



# An Integrated DMPK and Bioanalytical Platform for Comprehensive Characterization of Antibody-Drug Conjugates



Poster No. 1814

Kefeng Gong<sup>1</sup>, Xinhe Feng<sup>1</sup>, Zhengyi Hua<sup>1</sup>, Yanting Ma<sup>1</sup>, Rui Wang<sup>1</sup>, Xiaolong Tu<sup>1</sup>, Luke Yu<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Crown Bioscience, No.6 Beijing West Road, Taicang City, Jiangsu Province, P.R. China <sup>2</sup>Crown Bioscience, Inc., 16550 West Bernardo Drive, Building 5, Suite 525, San Diego, CA 92127, USA

## Introduction

Antibody-drug conjugates (ADCs) represent a transformative class of targeted oncology therapeutics, with their efficacy and safety profiles critically dependent on their pharmacokinetic (PK) behavior and biotransformation *in vivo*. However, their complex structure introduces significant challenges in characterization, necessitating a holistic DMPK strategy to understand their *in vitro* stability, *in vivo* PK and biodistribution, and biotransformation<sup>[1]</sup>. The success of this strategy hinges on the precise quantification of key analytes, including total antibody (Tab), conjugated antibody (ADC), free payload, and the drug-to-antibody ratio (DAR), in diverse biological matrices<sup>[2]</sup>. Here, we present a robust ADC DMPK evaluation platform, which combines integrated *in vitro* drug stability, payload release assessment, and *in vivo* PK/PD studies with a versatile bioanalytical platform, demonstrating its utility through a direct comparison of three clinically relevant ADCs with distinct designs: Trastuzumab Deruxtecan (T-DXd), Trastuzumab Emtansine (T-DM1) and Enfortumab Vedotin (EV). This comprehensive approach is designed to delineate *in vivo* PK behavior and biotransformation pathways, providing critical pharmacology and safety data to de-risk the translational path of ADC candidates from discovery through to preclinical stage<sup>[3]</sup>.

## Methods


For three approved ADCs with distinct linkers and payloads, including T-DXd, EV, and T-DM1, as two types of drug release triggers (T-DXd and EV are cleavable linker, T-DM1 is non-cleavable linker), the *in vitro* stability was evaluated in plasma from multiple species (human, cyno monkey, SD rat, CD-1 mouse) at 37°C over 7-21 days. Samples were collected at 0, 1, 2, 4, 7, 14, 21 days to evaluate payload release evaluated in the corresponding plasma samples. For *in vivo* characterization, PK studies were conducted in three mouse models, including WT mice, hFcRn, hAlb/hFcRn model, following a single intravenous dose (10 mg/kg, N=3) of the three ADCs. To support these studies, a comprehensive bioanalytical toolbox was employed: Ligand Binding Assays (LBA) for Tab and ADC quantification, LC-MS/MS for sensitive free payload measurement (LLOQ: 10-50 pg/mL), and hybrid immunocapture LC-HRMS/LC-MS/MS for determining average DAR value and monitoring biotransformation.

## Results


T-DXd, with a cleavable peptide linker and high baseline DAR (~7.6), demonstrated high stability *in vitro*, showing less than a 40% DAR decrease (to ~4.4) over 7 days (Figure 3A) and minimal free DXd release (<2% across species) (Table 1). Its *in vivo* DAR gradually declined to ~5.8 by Day 5 (Figure 3B), with highly overlapped PK curves for Tab and conjugated ADC (Figure 1A). EV, incorporating a protease-cleavable linker and a baseline DAR of ~3.5, exhibited faster degradation *in vitro*, with DAR dropping to ~1.6 (Figure 3C) and significant MMAE release (37.4% at Day 21 in mouse plasma) (Table 1). The high release in mice is likely due to plasma esterase cleavage of the Val-Cit linker, whereas human/monkey/rat lack this enzyme activity, thus showing minimal release. *In vivo*, ADC levels displayed a more rapid decline than Tab, accompanied by a marked DAR decrease to ~0.5 by Day 5 (Figure 3D). T-DM1, featuring a non-cleavable thioether linker and DAR ~3.5, displayed very high plasma stability and negligible free DM1 release (<1% at Day 21 across species plasma) (Table 1). Its *in vivo* PK profiles for free payload also confirmed minimal payload release in circulation (Figure 1B). The PK profile comparison across different mouse models demonstrated significantly shorter half-lives in hFcRn and hAlb/hFcRn models compared to WT mouse (Refer to poster 3387). For ADCs lacking anti-payload reagents, we can develop a hybrid approach combining DAR-insensitive Tab assay with LC-HRMS based DAR profiling. This flexible bioanalytical strategy enabled comprehensive characterization across diverse ADC formats.

