support in part	Amend SIGN-S9 to include lighting which is shining on the sign and self-illuminated signs.	The submitter considers that this Standard needs to include lighting directed to the sign, and self illuminated signs.	Reject
S135.063	Greytown Heritage Trust	SIGN-S10	SIGN-S10	Support in part	Amend SIGN-S10 to include requirement for projecting signs to be 2.5m clear of footpaths.	The submitter considers that there should be commentary around freestanding signs (footpath signs) and the banners/ flags which are becoming more common. States there needs to be a requirement for projecting signs, requiring them to be 2.5m clear of footpaths (there are/ have been signs in Greytown's Residential Historic Heritage Precinct extension which are not).	Reject
S189.077	Chorus New Zealand Limited (Chorus), Connexa Limited (Connexa), Aotearoa Tower Group (trading as FortySouth), One New Zealand	SIGN-R4	SIGN-R4	Amend	Amend SIGN-R4 as follows: 1. Activity status: Permitted Where the other rules in this chapter do not apply, provided that: a. The total face area of all temporary signs per site shall be no greater than 3m ² except in the case of temporary signs for Local Body or General Elections on public land where no individual sign is greater than 3m ² and the Council has provided written authorisation for these to be erected; b. The maximum height of any	Occasionally, infrastructure rollouts are supported by signs advising people that new infrastructure is available. For example, Crown Infrastructure Partners, the Government's infrastructure arm, required this for the Ultra-Fast Broadband fibre rollout. As such, such signage should be recognised in the temporary sign provisions.	Accept in part

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
	Group Limited (One NZ) and Spark New Zealand Trading Limited (Spark)				temporary sign shall not exceed 6m above ground level; c. Any temporary sign advertising an event shall not be displayed for more than 8 weeks before and shall be removed within 7 days after the date of the event; d. Any temporary sign advertising Local Body or General Elections shall not be displayed for more than 9 weeks before polling day and shall be removed by the close of the day before polling day; e. Any temporary signs advertising the sale or auction of a property (real estate sign) shall be located on the site to which they relate and be removed within 3 weeks of the date on which it is sold f. Any temporary sign advertising new infrastructure provided by a network utility operator shall be removed within 3 months of the infrastructure being made operational' fg. No temporary sign shall be located on any public road without the written authorisation of Council; hg. No temporary sign shall use reflective materials, or be illuminated, flashing, or moving; hi. On removal, the entire sign including support structures is removed and any disturbed ground is reinstated to its previous condition; and ij. Compliance is achieved with: i. SIGN-S7; and ii. SIGN-S8		
S218.078	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SIGN-P1	SIGN-P1	Support	Retain Policy SIGN-P1 as notified.	Supports Policy SIGN-P1 on the basis that the Policy 'allows' official signs. Such signs may include those that relate to the safety of people in the vicinity of the National Grid.	Accept

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
S218.079	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Introduction	Introduction	Support	Retain the introductory text that states that the provisions in the other Part 2: District Wide Matters chapters also apply signs as notified.	Supports the clear direction given in the introductory text that states that the provisions in the other Part 2: District Wide Matters chapters also apply to signs and must be compiled with, or resource consent sought. This approach means that signs must comply with the National Grid Yard rules and, as such, contributes to the Proposed District Plan giving effect to the NPSET.	Accept
S218.080	Transpower New Zealand Limited	SIGN-R3	SIGN-R3	Support	Retain Rule SIGN-R3 as notified.	Supports Rule SIGN-R3 on the basis that the Rule provides for official signs as a permitted activity in a manner that implements Policy SIGN-P1. Such signs may include those that relate to the safety of people in the vicinity of the National Grid.	Accept
S221.119	Horticulture New Zealand	SIGN-P1	SIGN-P1	Support	Retain SIGN-P1 as notified.	It is important that signs for health and safety are provided for in the plan.	Accept
S249.054	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	SIGN-P7	SIGN-P7	Support	Retain SIGN-P7 as notified	Supports the policy for managing signage on scheduled heritage buildings and within heritage precincts.	Accept
S249.055	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	SIGN-R1	SIGN-R1	Support in part	Amend SIGN-R1: Signs, except those located on a site containing a scheduled heritage building listed in SCHED1 Heritage Buildings and Items or in a scheduled heritage precinct listed in SCHED2 Heritage Precincts.	Supports the exclusion of heritage precincts from general signage provisions. It is suggested that the wording of the rule is slightly amended, to make clear that the exclusion also applies to scheduled heritage buildings.	Accept
S249.056	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	SIGN-R2	SIGN-R2	Support in part	Amend SIGN-R2:... f. Signs do not obscure windows or architectural features.	Supports restrictions on signage within scheduled heritage precincts. However, the rule has inadequate control over the potential effects of signage on heritage buildings within precincts. For example, a sign of up to 4m ² fixed to the front	Reject

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
						façade of a commercial building could detract from the character and values of a heritage precinct, particularly if it obstructed a key architectural feature of the building. The addition of a permitted activity clause relating to architectural features would address this concern.	
S249.057	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT)	SIGN-R9	SIGN-R9	Support	Retain SIGN-R9 as notified.	Supports the ability to consider a wide range of matters in any application for signage affixed to the exterior of a scheduled heritage building.	Accept

