



Q2 2026 INVESTOR UPDATE

LANGDON GLOBAL SMALLER COMPANIES PORTFOLIO

Price as a Signal

Net Performance (CAD, Class F) ¹	Q2 2026	1 Year	3 Year	Since inception ²
Langdon Global Smaller Companies Portfolio	9.4%	-10.7%	8.1%	10.6%
MSCI World Small Cap Net Index	17.0%	35.3%	20.4%	17.0%

Net Calendar Year Performance (CAD, Class F)	2025	2024	2023	2022
Langdon Global Smaller Companies Portfolio	6.9%	19.8%	26.7%	-1.7%
MSCI World Small Cap Net Index	14.3%	18.0%	12.7%	1.3%

Dear Partners,

Every day, the market sends investors a signal. It comes in the form of a share price. When prices rise, investors naturally assume the outlook has improved. When prices fall, they often assume the opposite. The temptation is to treat price not merely as information, but as instruction. **We believe that is a mistake.**

Prices are among the most valuable pieces of information available to investors. They absorb new information quickly, aggregate the views of many market participants, and reflect collective expectations about the future. Ignoring them would be unwise; following them blindly can be equally dangerous.

A falling share price tells us that expectations have changed, but it does not tell us why. Has the company's competitive position weakened? Have its long-term earnings prospects deteriorated? Or have investors simply become less certain about the future? The price cannot answer those questions—it simply tells us where to begin looking.

That distinction lies at the heart of our investment process. **Every meaningful movement in a share price deserves investigation, but it does not necessarily require action.** Sometimes our research leads us to conclude that the market has reacted more sharply than the underlying business warrants, creating an opportunity to increase our ownership. At other times, we determine that the facts have changed in a way that reduces our estimate of long-

¹ Performance as of June 30, 2026. Returns greater than one year are annualized. Past performance is not indicative of future performance. F Class. These net performance figures covers the period from August 26, 2022 to June 30, 2026, and has been provided to Langdon Equity Partners Ltd. by State Street Trust Company Canada for the Global Portfolio ("LGSCP"). Commissions, trailing commissions, management fees and expenses all may be associated with mutual fund investments. Please read the prospectus before investing. The indicated rates of return are the historical annual compounded total returns including changes in unit value and reinvestment of all distributions and do not take into account sales, redemption, distribution or optional charges or income taxes payable by any securityholder that would have reduced returns. Mutual funds are not guaranteed, their values change frequently and past performance may not be repeated

² Since inception date of August 26, 2022.

term intrinsic value. Successful investing depends on distinguishing between the two. The first half of 2026 provided examples of both.

PERFORMANCE OVERVIEW³

The portfolio returned 9.4% during the second quarter, compared with 17.0% for the MSCI World Small Cap Index. The absolute result represented a meaningful improvement from the first quarter, although the seven-percentage-point shortfall relative to the benchmark was disappointing. Year-to-date performance remains below our long-term expectations.

The relative weakness was concentrated rather than broad-based. Information technology contributed 6.8% to the benchmark's return, compared with 0.5% for the portfolio, accounting for approximately 6.3 percentage points of the relative shortfall. **Much of the benchmark's strength came from hardware-related companies that we did not own.** Our lack of exposure reflected our assessment of the businesses and valuations available to us, rather than a near-term view on the sector. That positioning was costly during the quarter.

Elsewhere, portfolio performance was considerably stronger. Consumer discretionary holdings contributed 4.0%, outperforming the benchmark sector by approximately 2.7 percentage points, while financial holdings also added positively on both an absolute and relative basis. Watches of Switzerland was the largest individual contributor, followed by YETI and DO & CO. Goosehead Insurance, L1 Group and several other holdings also made meaningful positive contributions.

Miami International Holdings and Royal Unibrew were the largest detractors. MIAX weakened as investors focused on the potential competitive and regulatory implications of perpetual futures. Royal Unibrew declined following the announcement that its Pepsi bottling and distribution agreement in several Nordic and Baltic markets will expire at the end of 2028. These two cases are discussed in greater detail below because, while both share prices fell, the underlying causes—and therefore our responses—were different.

