

2026-08-18 Grid Innovations Facility and Testing Provider Informational Webinar Transcript

Christi Pezzone 0:08

Good afternoon, everyone. We're going to get started here in just a couple of minutes. I want to go ahead and let everybody come in from the lobby so we don't get interrupted by those beeps every couple of seconds. So if you all can just hold tight for a couple of minutes, then we'll go ahead and get started. Thank you.

All right, we're going to go ahead and get started. Good afternoon again, everyone, and welcome to our informational webinar for the Grid Innovations Facility and Testing Provider Opportunity. My name is Christi Pezzone. I am the Deputy Director here at EnergyWerx. We wanted to go over a couple of housekeeping things before we get started.

First of all, AI bots are not permitted. We will not let them in from the lobby. If they do somehow manage to slide in, we're going to go ahead and remove them from the meeting. Next slide, please.

This is an information session. There will be some time at the end to address some questions after a briefing from the program office on Voucher 12. The Slido information is on your screen. You can either click the link that is in the Teams chat, you could scan the QR code below, or you can go to slido.com and enter code VO12IW1.

Slido has an option where you can go ahead and upvote other questions that people have asked. So if you see that somebody has asked the same question that you want to ask, just go ahead and thumbs up it. That will move it to the top of the list. And then we can go ahead and address those questions in the order that they come in based on their popularity with everybody who is attending.

The [Q&A will be posted](#) once DOE has had time to review. That will be probably in about a week. We will also have [this recording](#) posted. We will have [the transcript](#) posted. That should be done by tomorrow morning. If any of your colleagues or anyone were unable to attend and are interested, or you want to revisit anything that

was covered in the webinar today, you can go ahead and go to the [opportunity page](#) and find those links there.

I wanted to go over a couple of upcoming key dates that are important. Applications do close on **Tuesday, September 22nd at 3:00 PM Eastern Time**. We do have a second webinar coming up on **Tuesday, September 8th at 3:00 PM Eastern Time**. We're hoping that you have some time at that point to get into the application, see if you have any specific questions that you'd like answered at that time. We'll still be going over the program a little bit more briefly than we do today. And then we will move on to mostly a Q&A portion for all of the attendees. If you have any application issues, please reach out to Info@energywerx.org. We can go ahead and walk you through any problems that you're having, work with our close support on the Submittable side to get any issues resolved so that you're able to submit. That e-mail address is also in the chat. Moving on to the overview portion of the webinar, I'll be turning it over to Jordan Moore from the Office of Electricity. Tessa, you can go ahead and move on to the next slide and Jordan, take it away.

Moree, Jordan 4:02

Hey, thanks, Christi. My name is Jordan Moree. I'm with the Office of Electricity at the Department of Energy, and today I'd like to talk to you about the Grid Innovations Facility and Testing Voucher opportunity funded by the Applied Grid Transformation Solutions Program. Next slide, please.

A little about the Office of Electricity. OE leads DOE efforts to ensure the affordability, reliability, and security of the US electric grid. OE works in close partnership with states, tribes, territories, industry, national labs, and other energy sector stakeholders to achieve these goals. The mission of OE is to stabilize the grid by addressing the immediate acute conditions that strain reliability, optimize the grid by enhancing performance and strengthening grid security, and grow the grid by enabling innovation and advancing new technologies and building capacity for a future-ready electricity system. You can find the OE Strategic Plan online for more information. Next slide, please.

The Applied Grid Transformation Solutions Program focuses on improving the commercialization and adoption of grid technologies. We do this by developing

tools and methods for technologies to bridge the so-called technological valleys of death around scale-up and the demonstration phase on the way to full deployment.

