# W.W. Grainger, Inc. - Climate Change 2020



### C0. Introduction

### C0.1

(C0.1) Give a general description and introduction to your organization.

W.W. Grainger, Inc. is a broad line, business-to-business distributor of maintenance, repair and operating (MRO) supplies and other related products and services. More than 3.5 million businesses and institutions worldwide rely on Grainger for products in categories such as safety, material handling and metalworking, along with services like inventory management and technical support. These customers represent a broad collection of industries, including commercial, government, healthcare and manufacturing. They place orders online, on mobile devices, through sales representatives, over the phone and at local branches. Approximately 5,000 suppliers provide Grainger with 1.6 million products stocked in the company's distribution centers (DCs) and branches worldwide. Grainger employs 25,000 team members across the globe. For more information on Grainger, visit <a href="www.grainger.com/investor">www.grainger.com/investor</a>.

### C0.2

(C0.2) State the start and end date of the year for which you are reporting data.

	Start date	End date		Select the number of past reporting years you will be providing emissions data for
Reporting year	January 1 2019	December 31 2019	No	<not applicable=""></not>

### C0.3

(C0.3) Select the countries/areas for which you will be supplying data.

Belgium

Canada

China Czechia

Dominican Republic

France

Germany

Hungary

Indonesia

Indonesi

Ireland Japan

Malaysia

Mexico

Netherlands

Panama

Peru

Poland

Portugal

Puerto Rico Romania

South Africa

Thailand

United Arab Emirates

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

United States of America

# C0.4

(C0.4) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.

# C0.5

(C0.5) Select the option that describes the reporting boundary for which climate-related impacts on your business are being reported. Note that this option should align with your chosen approach for consolidating your GHG inventory.

Operational control

CDP Page 1 of 39

# C1.1

(C1.1) Is there board-level oversight of climate-related issues within your organization? Yes

# C1.1a

(C1.1a) Identify the position(s) (do not include any names) of the individual(s) on the board with responsibility for climate-related issues.

Position of individual(s)	Please explain
Board-level committee	The Charter for the Board Affairs and Nominating Committee (BANC) of our Board of Directors provides that the BANC, which is constituted of all 10 of the Company's independent directors with Chair/CEO participation, oversees our CSR activities to advance the interests of shareholders, including the Company's involvement with the communities it serves and the Company's promotion of a sustainable environment. The BANC annually reviews Grainger's promotion of environmental sustainability and community engagement, and the BANC receives routine reports and updates on ESG matters on an as-needed basis.

# C1.1b

(C1.1b) Provide further details on the board's oversight of climate-related issues.

with which climate- related	Governance mechanisms into which climate- related issues are integrated	Scope of board- level oversight	Please explain
- some meetings	Reviewing and guiding strategy Reviewing and guiding major plans of action Reviewing and guiding risk management policies Reviewing and guiding risk management policies Monitoring implementation and performance of objectives Overseeing major capital expenditures, acquisitions and divestitures Monitoring and overseeing progress against goals and targets for addressing climate-related issues	<not Applicabl e&gt;</not 	The Charter for the Board Affairs and Nominating Committee (BANC) of our Board of Directors provides that the BANC, which is constituted of all 10 of the Company's independent directors with Chair/CEO participation, oversees our CSR activities to advance the interests of shareholders, including the Company's involvement with the communities it serves and the Company's promotion of a sustainabile environment. The BANC annually reviews Grainger's promotion of environmental sustainability and community engagement, and the BANC receives routine reports and updates on ESG matters on an as-needed basis. Information included for BANC review is provided by the CSR Advisory Council, the CSR Working Group and senior management, and includes all updates on trends and emerging best practices in ESG, and programmatic success, structure and strategy. In 2017, 2018 and 2019, the Lead Director of Grainger's Board of Directors, along with members of management, including a Grainger CSR representative, met with major institutional investors about our corporate governance practices and policies, including our ESG initiatives.

# C1.2

#### (C1.2) Provide the highest management-level position(s) or committee(s) with responsibility for climate-related issues.

Name of the position(s) and/or committee(s)	Reporting line	•	_	Frequency of reporting to the board on climate- related issues
Chief Executive Officer (CEO)	<not Applicable&gt;</not 	Both assessing and managing climate-related risks and opportunities	<not applicable=""></not>	Annually
Other C-Suite Officer, please specify (VP, Pres. Merchandising & Supplier Mgmt)	<not Applicable&gt;</not 	Both assessing and managing climate-related risks and opportunities	<not applicable=""></not>	Annually
Please select	<not Applicable&gt;</not 	<not applicable=""></not>	<not applicable=""></not>	<not applicable=""></not>

### C1.2a

(C1.2a) Describe where in the organizational structure this/these position(s) and/or committees lie, what their associated responsibilities are, and how climate-related issues are monitored (do not include the names of individuals).

Grainger's CSR Advisory Council, a small group of senior-level team members who frequently interact with customers, investors, suppliers, or have direct line-of-sight to the revenue-generating parts of the business. The group is composed of eight senior-level executives, many of whom report directly to our CEO's Leadership Team.

Responsibility lies with this group as it represents a broad and strategic mix of departments in order to reflect diverse issues and perspectives on the Council: External Affairs/Communications, Human Resources, Legal, Compliance & Data Privacy/Security, Finance, National Sales & Service and Merchandising and Supplier Management.

The titles of these executives are: VP & President, Merchandising and Supplier Management; Sr. Director, Ethics, Compliance & Privacy; Sr. Director, Planning & Offer Enablement; Director, Diversity & Inclusion; VP, Corporate Secretary; Sr. Director, External Affairs; Director, Product Compliance and Supplier Stewardship; Sr. Director, Corporate Real Estate Services; VP, Controller. Responsibility sits at this level as these positions are senior enough that they provide global visibility, strategic influence and decision-making powers.

Meetings: The CSR Advisory Council meets quarterly to discuss pertinent CSR and ESG issues and objectives. In addition to these regular meetings, various representatives from the CSR Advisory Council, represented by the group's Executive Sponsor (VP & President, Merchandising and Supplier Management), meet with the BANC annually to review the Company's promotion of environmental sustainability and community engagement. In addition, the BANC receives routine reports and updates from CSR Advisory Council members and senior management on ESG matters. In 2017, the Board appointed a new Director with expertise in sustainability and the New Nominee has expertise on environmental matters.

Information is gathered and reported to the CSR Advisory Council by the Senior Manager, CSR. The Senior Manager works very closely with representatives of the CSR Working Group to gather the relevant ESG and CSR data and information to be presented.

Description of responsibilities: The group's primary objectives are to provide strategic awareness to the program and to encourage developments in transparency throughout the organization. Ensuring representation in risk management, data privacy, corporate governance, and large contract sales and marketing strategy, the Council works to enhance our unique value for customers, while supporting Grainger as responsible stewards of our business. The Advisory Council represents a meaningful step forward in our CSR and corporate citizenship efforts in a way that is authentic to our organization.

# C1.3

(C1.3) Do you provide incentives for the management of climate-related issues, including the attainment of targets?

Provide incentives for the management of climate-related issues	Comment
to introduce them in the next	Grainger does not currently include incentives for the management of climate-related issues in executive compensation, but is planning to introduce them in the next two years. Previously Grainger selected 'yes,' when broadly considering general employee incentive. However, our response is adjusted this year to better align with executive compensation best practices moving forward.

### C2. Risks and opportunities

### C2.1

(C2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and responding to climate-related risks and opportunities? Yes

### C2.1a

#### (C2.1a) How does your organization define short-, medium- and long-term time horizons?

	From (years)	To (years)	Comment
Short-term	0	3	Sustainability team defines short-term time horizon as 0-3 years.
Medium-term	3	10	Sustainability team defines medium-term time horizon as 3-10 years.
Long-term	10	30	Sustainability team defines long-term time horizon as 10-30 years.

#### C2.1h

(C2.1b) How does your organization define substantive financial or strategic impact on your business?

### Enterprise Risk Management Framework:

Grainger's Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) team began to facilitate the use of the Company's Enterprise Risk Management Framework (RMF) to define, measure, and monitor risk across the organization, including climate-related risks. The RMF establishes a common language and methodology to measure and prioritize risks and opportunities and define a process for monitoring of risk treatments. As part of this framework, there is an enterprise risk rating scale that provides guidelines for risk scoring/magnitude. The risk rating scale quantifies risk magnitude through consideration of Impact and Likelihood ratings. Applying ratings to each risk helps to commonly measure and prioritize them in a consistent matter.

In this process, the definition of strategic/financial impact:

- The Impact Ratings measure risk on a 1 (Incidental) to 5 (Severe) scale across four categories: Financial, Customer Experience, Team Member and Compliance. The Financial risk rating scale is aligned with the Company's financial reporting materiality thresholds.
- 5 Severe An event causing serious and extended disruptions in operations and/or causing severe hardship and damage to the organization and members, which may be characterized by the failure of critical services or prolonged disruptions, insufficient financial resources, or failure to operate in accordance with laws and regulations and has an extreme impact on our ability to achieve business objectives.
- 4 Major An event causing considerable disruptions in operations and/or causing substantial hardship and damage to the organization and members characterized by disruptions in critical services that result in the inability to meet service level commitments having on a major impact on our ability to achieve business objectives.
- The Likelihood Ratings measures and reasonably predicts the probability of a specific event occurring on a 1 (Frequent) to 5 (Rare) scale. Scores are reported on the same 1-5 scale as the Impact Ratings.

### Board Role in Risk Oversight

The Board has overall responsibility for risk oversight, with the Audit Committee assisting the Board in performing this function. The Board's role is to oversee the Company's ERM programs, including risk assessment and risk management processes and policies used by Grainger to identify, assess,, monitor and address potential financial compensation, operational, strategic and legal risks on an enterprise-wide basis. Both the Board and the Audit Committee regularly review Grainger's risk assessment and management processes and policies, including receiving regular reports from the Company's Chief Information Security Officer, and members of Grainger's management who are responsible for the effectiveness of Grainger's ERM programs. As part of its oversight responsibility, the Compensation Committee assesses the relationship between potential risk created by Grainger's compensation programs and their impact on long-term shareholder value.

### Integrating Sustainability & Climate-related Risks

Grainger's sustainability team collaborates with various partners within the business, including Enterprise Risk Management, to determine how climate-related risks are integrated into our risk planning. An example of an identified climate related risk is disruptions in Grainger's supply chain, which could result in an adverse impact on results of operations. In 2019, Internal Audit partnered with the Supply Chain leadership team to facilitate a deep dive into supply chain risks, risk management activities and opportunities. A disruption within Grainger's logistics or supply chain network, including damage, destruction, extreme weather and other events, which could cause one or more of Grainger's distribution centers to become non-operational, could adversely affect Grainger's ability to obtain or deliver inventory in a timely manner, impair Grainger's ability to meet customer demand for products and result in lost sales or damage to Grainger's reputation (e.g. potential Customer Experience Impact). Grainger's ability to provide same-day shipping and next-day delivery is an integral component of Grainger's business strategy and any such disruption could adversely impact results of operations.

# C2.2

#### (C2.2) Describe your process(es) for identifying, assessing and responding to climate-related risks and opportunities.