The quarter reinforced an important lesson: **markets can change their expectations far more quickly than businesses change their underlying economics.** Our responsibility is not to react mechanically to every movement in price. It is to reassess whether the long-term earning power of the businesses we own has changed by a similar amount. In several cases during the first half, we concluded that it had not.

WHEN PRICES FALL FASTER THAN VALUE

The defining characteristic of the first half of the year was elevated volatility. Volatility is often uncomfortable, but it is not inherently good or bad. Its significance depends entirely on what caused it.

Markets are forward-looking, but their horizon is elastic. **When uncertainty rises, the market's gaze into the future shortens.** Investors begin to demand immediate clarity, effectively penalizing long-duration assets. By compressing its time horizon, the market discounts far-off cash flows at a much higher rate. This change in investor behaviour can produce a significant decline in a share price, even when the business's long-term earning power has changed relatively little. For long-term investors, these moments of shortened market duration deserve intense attention.

YETI and DO & CO illustrate the point. During the first quarter, both companies experienced sharp share-price declines as investors weighed the potential effects of tariffs, consumer spending pressures, and broader economic uncertainty. The market's message was clear: expectations had become materially more cautious. Our task was to determine whether the change in price was supported by a comparable change in business value. In both cases, our research suggested that it was not.

- **YETI** continued to grow revenue while further diversifying its manufacturing base to mitigate tariff risks.
- **DO & CO** reported another year of record operating profitability while continuing to execute cleanly across its airline catering, hospitality and events businesses.

Neither company is immune to economic risks. However, we believed the deterioration in market expectations was far more severe than the deterioration in the companies' underlying economics.

As the second quarter progressed, sentiment improved. YETI ended the first half with a positive return of approximately 12% in U.S. dollar terms, while DO & CO also recovered from its earlier decline. **Crucially, that subsequent recovery was not the justification for our investment judgement.** Our conviction came from the

³ Past performance is not indicative of future performance. Please see the important information in the endnote below.

structural view that the businesses had changed less than their share prices suggested. The market's signal prompted further work. The work, rather than the price movement itself, informed our decisions.

MIAMI INTERNATIONAL HOLDINGS: A NEW INVESTMENT

We initiated a position in Miami International Holdings during the second quarter.

MIAX operates electronic exchanges across several areas of the U.S. financial markets. Exchange businesses can possess attractive characteristics, including transaction-based revenue, operating leverage and network effects that may strengthen as liquidity and participation grow.

Our interest in MIAX rests on the combination of its existing position in U.S. listed options, its proprietary technology infrastructure and its ability to introduce new products across a broader derivatives platform.

The company has continued to gain share in certain markets while investing in new products and partnerships. Because much of its technology and operating infrastructure is already in place, higher trading volumes may support attractive incremental margins over time.

That potential is meaningful but not assured. Exchange operators face substantial competitive, regulatory and execution risks. Their economics can also be affected by trading activity, pricing, market structure and the actions of large incumbents.

One area of potential opportunity is the continued evolution of futures and derivatives markets. New products and changing trading formats may create openings for smaller exchange operators that are not as dependent on established legacy franchises.

MIAX's position as a challenger may allow it to pursue those opportunities with fewer concerns about disrupting an existing business. It recently introduced products and partnerships, including equity index futures linked to Bloomberg indices, that are early examples of that strategy.

It remains too soon to know how valuable these initiatives will become.

The shares have been volatile since the company's public listing. We do not view that volatility alone as evidence that the opportunity is more or less attractive. Instead, it gives us a reason to continue testing our assumptions about market share, product adoption, operating leverage and long-term competitive position.

WHEN THE FACTS CHANGE

Not every falling share price represents an opportunity. Royal Unibrew provided an important reminder of that distinction.

During the quarter, the company announced that its Pepsi bottling and distribution agreement across Denmark, Finland and the Baltic markets will expire at the end of 2028. Unlike the examples above, this was not a temporary shift in investor sentiment. **It represented a genuine, structural change in the company's prospective earnings power.** The market responded quickly, and the shares declined by almost 30%.