The 3 main focus areas of the program are the Transformation Toolkit, which provides grid stakeholders with knowledge and tools to improve their own technology adoption practices. The Testbed Network, this focuses on promoting and assisting facilities to conduct stress testing and evaluation of promising technologies that will provide data necessary to inform grid stakeholders. The intention is to include successful provider applicants from this voucher in our online test bed inventory to improve the awareness of testing capabilities in the US. Lastly, the strategic pilots and evaluation focus area here we work with industry stakeholders to assess pilot demonstrations with the goal that these pilots will provide the necessary data and insight into a technology's merit to prove it across a range of operational conditions and not require separate entities to conduct their own pilot of the same technology. The goal for this is to speed up widespread adoption after the pilot phase is complete. Do you want to go to the next slide, please?

We've received feedback from industry that there is both a gap in available testing capabilities in the US and a knowledge gap among technology developers on where the existing facilities are located and how to access them. The objective of this voucher is to educate domestic grid technology developers on where and how to test their products in the US to promote widespread adoption and to assist developers of promising grid technologies that are capable of improving affordability, reliability, or security of the grid to get the appropriate testing to meet industry standards and certifications. Next slide, please.

Next, we'd like to talk to you about the voucher process.

Today, we're in the first phase, the open window for providers to apply to the opportunity. This will be followed by the open window for the recipients to apply. At that point, DOE will make selections and do some matchmaking between providers and recipients. More information on all this will be provided in later slides.

And then the last phase of the given project is where the actual work itself will be done at the successful providers facility. Next slide, please.

Some clarification on terms. Providers are facilities with a relevant testing capability that can be provided as a service. Looking for national labs, federal, private, or university labs. Recipients are technology developers that have a relevant pre-commercial product that needs validating or testing. Next slide, please.

And if I could put all the words I've said to this point into a picture, it would look something like this. We're in the top left corner. Providers apply with applicable information about their capability to DOE for review. DOE reviews, selects, and creates a capability catalog. One note is that selection at this point does not guarantee a voucher will be awarded to any particular provider. The recipients will then apply for specific capabilities based on what is in the capability catalog that DOE compiles, which is another process I'll describe in a minute. The capabilities of interest today are grid simulation and controlled testing, which integrates real hardware or controllers with simulated or representative power system environments to validate dynamic behavior, control algorithms, and interactions in a safe, controlled setting. Electrical performance testing, which verifies the equipment's ability to handle electrical stresses and faults, including tests that demonstrate insulation integrity. Mechanical performance testing, which evaluates physical robustness and ability to endure mechanical stresses encountered in service.

Environmental stress and accelerated aging testing exposes equipment to harsh environmental conditions to confirm long-term reliability and performance. Security testing assesses protections against unauthorized access, cyber threats, terrorist attacks, and physical tampering. Material characterization and failure analysis involves detailed examination of material properties and other techniques to understand failure modes and degradation mechanisms of power system equipment. The next step is the open window for recipients who apply based on the capabilities catalog. Recipients, once all applications are received, DOE will review the recipients based on the posted review criteria and then perform matchmaking based on recipient preferences and available providers. Once payers are finalized and agreements are signed, DOE will provide funding to the providers for services rendered to the recipients based on the milestones scheduled discussed later. Next slide, please.

Transmission and distribution system technologies in this context are technologies found anywhere on the electric grid from generation to the customer meter. These technologies can include new power electronic hardware, control and protection equipment, and grid automation and AI applications, just to mention a few.

One note, energy storage technologies are not included in this opportunity as there have been previous vouchers and other funding opportunities from other programs within the Office of Electricity. Next slide.

Testing capabilities must involve physical testing in a purpose-built environment and may include modeling, simulation, analysis, or other software services if they're paired with an eligible physical testing service. This is kind of a lot of text, this list in subcategories. These can all be found with descriptions in the project [program rules](#) document located on the EnergyWerx website. But they make up the whole of the specific types of testing capabilities of interest for this particular voucher. Included in this list are grid integration testing, which is an actual grid test bed to confirm compliance with grid codes for interconnection, including response to extreme grid events such as fault ride-through and power quality impacts of large dynamic loads. Electromagnetic compatibility and immunity testing verifies emission limits and immunity to electromagnetic interference, including the high altitude electromagnetic pulse effects. Wildfire resilience are tests to determine a component's likelihood to cause a wildfire or its ability to withstand wildfire conditions. We're happy to field any other questions at the end about any of these tests, but again, more information is included on the webpage. Next slide, please.

