

UK Graduate College

Student Voice Process and Procedure

Policy no.	3.2
Version no.	1
Policy Owner	HE Academic Quality Manager
Date of Last Update	N/A
Date Approved	29/10/2025
Approval Authority	Academic Board
Next Review Date	November 2026
Related Policies	Governance Framework
External Reference Points	OIA

Who does this policy apply to?

If you are	Then
A Higher Education / Pathways member of staff or student	This policy applies to you

Contents

2. Scope	2
3. Definitions	2
4. Process Overview	2
5. Roles and Responsibilities	3
6. Confidentiality and Data Protection	3
7. Review and Continuous Improvement	3
Annandiy A	1



1. Purpose

This document outlines the process and procedures for effectively capturing and responding to student voice at UK Graduate College. The aim is to ensure students have meaningful opportunities to express their views, contribute to decision-making, and help enhance the quality of their educational experience. This procedure ensures that UK Graduate College fosters a culture of partnership and continuous enhancement through active student engagement.

2. Scope

This process applies to all Higher Education and Pathway students enrolled at UK Graduate College across all faculties and departments.

3. Definitions

- Student Voice: The collective opinions, feedback, and suggestions provided by students regarding their academic and campus experience.
- **Student Representative:** A student elected or appointed to represent their peers in academic and administrative forums.

4. Process Overview

4.1 Feedback Collection

- Regular collection of student feedback through multiple channels including:
 - Induction Survey
 - Module Evaluation Questionnaires (MEQs) (see Appendix A)
 - National Student Survey (NSS) participation
 - Annual Internal Student Survey
 - o Focus groups and forums
 - Online feedback platforms and surveys

4.2 Student Representation

- Election or appointment of student representatives at department and college levels.
- Representatives attend Staff-Student Committees (SSCs) and other relevant meetings.
- Representatives gather peer feedback and communicate it to staff.
- Election of a Student Governor to sit on the Board of Governors

4.3 Analysis and Reporting

 Academic and administrative staff analyse feedback data alongside other module data, to identify trends and areas for improvement.



• Termly reports are prepared and shared with relevant stakeholders including students.

4.4 Action Planning

- Collaborative development of action plans involving students and staff to address identified issues, both in year and for the next academic year.
- Prioritization of actions based on impact and feasibility, with submission of major/minor amendments where necessary and full consideration of CMA.

4.5 Communication and Feedback Loop

- Regular updates provided to students on actions taken in response to their feedback using the 'You said – We Did' approach.
- Transparency maintained through published reports and meeting minutes.

5. Roles and Responsibilities

- Students: Provide honest and constructive feedback; participate in surveys and forums.
- **Student Representatives:** Act as liaison between students and staff; attend meetings; communicate outcomes.
- Academic Staff: Facilitate feedback collection; analyse data; implement improvements.
- **UK Graduate College Administration:** Support the student voice process; ensure communication channels are effective.
- HE Academic Quality Manager: ensures all staff are relevant staff are trained to implement these procedures and provides training for the Student Representatives and the Student Governor

6. Confidentiality and Data Protection

- All feedback is treated confidentially, where possible, and in accordance with data protection regulations.
- Anonymity is preserved where appropriate to encourage open and honest feedback.
- Meeting minutes will be published, with any concerns raised allocated to the programme area for transparency rather than named individuals.

7. Review and Continuous Improvement

- The student voice process will be reviewed annually to ensure effectiveness.
- Feedback on the process itself will be sought from students and staff.



Appendix A

UK Graduate College

Module Evaluation Form

We value your views on the modules you take, for monitoring and development purposes. Please tick the box that is closest to your views and add any comments in the spaces to the right. Your comments are anonymous.

Course:			M	odule:	
Date:					
					Comments
1. I achieved the learning o	bjectives of this n	nodule			
_					
Strongly agree				Disagree strongly	
5	4	3	2	strongly	
2. I felt classes for this mod	•				
2. Freit diasses for this mod	raic were general	y wen prepa	rea ana organ		
Strongly agree	7			Disagree	
<u> </u>				strongly	
5	4	3	2	1	
3. Adequate help was avail	able when I neede	ed it			
Strongly agree				Disagree	
5	J L 4	3	2	strongly 1	
4. I found the pace of this r					
Far too slow				Far too fast	
5	4	3	2	1	
5. I found the coursework t					
Far too easy	7			Far too difficult	
rai too easy				Fai too diincuit	
5	4	3	2	1	
6. I felt the amount of course work required for this module was					
Far too little				Far too much	
5	J L 4	3	2	1	
7. Feedback on my progress in this module, so far, has been					
, recadant on my progress					
Excellent	7			Non-existent	
<u> </u>					
5	4	3	2	1	
8. I found this module inte	resting				
Strongly agree				Strongly disagree	
		2	2	uisagree	



<u> </u>						T
9. I found the teachin	g and le	earning of this m	od <u>ule w</u> as e	engaging and in	ntellectually challenging	
Strongly agree					Strongly	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ب				disagree	
10.1	5	4	3	2	1	
10. I came prepared t	o class 1	for this module			a.	
Strongly agree					Strongly disagree	
ugice	5	4	3	2	1	
11. LRC resources (bo	oks and	l journals) for th	is module w	/ere		
Excellent					Extremely poor	
Executivity						
	5	4	3	2	1	
12. The VLE/Moodle i	esource	es for this modu	le were			
Excellent					Extremely poor	
	5	4	3	2	1	
13. IT resources while	studyir	ng this module v	vere			
Excellent					Extremely poor	
Executivity	لبِـا					
44.15	5		3	2	1	
14. If you have an ILP	: My red	quired needs we	re met in th	iis module	Strongly	
Strongly agree					Strongly disagree	
	5	4	3	2	1	
15. What did you like	best a	bout this mod	ule?			
·						
1.						
2.						
16. What would you	change	about this mo	dule?			
1.						
 -						
2.						
	holow	to ovpand on	any of vo	comments	or to add any other obse	ryations
Please use the space below to expand on any of your comments, or to add any other observations						

you think would be useful. Thank you for your co-operation.

5