Value chain stage(s) covered

Upstream

#### Risk management process

Integrated into multi-disciplinary company-wide risk management process

#### Frequency of assessment

More than once a year

#### Time horizon(s) covered

Short-term Medium-term Long-term

#### **Description of process**

Enterprise Risk Management Framework: Grainger's Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) team began to facilitate the use of the Company's Enterprise Risk Management Framework (RMF) to define, measure, and monitor risk across the organization, including climate-related risks. Details on process are provided in C2.1b. Board Role in Risk Oversight: The Board has overall responsibility for risk oversight, with the Audit Committee assisting the Board in performing this function. The Board's role is to oversee the Company's ERM programs, including risk assessment and risk management processes and policies used by Grainger to identify, assess, monitor and address potential financial compensation, operational, strategic and legal risks on an enterprise-wide basis. Both the Board and the Audit Committee regularly review Grainger's risk assessment and management processes and policies, including receiving regular reports from the Company's Chief Information Security Officer, and members of Grainger's management who are responsible for the effectiveness of Grainger's ERM programs. As part of its oversight responsibility, the Compensation Committee assesses the relationship between potential risk created by Grainger's compensation programs and their impact on long-term shareholder value. Integrating Sustainability & Climate-related Risks: Grainger's sustainability team collaborates with various partners within the business, including Enterprise Risk Management, to determine how climate-related risks are integrated into our risk planning. Case Study of Opportunity Risk: As emerging environmental product standards take effect, new, more sustainable products and services are available to the marketplace through Grainger's product assortment. This could lead to an increased demand for products in the short-, medium-, and long-term that help customers meet their sustainable purchasing considerations particularly in the lighting category for energy efficient light bulbs and fixtures. We engage with key suppliers as partners to understand how existing and new products can help to reduce greenhouse gas emissions during product use, manufacturing, and/or end of life product disposal declarations. The Environmentally Preferable Products (EPP) feature independently tested product certifications such as DLC® Approved & EnergyStar®. In 2019, EPP sales totaled \$675 million, a 13% increase from 2018. Grainger's dedication to managing and verifying products with green or sustainable certifications and attributes allows our customers to make an informed choice when selecting products. To estimate the maximum potential impact figure, we multiplied last year's the Environmentally Preferable Product (EPP) portfolio growth rate of 13% by total EPP sales of \$675 million because we anticipate customers will sustain this growth rate. In order to estimate the minimum potential impact figure, we multiplied EPP sales by the rate of overall 2019 sale growth at 3%. In order to manage this opportunity, Grainger has implemented several category teams to address specific needs of customers. This includes energy reduction teams around lighting, marketing teams to communicate to customers, etc. Case study of Physical Risk: An example of an identified climate related risk is disruptions in Grainger's supply chain could result in an adverse impact on results of operations in the short-, medium-, and long-term. In 2019, Internal Audit partnered with the Supply Chain leadership team to facilitate a deep dive into supply chain risks, risk management activities and opportunities. A disruption within Grainger's logistics or supply chain network, including damage, destruction, extreme weather and other events, which could cause one or more of Grainger's distribution centers to become non-operational, could adversely affect Grainger's ability to obtain or deliver inventory in a timely manner, impair Grainger's ability to meet customer demand for products and result in lost sales or damage to Grainger's reputation. Grainger's ability to provide same-day shipping and next-day delivery is an integral component of Grainger's business strategy and any such disruption could adversely impact results of operations.

C2.2a

	Relevance	Please explain	
	& inclusion		
Current regulation	Relevant, always included	Current regulatory risks identified by Sustainability, in collaboration with other business departments as relevant, include those that support (or oppose) renewable energy, such as federal and state incentive programs or solar taxes, since the change in solar incentives due to regulation is a key component of our renewable energy strategy and GHG reduction targets. ii: Investments are prioritized based on our findings and a decision may be made to move forward or not if the investment helps our organization achieve business and climate goals or not. For instance, Solar Energy Industries Association reports that, "There is a federal investment tax credit (ITC) for solar energy systems in place until December 31st, 2023. Our organization considers both the investment viability in addition to carbon reduction to prioritize initiative. The Sustainability Team has built a viability scale for sustainability initiatives based on our Internal Return Rate (IRR). As federal and state incentives decline the investment viability is impacted, and lead to de-prioritization.	
Emerging regulation	Relevant, always included	Emerging regulatory risks identified by Sustainability, in collaboration with other business departments as relevant, include those that would impact the price of materials utilized in the manufacturing process of goods purchased &/or sold, such as international trade tariffs on imported photovoltaic cells, as another key component of our renewable energy strategy and GHG reduction targets. Vetting these risks allows for the development of mitigation strategies should legislation pass. For example, an energy specialist for Bloomberg New Energy Finance depicted a situation on economic sanctions with China as follows in early 2018 related to solar imports: "Currently, PV cells and modules originating in China are subject to two sets of tariffs: antidumping and countervalling duties that originally started in 2012 and experienced some amendments since then, and 30% tariffs under Section 201 commencing in February 2018."	
Technology	Relevant, always included	New technologies in terms of relevance in supporting our GHG reduction goals are consistently evaluated within Sustainability, and in collaboration with other business departments as relevant. For example, low cost buildings controls have, in the past, been too costly to implement, however, newer applications have contributed to Grainger's emissions reduction efforts. At times newly implemented technologies can impose unintended consequences to the building operations. Risk of component failure in advance systems can impact part or all of operations due to issues such as power quality, harmonics, increased humidity or condensation. Once implemented, some are assessed within the context of latest industry technological advancements and reported on back to leadership monthly.	
Legal	Relevant, always included	Potential risks to Grainger's Environmentally Preferable Products (EPP) sold are assessed routinely at Grainger from an interdisciplinary group led by Merchandising and Supplier Management. This is a growing segment of Grainger's business which represented \$675 million in sales in 2019, and can contribute to GHG reductions in Scope 3 product use phase. Grainger reviews the specific set of EPP attributes as new sustainable products are introduced to the product portfolio, and all values are assessed for relevancy, annually. All EPP product claims are evaluated with an external partner, UL LLC, based on the Federal Trade Commission's Guides for the Use of Environmental Marketing Claims ("Green Guides"). To guide customers toward more environmentally preferable solutions, each product in Grainger's EPP portfolio is identified on Grainger.com® with a specific set of certificates, or attributes that are found in the technical specifications section for each product. These products are grouped together in a Green filter on the left-hand navigation bar of Grainger.com®. EPP products fall into two categories - those that are certified by independent organizations and those that have "green environmental attributes". A certification acts as a stamp of approval and indicates that a product has met certain environmental standards. These are designated with a green leaf icon on Grainger.com® and explained in the compliance section for each product.	
Market	Relevant, always included	collaboratively with business units across Grainger to create a more sustainable workplace for our customers and our communities through our Environmentally Preferable Product (	
Reputation	Relevant, always included	Grainger's continued success is substantially dependent on positive perceptions of Grainger's reputation. Grainger assesses reputation considerations through the CSR Advisory Council and CSR Working Group, which includes representatives from Sustainability, Merchandising and Supplier Management, Human Resources, Risk Management, Offer Enablement, Finance, Global Ethics and Compliance, Legal, External Affairs and Community Engagement. Climate-related risks such as natural disasters and extreme weather could have an adverse impact on our supply chain, including difficulty in obtaining products from suppliers or in shipping products to customers, thereby potentially impacting our reputation. That said, Grainger's commitments to sustainability and customer relationships ensure that we continue to enhance our reputation by providing the sustainability products and services that enable our customers to address their own climate risks. Grainger is a leader in the MRO space. We were the first to set public targets such as a GHG reduction goal, first to build LEED certified facilities, first to become a EPA SmartWay Transport Partner. Grainger was also ranked as Barron's 8th Most Sustainable Company in the U.S. in 2019 and 2020.	
Acute physical	Relevant, always included	An example of an identified acute physical risk is disruptions in Grainger's supply chain, due to the increased severity of extreme weather, which could result in an adverse impact on results of operations. In 2018, Internal Audit partnered with the Supply Chain leadership team to facilitate a deep dive into supply chain risks, risk management activities and opportunities. A disruption within Grainger's logistics or supply chain network, including damage, destruction, extreme weather and other events, which could cause one or more of Grainger's distribution centers to become non-operational, could adversely affect Grainger's ability to obtain or deliver inventory in a timely manner, impair Grainger's ability to meet customer demand for products and result in lost sales or damage to Grainger's reputation. Grainger's ability to provide same-day shipping and next-day delivery is an integral component of Grainger's business strategy and any such disruption could adversely impact results of operations.	
Chronic physical	Relevant, always included	Chronic physical risks are identified by Sustainability, in collaboration with other business departments as relevant. Long term shifts in climate patterns have the potential to impact Grainger, either through increase frequency and severity of extreme weather events, disrupting global supply chains and logistics impacting Grainger's revenue, or through increasing temperatures, putting strain on our workforces and supply chains, increasing operational costs in our distribution centers and branches through additional air conditioning requirements. Grainger has implemented multiple projects to analyze and mitigate risk arising from long term shifts in climate patterns, such as heat waves induced by increasing average temperatures.	

# C2.3

(C2.3) Have you identified any inherent climate-related risks with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business? Yes

# C2.3a

(C2.3a) Provide details of risks identified with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business.

### Identifier

Risk 1

Where in the value chain does the risk driver occur?

Direct operations

Risk type & Primary climate-related risk driver

Acute physical Increased severity and frequency of extreme weather events such as cyclones and floods

# Primary potential financial impact

Decreased revenues due to reduced production capacity

Climate risk type mapped to traditional financial services industry risk classification <Not Applicable>

#### Company-specific description

In 2019, Grainger refreshed its Business Impact (BI) analysis (first conducted in 2016), to analyze risks and quantify major exposures to Grainger distribution centers within its supply chain. This analysis is reflective of ten in-scope distribution centers in nine states across the west, midwest, south and northeast. The outcomes include prioritization of key facilities or processes by quantifying the significant impact of exposures facing the organization against specific threats.

#### Time horizon

Long-term

#### Likelihood

Unlikely

#### Magnitude of impact

High

# Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?

Yes, an estimated range

### Potential financial impact figure (currency)

<Not Applicable>

### Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)

78000000

### Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)

600000000

#### Explanation of financial impact figure

In 2019, Grainger has calculated that a complete loss of one of its distribution centers could cost the business anywhere between 78M and 600M. This estimated range assumes total loss and includes estimates for rebuild, as well as corresponding financial impacts due to the assumed loss of sales and loss of inventory. The figures shared here represent estimates. Grainger cannot guarantee the stated range of financial impact would be realized if this loss scenario were to occur.

#### Cost of response to risk

178000000

### Description of response and explanation of cost calculation

To mitigate and manage this risk continuous engagement with risk management and outside consultants takes place to ensure structures and operations are sound. Additionally, dynamic models have been developed to re-route orders should one or multiple portions of our operations be affected. Grainger recognizes the importance of customers having access to products and services when and where they are needed. Grainger's business continuity and disaster recovery (BCDR) planning helps minimize the impact of unplanned events and outages affecting Grainger customers. To that end, BCDR efforts include developing, implementing and enhancing business continuity processes in alignment with the ISO/IEC 22301 framework for Grainger's Business Continuity Management Programs (BCMPs). This standard provides the strategic direction for BCMPs and guides the establishment of activities that align with the framework. Components of a BCMP include a Business Impact Analysis, Risk Assessment, and other mitigation methods and tools. For example, localized response procedures are designed to allow customers in need to obtain emergency response items at any time of the day or night, and local Grainger branches may remain open 24 hours a day during major emergencies and disasters. The cost of management for this risk is related to the cost of maintaining and improving Grainger Properties and other critical assets to sure they are resilient against extreme weather events. In 2019, Grainger spent approximately \$178,000,000 in capital expenditures related to property, buildings, and equipment.