The technology readiness level of interest for this voucher is focused on pre-commercial technologies from TRL 6 to TRL 8. These technologies are technologies that need to be demonstrated at some level in the relevant environment. Next slide, please.

Yep, testing activities will need to be completed within one year of the start of the contract. This includes all four milestones discussed on a later slide. This should be considered if your facility has a long backlog of customers and may not be able to complete the testing inside the required window. Testing activities should fit within

the voucher maximum amount and cannot include the build out of new facilities or significant modification of existing facilities. We are only interested in applicants who already have the existing infrastructure required to complete the proposed testing. Next slide, please.

Yeah, this slide is a summary of some of the details we've discussed. We are interested in capabilities to evaluate technologies that improve the reliability, resilience, security, and affordability of the nation's electricity delivery infrastructure. Based on available funding, we anticipate between 16 and 20 awards vouchers being awarded.

And the range of prices listed in the [program rules](#) is a range. Each voucher awarded will be for the value you've listed for a given capability. Yeah, and next slide.

Now a look at the timeline. We are currently in the open window for provider applications. We have an office hour webinar, as previously mentioned, on September the 8th, and the last day for applications is September the 22nd. Then sometime in October, November timeframe, we will finalize selections for providers to be included in the capability catalog and then we'll open for recipients and make final selections and matchmaking in early 2027. Next slide, please.

So these are the milestones we've been mentioning. So in the course of one year from the start of the contract, the first milestone is a memorandum submitted to DOE verifying all the requisite agreements between the voucher provider and the recipients are completed. So this is a document that gets signed by both the provider and the recipient.

Milestone 2 will be a test plan document that is submitted describing the scope and schedule for the plan testing activities.

Milestone 3 is a testing progress update presentation submitted containing a status description of testing activities and any relevant images. A virtual meeting can also be requested by DOE.

Milestone 4 is the submission of a project summary report containing a summary of project objectives, methods, test procedures, equipment, images, results, discussion, and attachments with as long as any relevant testing data to be submitted to DOE. Next slide, please.

So the next steps, if you're interested in this voucher opportunity, is to apply on the Submittable platform found in the link at the EnergyWerx website. We have fields to describe the capability, the facilities, and the cost of services. We highly recommend providing enough information that a potential recipient will be able to get an understanding of your facility.

Some recipients may be learning about your facility and capabilities for the first time, and they need all that information. And while it's optional, providing any kind of test report or example work product can go a long way in providing an understanding on what the recipient will get out of this opportunity. So I always recommend including that with your application. Next slide, please.

The review criteria for the provider applications can be found in the [program rules](#) on the website. But summarized here, we are interested in capabilities that equipment developers are actively looking for and that potential end users will require prior to adoption.

Each application should be a discrete capability and should be described in detail. You are able to fill out multiple applications, but each time you apply, it's a specific test capability that you're offering as a service. Applicants should demonstrate that they have the experience and expertise to carry out the proposed testing capability. Any background information you can include there that will be very helpful. And for the price justification, we're looking for reasonable market value of a given test. Just because we've listed a maximum voucher value doesn't mean that every capability will cost the maximum value.

With that, I'll pass it back to EnergyWerx to share some more information and get us started with questions. Thank you.

Perfect. Thank you so much, Jordan. We're going to give Tessa just a quick second to pull up Slido. Again, please enter your questions on slido.com using the code VO12IW1, or you can follow the link that is in the Teams chat.

Tessa Bryan 18:25

Alrighty.

Our first question here is, for a university provider for field testing on existing energized distribution lines, can the cost cover measurement and data acquisition and installation labor?