## Results Continued


Figure 1. *In Vivo* PK Curve and Parameters Across 3 ADCs (A) T-DXd (B) T-DM1 (C) EV



PK Parameter	Total antibody			ADC			Free payload		
	C57BL/6	Hom hFcRn	hAlb/hFcRn	C57BL/6	Hom hFcRn	hAlb/hFcRn	C57BL/6	Hom hFcRn	hAlb/hFcRn
T <sub>1/2</sub> (hr)	310.4	96.71	192.6	134	66.65	110.1	22.16	68.22	18.97
AUC <sub>last</sub> (hr*ng/mL)	93374676	51119607	19541990	63792094	32274687	11267588	2.281	5.522	62.02
AUC <sub>inf</sub> (hr*ng/mL)	172723863	56521264	20688403	77821927	33521651	11366098	5.073	14.09	69.44
CL (mL/hr/kg)	0.059	0.178	0.073	0.129	0.3	0.132	NA	NA	NA



PK Parameter	Total antibody			ADC			Free payload (DM-1)		
	WT C57BL/6	Hom hFcRn	hAlb/hFcRn	WT C57BL/6	Hom hFcRn	hAlb/hFcRn	WT C57BL/6	Hom hFcRn	hAlb/hFcRn
T <sub>1/2</sub> (hr)	331	112	110	92.0	59.5	55.5	15.8	11.9	11.2
AUC <sub>last</sub> (hr*ng/mL)	7078798	2617619	3194165	2381166	1158826	1506450	6.65	6.91	7.20
AUC <sub>inf</sub> (hr*ng/mL)	10682396	2757919	3347015	2424038	1176551	1534224	11.3	9.91	9.85
CL (mL/hr/kg)	0.94	3.64	3.01	4.16	8.56	6.57	NA	NA	NA



PK Parameter	Total antibody			ADC			Free payload		
	WT C57BL/6	Hom hFcRn	hAlb/hFcRn	WT C57BL/6	Hom hFcRn	hAlb/hFcRn	WT C57BL/6	Hom hFcRn	hAlb/hFcRn
T <sub>1/2</sub> (hr)	346	84.7	93.7	214	45.5	50.8	50.3	30.5	30.0
AUC <sub>last</sub> (hr*ng/mL)	19369867	8865000	9814710	9869875	6522509	6262833	193	209	130
AUC <sub>inf</sub> (hr*ng/mL)	27378323	9209317	10013026	10761009	6536559	6267808	308	255	159
CL (mL/hr/kg)	154	96.6	101	158	64.8	72.1	NA	NA	NA

## Results Continued

Figure 2. *In Vitro* Plasma Stability Across 3 ADCs (A) T-DXd (B) T-DM1 (C) EV





Table 1. *In Vitro* Plasma Stability Comparison Across 3 ADCs

ADC/free payload	Incubation Time (Days)	Free Payload Release Concentration (ng/mL)				Free Payload Release Rate (%)			
		Mouse	Rat	Monkey	Human	Mouse	Rat	Monkey	Human
T-DXd/DXd	21	47.2	42.2	35.6	40.6	1.87	1.68	1.41	1.61
EV/MMAE	21	605	41.1	3.50	15.6	37.4	2.54	0.22	0.97
T-DM1/DM1	21	3.93	1.81	1.69	1.64	0.23	0.11	0.99	0.10

Figure 3. *In Vitro* and *In Vivo* DAR Value Comparison

(A) *In Vitro* Plasma DAR Value Evaluation of T-DXd Across Different Anticoagulants (B) *In Vivo* DAR Value Evaluation of T-DXd Across Different Species (C) *In Vitro* Plasma DAR Value Evaluation of EV Across Different Species (D) *In Vivo* DAR Value Evaluation of EV Across Different Species



## Conclusion

Our integrated DMPK platform provides comprehensive and robust ADC characterization capability, providing critical insights into ADC stability, biotransformation, and exposure profiles. This supports direct correlation between ADC design, *in vitro* properties, and *in vivo* PK behavior, thereby de-risking candidate selection and accelerating the development of novel ADC therapeutics.

## References

- Drago, J. Z., et al. Unlocking the promise of antibody-drug conjugates for cancer therapy. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol*. 2021 Jun;18(6):327-344.
- Kaur, S., et al. Bioanalytical assay strategies for the development of antibody-drug conjugate biotherapeutics. *Bioanalysis*. 2013 Jan;5(2):201-26.
- Malik P., et al. Pharmacokinetic Considerations for Antibody-Drug Conjugates against Cancer. *Pharm Res*. 2017 Dec;34(12):2579-2595.

