

**THE LONG-TERM STOCK EXCHANGE
NOTICE OF ACCEPTANCE OF AWC**

Via Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested (9314 8699 0430 0145 5297 50), First Class Mail and Email (William.Barbera@srz.com)

TO: David Sieradzki, General Counsel – Americas
Instinet, LLC
c/o William Barbera, Esq.
McDermott Will & Schulte LLP
919 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022

FROM: Long-Term Stock Exchange (“LTSE”)
c/o Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (“FINRA”)
Department of Enforcement
9509 Key West Avenue
Rockville, MD 20850

DATE: February 3, 2026

RE: Acceptance of Letter of Acceptance, Waiver, and Consent (AWC)
Instinet, LLC, CRD No. 787, Matter No. 2019063047817

Please be advised that your above-referenced Letter of Acceptance, Waiver and Consent (“AWC”) has been accepted on **February 3, 2026** by the Office of Disciplinary Affairs on behalf of the LTSE Appeals Committee, pursuant to LTSE Rule 9.216. A copy of the AWC is enclosed herewith.

You are again reminded of your obligation, if currently registered, immediately to update your Uniform Application for Broker-Dealer Registration (“Form BD”) to reflect the conclusion of this disciplinary action. Additionally, you must also notify FINRA (or LTSE if you are not a member of FINRA) in writing of any change of address or other changes required to be made to your Form BD.

You are reminded of your obligation to comply with the undertaking in the AWC.

You will be notified by the Registration and Disclosure Department regarding sanctions if a suspension has been imposed and by LTSE’s Finance Department regarding the payment of any fine if a fine has been imposed.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please call Luis A. Prieto, Senior Counsel, at 301-258-8502.

Luis A. Prieto
Luis A. Prieto
Senior Counsel
Barred in DC and MD
Department of Enforcement, FINRA

Signed on behalf of LTSE

Enclosure

**LONG-TERM STOCK EXCHANGE
LETTER OF ACCEPTANCE, WAIVER AND CONSENT
NO. 2019063047817**

TO: Long-Term Stock Exchange
c/o Department of Enforcement
Financial Industry Regulatory Authority ("FINRA")

RE: Instinet, LLC, Respondent
Broker-Dealer
CRD No. 7897

Pursuant to Rule 9.216 of the Long-Term Stock Exchange ("LTSE") Code of Procedure, Instinet, LLC (the "firm") submits this Letter of Acceptance, Waiver and Consent ("AWC") for the purpose of proposing a settlement of the alleged rule violations described below. This AWC is submitted on the condition that, if accepted, LTSE will not bring any future actions against the firm alleging violations based on the same factual findings described herein.

I.

ACCEPTANCE AND CONSENT

- A. The firm hereby accepts and consents, without admitting or denying the findings, and solely for the purposes of this proceeding and any other proceeding brought by or on behalf of LTSE, or to which LTSE is a party, prior to a hearing and without an adjudication of any issue of law or fact, to the entry of the following findings by LTSE:

BACKGROUND

1. Instinet has been a member of the LTSE since April 2020 and a member of FINRA since January 1980, and its registrations remain in effect. The firm is headquartered in New York, NY, and employs over 140 registered representatives across nine branch offices. Instinet provides market access, trading support, and execution services to institutional customers.

RELEVANT PRIOR DISCIPLINARY HISTORY

2. In Matter No. 20130368360 (April 2018), Instinet consented to a censure, a cross-market fine of \$1,575,000, and an undertaking related to market access deficiencies for violations of self-regulatory organizations' ("SROs") respective supervision rules, including FINRA Rules 3110 and 2010, and Section 15(c)(3) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act"), and Exchange Act Rule 15c3-5.¹ Among

¹ Matter No. 20130368360 was brought on behalf of: FINRA, Nasdaq BX, Inc. ("BX"), Nasdaq PHLX LLC ("Phlx"), The Nasdaq Options Market LLC, Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc. ("BZX"), Cboe BYX Exchange, Inc. ("BYX"), Cboe EDGA Exchange, Inc. ("EDGA"), Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc. ("EDGX"), the New York Stock Exchange LLC ("NYSE"), NYSE Arca Options, Inc., NYSE Arca Equities, Inc. ("NYSE Arca"), NYSE American

other findings, the SROs found that Instinet's supervisory system, including its written supervisory procedures ("WSPs"), was not reasonably designed to identify potentially manipulative trading by its clients.