#### Comment

In 2019, Grainger refreshed its Business Impact (BI) analysis (first conducted in 2016), to analyze risks and quantify major exposures to Grainger distribution centers within its supply chain. The outcomes include prioritization of key facilities or processes by quantifying the significant impact of exposures facing the organization against specific threats.

# Identifier

Risk 2

### Where in the value chain does the risk driver occur?

Upstream

### Risk type & Primary climate-related risk driver

Current regulation

Other, please specify (Increased costs associated with carbon standards)

### Primary potential financial impact

Increased indirect (operating) costs

# Climate risk type mapped to traditional financial services industry risk classification

<Not Applicable>

# Company-specific description

Regulations directed towards reducing greenhouse gas emissions may increase utility costs. Examples of this include the Clean Air Act, and the subsequent EPA New Source Performance Standards for any new power plant in the US. Increased utility costs would increase operational costs for Grainger's facilities located in the United States.

### Time horizon

Long-term

### Likelihood

Unlikely

### Magnitude of impact

Low

# Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?

Yes, a single figure estimate

# Potential financial impact figure (currency)

2000000

### Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)

<Not Applicable>

### Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)

<Not Applicable>

### Explanation of financial impact figure

Regulation of GHG emissions has the potential to impact utility costs. Changes in legal and regulatory environments could increase the cost of doing business. Utility costs may increase in the future, but it will have a relatively small financial impact. Grainger has calculated that if regulations were to affect utility costs 10% there would be an estimated increase in operating expense of approximately \$2,000,000.

#### Cost of response to risk

2000000

### Description of response and explanation of cost calculation

As regulations are proposed, Grainger investigates potential impacts and builds appropriate mitigation strategies. Grainger is conducting energy efficiency upgrades in existing facilities and building new facilities to energy efficient standards. Grainger has been a member of the U.S. Green Building Council since 2007, and remains committed to building Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) certified facilities. Grainger currently maintains 8.1 million square feet of LEED certified space through 18 North American facilities, representing 36 percent of Grainger's total square feet in North America. Grainger's facilities account for about 95% of our annual energy use in North America. We focus our efforts on improving energy efficiency and embedding sustainability into our operations whenever feasible. For example, Grainger currently has 5.3MW of solar panels installed on the rooftops of our distribution centers (DCs). Current renewable energy projects have resulted in 6.8 million kilowatt hours of renewable energy produced in 2019. Additionally in 2019, Grainger implemented several building management systems, lighting, battery and HVAC upgrades, which will reduce the facilities future energy requirements. The cost of these energy efficiency projects totaled approximately \$2M. The annual cost of management for this risk is equivalent to the annual spend on energy efficiency and plant upgrades to help drive down our energy consumption across our locations.

#### Comment

Regulations directed towards reducing greenhouse gas emissions may increase utility costs. Examples of this include the Clean Air Act, and the subsequent EPA New Source Performance Standards for any new power plant in the US. Increased utility costs would increase operational costs for Grainger's facilities located in the United States.

### Identifier

Risk 3

### Where in the value chain does the risk driver occur?

Upstream

### Risk type & Primary climate-related risk driver

Reputation	Shifts in consumer preferences

#### Primary potential financial impact

Decreased revenues due to reduced demand for products and services

### Climate risk type mapped to traditional financial services industry risk classification

<Not Applicable>

# Company-specific description

Grainger offers a portfolio of Environmentally Preferable Products (EPP) and sustainability-related services, so we must maintain an environmentally responsible reputation or else we run the risk of reduced demand. Our customers have increasingly requested these products and services keep their businesses running over the long term from site audits, payback analysis, utility rebate assistance, recycled of replaced product. The EPP portfolio offers nearly 100,000 products to help customers maintain sustainable facilities through efficient energy management, water conservation, waste reduction and air-quality improvement. In 2019, EPP sales totaled \$675 million, a 13% increase from 2018. Program growth is driven by increased customer demand, and updates to the product information we share about how they can help customers with their sustainable goals. Grainger's continued success is substantially dependent on positive perceptions of Grainger's reputation.

### Time horizon

Long-term

# Likelihood

Unlikely

### Magnitude of impact

Low

# Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?

Yes, a single figure estimate

# Potential financial impact figure (currency)

33000000

### Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)

<Not Applicable>

### Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)

<Not Applicable>

### Explanation of financial impact figure

One of the reasons why customers choose to do business with Grainger and why employees choose Grainger as a place of employment is the reputation that Grainger has built over 90+ years. To be successful in the future, Grainger must continue to preserve, grow and leverage the value of its brand. Reputational value is based in large part on perceptions of subjective qualities. If Grainger's reputation was negatively impacted, it could lead to a reduction in customer demand which could negatively impact the company's revenue. The financial implication to a negative effect on Grainger's climate change reputation could be a decline in green product sales with key customer sectors in Manufacturing, Government and Healthcare. We made an assumption that a negative impact would be 5% of total EPP portfolio sales and multiplied it by our total 2019 EPP sales of \$675m, approximately \$33m.

### Cost of response to risk

0

#### Description of response and explanation of cost calculation

Grainger leads the MRO industry with its commitment to plan, execute and disclose climate change strategies and progress over time. Grainger was the first MRO distributor to publicly disclose our GHG footprint, set a public goal, build LEED certified facilities, and become an EPA SmartWay Transport Partner. In 2019, Grainger achieved the following awards and recognitions: #8 Most Sustainable Company in the U.S. by Barron's for two consecutive years, Inclusion in North America Dow Jones Sustainability Index, #39 on Investor's Business Daily 50 Best ESG Companies in 2019, Ecovadis Silver CSR Rating & FTSE4Good Member. Following the achievement of Grainger's current GHG reduction targets in 2018, Grainger continues to explore the methods and magnitude of carbon reduction required to align our GHG emission trajectory and targets to what is required by climate science. Grainger is actively pursuing the reduction required to align to 2.0, well below 2.0 and 2.5 carbon reduction pathways for our Scope 1 & 2 emissions. As exploring climate aligned targets and following environmental best practice is part of Grainger's everyday operation, there is no incremental cost to manage this risk. As a result, the cost of management has been calculated as \$0 for this risk.

#### Comment

Grainger sells environmentally preferred products (EPP), so we must maintain an environmentally responsible reputation or else we run the risk of reduced demand for our products. Our customers are also increasingly requesting EPP and services to help them manage energy costs, reduce waste, conserve water, promote indoor air quality, or offer utility rebate incentives. In 2019, the Grainger's EPP portfolio offered nearly 100,000 products that help customers maintain sustainable facilities through efficient energy management, water conservation, waste reduction and air-quality improvement.

#### C2.4

(C2.4) Have you identified any climate-related opportunities with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business?

Yes

#### C2.4a

(C2.4a) Provide details of opportunities identified with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business.

#### Identifier

Opp1

Where in the value chain does the opportunity occur?

Direct operations

### Opportunity type

Products and services

#### Primary climate-related opportunity driver

Development and/or expansion of low emission goods and services

# Primary potential financial impact

Increased revenues resulting from increased demand for products and services

### Company-specific description

As emerging environmental product standards take effect, new, more sustainable products and services are available to the marketplace through Grainger's product assortment. This could lead to an increased demand for products that help customers meet their sustainable purchasing considerations particularly in the lighting category for energy efficient light bulbs and fixtures. We engage with key suppliers as partners to understand how existing and new products can help to reduce greenhouse gas emissions during product use, manufacturing, and/or end of life product disposal declarations. As new technologies emerge such as Carbon Capture and Sequestration (CCS), we research to understand customer and industry considerations for products that assist with decarbonization, or leverage raw materials derived through CCS. The Environmentally Preferable Products (EPP) feature independently tested product certifications such as Carbonfree® Certified, EnergyStar®, EnergyAware®, DLC® Approved, as well as verified Environmental Product Declarations (EPDs) to assist in understanding the emissions of greenhouse gases of a product from a life cycle perspective. Most recently, the following certifications were added to the EPP program to continue expanding options for greenhouse gas reducing products certified by the Carbon Trust®. Examples include: Carbon Trust Carbon Neutral Certification, Carbon Trust Footprint Label, Carbon Trust Standard for Carbon and Carbon Trust Standard for Supply Chain for end-to-end sustainable product considerations. In 2019, EPP sales totaled \$675 million, a 13% increase from 2018.

### Time horizon

Unknown

# Likelihood

Very likely

# Magnitude of impact

Medium

# Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?

Yes, an estimated range

### Potential financial impact figure (currency)

<Not Applicable>

### Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)

20000000

# Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)

90000000

### Explanation of financial impact figure

Grainger's dedication to managing and verifying products with green or sustainable certifications and attributes allows our customers to make an informed choice when selecting products. As our capabilities in this space become more sophisticated there is a potential for increased revenue from customer segments including manufacturing, government and healthcare. To estimate the maximum potential impact figure, we multiplied last year's the Environmentally Preferable Product (EPP) portfolio growth rate of 13% by total EPP sales of \$675 million because we anticipate customers will sustain this growth rate. In order to estimate the minimum potential impact figure, we multiplied EPP sales by the rate of overall 2019 sale growth at 3%.

### Cost to realize opportunity

#### Strategy to realize opportunity and explanation of cost calculation

In order to manage this opportunity, Grainger has implemented several category teams to address specific needs of customers. This includes energy reduction teams around lighting, marketing teams to communicate to customers, etc. i) We have engaged a third party, EcoAct, in order to better understand the carbon impact of the products we sell from the point of distribution through the product end-of-life. This work will help us to prioritize where to align resources to improve our portfolio of products. ii) Additionally, the Merchandising and Supplier Management department conducts in-depth reviews of our portfolio to determine what we should carry in our assortment, as well as how it should be presented to customers through our website and catalog. For Grainger's lighting products, the team listened to the voice of our customers; not just transactional data but actual feedback submitted through our website or conversations with our Technical Product Support team, paired with market trends. For example, customers are telling us they have internal goals of making their facilities more sustainable through efficient lighting. The team then makes sure we capture the relevant EPP certifications and product information (e.g EnergyStar®, DLC® Approved), and display it in a manner to help a customer confidently manage their energy and greenhouse gas emissions. iii) We also engage with UL LLC to review Environmentally Preferable Product certification and attributes as the demand for more sustainable products and services grow. We estimate the combined related costs to manage this opportunity to a third of the overall cost of management opportunities which is \$85,000 overall between EcoAct and UL fees (i.e. one-third of the \$85,000 overall cost of management equals approximately \$28,300). The cost of management was consolidated and adjusted to support an established EPP portfolio in order to maintain and audit existing products, evaluate new EPP products & consult regarding emerging third-party certifications to meet custo

#### Comment

Opportunity for our largest use phase category (lighting) only.

#### Identifier

Opp2

### Where in the value chain does the opportunity occur?

Direct operations

#### Opportunity type

Products and services

### Primary climate-related opportunity driver

Development and/or expansion of low emission goods and services

### Primary potential financial impact

Increased revenues resulting from increased demand for products and services

#### Company-specific description

As emerging environmental product standards take effect, new, more sustainable products and services are available to the marketplace through Grainger's product assortment. This could lead to an increased demand for products that help customers meet their sustainable purchasing considerations particularly in the lighting category for energy efficient light bulbs and fixtures. We engage with key suppliers as partners to understand how existing and new products can help to reduce greenhouse gas emissions during product use, manufacturing, and/or end of life product disposal declarations. The Environmentally Preferable Products (EPP) feature independently tested product certifications such as Carbonfree® Certified, EnergyStar®, EnergyAware®, DLC® Approved, as well as verified Environmental Product Declarations (EPDs) to assist in understanding the emissions of greenhouse gases of a product from a life cycle perspective. Most recently, the following certifications were added to the EPP program to continue expanding options for greenhouse gas reducing products certified by the Carbon Trust®. Examples include: Carbon Trust Carbon Neutral Certification, Carbon Trust Footprint Label, Carbon Trust Standard for Carbon and Carbon Trust Standard for Supply Chain for end-to-end sustainable product considerations. In 2019, EPP sales totaled \$675 million, a 13% increase from 2018.