Christi Pezzone 18:42

Real quick, Tessa, we can't see the screen.

Tessa Bryan 18:55

Would you like me to read it one more time? Okay, perfect. **For a university provider for field testing on existing energized distribution lines, can the cost cover measurement and data acquisition and installation labor?**

Christi Pezzone 18:56

So the cost that you should submit should be what is needed in order for you to perform the capability that you are submitting. And I'll let Jordan go ahead and jump in.

Moree, Jordan 19:26

Yeah, no, I agree with that answer. Yeah, it's whatever testing you're proposing, whatever is required to complete that test would be covered by the voucher. Maybe my counter question to this would be, is it an actual test bed? That's a key requirement. I'm not sure if it's just the university, power grid - that would be maybe a separate issue.

Cheung, Kerry 19:56

Yeah, I would think I would just chime in. I think we're looking for sort of repeatable test capabilities that can be sort of offered for potential recipients. And so there should be sort of at least a established baseline for what is needed to perform that

test repeatedly. And so if there's costs associated with instrumentation needed, that should be included in your application.

Tessa Bryan 20:28

Does 16 to 20 vouchers mean there will be 16 to 20 recipients selected?

Moree, Jordan 20:37

Yeah, I just, so yeah, for the voucher, that's 16 to 20 individual vouchers, which would be a provider recipient pair.

Tessa Bryan 20:51

Thank you.

Will national laboratories who are FFRDCs be funded via an AOP or an IAG?

Cheung, Kerry 21:08

I think for this question, I think it's in the rules document. If it's a DOE national lab, it will be through a standard like AOP. If it's a non DOE FFRDC, I think it's a...

I forgot what the other contract mechanism is.

Tessa Bryan 21:34

All right. As a national lab, we may have many facility and capabilities we would like to provide. Is the expectation we submit an application for each one for our lab?

Moree, Jordan 21:42

Yeah.

Christi Pezzone 21:47

So you should submit an application for each of your capabilities. It should not be, each application is just for the one capability. I don't know, Jordan, Kerry, if you want to limit how many capabilities each potential provider can submit.

Cheung, Kerry 22:11

I would say at this point, I don't think we would limit it. It's just thinking through the capabilities that you do have at a national lab. If you have the capacity, the staffing,

the bandwidth to do it, and you think these are your sort of standout capabilities, and sort of I would encourage prioritizing those versus listing the mall, but you are free to list all the capabilities if you think they are valuable for our awareness.

Tessa Bryan 22:47

Is cybersecurity vulnerability analysis for IED a valid provider capability?

Christi Pezzone 22:56

So unfortunately, we're not able to answer questions today about specific capabilities, whether or not they would be something that DOE is interested in. We recommend that you review the [program rules](#) and the [opportunity page](#), I think a link to that was dropped in the chat, and review the eligibility criteria and see if, based on all of that, if you would qualify as a provider for this opportunity.

Tessa Bryan 23:31

How many vouchers can one company get? Is there a limit?

Moree, Jordan 23:40

So, no, this would sort of go into the the matchmaking process. So, you know, being an evaluation of relevant technologies and and what the actual recipients are requesting, but as far as a rule, hard and fast, no, there's not a limit.

Tessa Bryan 24:06

All right, thank you for having this call. Can the cost cover funding for a new advanced tensile testing equipment that will support research and development for large corporations?

Christi Pezzone 24:19

So I believe that we covered this earlier. We can't answer questions about what the specific funding can be used for. I think that the program office provided a little bit more information when they responded to that question, but we can't answer questions about specific capabilities or a specific cost to to let you know if it would be covered under this. Jordan, Kerry, anything else that you wanted to add?

Cheung, Kerry 24:44

In going back to the [program rules](#) for what Jordan presented, the voucher is not meant to enhance new capabilities. You have to already have these capabilities.

Tessa Bryan 25:02

Are university faculty eligible to apply as recipients technology developers?