SUMMARY

3. From at least April 2020 through the present, Instinet violated LTSE Rules 5.110(a) and 5.110(b) by failing to establish, maintain, and enforce a supervisory system, including WSPs, that was reasonably designed to detect and investigate potentially manipulative trading by its clients. Consent to a censure and a \$53,029 fine (resolved simultaneously with similar matters for a total fine of \$1,200,000).²

FACTS AND VIOLATIVE CONDUCT

4. LTSE Rule 5.110(a) requires each member organization to "establish and maintain a system to supervise the activities of each associated person that is reasonably designed to achieve compliance with applicable securities laws and regulations, and with applicable LTSE rules."
5. LTSE Rule 5.110(b) requires each member organization to "establish, maintain, and enforce written procedures to supervise the types of business in which it engages and the activities of its associated persons that are reasonably designed to achieve compliance with applicable securities laws and regulations, and with applicable LTSE rules."

Instinet's Business and Surveillance Model

6. From at least April 2020 through the present, Instinet provided market access to domestic and foreign institutional clients and broker-dealers, some of which had multiple authorized traders.
7. Instinet used vendor-provided and proprietary systems to surveil for potentially manipulative trading by clients. The systems had some surveillance overlap (e.g., each of the systems surveilled for wash trades), but each also provided unique surveillances, and in some cases, addressed different types of order flow.

Instinet failed to reasonably supervise for potentially manipulative trading.

Instinet did not reasonably surveil for certain forms of manipulation.

Equities LLC ("NYSE American"), NYSE American Options LLC, BOX Options Exchange LLC, and Investors Exchange LLC ("IEX").

² The remaining of the fine will be paid to FINRA, BZX, BYX, EDGA, EDGX, IEX, MEMX LLC, MIAX PEARL Equities, LLC, the Nasdaq Stock Market LLC, BX, PHLX, NYSE, NYSE Arca, NYSE American, NYSE Chicago, Inc., and NYSE National, Inc.

8. From at least April 2020 through the present, Instinet's surveillance systems were not reasonably designed to supervise for potential manipulative trading.
9. First, from April 2020 through July 2025, Instinet's surveillance for potentially manipulative trading during the pre-market was unreasonable. Instinet only surveilled for potential pre-market spoofing activity by two clients. Instinet excluded from its pre-market spoofing review the activity of its other clients and did not surveil for any other type of potentially manipulative trading during the pre-market.
10. Second, Instinet implemented marking the close surveillances that included unreasonable parameters at various times during the review period. For example, the firm's marking the close surveillances failed to reasonably account for scenarios where a trader or client entered a single order with the intent to affect the security's closing price. Although the firm had a control that tried to identify such orders, but it was limited to orders entered only in the final second before market close, which was unreasonable because marking the close can occur before the final second, particularly in less liquid securities.
11. Third, Instinet implemented ramping³ surveillance patterns that were set at unreasonably high thresholds that in certain instances did not consider the fact that ramping could occur with fewer trades.
12. Fourth, Instinet's surveillance for potential wash trading was unreasonable. Prior to January 2021, Instinet's surveillance parameters through one of its proprietary systems only identified potential wash sales if both the buy and sell order were routed to the same market destination. This surveillance pattern was unreasonable because it focused on order routing rather than execution, and therefore it was not designed to detect scenarios where an order is rerouted by one market center to another, which could result in wash trades executed at the same market center.
13. Fifth, from at least April 2020 Instinet's surveillance systems were not reasonably designed to detect potential instances of layering and spoofing. Specifically, since at least April 2020, Instinet's layering surveillance required at least five layered orders to occur within 15 seconds from the start of a layered pattern to trigger an alert. This pattern was unreasonable because layering and spoofing can occur with fewer than five non-bona fide orders over a longer time period.

Instinet failed to reasonably review surveillance alerts.

14. Instinet's review of its surveillance alerts also was not reasonably designed to identify potentially manipulative trading activity. From at least April 2020 through July 2023, Instinet failed to reasonably supervise first-level reviewers who closed substantially all of the pre-market spoofing alerts with a disposition of no further action. However, the first-level reviewers had not conducted a substantive review of the alerts. Because

³ Ramping involves trading practices designed to artificially increase or decrease the price of a security, by creating a false impression of trading interest.

the first-level reviewers marked the alerts with a disposition of no further action, the firm's second-level reviewers also did not review the alerts. As a result, the firm failed to reasonably review 98 percent of the pre-market spoofing alerts during this period.