### Time horizon

Unknown

### Likelihood

Very likely

### Magnitude of impact

Medium

# Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?

Yes, an estimated range

### Potential financial impact figure (currency)

<Not Applicable>

### Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)

20000000

# Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)

90000000

### Explanation of financial impact figure

Grainger's dedication to managing and verifying products with green or sustainable certifications and attributes allows our customers to make an informed choice when selecting products. As our capabilities in this space become more sophisticated there is a potential for increased revenue from customer segments including manufacturing, government and healthcare. In 2019, Environmentally Preferable Product (EPP) sales totaled \$675 million, a 13% increase from 2018. Although 2020 company guidance is suspended, we estimate the minimum financial impact of EPP sales growth to 3%, which is the rate of 2019 sales growth overall (i.e. 3% company-wide growth multiplied by total 2019 EPP sales of \$675m). On the higher end, we estimate EPP sales growth at 13%, the 2019 sales growth rate of the EPP portfolio (i.e. 13% annual EPP growth multiplied by total 2019 EPP sales of \$675m).

# Cost to realize opportunity

28300

### Strategy to realize opportunity and explanation of cost calculation

In order to manage this opportunity, Grainger has implemented several category teams to address specific needs of customers. This includes energy reduction teams around lighting, marketing teams to communicate to customers, etc. i) We have engaged a third party, EcoAct, in order to better understand the carbon impact of the products we sell from the point of distribution through the product end-of-life. This work will help us to prioritize where to align resources to improve our portfolio of products. ii) Additionally, the Merchandising and Supplier Management department conducts in-depth reviews of our portfolio to determine what we should carry in our assortment, as well as how it should be presented to customers through our website and catalog. For Grainger's lighting products, the team listened to the voice of our customers; not just transactional data but actual feedback submitted through our website or conversations with our Technical Product Support team, paired with market trends. For example, customers are telling us they have internal goals of making their facilities more sustainable through efficient lighting. The team then makes sure we capture the relevant EPP certifications and product information (e.g EnergyStar®, DLC® Approved), and display it in a manner to help a customer confidently manage their energy and

greenhouse gas emissions. iii) We also engage with UL LLC to review Environmentally Preferable Product certification and attributes as the demand for more sustainable products and services grow. We estimate the combined related costs to manage this opportunity to a third of the overall cost of management opportunities which is \$85,000 overall between EcoAct and UL fees (i.e. one-third of the \$85,000 overall cost of management equals approximately \$28,300). The cost of management was consolidated and adjusted to support an established EPP portfolio in order to maintain and audit existing products, evaluate new EPP products & consult regarding emerging third-party certifications to meet customer procurement considerations.

#### Commen

Opportunity for all use phase categories (minus lighting)

#### Identifier

Opp3

#### Where in the value chain does the opportunity occur?

Direct operations

#### Opportunity type

Products and services

### Primary climate-related opportunity driver

Development and/or expansion of low emission goods and services

#### Primary potential financial impact

Increased revenues resulting from increased demand for products and services

### Company-specific description

As emerging environmental product standards take effect, new, more sustainable products and services are available to the marketplace through Grainger's product assortment. This could lead to an increased demand for products that help customers meet their sustainable purchasing considerations particularly as new sustainability certifications, GHG transparency information, and carbon reduction manufacturing gain in prominence and availability. We engage with key suppliers as partners to understand how existing and new products can help to reduce greenhouse gas emissions during product use, manufacturing, and/or end of life product disposal declarations. As new technologies emerge such as Carbon Capture and Sequestration (CCS), we research to understand customer and industry considerations for products that assist with decarbonization, or leverage raw materials derived through CCS. The Environmentally Preferable Products (EPP) feature independently tested product certifications such as Carbonfree® Certified, EnergyStar®, EnergyAware®, DLC® Approved, as well as verified Environmental Product Declarations (EPDs) to assist in understanding the emissions of greenhouse gases of a product from a life cycle perspective. Most recently, the following certifications were added to the EPP program to continue expanding options for greenhouse gas reducing products certified by the Carbon Trust®. Examples include: Carbon Trust Carbon Neutral Certification, Carbon Trust Footprint Label, Carbon Trust Standard for Carbon and Carbon Trust Standard for Supply Chain for end-to-end sustainable product considerations. In 2019, EPP sales totaled \$675 million, a 13% increase from 2018.

#### Time horizon

Unknown

#### Likelihood

Very likely

#### Magnitude of impact

Medium

# Are you able to provide a potential financial impact figure?

Yes, an estimated range

### Potential financial impact figure (currency)

<Not Applicable>

# Potential financial impact figure - minimum (currency)

20000000

### Potential financial impact figure - maximum (currency)

90000000

### Explanation of financial impact figure

Grainger's dedication to managing and verifying products with green or sustainable certifications and attributes allows our customers to make an informed choice when selecting products. As our capabilities in this space become more sophisticated there is a potential for increased revenue from customer segments including manufacturing, government and healthcare. In 2019, Environmentally Preferable Product (EPP) sales totaled \$675 million, a 13% increase from 2018. Although 2020 company guidance is suspended, we estimate the minimum financial impact of EPP sales growth to 3%, which is the rate of 2019 sales growth overall (i.e. 3% company-wide growth multiplied by total 2019 EPP sales of \$675m). On the higher end, we estimate EPP sales growth at 13%, the 2019 sales growth rate of the EPP portfolio (i.e. 13% annual EPP growth multiplied by total 2019 EPP sales of \$675m).

### Cost to realize opportunity

28300

# Strategy to realize opportunity and explanation of cost calculation

Strategy to realize opportunity In order to manage this opportunity, Grainger has implemented several category teams to address specific needs of customers. This includes energy reduction teams around lighting, marketing teams to communicate to customers, etc. i) We have engaged a third party, EcoAct, in order to better understand the carbon impact of the products we sell from the point of distribution through the product end-of-life. This work will help us to prioritize where to align resources to improve our portfolio of products. ii) Additionally, the Merchandising and Supplier Management department conducts in-depth reviews of our portfolio to determine what we should carry in our assortment, as well as how it should be presented to customers through our website and catalog. For Grainger's lighting products, the team listened to the voice of our customers; not just transactional data but actual feedback submitted through our website or conversations with our Technical Product Support team, paired with market trends. For example, customers are telling us they have internal goals of making their facilities more sustainable through efficient lighting. The team then makes sure we capture the relevant EPP certifications and product information (e.g EnergyStar®, DLC® Approved), and display it in a manner to help a customer confidently manage their energy and greenhouse gas emissions. iii) We also engage with UL LLC to review Environmentally Preferable Product certification and attributes as the demand for more sustainable products and services grow. We estimate the combined related costs to manage this opportunity to a third of the overall cost of management opportunities which is \$85,000 overall between EcoAct and UL fees (i.e. one-third of the \$85,000 overall cost of management equals approximately \$28,300). The cost of management was consolidated and adjusted to support an established EPP portfolio in order to maintain and audit existing products, evaluate new EPP products & consult regarding emerging third-pa

### Commen

Reputation opportunity for expanding EPP portfolio. Grainger's dedication to managing and verifying products with green or sustainable certifications and attributes allows our customers to make an informed choice when selecting products. As our capabilities in this space become more sophisticated there is a potential for increased revenue

from customer segments including manufacturing, government and healthcare. In 2019, Environmentally Preferable Product (EPP) sales totaled \$675 million, a 13% increase from 2018. Although 2020 company guidance is suspended, we estimate the minimum financial impact of EPP sales growth to 3%, which is the rate of 2019 sales growth overall (i.e. 3% company-wide growth multiplied by total 2019 EPP sales of \$675m). On the higher end, we estimate EPP sales growth at 13%, the 2019 sales growth rate of the EPP portfolio (i.e. 13% annual EPP growth multiplied by total 2019 EPP sales of \$675m)

### C3. Business Strategy

#### C3.1

(C3.1) Have climate-related risks and opportunities influenced your organization's strategy and/or financial planning?

### C3.1a

(C3.1a) Does your organization use climate-related scenario analysis to inform its strategy? Yes, quantitative

#### C3.1b

(C3.1b) Provide details of your organization's use of climate-related scenario analysis.

# models RCP 2.6

Other,

please

specify (IPCC

SP15)

To enable Grainger to build strategic approach to evaluate accredited Science Based Targets alignment, we utilized our Marginal Abatement Cost Curve (MACC). This tool provided a visual aid to IEA B2DS | compare cost and emission impacts associated with various investment options. A MACC presents the extra (or 'marginal') costs and carbon reduction (or 'abatement') potential of these options relative to a business as usual (BAU) baseline. Grainger has used this quantitative scenario analyses to assess the required emissions reductions from Grainger's own operations and value chain emissions to align with latest scientific consensus and the Science Based Targets Initiative's criteria as a guideline. Grainger has used climate scenarios IEA B2DS and IPCC SP15 to assess the magnitude of the emissions reduction required to align with a well-below 2.0 Degrees Celsius emissions reduction trajectory and a 1.5 Degrees Celsius emissions reduction trajectory respectively. These scenarios have been chosen to align with latest scientific consensus and SBTi criteria version 4 as a guideline. Grainger has also used the RCP 2.0 scenario to assess the magnitude of reduction required for a 2.0 Degrees Celsius emissions reduction trajectory, the minimum ambition of the Paris Agreement. In all cases, a 12 year time horizon was used, assessing the magnitude of reduction required by 2030 from a 2018 emissions base year. This time horizon has been chosen to ensure that base year emissions are relevant and representative of current business activity and to demonstrate Grainger's commitment to emissions reductions over the long-term. 100% of Grainger's operations have been included within the assessment, to ensure all significant areas of Grainger's GHG emissions are included. The results of the analysis show that Grainger is required to reduce absolute GHG emissions by 30% to align to a well-below 2.0 Degrees Celsius emission reduction trajectory, and 51% to align with a 1.5 Degrees Celsius emission reduction trajectory. These targets have informed our decision to evaluate accredited Science Based Targets alignment. Using our MACC and carbon analytic tool, this target will be directly linked to our business strategy and support other initiatives such as solar generation. To maintain progress, we have implemented medium-term target that align with CDP's Leadership criteria and address 100% of our Scope 1 and 2 emissions. We consider these combined targets to be science-based as SBTi states that per IPCC AR5 RCP 2.6, the minimum reduction required is 31% absolute emissions reduction by 2030. This translates to a linear 2.5% reduction per year on average. Grainger has taken strategic steps toward benchmarking our build's operations against industry standard to ensure we are investing in the most impactful initiatives to reduce our total carbon emissions (facility lighting retrofits, and HVAC equipment heat load studies to right-size our building loads). We continue to determine the necessary steps for including Scope 3 Use of Sold Products in our target-setting efforts. For example, we are evaluating the increase in sale of specific Energy Star products to achieve an annual reduction that meets SBTi's Scope 3 criteria within the appropriate time periods (5-10 years).

