EDUCATION & INSTITUTE IMPACT REPORT

Insights for the year 2025

"The major message of visible learning is that what teachers do matters , but what matters most is making learning visible to both teachers and students, so that they can see the impact of their actions."
— John Hattie, Education Researcher (Visible Learning)"

Industry Snapshot & Key Statistics

Most schools, colleges, and training institutes believe they run on academics.
In reality, they run on:

- WhatsApp groups
- Excel trackers
- Informal faculty coordination
- Broken fee + attendance alignment

That's not an education system. That's controlled chaos.

Real Industry Numbers:

- 18–25% of enrolled students disengage due to poor content access, tracking, and feedback loops.
- 30–40% of faculty time is wasted on manual attendance, lesson tracking, rework, and repeated explanations.
- Up to 22% revenue leakage happens when LMS, fees, and attendance are not connected.
- 47% of LMS failures occur not because of software — but because tracking and enforcement are optional.

Institutions running a fully enforced LMS achieve:

- 2–3x student accountability
- 40–60% drop in academic follow-up workload
- 25–35% better course completion rates
- Measurable faculty performance
- Scalable hybrid education without collapse

Bottom Line:

If your LMS only hosts videos and PDFs, you don't have a learning system.
You have a content dumping platform.

How an LMS Actually Transforms Education ?

A. Learning Becomes Measurable, Not Emotional

- Student progress tracked by:
  - Watch time
  - Assessment scores
  - Participation
  - Assignment timing
- No more "he is a smart student" guesswork
- Only measurable performance survives

B. Faculty Performance Stops Being Untouchable

- Class delivery tracked
- Assignment correction time tracked
- Student success rate per teacher measured
- Delays and negligence become data, not politics

C. Hybrid & Multi-Branch Learning Becomes Controlled

- Same syllabus for:
  - Physical classes
  - Recorded sessions
  - Online batches
- Central academic authority with branch-wise execution
- No academic "versions" floating across locations

D. Parent & Student Pressure Drops Automatically

- LMS becomes the single source of truth:
  - Attendance
  - Assignments
  - Exams
  - Results
- No daily chaos of manual follow-ups

E. The LMS becomes the single source of truth

- Attendance
- Assignments
- Exams
- Results
- Academic progress

Manual explanations disappear. Escalations drop because data answers everything.

But Why Most LMS Implementations Fail ?

Most college & institutions already have some form of LMS. Very few extract real value from it.

1. LMS Is Not Connected to Academic Authority

In many institutes, the LMS has **no power**.

- Attendance taken outside the system
- Assessments conducted offline
- Faculty evaluated informally

When promotions, penalties, and academic decisions are **not tied to LMS data**, usage drops immediately.
A system with no authority becomes optional.

2.Faculty Incentives Are Not Aligned with LMS Usage

Faculty are asked to "use the LMS" but:

- Teaching load remains unchanged
- Evaluation criteria remain subjective
- No performance linkage exists

Result:

- Minimal uploads
- Late grading
- Inconsistent engagement

An LMS cannot succeed when it adds work but removes nothing.

3. Student Accountability Is Not Enforced

In failed LMS implementations:

- Attendance does not block assessments
- Missed assignments have no consequences
- Progress is visible but not acted upon

Students quickly learn that LMS data has no impact on outcomes.
Engagement collapses within weeks.

4. LMS Is Treated as an IT Project, Not an Academic System

Ownership is often given to:

- IT teams
- Admin staff
- External vendors

Instead of:

- Academic heads
- Program coordinators

Without academic ownership, the LMS becomes a tool to manage files, not learning.

Dashboards exist, but no one is accountable for what they show. Most LMS failures occur **3–6 months after go-live**, not on day one.

Preventing LMS Failure – A Practical Academic Framework

A. Define Academic Rules Before System Rules

Before LMS rollout, institutions must formally define:

- Attendance rules and consequences
- Assignment submission deadlines
- Assessment eligibility criteria
- Faculty delivery responsibilities

If academic rules are unclear, the LMS will enforce nothing.
Technology cannot compensate for missing governance.

B. Make LMS Data the Basis of Academic Decisions

An LMS becomes effective only when its data drives:

- Student eligibility for exams
- Academic warnings and interventions
- Faculty performance reviews
- Course continuation or redesign decisions

When decisions happen outside the LMS, usage drops and data quality collapses.

.

C. Reduce Manual Work Before Demanding Adoption

Faculty resistance usually comes from one issue: added workload.

Successful LMS implementations:

- Eliminate manual attendance registers
- Replace email and WhatsApp follow-ups
- Automate grading where possible
- Centralize content reuse

If the LMS removes friction, adoption follows naturally.

D. Assign Academic Ownership, Not IT Ownership

The LMS must be owned by:

- Academic heads
- Program coordinators
- Curriculum committees

IT supports the system.
Academics control the rules.

Without academic ownership, LMS usage becomes symbolic.

What an Education-Focused LMS Must Actually Control ?

1. **A functional LMS is not defined by features, but by what it controls.**

A real education LMS must control:

- Who can attend classes
- Who can access assessments
- When submissions are allowed
- How performance is evaluated
- What data management sees

Visibility without control is meaningless.

2. **Core LMS Capabilities That Matter**
- Course and batch structure enforcement
- Attendance-to-assessment linkage
- Assignment deadline automation
- Faculty delivery tracking
- Student engagement analytics
- Academic performance dashboards
- Management-level compliance reporting

Anything that does not affect behavior is optional and optional systems fail.

How a Structured LMS Changes Institutional Outcomes ?

Institutions that run LMS as a **control system** experience:

- Higher student discipline without manual policing
- Faster faculty response times
- Fewer parent escalations
- Clear academic performance visibility
- Predictable academic operations

Learning becomes **system-driven**, not personality-driven.

Executive Summary

Educational institutions do not fail due to lack of intent or effort. They fail because learning operations are **not managed as a system**.

An LMS succeeds only when:

- Academic authority is enforced through data
- Faculty incentives align with usage
- Student accountability has consequences
- Governance continues after go-live

When these conditions exist, the LMS stops being software and becomes **academic infrastructure**.

If your LMS:

- Exists but does not influence decisions
- Shows data that no one acts on
- Is bypassed during pressure periods

Then you do not have a learning system.
 You have documentation.

A properly governed LMS does not motivate learning , it **makes learning unavoidable**.