15. Additionally, Instinet failed to have reasonably designed WSPs regarding the appropriate timeframes to complete its supervisory reviews for its surveillance alerts. The firm's WSPs stated that alerts needed to be resolved in a "timely manner," but did not provide guidance about what constituted a timely review of surveillance alerts.
16. Relatedly, Instinet failed to timely perform second-level reviews of thousands of other alerts due to insufficient staffing in the firm's sales and trading supervision department. The firm generated a large volume of alerts but had few individuals to perform second-level reviews of those alerts and, consequently, had significant delays in reviewing alerts. For example, firm records reflect delays of more than 60 business days in the resolution of certain second-level reviews regarding potentially manipulative trading activities.
17. Further, Instinet's process of tracking clients' authorized traders that had been terminated by Instinet for engaging in potentially manipulative or suspicious trading activity was not reasonable because Instinet did not have a reasonable process for confirming such authorized traders' access to Instinet had been terminated. Additionally, through at least May 2022, the firm did not consider the alerts generated by each of its clients in the aggregate to evaluate the client's overall trading activity.

Instinet failed to reasonably supervise clients placed on heightened surveillance.

18. From at least April 2020 through the present, Instinet recognized that certain clients presented a degree of heightened risk and placed two clients on what it called "heightened surveillance." The firm's WSPs, however, did not explain the criteria or process the firm used for assigning such a risk rating or for placing a client on heightened surveillance, including how such designations were to be considered when conducting surveillance reviews of the client. Instinet also maintained no documentation supporting its analysis for why these clients presented heightened risk. Moreover, Instinet did not inform its first-level reviewers that the two clients had been placed on heightened surveillance, which would have been important for the reviewers to consider when reviewing the clients' trading activity.
19. Instinet requested that two clients restrict their trading activity generally to securities meeting designated criteria, as both clients had generated a high volume of alerts for potentially manipulative trading activity. Instinet relied on the two clients to implement those restrictions and did not take reasonable steps to ensure that the restrictions were properly implemented.

Instinet's WSPs were not reasonably designed.

20. Although the firm maintained WSPs from at least April 2020 through the present that described the firm's surveillance and reviews, the WSPs and the firm's enforcement of them was unreasonable for several reasons. Instinet's WSPs relating to its surveillance for manipulation were inaccurate or incomplete. For example, from January 2021 through October 2023, Instinet incorrectly listed the parameters of an alert in another system as the parameters for a different alert type in multiple versions of its WSPs. Instinet learned of this error only after a regulator alerted the firm to it.

21. For these reasons, Instinet violated LTSE Rules 5.110(a) and 5.110(b).

B. The firm also consents to the imposition of the following sanctions:

Censure, a total monetary fine in the amount of \$1,200,000, of which \$53,029 is allocated to LTSE,⁴ and an undertaking that, within 120 days of the date of the notice of acceptance of this AWC, a member of Instinet's senior management who is a registered principal of the firm shall certify in writing that, as of the date of the certification, the firm has remediated the issues identified in this AWC and implemented a supervisory system, including written supervisory procedures, reasonably designed to achieve compliance with applicable rules. The certification shall include a narrative description and supporting exhibits sufficient to demonstrate Instinet's remediation and implementation. LTSE staff may request further evidence of Instinet's remediation and implementation, and Instinet agrees to provide such evidence. Instinet shall submit the certification to Luis A. Prieto, Senior Counsel, Luis.Prieto@finra.org, with a copy to EnforcementNotice@finra.org. Upon written request showing good cause, LTSE staff may extend this deadline.

The firm agrees to pay the monetary sanction upon notice that this AWC has been accepted and that such payment is due and payable. It has submitted a Payment Information form showing the method by which it proposes to pay the fine imposed. The firm specifically and voluntarily waives any right to claim that it is unable to pay, now or at any time hereafter, the monetary sanction imposed in this matter.

The sanctions imposed herein shall be effective on a date set by LTSE staff.

II.