### C3.1d

CDP Page 12 of 39

	Have climate- related risks and opportunities influenced your strategy in this area?	Description of influence
Products and services	Yes	As emerging environmental product standards take effect, new, more sustainable products and services are available to the marketplace through Grainger's product assortment. This could lead to an increased demand for products that help customers meet their sustainable purchasing considerations particularly as new sustainability certifications, GHG transparency information, and carbon reduction manufacturing gain in prominence and availability. We engage with key suppliers as partners to understand how existing and new products can help to reduce greenhouse gas emissions during product use, manufacturing, and/or end of life product disposal declarations. As new technologies emerge such as Carbon Capture and Sequestration (CCS), we research to understand customer and industry considerations for products that assist with decarbonization, or leverage raw materials derived through CCS. Each year, we review the Environmentally Preferable Products (EPP) portfolio specific to independently tested product certifications related to climate change such as Carbonfree® Certified, EnergyStar®, EnergyAware®, DLC® Approved, as well as verified Environmental Product Declarations (EPDs) to assist in understanding the emissions of greenhouse gases of a product from a life cycle perspective. Most recently in the past year, the following certifications were added to the EPP program to continue expanding options for greenhouse gas reducing products certified by the Carbon Trust®. Examples include: Carbon Trust Carbon Neutral Certification, Carbon Trust Footprint Label, Carbon Trust Standard for Carbon and Carbon Trust Standard for Supply Chain for end-to-end sustainable product considerations. In 2019, EPP sales totaled \$675 million, a 13% increase from 2018. In 2019, EPP sales represented 5.9% of Grainger's overall revenue, an increase from 2018.
Supply chain and/or value chain	Yes	In addition to a science-aligned scope 1 and 2 emissions reduction target, we must consider Grainger's impact across its value chain, including scope 3 emissions, the largest area of impact. This is a complex impact to measure. Currently, there are very few organizations setting scope 3 targets even among the most progressive organizations. Still, Grainger has continued to build on its supplier engagement program to understand how we might scope this request in the future. In 2019, the Merchandising and Supplier Management team established Grainger's Supplier Engagement Program to proactively engage with the suppliers who are the most impactful to our business. We seek to partner with key strategic suppliers of sustainable products and solutions through quarterly meetings and invite suppliers to showcase their products at Grainger's North American Sales and Service Meeting. During this event, we bring our top performing suppliers together for a recognition event, Partners in Performance. This annual event also educates and informs the supplier community aloud Grainger's key initiatives and strategy. Reflecting 2019 performance, Grainger introduced a Sustainable Supplier Award. Key performance factors included sales of Environmentally Preferable Products (EPP) that manage energy and/or contribute to lower, or more transparency in greenhouse gas emissions. Additionally, we considered their own environmental stewardship as a responsible business across environment, people and governance. While quantitative measures are factored into top supplier awards, key measures of success for this annual event are qualitative as we recognize partnerships with strategic suppliers at an exclusive event with top leaders. Impact of engagement, including measures of success: In order to build Grainger's Supplier Engagement Program in early 2019, a group of suppliers were asked to participate in some focus groups where we shared information about supplier management and other key areas within the organization. Based on the feedback
Investment in R&D	Yes	Grainger continues to make climate-related R&D investments. For example, sustainability products and services are one of many areas Grainger is pursuing to help better serve our customer needs. In 2019, in order to help benchmark and standardize opportunities across our network, we conducted 52 HVAC and lighting audits across our supply chain and corporate network. We have continued these initiatives in 2020. We discovered commonalities among energy loads, batteries, HVAC systems, lighting, conveyor systems and air compressors, to name a few. All of these areas offered strategic opportunities for long-term efficiency gains as we implemented energy reduction projects from the findings of these audits. Additionally, we found it to be very effective to invest in comprehensive building management systems in key locations with great return on investment timeframes. By monitoring our building via these systems, we are able to identify and trend global warming risks as they occur and adjust our investment and operation strategies accordingly.
Operations	Yes	As a distributor of millions of products, we recognize our duty to ensure our supply chain operates responsibly and sustainably. Grainger has invested significantly in minimizing packaging through "ship complete," we strive to ship all items in the fewest number of cartons, on the same day, from the same shipping point. In doing so, Grainger can maintain a lower usage of corrugate and produce fewer transportation emissions. This results in resource savings that our customers value as much as we do. During the past two years, our ship complete rate has increased by 3.4 percent. One of the first introductions of the "ship complete" process/metric externally, was detailed in Grainger's 2018 CSR Report. We also measure our carton-to-order ratio by tracking the number of boxes we ship compared to the number of orders we receive. We continue to monitor this ratio in order to drive improvement and efficiencies in packaging and corrugate use.

# C3.1e

(C3.1e) Describe where and how climate-related risks and opportunities have influenced your financial planning.

	Financial planning elements that have been influenced	Description of influence
Row 1	Revenues Indirect costs Capital expenditures	Capital Expenditures Each year, sustainability partners with our real estate group and other influential business partners to plan for sustainable investments such as energy upgrades, waste and recycling solutions, renewable energy to name a few. In 2017, Grainger celebrated the grand opening of its new DC in Bordentown Township, N.J. (NEDC) The 1.4 million-square-foot facility stocks more than 300,000 items and allows the company to deliver more products by the next day to customers in the Northeast. The DC runs on state-of-the-art distribution technology enabling real-time order processing. A 4.3 megawatt solar panel system was installed on the facility's roof. This system included 13,000 high efficiency SunPower solar panels that generate on average about 40 percent of the DC's annual electricity requirements, which is equivalent to 1.6 percent of Grainger's North American carbon footprint. In early 2018, the U.S. Green Building Council (USGBC) approved LEED GOLD certification for the NEDC. This project will not only reduce annual operational expenditures significantly, but it will also play a key role in helping us meet our GHG reduction goals. We consider investments in renewable energy on a case-by-case basis as part of new project plans. Our decisions to invest often occur in locations where we can offset energy use, improve operational efficiency and create a return on investment. Grainger recognizes that capital expenditures may be moderately impacted for some suppliers, facilities, or product lines in the short-, medium-, and long-term. Indirect Costs in addition to investing in energy efficiency and renewable energy, Grainger has also ensured that building management systems are being utilized to reduce energy consumption in our facilities. Historically, Grainger has focused on its largest facilities, but the global warming risk has made it essential to expand our focus and strategy to all Grainger facilities. Currently, 26 of our facilities have either been built with or retrofitted with BMSs. On av

# C3.1f

(C3.1f) Provide any additional information on how climate-related risks and opportunities have influenced your strategy and financial planning (optional).

### C4.1

(C4.1) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?
Intensity target

### C4.1b

(C4.1b) Provide details of your emissions intensity target(s) and progress made against those target(s).

Target reference number

Int 1

Year target was set

2011

Target coverage

Company-wide

Scope(s) (or Scope 3 category)

Scope 1+2 (market-based)

Intensity metric

Metric tons CO2e per USD(\$) value-added

Base year

2011

Intensity figure in base year (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

0.000016

% of total base year emissions in selected Scope(s) (or Scope 3 category) covered by this intensity figure

100

Target year

2020

Targeted reduction from base year (%)

33

Intensity figure in target year (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity) [auto-calculated]

0.000012529

% change anticipated in absolute Scope 1+2 emissions

-22

% change anticipated in absolute Scope 3 emissions

0

Intensity figure in reporting year (metric tons CO2e per unit of activity)

0.000009876

% of target achieved [auto-calculated]

142.991411440609

Target status in reporting year

Achieved

Is this a science-based target?

No, but we anticipate setting one in the next 2 years

Please explain (including target coverage)

We met our target in 2018, two years ahead of schedule. We are in the process of setting a new target, which will be announced in calendar year 2020. The number is determined by target CO2e and 2019 revenue. Intensity figure in target-year (0.00000737)

# C4.2

(C4.2) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?

No other climate-related targets

# C4.3

(C4.3) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.

Yes

(C4.3a) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.

	Number of initiatives	Total estimated annual CO2e savings in metric tonnes CO2e (only for rows marked *)
Under investigation	30	
To be implemented*	49	5500
Implementation commenced*	22	880
Implemented*	58	6298
Not to be implemented	0	

### C4.3b

(C4.3b) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.

### Initiative category & Initiative type

Farance Williams in Indiana	Building France Management Contains (BFMC)
Energy efficiency in buildings	Building Energy Management Systems (BEMS)

### Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

177

### Scope(s)

Scope 1

Scope 2 (location-based)

### Voluntary/Mandatory

Voluntary

### Annual monetary savings (unit currency - as specified in C0.4)

25000

# Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

50000

# Payback period

1-3 years

# Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Ongoing

### Comment

BMS controls installed in 5 branches

# Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings	Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC)
--------------------------------	--

### Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

28

# Scope(s)

Scope 1

Scope 2 (location-based)

# Voluntary/Mandatory

Voluntary

# Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

4278

# Investment required (unit currency - as specified in C0.4)

515530

# Payback period

>25 years

# Estimated lifetime of the initiative

11-15 years

# Comment

HVAC Replacements at 50 Branches.

# Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings	L	Lighting
--------------------------------	---	----------

Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

707

Scope(s)

Scope 2 (location-based)

Voluntary/Mandatory

Voluntary

Annual monetary savings (unit currency - as specified in C0.4)

101000

Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

368000

Payback period

4-10 years

Estimated lifetime of the initiative

11-15 years

Comment

Lighting Retrofits at approximately 10 branches

Initiative category & Initiative type

Company policy or behavioral change

Site consolidation/closure

Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

2227

Scope(s)

Scope 1

Scope 2 (location-based)

Voluntary/Mandatory

Voluntary

Annual monetary savings (unit currency - as specified in C0.4)

315000

Investment required (unit currency - as specified in C0.4)

0

Payback period

1-3 years

Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Ongoing

Comment

Closure of Niles Site

Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

Lighting

Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

495

Scope(s)

Scope 2 (location-based)

Voluntary/Mandatory

Voluntary

Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

70000

Investment required (unit currency - as specified in C0.4)

475000

Payback period

4-10 years

Estimated lifetime of the initiative

11-15 years

Comment

Lighting retrofits and controls upgrades at HQ and other corporate sites.

Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

Lighting

Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

488

Scope(s)

Scope 2 (location-based)

Voluntary/Mandatory

Voluntary

Annual monetary savings (unit currency - as specified in C0.4)

69000

Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

259000

Payback period

4-10 years

Estimated lifetime of the initiative

11-15 years

Comment

Lighting retrofits at Distribution Centers

Initiative category & Initiative type

Company policy or behavioral change

Other, please specify

Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

566

Scope(s)

Scope 2 (location-based)

Voluntary/Mandatory

Voluntary

Annual monetary savings (unit currency - as specified in C0.4)

80000

Investment required (unit currency - as specified in C0.4)

0

Payback period

1-3 years

Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Ongoing

Comment

Employee Engagement related to operations of conveyor systems that would lead to electrical savings

Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC)

Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

1610

Scope(s)

Scope 1

Scope 2 (location-based)

Voluntary/Mandatory

Voluntary

Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

229000

Investment required (unit currency - as specified in C0.4)

0

Payback period

1-3 years

Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Ongoing

Comment

Administrative HVAC Improvements

C4.3c

#### (C4.3c) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?

Method	Comment
Dedicated budget for energy efficiency	Each year Grainger dedicates a portion of its capital and expense budget toward energy efficiency projects within its real estate portfolio.