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
S172.012	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Definitions	Definitions	Support	Insert 'Temporary emergency services training activity' definition. Temporary emergency services training activity means a temporary activity undertaken for the training of any component of Fire and Emergency New Zealand for any emergency purpose. An emergency purpose are those purposes which enable Fire and Emergency New Zealand to achieve its main functions under section 11 and 12 of the Fire and Emergency New Zealand Act 2017.	Seeks the inclusion of a new definition for 'Temporary emergency services training activity' in order to provide greater clarity to plan users and to support the relief sought elsewhere in this submission. In order to ensure an efficient and effective emergency response, firefighting training is an essential activity. Firefighting training may include live fire training and equipment training both on and off site. The Statement of Performance Expectations (SPE) 2023/24 confirms a commitment to the Government that all firefighters achieve a certain level of training.	Accept
S172.013	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Definitions	Definitions	Support	Retain 'Temporary activity' definition.	Supports the definition of 'temporary activity' insofar that it provides for temporary events. Subject to acceptance of the new definition for 'temporary emergency services training activity' the submitter considers that 'temporary emergency services training activities' should be excluded from this definition to provide further clarity to the plan user.	Accept
S225.002	New Zealand Defence Force	Definitions	Definitions	Support	Retain definition for 'Temporary Activities' as notified.	The definition includes 'temporary military training activities'. This is supported as TMTA are non-repetitive and transient in nature.	Accept
S225.003	New Zealand Defence Force	Definitions	Definitions	Support	Retain definition for 'temporary military training activity' as notified.	This definition identifies military training activities as separate from other temporary activities, which is appropriate. This definition is consistent with the definition included in the National Planning Standards.	Accept

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
S42.010	Jet Boating New Zealand	TEMP-O1	TEMP-O1	Support	Retain Objective TEMP-O1 as proposed.	Supports the provision of organised recreational jet boating events.	Accept
S42.011	Jet Boating New Zealand	TEMP-P1	TEMP-P1	Support	Retain Policy TEMP-P1 as notified.	Supports the policy of enabling temporary activities.	Accept
S122.048	Fulton Hogan Limited	TEMP-P1	TEMP-P1	Support	Retain TEMP-P1 as notified	Supports the Plan recognising and enabling temporary activities, including those associated with construction	Accept
S122.049	Fulton Hogan Limited	TEMP-R1	TEMP-R1	Support	Retain TEMP-R1 as notified	Supports the plan recognising and enabling temporary activities, including those associated with construction.	Accept
S122.050	Fulton Hogan Limited	TEMP-R3	TEMP-R3	Oppose	Amend TEMP-R3 to make it clear that the rule applies to the outdoor storage of materials and to align the timeframes with TEMP-R1: TEMP-R3 Temporary outdoor storage of goods or materials 1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. The activity does not exceed 6 12 months in duration in a 24-month period...	Considers the temporary outdoor storage of materials is not dissimilar to the temporary storage of construction related materials. Seeks alignment between these two rules to minimise the chance of issues of interpretation regarding whether materials are ancillary to construction or demolition or not.	Accept in part
S172.053	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	New provision request	New provision request	Support	Insert new rule: TEMP-RX Temporary emergency services training activities All Zones1. Activity Status: Permitted Where the following conditions are met: a. Limited to: i. a period of two days, excluding set-up or pack-down activities, which can occur up to one week prior to commencement and up to one week following completion of the temporary emergency services training activity.2. Activity status where compliance not achieved: N/A	In order to ensure an efficient and effective emergency response, firefighting training is an essential activity. Firefighting training may include live fire training and equipment training both on and off site. Fire and Emergency's State of Performance Expectations (SPE) 2023/2024 confirms commitment to the Government that all firefighters achieve a certain level of training. In order to ensure an efficient and effective emergency response, firefighter training is an essential activity. Firefighter training may include live fire training and equipment training both on and off site. This will ensure that any adverse effects of temporary activities are managed in accordance with community expectation.	Accept in part

Submission Point / Further Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Section	Provision	Position	Summary of Decision Requested	Reasons	Panel Decision
S218.081	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Introduction	Introduction	Support	Retain TEMP - Introduction.	Supports the clear definition given in the introductory text that states that the provisions in the other Part 2: District Wide Matters chapters also apply to temporary activities and must be compiled with, or resource consent sought. This approach means that temporary activities must comply with the National Grid Yard rules and, as such, contributes to the Proposed District Plan giving effect to the NPSET.	Accept
S225.027	New Zealand Defence Force	TEMP-O1	TEMP-O1	Support	Retain TEMP-O1 as notified.	It is important to recognise the benefits of temporary activities.	Accept
S225.028	New Zealand Defence Force	TEMP-P1	TEMP-P1	Support	Retain TEMP-P1 as notified.	It is important to recognise the minor adverse effects of temporary activities and to enable them.	Accept
S225.029	New Zealand Defence Force	TEMP-R6	TEMP-R6	Support	Retain TEMP-R6.1 as notified.	It is appropriate that TMTA are provided for as a permitted activity in all zones.	Accept
S225.030	New Zealand Defence Force	TEMP-R6	TEMP-R6	Support in part	Amend TEMP-R6.2: ... Matters of discretion: ... 2. The provision of information about the activity to surrounding properties including information on that may be affected by any adverse effects generated by the activity...	The restricted discretionary activity status is supported with a suggested amendment to matter of discretion 2. The submitter typically provides notice to surrounding properties regardless of whether or not they are considered to be 'adversely affected'.	Accept