Cheung, Kerry 25:15

I would say that would probably be for the next one, the recipient round, but in general, if there is a technology that you have that is at TRL 6, then it would be in scope.

Tessa Bryan 25:33

Will geographic distribution and accessibility of services be considered when evaluating voucher providers?

Moree, Jordan 25:48

When evaluating voucher providers, I'm not sure that that's something we would say is a factor, but again, it would go back to the actual matchmaking process between the vouchers and the providers. It may become a factor then. There's just sort of a process we'll have to go through when we get to that point.

Tessa Bryan 26:12

Do you have a template for the B2B agreement?

Christi Pezzone 26:19

We do not have a template that we share for those. In order to get the template, a couple of things need to happen. First of all, you would have to be selected as a voucher provider. You would have to be matched with a recipient and the provider would have to accept that match with the recipient. At that time, the agreements are sent out to the providers that have successfully been matched and have accepted that match.

Tessa Bryan 26:49

Thank you.

Can this funding cover testing by NRTLs for product certification testing UL?

Christi Pezzone 27:05

So this kind of goes back to my previous answer. We cannot answer questions about what the specific funding will cover. Suggest that you review the rules document and the eligibility criteria. And if you have any questions after reviewing that, we're happy to go ahead and work with DOE to get an answer for you, but we cannot answer specific questions like this or about specific capabilities.

Tessa Bryan 27:35

Thank you.

What is the timeline for the various stages of applications? In particular, when would recipients submit the application?

Moree, Jordan 27:48

Yeah, I think the actual date when the window opens is, you know, TBD, but looking for October, November time frame when that window actually would open for applications.

Tessa Bryan 28:05

What if a project owner recipient need multiple providers to complete one project?

Christi Pezzone 28:16

That shouldn't be an issue. The provider should submit the full breadth of their capability. If they wish to submit a toned down version that is a little less than a full capability, they're more than welcome to do that. Generally, recipients are eligible for one match per round. So there haven't been discussions on future rounds yet, but maybe you as the recipient, you would apply for the capability that you most need or that you would need first and then kind of see how things go from there. You are welcome if you are matched with a provider to continue working with them, even if there are not future rounds of the voucher 12, it would just be kind of on your own to fund that work.

Tessa Bryan 29:16

Can recipients select the provider for their testing? How are you matching those?

Moree, Jordan 29:24

That might be maybe more specific to the recipient round, but as a quick overview, I think it would be during the recipient application, you'll have the capability catalog available to you. And so you would, you know, give preferences on which provider that you're actually applying for or which capability you're actually applying for.

Tessa Bryan 29:50

Can providers get matched more than once?

Moree, Jordan 29:58

Yeah, I'd say it's not a it's not an automatic. Yeah, they're definitely available too, but it again it comes down to that matchmaking process on if they're requested or and then what their availability as far as throughput is, which is one of the fields in your in your application, so, not a rule against it, but yeah, it would depend on the actual matchmaking process.

Tessa Bryan 30:24

Thank you.

Can the voucher be used to pay for testing at a national lab or university or equipment we already have?

Barcia, Felipe 30:36

Yeah, I could jump in here. So this is, I think, more for the recipient side, but the providers who are offering the capability are the ones actually being compensated for that work. So that menu capabilities we provide publicly, as Christi was saying before, or Jordan. And from that selection, that's what will be funded. So if it's specifically testing work, it'll be only funding that capability for that testing work that will be completed.

Christi Pezzone 30:38

Thanks, Felipe.

Barcia, Felipe 31:00

There also isn't a budget certification or normal cost category like you might see in a traditional funding agreement. This is a different kind of mechanism that we'll be using. So it's milestone-based firm fixed payment process. So as milestones are completed by the provider, they'll be paid out. It's kind of the four milestone structure that Jordan was showing before in the presentation earlier, so again, we can't speak to specific capability requirements availability, but I don't think this is applicable right now for this particular webinar.