### C4.5

(C4.5) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products or do they enable a third party to avoid GHG emissions?

#### C4.5a

(C4.5a) Provide details of your products and/or services that you classify as low-carbon products or that enable a third party to avoid GHG emissions.

#### Level of aggregation

Group of products

### Description of product/Group of products

Group of products

### Are these low-carbon product(s) or do they enable avoided emissions?

Low-carbon product and avoided emissions

### Taxonomy, project or methodology used to classify product(s) as low-carbon or to calculate avoided emissions

Other, please specify (Independent third-party product certifications)

### % revenue from low carbon product(s) in the reporting year

25

### % of total portfolio value

<Not Applicable>

### Asset classes/ product types

<Not Applicable>

#### Comment

Grainger's Environmentally Preferable Products (EPP) offer products that are certified as low-carbon or enable avoided emissions through transparent reporting so customers can compare data and select a sustainable option. Examples of certified low-carbon designations include Carbonfree®, EnergyStar® and DLC® Approved. We engage with key suppliers to share Environmental Product Declarations on Grainger.com where available so customers may compare and calculate product life cycle emissions. We continue to engage with suppliers to add more low carbon certified products such as CarbonTrust and qualified calculations to avoid emissions as new EPP products are introduced to Grainger's portfolio.

# C5. Emissions methodology

# C5.1

(C5.1) Provide your base year and base year emissions (Scopes 1 and 2). Scope 1 Base year start January 1 2011 Base year end December 31 2011 Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e) 40275 Comment Scope 2 (location-based) Base year start Base year end Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e) Comment Scope 2 (market-based) Base year start January 1 2011 Base year end December 31 2011 Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e) Comment C5.2 (C5.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions. The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition) C6. Emissions data C6.1 (C6.1) What were your organization's gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e? Reporting year Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e) 34685 Start date <Not Applicable> End date <Not Applicable> Comment C6.2 (C6.2) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions. Scope 2, location-based We are reporting a Scope 2, location-based figure Scope 2, market-based We are reporting a Scope 2, market-based figure Comment C6.3

(C6.3) What were your organization's gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

#### Reporting year

Scope 2, location-based

80962

Scope 2, market-based (if applicable)

78750

Start date

<Not Applicable>

End date

<Not Applicable>

Comment

#### C6.4

(C6.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?

C6.5

(C6.5) Account for your organization's gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.

### Purchased goods and services

**Evaluation status** 

Relevant, calculated

Metric tonnes CO2e

1748442

#### **Emissions calculation methodology**

Indirect emissions from goods and services purchased by Grainger were estimated using the Comprehensive Environmental Data Archive (CEDA) 5.0, which is an economic input-output database. The relevant emission factors from the CEDA database have been applied to Grainger's direct spend in order to calculate GHG emissions. Note: CEDA emission factors only account for embodied emissions, not use of the product, which may be accounted for in another part of the footprint.

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

Please explain

Capital goods

**Evaluation status** 

Relevant, calculated

Metric tonnes CO2e

283983

### **Emissions calculation methodology**

Indirect emissions from goods and services purchased by Grainger were estimated using the Comprehensive Environmental Data Archive (CEDA) 5.0, which is an economic input-output database. The relevant emission factors from the CEDA database have been applied to Grainger's indirect spend in order to calculate GHG emissions (IT services, Manufacturing services, Infrastructure Maintenance and Production Equipment). Note: Indirect spend includes items that are not considered CAPEX but it was not possible to identify CAPEX within this data set so all emissions have been included here. CEDA emission factors only account for embodied emissions, not use of the product, which may be accounted for in another part of the footprint.

Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

Please explain

#### Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

### **Evaluation status**

Relevant, calculated

#### Metric tonnes CO2e

22439

#### **Emissions calculation methodology**

Description of the types and sources of data used to calculate emissions: The data to calculate these emissions comes from Grainger's scope 1&2 emissions. This electricity and natural gas data comes from utility bills. The emissions factors used are the eGRID grid loss emission factors. The mobile fuel data comes from fuel purchase bills. The emissions factors used are the well-to-tank factors provided by DEFRA. The GWPs are from the IPCC AR5 (CO2 = 1, CH4 = 28, N2O = 265). ii) Description of the data quality of reported emission: The data quality of all sources for scope 3 emissions calculations is high. iii) Description of the methodologies, assumptions and allocation methods used to calculate emissions: The methodology used was GHG Protocol Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Accounting and Reporting Standard. 100% of the emissions from electricity and natural gas, as well as well-to-tank mobile fuel emissions, used in Grainger North American operations were allocated to Grainger's footprint.

### Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

100

#### Please explain

This category includes transmission losses from electricity and natural gas, and well-to-tank emissions of mobile fuel.

### Upstream transportation and distribution

#### **Evaluation status**

Relevant, calculated

#### Metric tonnes CO2e

121172

### **Emissions calculation methodology**

Description of the types and sources of data used to calculate emissions: This figure comes from fuel charge in our transportation department's billing system and uses the US EPA Smartway's avg MPG, US Govt. Fuel Economy's avg diesel fuel cost in 2019. It then uses the emissions factors used are from the EPA's climate Leaders program (CO2: 10.21 kg/gal, CH4: .013g/mile, N2O: .033g/mile). Emissions factors and the GWPs are from the IPCC SAR (CO2 = 1, CH4 = 25, N2O = 298) ii) Description of the data quality of reported emission: The data quality is medium to high. iii) Description of the methodologies, assumptions and allocation methods used to calculate emissions: The methodology used was GHG Protocol Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Accounting and Reporting Standard. 100% of the emissions from fuel expense used in upstream transportation and distribution were allocated to Grainger's footprint in the US.

### Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

100

#### Please explain

This category includes transportation in the US from suppliers to Grainger's owned facilities and between Grainger owned facilities, and to customers.

### Waste generated in operations

### **Evaluation status**

Relevant, calculated

### Metric tonnes CO2e

3610

### Emissions calculation methodology

Description of the types and sources of data used to calculate emissions: The data to calculate these emissions comes from waste and recycling tonnage for Grainger facilities. The emissions factors used are from the EPA's WARM model and the GWPs are from the IPCC AR5 (CO2 = 1, CH4 = 28, N2O = 265). These emissions come from waste sent to landfills (0.482912783828248 MT CO2e/ton). This data is compiled by Waste Management. The GWPs are from the IPCC AR5 (CO2 = 1, CH4 = 28, N2O = 265). ii) Description of the data quality of reported emission: The data quality of all sources for scope 3 emissions calculations is high. iii) Description of the methodologies, assumptions and allocation methods used to calculate emissions: The methodology used was GHG Protocol Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Accounting and Reporting Standard. 100% of the emissions from waste generated were allocated to Grainger's footprint.

# Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

100

### Please explain

Grainger's waste generated in operations includes all waste sent to landfill or incineration from Grainger buildings.

#### Business travel

### **Evaluation status**

Relevant, calculated

#### Metric tonnes CO2e

29498

#### **Emissions calculation methodology**

Description of the types and sources of data used to calculate emissions. The data to calculate these emissions comes from two sources. The commercial air travel data comes from our travel agency, Egencia, and it consists of flight length, type of flight, departure city, and arrival city. The emissions factors used are the DEFRA air travel emissions factors and the GWPs are from the IPCC AR5 (CO2 = 1, CH4 = 28, N2O = 265). The emissions from employee travel in other vehicles all come from fuel combustion in passenger cars. This fuel data is compiled by Grainger's third-party vehicle management company. The emissions factors used are for gasoline consumption from the EPA (8.78 kg CO2/gal, .009 g CH4/mile, .008 g N2O/mile). the GWPs are from the IPCC AR5 (CO2 = 1, CH4 = 28, N2O = 265). ii) Description of the data quality of reported emissions The data quality of all sources for scope 3 emissions calculations is high. iii) Description of the methodologies, assumptions and allocation methods used to calculate emissions. The methodology used was GHG Protocol Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Accounting and Reporting Standard. The assumptions and allocations for commercial air travel emissions that were used were based on DEFRA standards. 100% of the emissions from fuel used in employee travel in other vehicles were allocated to Grainger's footbrint.

### Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

100

#### Please explain

Grainger's business travel emissions include commercial air travel as well as employees travelling in non-Grainger owned vehicles.

#### **Employee commuting**

#### **Evaluation status**

Relevant, calculated

#### Metric tonnes CO2e

53173

### **Emissions calculation methodology**

Description of the types and sources of data used to calculate emissions: The data to calculate these emissions comes from an employee transportation survey. Some of the data is estimated because it is extrapolated from this survey. The emissions factors used are from the EPA's climate Leaders program (CO2: 0.335 kg/mile, CH4: .009g/mile, N2O: .008g/mile). Emissions factors and the GWPs are from the IPCC AR5 (CO2 = 1, CH4 = 25, N2O = 298). The emissions from employee commuting come from fuel combustion in passenger cars. ii) Description of the data quality of reported emission: The data quality from the employee transportation survey is good. iii) Description of the methodologies, assumptions and allocation methods used to calculate emissions: The methodology used was GHG Protocol Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Accounting and Reporting Standard. 100% of the emissions from fuel used in employee commuting were allocated to Grainger's footprint.

#### Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

100

### Please explain

This category includes emissions from employees commuting to work.

### **Upstream leased assets**

### **Evaluation status**

Not relevant, explanation provided

### Metric tonnes CO2e

<Not Applicable>

### **Emissions calculation methodology**

<Not Applicable>

# Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

<Not Applicable>

### Please explain

Grainger does not have upstream leased assets.

### Downstream transportation and distribution

# **Evaluation status**

Not relevant, explanation provided

### Metric tonnes CO2e

<Not Applicable>

### **Emissions calculation methodology**

<Not Applicable>

# Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

<Not Applicable>

# Please explain

Grainger customers use Grainger's shipping methods to receive products, they do not manage the shipments themselves. Emissions associated with transport and distribution are captured in upstream categories.

#### Processing of sold products

### **Evaluation status**

Not relevant, explanation provided

#### Metric tonnes CO2e

<Not Applicable>

#### **Emissions calculation methodology**

<Not Applicable>

#### Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

<Not Applicable>

### Please explain

Grainger sells finished products, not raw materials

#### Use of sold products

#### **Evaluation status**

Relevant, calculated

#### **Metric tonnes CO2e**

15152874

#### **Emissions calculation methodology**

Using product level attributes for life expectancy, power usage and fuel use, emissions were calculated based on product total use phase emissions for Grainger North America's catalog. Please note this excludes the Use Phase Emissions originating from products sold by our Zoro business in the US due to emerging nature of this business and inaccessibility of data. In 2019 this does not include our European factory as this was disposed of early in the financial year. We are continuously looking to increase the boundary of products and geographies included within our Use Phase model. Electricity emissions have been calculated using IEA 2019 (2017) factors as Grainger is not able to track the location that the product is used. For products using fuel (diesel, gasoline, propane, natural gas) and/or refrigerants, emissions have been calculated using BEIS 2019 emission factors.

### Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

Λ

#### Please explain

### End of life treatment of sold products

### **Evaluation status**

Relevant, calculated

#### Metric tonnes CO2e

214424

# Emissions calculation methodology

Weight and material type of total North America, Canada and Mexico sold products has been mapped to waste destinations based on the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) waste treatment averages. BEIS 2019 emission factors have been used to convert waste mass into emissions.

# Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

### Please explain

# Downstream leased assets

### Evaluation status

Not relevant, explanation provided

### Metric tonnes CO2e

<Not Applicable>

# Emissions calculation methodology

<Not Applicable>

# Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

<Not Applicable>

### Please explain

Grainger has no leased assets.