WAIVER OF PROCEDURAL RIGHTS

The firm specifically and voluntarily waives the following rights granted under LTSE's Code of

⁴ The remaining of the fine will be paid to FINRA, BZX, BYX, EDGA, EDGX, IEX, MEMX LLC, MIAx PEARL Equities, LLC, the Nasdaq Stock Market LLC, BX, PHLX, NYSE, NYSE Arca, NYSE American, NYSE Chicago, Inc., and NYSE National, Inc.

Procedure:

- A. To have a Formal Complaint issued specifying the allegations against the firm;
- B. To be notified of the Formal Complaint and have the opportunity to answer the allegations in writing;
- C. To defend against the allegations in a disciplinary hearing before a hearing panel, to have a written record of the hearing made and to have a written decision issued; and
- D. To appeal any such decision to the LTSE Appeals Committee and then to the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission and a U.S. Court of Appeals.

Further, the firm specifically and voluntarily waives any right to claim bias or prejudgment of the General Counsel, CRO, the LTSE Appeals Committee, or any Member of the LTSE Appeals Committee, in connection with such person's or body's participation in discussions regarding the terms and conditions of this AWC, or other consideration of this AWC, including acceptance or rejection of this AWC.

The firm further specifically and voluntarily waives any right to claim that a person violated the ex parte prohibitions of LTSE Rule 9.143 or the separation of functions prohibitions of LTSE Rule 9.144, in connection with such person's or body's participation in discussions regarding the terms and conditions of this AWC, or other consideration of this AWC, including its acceptance or rejection.

III.

OTHER MATTERS

The firm understands that:

- A. Submission of this AWC is voluntary and will not resolve this matter unless and until it has been reviewed and accepted by FINRA's Department of Enforcement, on behalf of LTSE, and the Office of Disciplinary Affairs ("ODA"), pursuant to LTSE Rule 9.216;
- B. If this AWC is not accepted, its submission will not be used as evidence to prove any of the allegations against the firm; and
- C. If accepted:
 - 1. This AWC will become part of the firm's permanent disciplinary record and may be considered in any future actions brought by LTSE or any other regulator against the firm;
 - 2. LTSE may release this AWC or make a public announcement concerning this agreement and the subject matter thereof in accordance with LTSE

Rule 8.340; and

3. The firm may not take any action or make or permit to be made any public statement, including in regulatory filings or otherwise, denying, directly or indirectly, any finding in this AWC or create the impression that the AWC is without factual basis. The firm may not take any position in any proceeding brought by or on behalf of LTSE, or to which LTSE is a party, that is inconsistent with any part of this AWC. Nothing in this provision affects the firm's right to take legal or factual positions in litigation or other legal proceedings in which LTSE is not a party.

- D. The firm may attach a Corrective Action Statement to this AWC that is a statement of demonstrable corrective steps taken to prevent future misconduct. The firm understands that it may not deny the charges or make any statement that is inconsistent with the AWC in this Statement. This Statement does not constitute factual or legal findings by LTSE, nor does it reflect the views of LTSE or its staff.

The undersigned, on behalf of the firm, certifies that a person duly authorized to act on its behalf has read and understands all of the provisions of this AWC and has been given a full opportunity to ask questions about it; that it has agreed to the AWC's provisions voluntarily; and that no offer, threat, inducement, or promise of any kind, other than the terms set forth herein and the prospect of avoiding the issuance of a Complaint, has been made to induce the firm to submit it.

January 23, 2026

Date

Instinet, LLC
Respondent

By: David Sieradzki

Name: David Sieradzki

Title: General Counsel - Americas

Reviewed by:

William Barbera
William J. Barbera, Esq.
Counsel for Respondent
McDermott Will & Schulte LLP
919 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022
212-756-2521

Accepted by LTSE:

February 3, 2026

Date

Luis A. Prieto

Luis A. Prieto
Senior Counsel
Barred in DC and MD
FINRA, Department of Enforcement

Signed on behalf of LTSE, by delegated
authority from the Director of ODA

PAYMENT INFORMATION

The fine amount will be reflected on an upcoming invoice and will be direct debited from the account for your firm that LTSE currently has on file. ***Please DO NOT submit payment at this time.***

Please inform your finance or applicable department of this forthcoming debit. If you need to arrange for an alternative method of payment, please contact LTSE at billing@longtermstockexchange.com.

Respectfully submitted,

Respondent

Instinet, LLC

January 23, 2026
Date

By: David Sieradzki

Name: David Sieradzki

Title: General Counsel - Americas