


TUI JOURNAL FOR OUTPUT REFLECTIONS





TUI Methodology


Translation is defined as the translation of key messages (from research findings and other insights) into communications that are easily understood and related to by the intended key audience, and in particular privileging whānau, hapori Māori, hapū and iwi. Translation is represented by the korokoro (throat) of the Tuī, whose unique call translates important information between different stakeholders (from whānau to decision makers). Uptake refers to key messages resulting in changes to how something (e.g., health services) is designed or delivered. The wings of the Tuī represent the ‘uptake’ of the information- the actioning of the message through the flying and movement of the Tuī. Impact is the result (on a micro, meso or macro level) of changes that occur in response to research findings and other insights. The Impact of the research is represented by the seeds – ngā kākano – dropped by the Tuī across the forest floor resulting in new life and growth.

Reflections *On* Your Research


Welcome to this brief journal, a space designed for you to reflect on the effectiveness of disseminating your research output. Take a moment to consider whether your approach achieved the desired impact, how useful it proved to your audience, and what adjustments you might make in the future. Additionally, explore innovative ways to amplify your existing output—getting the most value for your efforts. Let's delve into the reflection process, contemplating what worked well, what could be improved, and how to maximize the impact of your valuable research.

This Journal is simple. It begins with two circular pages where you can brainstorm- you can draw, sketch or grab your immediate thoughts and land them here.

This brainstorm is followed by six reflection questions, with helpful prompting questions listed below to help you make sense of the outputs impact.




Reflections *On* The Output



Take a moment to gently reflect on the research output from your research project. Consider the experiences, memories, and lessons learned during this time. Use this reflection as a guide to appreciate the journey and make the most of your future research adventures.

Positives *and* Negatives



What unintended positive and negative consequences arose from the research output, and how were they manifested?



Who was the output for?

- Who was your intended audience for the research output, and how did you clearly define and identify them?
- Consider the specific individuals or groups that benefited from or were impacted by your output.
- Did you gather input from colleagues, mentors, on the target audience and refine the dissemination to meet their needs?

What form did the output *take*?

- To what extent was the research output effectively implemented and adapted as require
- What factors influenced your dissemination output decision-making process?
- How did the design/content of the research output align with your kaupapa?
- Did the choice of dissemination output align with feedback or preferences expressed by the audience or readers, or was it primarily driven by external guidance or recommendations?



Did they *use* it?

- How did the output contribute value to service providers, clients, the community, and/or organizations involved?
- Was the output tailored effectively enough to meet their needs and interests?
- Did they get it? Did it meet their needs?
- Where, when and how was the output used? Who else saw it or used it?
- What were the factors hindering or facilitating successful implementation and outcomes of the research output

Did they *like* it?

- Did people like what we shared from the research? How do we know?
- How can we check if the audience enjoyed what we presented, and what signs or feedback can we look for?
- Did any other audience group provide feedback?



What else can you do *with it*?

- How can this output be extended to reach new contexts or domains? Upcoming Iwi events/forums/contacts?
- How effectively did the research output use available resources to achieve valuable results for participants and the community?
- Are any positive outcomes likely to endure for Maori health equity, and under what conditions?

How do you know its *working*?

- Did the research output achieve its intended outcomes in the short, medium, and long term for Maori health equity?
If so, for whom, to what degree?
- How can you tell if your research output is making an impact or working as intended?
- What indicators or measurements are you using to determine the effectiveness of your research output?