# Franchises

# **Evaluation status**

Not relevant, explanation provided

### Metric tonnes CO2e

<Not Applicable>

### **Emissions calculation methodology**

<Not Applicable>

# Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

<Not Applicable>

# Please explain

Grainger has no franchises.

#### Investments

### **Evaluation status**

Not relevant, explanation provided

#### Metric tonnes CO2e

<Not Applicable>

#### **Emissions calculation methodology**

<Not Applicable>

#### Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

<Not Applicable>

### Please explain

Grainger makes no investments.

#### Other (upstream)

**Evaluation status** 

#### Metric tonnes CO2e

<Not Applicable>

#### **Emissions calculation methodology**

<Not Applicable>

### Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

<Not Applicable>

Please explain

#### Other (downstream)

**Evaluation status** 

# Metric tonnes CO2e

<Not Applicable>

### **Emissions calculation methodology**

<Not Applicable>

### Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

<Not Applicable>

Please explain

# C6.7

# (C6.7) Are carbon dioxide emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization?

No

# C6.10

(C6.10) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.

# Intensity figure

0.00000986

# Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)

113250

### Metric denominator

unit total revenue

# Metric denominator: Unit total

11486000000

# Scope 2 figure used

Market-based

### % change from previous year

11

# Direction of change

Decreased

# Reason for change

This metric decreased by 11% because of an absolute emissions reduction largely driven by emissions reduction activities, such as LED lighting projects and HVAC and building management system installations. Changes in conversion factors year-over year, and an increase in revenue year-over-year also attributed to the decrease.

### C7. Emissions breakdowns

# C7.1

(C7.1) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?

Υρς

# C7.1a

(C7.1a) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type and provide the source of each used greenhouse warming potential (GWP).

Greenhouse gas	Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)	GWP Reference	
CO2	34577	IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)	
CH4	66	IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)	
N2O	42	IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)	

### C7.2

(C7.2) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by country/region.

Country/Region	Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)
United States of America	23808
Mexico	17
Panama	0
Canada	6831
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	1147
Belgium	302
France	50
Netherlands	590
Romania	5
Portugal	82
India	40
United Arab Emirates	1
Japan	1245
Ireland	9
China	342
Hungary	27
Poland	23
Dominican Republic	0
Indonesia	28
Malaysia	16
Thailand	16
Germany	41
Czechia	32
South Africa	33
Peru	0

# C7.3

(C7.3) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

By business division

By activity

# C7.3a

### (C7.3a) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business division.

Business division	Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)	
Grainger Branch	14249	
Storage	2138	
Distribution Center	10497	
Corporate Office	3195	
Master Branch	275	
Data Center	2	
Warehouse	237	
Mobile	4092	

# C7.3c

# (C7.3c) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business activity.

Activity	Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	
Stationary combustion	30593	
Mobile combustion	4092	

# C7.5

### (C7.5) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by country/region.

Country/Region	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)	Purchased and consumed electricity, heat, steam or cooling (MWh)	Purchased and consumed low-carbon electricity, heat, steam or cooling accounted for in Scope 2 market-based approach (MWh)
United States of America	61243	59031	132940	7500
Canada	5248	5248	14576	0
Mexico	3389	3389	7080	0
Panama	0	0	0	0
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	1199	1199	4693	0
Belgium	110	110	638	0
France	10	10	150	0
Netherlands	1432	1432	3263	0
Romania	13	13	36	0
Portugal	67	67	187	0
India	101	101	140	0
United Arab Emirates	6	6	8	0
Japan	6185	6185	11798	0
Ireland	9	9	23	0
China	1470	1470	2350	0
Hungary	18	18	67	0
Poland	41	41	58	0
Dominican Republic	6	6	11	0
Indonesia	118	118	153	0
Malaysia	26	26	40	0
Thailand	19	19	40	0
Germany	94	94	225	0
Czechia	84	84	167	0
South Africa	75	75	83	0
Peru	0	0	0	0

# C7.6

(C7.6) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

By business division

# C7.6a

(C7.6a) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business division.

Business division	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)	
Grainger Branch	16435	16435	
Storage	5157	5157	
Distribution Center	44577	44577	
Corporate Office	13231	11019	
Master Branch	991	991	
Data Center	6	6	
Warehouse	565	565	

### C7.9

(C7.9) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?

Decreased

### C7.9a

(C7.9a) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.

		Direction of change	Emissions value (percentage)	Please explain calculation
Change in renewable energy consumption	0	No change	0	Our solar generation, and therefore consumption, was nearly identical to year prior.
Other emissions reduction activities	10560	Decreased	8.5	Grainger is routinely evaluating its assets to ensure the business can meet a growing customer demand. As a result of this growing demand on our facilities, Grainger invested approximately \$2.0M on its branch, distribution center and administrative facilities on energy efficient projects and activities, such as the new building management control systems, HVAC upgrades, employee engagement, and lighting projects. Our energy efficiency projects have saved an estimated 10,745 MtCO2e in 2019. This is approximately 8.5% of Grainger GHG emissions from 2018. Changes such as emissions factors have impacted our total emissions, the impact of which has not been calculated explicitly. Last year, 10,560 tCO2e were reduced by a change on our emissions reduction activities, and our total Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions in the previous year was 123,995 tCO2e, therefore we arrived at 8.5% through (10560/123995)*100) = 8.5%.
Divestment	0	No change		
Acquisitions	0	No change		
Mergers	0	No change		
Change in output	0	No change		
Change in methodology	0	No change		
Change in boundary	0	No change		
Change in physical operating conditions	0	No change		
Unidentified	0	No change		Changes such as emissions factors have impacted our total emissions, the impact of which has not been calculated.
Other		<not Applicable &gt;</not 		

# C7.9b

(C7.9b) Are your emissions performance calculations in C7.9 and C7.9a based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?

Market-based

### C8. Energy

# C8.1

(C8.1) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy? More than 0% but less than or equal to 5%

(C8.2) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstocks)	Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity	Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired heat	No
Consumption of purchased or acquired steam	No
Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling	No
Generation of electricity, heat, steam, or cooling	Yes

### C8.2a

(C8.2a) Report your organization's energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.

	Heating value	MWh from renewable sources	MWh from non-renewable sources	Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh
Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstock)	HHV (higher heating value)	0	182799	182799
Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity	<not applicable=""></not>	7500	171225	178725
Consumption of purchased or acquired heat	<not applicable=""></not>	<not applicable=""></not>	<not applicable=""></not>	<not applicable=""></not>
Consumption of purchased or acquired steam	<not applicable=""></not>	<not applicable=""></not>	<not applicable=""></not>	<not applicable=""></not>
Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling	<not applicable=""></not>	<not applicable=""></not>	<not applicable=""></not>	<not applicable=""></not>
Consumption of self-generated non-fuel renewable energy	<not applicable=""></not>	6800	<not applicable=""></not>	6800
Total energy consumption	<not applicable=""></not>	14300	354024	368324

### C8.2b

(C8.2b) Select the applications of your organization's consumption of fuel.

	Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application
Consumption of fuel for the generation of electricity	No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of heat	Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of steam	No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of cooling	No
Consumption of fuel for co-generation or tri-generation	No

### C8.2c

 $(C8.2c) \ State \ how \ much \ fuel \ in \ MWh \ your \ organization \ has \ consumed \ (excluding \ feeds tocks) \ by \ fuel \ type.$ 

Fuels (excluding feedstocks)

Natural Gas

Heating value

HHV (higher heating value)

Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

167342

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

<Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

<Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

<Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

<Not Applicable>

MWh fuel consumed for self-cogeneration or self-trigeneration

<Not Applicable>

**Emission factor** 

11.73

Unit

lb CO2e per tce

**Emissions factor source** 

### Comment

### Fuels (excluding feedstocks)

Motor Gasoline

#### Heating value

HHV (higher heating value)

#### Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

9914

### MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

<Not Applicable>

### MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

<Not Applicable>

### MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

<Not Applicable>

### MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

<Not Applicable>

### MWh fuel consumed for self-cogeneration or self-trigeneration

<Not Applicable>

### **Emission factor**

19.6

#### Unit

Ib CO2e per gallon

### **Emissions factor source**

2019 Climate Registry Default Emission Factors (May 2019) (Table 13.1; Table 13.9 SEMS CH4 and N2O

#### Comment

# Fuels (excluding feedstocks)

Other, please specify (Misc. (including e85))

### Heating value

HHV (higher heating value)

# Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

34

# MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

<Not Applicable>

# MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

<Not Applicable>

# MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

<Not Applicable>

# MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

<Not Applicable>

### MWh fuel consumed for self-cogeneration or self-trigeneration

<Not Applicable>

### **Emission factor**

0.00232

### Unit

metric tons CO2e per liter

### Emissions factor source

http://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-12/documents/emission-factors\_nov\_2015.pdf

### Comment

### Fuels (excluding feedstocks)

Propane Liquid

# Heating value

HHV (higher heating value)

### Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

9

### MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

<Not Applicable>

# MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

<Not Applicable>

### MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

<Not Applicable>

### MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

<Not Applicable>

### MWh fuel consumed for self-cogeneration or self-trigeneration

<Not Applicable>

#### **Emission factor**

12.7

Unit

lb CO2e per gallon

### **Emissions factor source**

2019 Climate Registry Default Emission Factors (May 2019) Table 1.1; Table 1.10 (Petroleum Products)

#### Comment

# Fuels (excluding feedstocks)

Diesel

#### Heating value

HHV (higher heating value)

# Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

5500

### MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

<Not Applicable>

# MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

<Not Applicable>

### MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

<Not Applicable>

# MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

<Not Applicable>

### MWh fuel consumed for self-cogeneration or self-trigeneration

<Not Applicable>

### **Emission factor**

22.65

#### Unit

lb CO2e per gallon

# **Emissions factor source**

2019 Climate Registry Default Emission Factors (May 2019) Table 2.1 (Fuels Measured in Gallons - Diesel Fuel); Table 1.8 (Liquid Fuels - Gas/Diesel Oil Boilers)

### Comment

# C8.2d

(C8.2d) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and cooling your organization has generated and consumed in the reporting year.

	_	·		Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)
Electricity	6800	6800	6800	6800
Heat	0	0	0	0
Steam	0	0	0	0
Cooling	0	0	0	0

# C8.2e

(C8.2e) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and/or cooling amounts that were accounted for at a zero emission factor in the market-based Scope 2 figure reported in C6.3.

### Sourcing method

Unbundled energy attribute certificates, Renewable Energy Certificates (RECs)

Low-carbon technology type

Wind

Country/region of consumption of low-carbon electricity, heat, steam or cooling

United States of America

MWh consumed accounted for at a zero emission factor

7500

Comment

### C9. Additional metrics

### C9.1

(C9.1) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.

### C10. Verification

### C10.1

(C10.1) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.

	Verification/assurance status
Scope 1	Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 2 (location-based or market-based)	Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 3	Third-party verification or assurance process in place

# C10.1a

(C10.1a) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.