Tessa Bryan 31:37

Thank you.

Can simulation-based testing be an eligible capability if it's performed without involving a physical test?

Christi Pezzone 31:57

I think this one is safe to answer since it is not about a specific test. So I don't know, Jordan, Kerry, if you...

Cheung, Kerry 32:06

Yeah.

I mean, I guess this is sort of a little nuanced depending on the specifics of the question of how someone's defining a physical test. I think, as Jordan stated in the presentation, it's just, if it's a just pure simulation activity that doesn't have a sort of hardware component, then it would be sort of out of scope. Whereas if it was like simulation prep via hardware in the loop with a real-time digital simulator that evaluates a new relay or something, then yes, that would be in scope. But if it's just like, I want to model, something in a pure like Software simulation, then that would not be in scope.

Christi Pezzone 32:50

Thank you.

Tessa Bryan 32:54

Is grid integration testing of best or best controlled covered by the voucher?

Christi Pezzone 33:01

So again, we are not going to answer questions about what costs specifically can or cannot cover during the webinar.

Tessa Bryan 33:14

What's the rationale for starting with capabilities slash providers? Why not solicit the recipient's needs first?

Barcia, Felipe 33:23

I can maybe jump in here for a little bit of context. So the way that this agreement is structured, the way that this program model structure is meant around indexing around speed, being able to quickly repeat new rounds or quickly provide service for different recipients. When we have this menu available and quickly approved, we're able to get things out quickly and be able to deliver service as quickly as possible. To give you an idea of how quickly we can do this, we've actually had recipients receive support starting two weeks from the selection. So that's allowed because we're able to fund the providers up front rather than having to solicit the recipients negotiate with a new partner and then trying to fund. That way, it makes it a much more complicated process, where with this we can both quickly and in a very scaled fashion. I think we our current record is close to 200 projects under a solicitation, so that's the rationale for this structure.

Tessa Bryan 34:19

Thank you.

Can providers also apply to be recipients?

Barcia, Felipe 34:28

It's rare, but yes, if you meet the criteria for the provider and recipient, that is possible.

Christi Pezzone 34:29

Oh, thanks, Felipe.

Tessa Bryan 34:42

How strictly is the storage exclusion enforced when evaluating broader grid integration capability?

Cheung, Kerry 35:00

So, maybe I would just kind of jump in where, for instance, if it was a test capability to evaluate a microgrid controller where you want to dispatch an asset that a provider already has, then that is okay. But if you're trying to say, I'm looking for test capability to mature my energy storage specific chemistry or some new. Configuration, then that is out of scope.

Tessa Bryan 35:33

Right, it looks like we have a three-parter here.

This one here is first: what additional capabilities within an existing existing test bed covered within this opportunity, like a cost, like cost of a new hardware? Our harmonic filter is in different facilities, data center, commercial, is this program for established products also for technologies that are in test phases.

Barcia, Felipe 36:19

I could maybe jump into the first one. It's the same kind of cost category question that we had mentioned before. We are not allowing large-scale costs like brand new facilities or brand new testing equipment, but for minor costs associated with like retooling, that kind of thing, that's all covered that should be captured in the cost for your specific capability. Anything beyond that would not be in scope. Then I'll pass it back to, I guess, the OE team on this next part.

Moree, Jordan 36:56

Got.

Sort of reading the second line there, I'd say technologies that are still in the testing phase and not yet commercial, I think are definitely in scope. Again, we were pointing to the six and eight, which we've been, you know, characterizing as pre-commercial, so definitely in scope for this.

Tessa Bryan 37:24

Thank you.

In the application, a test facility is required. Are we able to have our manufacturing location under this or would we need a client facility?

Moree, Jordan 37:45

Yeah, sorry, I'm having a little trouble understanding the question, but I would say the provider capability should be the facility that you're, you know, submitting. So if you need another facility to carry out whatever capability you're proposing, you know, I'm not sure that that would work unless you sort of came together under one application. But yeah, typically we see one facility per capability kind of applicants. I don't care if you have any in there.

