

**LEAH**



## **AI That Executes vs. AI That Assists: The Next Evolution of Legal Technology**

---



**Traditional AI agents assist.  
Orchestrator agents execute.  
Discover how orchestrator agents are transforming  
legal workflows from reactive automation to intelligent,  
end-to-end execution.**

The legal industry has witnessed a remarkable transformation over the past decade, with artificial intelligence evolving from simple rule-based systems to sophisticated tools capable of handling complex legal tasks. A new paradigm is emerging: orchestrator agents. These advanced AI systems represent a significant leap beyond traditional AI applications, offering unprecedented capabilities for legal professionals. Gartner predicts that by 2028, 33% of enterprise software applications will integrate agentic AI, a substantial increase from less than 1% in 2024.

While traditional AI tools have already revolutionized document review, contract analysis, and legal research, orchestrators are redefining what's possible by executing multiple AI systems simultaneously, making autonomous decisions, and continuously learning from their interactions. This shift isn't merely incremental—it represents a fundamental change in how technology supports legal work.

For legal departments and law firms navigating this rapidly evolving landscape, understanding the distinction between traditional AI and orchestrators is crucial for making informed technology investments and staying competitive in an increasingly tech-driven legal environment.

# Table of Contents

---

Introduction	2
Understanding Traditional AI in Legal Applications	4
Introducing Orchestrators in Legal Technology	6
Advantages of Orchestrators in Legal Workflows	8
The Technical Architecture Behind Legal Orchestrators	10
Challenges and Considerations	11
Future Outlook	13

---

# Understanding traditional AI in legal applications

Traditional AI systems in legal settings typically fall into several established categories that have become familiar fixtures in modern law practice:

---

## Document Review and Analysis

Traditional AI excels at reviewing contracts and legal documents to identify specific clauses, potential risks, or anomalies. These systems use natural language processing (NLP) and machine learning algorithms trained on legal text to recognize patterns and extract relevant information. However, this traditional NLP method requires significantly more resources and time for both the initial training and ongoing maintenance based on variations of language that will present over time. With GenAI, the increased true contextual understanding of language goes beyond similarity and keyword matching—which speeds up training time and also simplifies to the point of democratization in the sense that you don't need to be a machine learning expert to train it, and it's more a case of prompt engineering.

---

## Legal Research Assistants

AI-powered research tools can search vast databases of case law, statutes, and legal opinions to find relevant precedents and authorities. These systems typically rely on keyword matching, semantic analysis, and citation networks to retrieve pertinent information.

---

## Contract Management

Traditional AI-powered contract management systems automate the contract lifecycle, from creation to execution and renewal. They can generate standard contracts from templates, flag important dates, and provide basic analytics on contract portfolios.

---

## Predictive Analytics

These tools analyze historical case data to predict litigation outcomes, settlement values, or judicial tendencies. They typically use statistical models and machine learning algorithms to identify patterns in previous cases.



# Limitations of Traditional AI in Legal Applications

Despite their value, traditional AI systems face significant constraints:

Narrow  
Functionality:

- Each system typically performs a single function well but operates in isolation from other tools

Limited Context  
Understanding:

- Traditional AI often struggles with nuanced legal language and complex reasoning

Static  
Knowledge Base:

- Most systems require manual updates to incorporate new legal developments

Human Oversight  
Requirements

- Substantial human review remains necessary to validate AI outputs and handle exceptions

Human Oversight  
Requirements

- Substantial human review remains necessary to validate AI outputs and handle exceptions



“ AI Orchestrators represent not just an evolution but a revolution in legal technology—shifting from isolated AI tools to intelligent systems that understand context, make autonomous decisions, and continuously learn.”

NARESH JOSHI Chief Architect, Leah