Verification or assurance cycle in place

Annual process

Status in the current reporting year

Complete

Type of verification or assurance

Limited assurance

Attach the statement

Grainger - CDP Verification Statement Limited.pdf

Page/ section reference

1-3

Relevant standard

ISO14064-3

Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

# C10.1b

### (C10.1b) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

### Scope 2 approach

Scope 2 location-based

#### Verification or assurance cycle in place

Annual process

#### Status in the current reporting year

Complete

#### Type of verification or assurance

Limited assurance

### Attach the statement

Grainger - CDP Verification Statement Limited.pdf

#### Pagel section reference

1-3

### Relevant standard

ISO14064-3

### Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

### Scope 2 approach

Scope 2 market-based

#### Verification or assurance cycle in place

Annual process

### Status in the current reporting year

Complete

### Type of verification or assurance

Limited assurance

#### Attach the statement

Grainger - CDP Verification Statement Limited.pdf

### Page/ section reference

1-3

### Relevant standard

ISO14064-3

# Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

# C10.1c

### (C10.1c) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 3 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

### Scope 3 category

Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)

# Verification or assurance cycle in place

Annual process

### Status in the current reporting year

Complete

### Type of verification or assurance

Limited assurance

# Attach the statement

Grainger - CDP Verification Statement Limited.pdf

# Page/section reference

1-3

# Relevant standard

ISO14064-3

# Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

# Scope 3 category

Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution

### Verification or assurance cycle in place

Annual process

# Status in the current reporting year

Complete

### Type of verification or assurance

Limited assurance

### Attach the statement

Grainger - CDP Verification Statement Limited.pdf

### Page/section reference

1-3

#### Relevant standard

ISO14064-3

### Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

### Scope 3 category

Scope 3: Waste generated in operations

### Verification or assurance cycle in place

Annual process

### Status in the current reporting year

Complete

### Type of verification or assurance

Limited assurance

### Attach the statement

Grainger - CDP Verification Statement Limited.pdf

### Page/section reference

1-3

### Relevant standard

ISO14064-3

### Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

### Scope 3 category

Scope 3: Business travel

### Verification or assurance cycle in place

Annual process

# Status in the current reporting year

Complete

### Type of verification or assurance

Limited assurance

# Attach the statement

Grainger - CDP Verification Statement Limited.pdf

### Page/section reference

1-3

### Relevant standard

ISO14064-3

# Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

# Scope 3 category

Scope 3: Employee commuting

### Verification or assurance cycle in place

Annual process

# Status in the current reporting year

Complete

# Type of verification or assurance

Limited assurance

### Attach the statement

Grainger - CDP Verification Statement Limited.pdf

# Page/section reference

1-3

# Relevant standard

ISO14064-3

### Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

C10.2
(C10.2) Do you verify any climate-related information reported in your CDP disclosure other than the emissions figures reported in C6.1, C6.3, and C6.5? No, but we are actively considering verifying within the next two years
C11. Carbon pricing
C11.1
(C11.1) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?  No, and we do not anticipate being regulated in the next three years
C11.2
(C11.2) Has your organization originated or purchased any project-based carbon credits within the reporting period?  No
C11.3
(C11.3) Does your organization use an internal price on carbon?  No, but we anticipate doing so in the next two years
C12. Engagement
C12.1

(C12.1) Do you engage with your value chain on climate-related issues?

Yes, our suppliers

Yes, our customers Yes, other partners in the value chain

C12.1a

#### (C12.1a) Provide details of your climate-related supplier engagement strategy.

#### Type of engagement

Engagement & incentivization (changing supplier behavior)

#### Details of engagement

Climate change performance is featured in supplier awards scheme

#### % of suppliers by number

9

#### % total procurement spend (direct and indirect)

71

### % of supplier-related Scope 3 emissions as reported in C6.5

48

#### Rationale for the coverage of your engagement

In May of 2018, Grainger reorganized Global Product Management into Merchandising and Supplier Management to answer three basic questions: 1) What products should we sell, 2) How do we organize and present the products to show the value of the assortment, and, 3) What information do we need for customers to choose efficiently with confidence? This was a shift in the way we thought about our assortment decisions that affected the way we connect with our internal and external stakeholders. To understand how we could stay connected to our suppliers through this shift, we established Grainger's Supplier Engagement Program. In 2019, the Merchandising and Supplier Management team established Grainger's Supplier Engagement Program to proactively engage with the suppliers who are the most impactful to our business. We seek to partner with key strategic suppliers of sustainable products and solutions through quarterly meetings and invite suppliers to showcase their products at Grainger's North American Sales and Service Meeting. During this event, we bring our top performing suppliers together for a recognition event, Partners in Performance. This annual event also educates and informs the supplier community about Grainger's key initiatives and strategy. Reflecting 2019 performance, Grainger introduced a Sustainable Supplier Award. Key performance factors included sales of Environmentally Preferable Products (EPP) that manage energy and/or contribute to lower, or more transparency in greenhouse gas emissions. Additionally, we considered their own environmental stewardship as a responsible business across environment, people and governance. While quantitative measures are factored into top supplier awards, key measures of success for this annual event are qualitative as we recognize partnerships with strategic suppliers at an exclusive event with top leaders.

#### Impact of engagement, including measures of success

In order to build Grainger's Supplier Engagement Program, in early 2019, a targeted groups of suppliers were asked to participate in some focus groups where we shared information about supplier management and other keys areas within the organization that we thought applicable to the supplier community, including finance, sustainable offer enablement, merchandising and supply chain. Based on the feedback from those focus groups, we decided to communicate more proactively with our key suppliers via quarterly calls and proactive feedback channels. Measures of success: This cycle of proactive communication, surveying & engagement is what we look to in order to gauge the success of our supplier engagement program. We have a draft for continuing this cadence in 2020 and will have more in the coming months on that. We will send out a survey after the call requesting future topics. The objective for our program is simple: engage the suppliers who are the most impactful to our business to understand what is most important to them. We also want to be sure that: 1) We maintain these open lines of communication, 2) Our strategic partners feel that they are part of this change.

Comment

# C12.1b

### (C12.1b) Give details of your climate-related engagement strategy with your customers.

### Type of engagement

Education/information sharing

# Details of engagement

Share information about your products and relevant certification schemes (i.e. Energy STAR)

### % of customers by number

100

### % of customer - related Scope 3 emissions as reported in C6.5

88

# Portfolio coverage (total or outstanding)

<Not Applicable>

### Please explain the rationale for selecting this group of customers and scope of engagement

We work to create a more sustainable workplace for our customers and our communities through our Environmentally Preferable Product Portfolio. The Merchandising Strategy team conducts in-depth reviews of our portfolio to determine what we should carry in our assortment, and how it should be presented to customers through our website/catalog. This is accomplished by listening to the voice of our customers via actual feedback submitted, paired with market trends. For example, customers are telling us that they have internal goals of making their facilities greener: saving energy, saving water, buying products with recycled content or finding solutions to help them recycle products. Our merchants use this feedback during their portfolio reviews to ensure we have the right sustainable product solutions. The team makes sure we capture all the relevant product information and display it in a manner to help a customer confidently choose the product that will help them meet their sustainability goals. In order to better understand our sustainability goals, we hosted a targeted customer roundtable at our annual National Sales and Services Meeting. As a result, we enhanced our data-driven EPP analytics. Customers taking science-based climate action seek energy efficient products that are certified as low-carbon or enable avoided emissions through transparent reporting so customers can compare data and select a sustainable option. Examples of certified low-carbon designations include Carbonfree®, EnergyStar® and DLC® Approved. We engage with key suppliers to share Environmental Product Declarations on Grainger.com where available so customers may compare and calculate product life cycle emissions. We offer our customers one of the largest green SKU counts in the industrial distribution market, providing more ways to reduce energy consumption, conserve water, reduce waste and improve indoor air quality. In addition the company offers data driven EPP analytics to our customers helping them track, report and grow their green

### Impact of engagement, including measures of success

We routinely review our EPP for opportunities to provide tailored solutions to customers with sustainability and EPP procurement goals. Our EPP Portfolio offers nearly 100,000 SKUs. We look at the sales performance of the EPP portfolio as our measure of success in helping customers select the products that will help them meet their sustainability goals. In 2019, EPP sales totaled \$675 million, which represents approximately five percent of our revenue, a 13% increase over 2018.

### C12.1d

(C12.1d) Give details of your climate-related engagement strategy with other partners in the value chain.

Who are the other partners: Employees. Since 2017, we have included a CSR module in our First Time Manager leadership development program for employees. This module explains Grainger's CSR practices in our value chain, environmental performance and the ways we serve our community. Our objectives are to drive team members' understanding of our CSR and sustainability initiatives and to learn how our team members can use CSR engagement to enhance their business goals.

Who are the other partners: Grainger Global Sourcing (GGS) GGS is responsible for Private Brands overseas sourcing. GGS uses a risk analysis tool and a supplier questionnaire to identify supply risks, including environmental risks, of our top overseas purchasing categories and top suppliers. This questionnaire requires suppliers to state their compliance with national and local environmental laws, including all laws related to air emissions and water discharge.

#### C12.3

(C12.3) Do you engage in activities that could either directly or indirectly influence public policy on climate-related issues through any of the following?

Trade associations

#### C12.3h

(C12.3b) Are you on the board of any trade associations or do you provide funding beyond membership?

### C12.3f

(C12.3f) What processes do you have in place to ensure that all of your direct and indirect activities that influence policy are consistent with your overall climate change strategy?

Grainger's Business Conduct Guidelines prohibit the use of company funds or assets for political purposes, including for contributions to any political party, candidate or committee. Therefore, because it is Grainger's policy not to actively engage with policymakers, activities that influence policy do not relate to Grainger's climate change strategy.

Process: Grainger's Business Conduct Guidelines define our shared expectations of how we work together, serve customers and business partners, and honor our commitments to shareholders everywhere we do business. In 2019, 100 percent of Grainger team members completed Business Conduct Guidelines training. Each new Grainger team member in the U.S. is required to complete training and certification within five days of hire, and new international team members are required to complete training and certification within 35 days. Moreover, all Grainger team members are expected to demonstrate their personal commitment to the company's high operating standards by certifying their annual compliance with the Business Conduct Guidelines. Team members also complete training every three years to fully understand the expectation of legal and ethical behaviors defined by the Business Conduct Guidelines. Grainger encourages anyone to report ethical concerns or complaints regarding company or individual practices. Individuals located within North America may call an independent, secure, 24-hour hotline at 888-873-3731. A global reporting website is available at www.tnwgrc.com/grainger.

### C12.4

•	(C12.4) Have you published information about your organization's response to climate change and GHG emissions performance for this reporting year in plate other than in your CDP response? If so, please attach the publication(s).	
	blication nainstream reports	
	itus	
	mplete ach the document	
	ainger 2019 Annual Report.pdf	

Page/Section reference

4

**Content elements** 

Emission targets

Comment

Publication

In voluntary sustainability report

Status

Underway - previous year attached

Attach the document

Grainger 2019 CSR Update.pdf

Page/Section reference

1-27

**Content elements** 

Governance

Strategy

Risks & opportunities

Emissions figures

Emission targets

Other metrics

Comment

2020 Grainger CSR Report underway and slated for release later in 2020. Updated website, containing 2019 fiscal information is available at graingercsr.com.

### Publication

In voluntary sustainability report

# Status

Complete

# Attach the document

Grainger\_2020\_Key\_Performance\_Data.pdf

### Page/Section reference

1

### Content elements

Governance

Strategy

Risks & opportunities

Emissions figures

Emission targets

Other metrics

### Comment

2020 Grainger CSR Report underway and slated for release later in 2020. Updated website, containing 2019 fiscal information is available at graingercsr.com. Attached the 2020 KPI index for 2019 available on website here.

# C15. Signoff

# C-FI

(C-FI) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.

### C15.1

(C15.1) Provide details for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP climate change response.

	Job title	Corresponding job category
Row 1	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer	Board chair

CDP Page 38 of 39