Anything to add?

Cheung, Kerry 38:16

I guess, reading the question, I think this may be asking about, are we able to use the vector to do sort of, I guess, in-house testing, but...

The intent of this voucher is to actually provide capabilities that are open to the public, broader first. And so if you have in-house capabilities that is open to the public, then at least for this phase, you can sort of submit an application for that. On the recipient end, I think going back to the sort of matchmaking process, there are limitations of, as Felipe mentioned, is like, as long as you meet the requirements, it's possible, but at this point we won't really address this sort of matching discussion until we get to the first phase.

Tessa Bryan 39:11

Thank you.

What is your advice for TRL 4 and 5 companies who are looking for testbed access provided by this program?

Moree, Jordan 39:27

Well, I guess first would be we do have the test bed inventory on our webpage that has varying levels of testing capabilities across the TRL spectrum. So I might start there to look to see what facilities are available. That's helpful, and then there will be,

you know, other, you know, DOE efforts, maybe targeting other areas of the TRL spectrum as well.

Tessa Bryan 40:00

Thank you.

As a NRTL, is it 16 to 20 vouchers for each capability or overall?

Christi Pezzone 40:12

It is overall that 16 to 20 vouchers for the entire opportunity.

Tessa Bryan 40:22

Can you share the full presentation?

Christi Pezzone 40:24

So the [full presentation](#) will be posted on the [opportunity page](#) by tomorrow morning. It'll probably be there by the end of the day today, but definitely by tomorrow first thing.

Tessa Bryan 40:37

I think we know the answer to this, but I will read it. A software venture focused on energy storage actual performance on real-time operations. Resources, sorry, are we eligible for this funding opportunity?

Christi Pezzone 40:55

So yes, we do know the answer. Unfortunately, we cannot answer questions today about whether or not a capability should be submitted or if someone is eligible for the funding opportunity, recommend that you review the rules document and also review the eligibility section of the website.

Tessa Bryan 41:19

Can A recipient select a specific provider based on its own criteria?

Christi Pezzone 41:27

So the recipient can request the specific provider and a specific capability in their application. And we'll get into that a little bit more when we get to the recipient

round. Generally, the recipient application allows you to select up to three and kind of rank them in order of your priority. And then DOE will review your application, decide if you are selected as a recipient and then go back and look at the throughput and the other requests for capabilities and decide matchmaking based on that. So you can request a specific provider and a specific capability, but that does not guarantee that you will be matched with that specific provider.

Tessa Bryan 42:12

Perfect. Thank you.

Christi Pezzone 42:14

And it looks like that is our last question. So I'm going to just run through a couple of closing things while we see if any other questions come in. As we had said earlier, all questions that were asked here today will be provided to DOE so that they then have the opportunity to provide any additional helpful information before we post them on the [opportunity page](#) next week. Please remember that [submissions](#) are due no later than Tuesday, September 22nd, 2026 at 3 P.m. Eastern Time. And in the chat, you will find the following. You'll find the link to the [upcoming webinar](#) on Tuesday, September 8th. Our e-mail address, if you have any additional questions, that is info@energywerx.org. You'll find a link to the [opportunity page](#), links to join our [ecosystem](#), and also follow us on [social media](#). After today, as we have said, we will place the [recording of today's webinar](#) on our [opportunity page](#), as well as a [copy of the slides](#) that were briefed today. It looks like we have no more questions, so I will go ahead and see program of the program office. Jordan, Kerry, did you have anything else that you wanted to add before we closed?

I don't see them coming off mute, so, oh, Jordan, there you are.

Moree, Jordan 43:37

Oh yeah, I guess I just want to say thank you all for joining. I really appreciate the interest and the excitement for this opportunity. And we're very excited to see, you know, what capabilities get submitted in September. So thank you again. Thanks.