That price movement was an important signal, prompting us to immediately revise our valuation models to reflect the earnings the company will ultimately lose. At the same time, we believe the initial market reaction may have overlooked several offsetting structural strengths:

- Royal Unibrew retains a dominant portfolio of established, highly profitable local brands.
- Management has more than two years to optimize its manufacturing footprint, reduce its cost base, and reallocate capital before the Pepsi agreement formally expires.
- Capital currently tied up supporting the Pepsi relationship can eventually be redeployed into higher-returning independent opportunities.

The timing, returns, and ultimate success of any such capital redeployment are uncertain, but the management team's track record is excellent and should help the company navigate the transition. Since quarter-end, the shares have recovered a meaningful portion of the initial decline. However, the recovery does not validate our analysis, nor does it eliminate the economic impact of losing the Pepsi agreement.

The more important point is that **good investing requires a willingness to change one's mind when the structural facts change.** The key question we must continuously answer is whether a price has moved faster than business value or whether the underlying business value itself has permanently decayed. They are entirely different situations requiring entirely different responses.

CLOSING THOUGHTS

One of the paradoxes of investing is that prices are both indispensable and insufficient. They contain an immense amount of data, showing us where expectations are shifting and where uncertainty is rising. What they cannot tell us is whether those shifting expectations are reasonable.

That determination requires independent research and rigorous judgement. It requires an understanding of competitive advantages, industry structures, management quality, and long-term earnings power. Most importantly, it requires distinguishing between a temporary change in market sentiment and a permanent change in intrinsic value. Markets often make that distinction over time, but rarely immediately.

The first half of 2026 reinforced this core principle. Our responsibility is not to predict which share prices will rise next quarter. **Our job is to assess whether today's price accurately reflects the long-term economics of the business**, while remaining intellectually honest enough to acknowledge when our assumptions are wrong.

Every day, the market sends us a signal. Our job remains to understand what it is telling us.



Written by

Greg Dean

Founder and Lead Investor

DISCLAIMER

This article is prepared by Langdon Equity Partners. Content in respect of the Langdon Smaller Companies Fund (ARSN 657 901 614 (the Fund) is issued by Pinnacle Fund Services Limited ABN 29 082 494 362 AFSL 238 371 ('PFSL') as responsible entity of the Fund. PFSL is not licensed to provide financial product advice. It contains general information only, including any companies identified by name and/or their respective trademarks. It is not intended as a securities recommendation or statement of opinion intended to influence a person or persons in making a decision in relation to investment. It has been prepared without taking account of any person's objectives, financial situation or needs. Any persons relying on this information should obtain professional advice before doing so.

All statistical figures (exact and/or approximate) referenced throughout this article including all tables, charts and graphs, have been derived from publicly available sources, our own internal research/analysis, or a combination of both, unless described otherwise. Underlying data can be provided upon written request.

Past performance is for illustrative purposes only and is not indicative of future performance.

While Langdon Equity Partners Limited ('Langdon') and PFSL believe the information contained in this communication is reliable, no warranty is given as to its accuracy, reliability or completeness and persons relying on this information do so at their own risk. Subject to any liability which cannot be excluded under the relevant laws, Langdon and PFSL disclaim all liability to any person relying on the information contained in this communication in respect of any loss or damage (including consequential loss or damage), however caused, which may be suffered or arise directly or indirectly in respect of such information. This disclaimer extends to any entity that may distribute this communication.

FOR AUSTRALIAN CLIENTS:

The Product Disclosure Statement ('PDS') and Target Market Determination ('TMD') of the Fund are available via the links below. Any potential investor should consider the PDS and TMD before deciding whether to acquire, or continue to hold units in, the Fund.

Link to the Product Disclosure Statement: [here](#)

Link to the Target Market Determination: [here](#)

For historic TMD's please contact Pinnacle Client Service Phone 1300 010 311 or Email service@pinnacleinvestment.com

FOR CANADIAN CLIENTS:

Important information about each Langdon mutual fund is contained in its prospectus, fund facts document and in its management report on fund performance. Any potential investor should review these documents prior to making any investment decision relating to such fund. You can view copies of these documents by following the links below:

Link to the Langdon Global Smaller Companies Portfolio Disclosure Documents: [here](#)

Link to the Langdon Canadian Smaller Companies Portfolio Disclosure Documents: [here](#)