


Build Sustainable Progress with Your Most Challenging Cases

A Harm Reduction Guide

This tool is for psychologists, dietitians, and other mental health clinicians wanting to use a harm reduction approach when working with clients that present with eating disorders, self-harm, suicidality, drug use, and other high risk to self behaviours.

Clinicians should strive to balance their own goals and expertise and the clients goals and expertise, with an ethical treatment approach that is firmly grounded in values.



CLINICIAN GOALS

Evidence based practice

Where possible, interventions should always be grounded in unbiased evidence-based practice. Clinicians should present each client with a variety of evidence-based approaches and avoid misrepresenting or “overselling” the effectiveness of any one evidence-based approach, keeping in mind that the biggest predictor of change is the therapeutic relationship itself.

Client safety a priority

The clients safety is always the priority in treatment. This includes immediate safety (such as immediate risk to life) but should also include long-term safety (such as long-term risk to life). An intersectional view of safety should be considered, that is, not just physical safety, but also sexual safety, emotional safety, and psychological safety.

Identify blind spots

The clinician should aim to identify “blind spots” in the client to empower the client to make fully informed decisions. Whether the blind spots are shared with the client will depend on the therapeutic goals and whether the potential benefits of sharing outweigh the potential risks.

Target problem areas and quality of life issues as identified by the clinician based on skills, training, and clinical experience

Clinicians should draw upon their skills, training, and clinical experience to identify problem areas and quality of life issues, and where possible communicate these potential target areas to the client. Clinicians should be careful to ensure that identified problem areas are not based in neuronormative, ableist, or privileged ideals. Clinicians should be willing to share the knowledge, differential diagnosis, and thought processes that led to their conclusions with the client, and be receptive to feedback.

Need to practice in a way that the clinician believes is safe and ethical

Clinicians each need to have a clear set of guidelines and boundaries in which they believe they can practice safely. When working with high risk clients, clinicians should (where possible) communicate to clients the boundaries and associated outcomes. For example, if the client has a specific plan to kill themselves soon, the practitioner will notify emergency services. Clients should be referred on when the clinician does not have the sufficient expertise to provide quality treatment, but clients should not be terminated for being “too complex.” When needing to refer on, clinicians should be honest about their lack of speciality (so as to prevent the client being identified as the problem), and every attempt should be made to pair the client with a more suitably qualified provider.

CLIENT GOALS

Autonomy

Wherever possible, clients should be given the autonomy to make their own choices about medications, treatment, and health behaviours to the best of their abilities, beliefs, and priorities.

To be seen as a whole person (need for intersectionality)

Clinicians should see each client as unique, with unique strengths and challenges, and therefore requiring a tailored approach to treatment. Clinicians should work hard to avoid assumptions about the client based on their identity, diagnosis, or presenting issues. Clinicians should be mindful of their own privilege and take steps to improve their knowledge around the unique obstacles clients within marginalised communities face. Due to the plethora of areas of intersectionality many clients face, no clinician should present themselves as an 'expert,' even if they too have lived experience or are part of a marginalised group.

Need to receive an individualised approach

Clinicians should not have a 'one size fits all' approach, but should instead be able to offer a range of treatment options to each client. Clinicians should be willing and ready to adapt treatment in a way that is affirming and meets the clients self-reported difficulties (rather than a pre-determined list of difficulties based on diagnosis).

Reduce distress

Clinicians should value reducing the clients self-reported distress, being mindful that the client is the determiner of what elements of their life result in the most distress, not the clinician.

To be supported and empowered to direct treatment

Clients should be empowered to determine their own goals for treatment. These goals are not to be suppressed by an excessive focus on 'treatment goals' as determined by funding bodies (eg. Medicare, NDIS, etc.) or by the mainstream medical community.

ETHICAL PRACTICE GROUNDED IN VALUES

Balancing the clinicians expertise with the clients expertise

Clinicians should work collaboratively with their clients to pool the shared knowledge of the clinicians expertise from their training and clinical experience along with the clients expertise from their lived experience. Clinicians should work collaboratively with clients to identify shared treatment goals.

Balancing the need to deliver evidence based treatment with the clients need for autonomy and individualised care

Clinicians should strive to balance the need to provide clients with an effective evidence-based treatment, alongside the clients needs to receive individualised care, have autonomy over their treatment and their life, and to be seen as a whole person. Clinicians should not insist on delivering an intervention that a client has expressed is unhelpful for them.

Practice based on intersectionality that is informed by the views of marginalised individuals with lived experience

Clinicians should hold in mind that the vast majority of mental health care research is based on individuals that do not present with co-occurring mental health difficulties and are not part of marginalised communities, and thus it is difficult to draw conclusions about the effectiveness of such treatments for individuals with complex co-occurring presentations or belonging to marginalised communities. Clinicians should aim to have a practice that is guided by the perspectives of marginalised individuals with lived experience.

Delivering an affirming practice including (but not limited to) neurodiversity affirming, non weight-focused, weight-neutral, trauma-informed, LGBTQAI+ inclusive, and anti-oppressive

Clinicians should have a practice that incorporates the values and needs expressed by the clients themselves, as well as being guided by the lived experience community.

Mindful of potential iatrogenic harm

Clinicians should be mindful of the reported iatrogenic harm in the current health care model and strive to deliver treatment with the core principle of - ***at the very least, do no harm.***

