



What We Are Still Getting Wrong About Hantavirus Preparedness

Policy Leads: Anika Agrawal, Adithi Balaji

Policy Analysts: Ava Szajnu^{#3}, Chiara Yeung^{#4}, Lauren Gonzalez-Perez^{#5}, Hilda Huynh^{#6}

[#]Public Health, Institute for Youth in Policy

¹anikaagrawal@yipinstitute.org

²adithi@yipinstitute.org

Hantavirus is a rare, fatal, and highly contagious disease, spread primarily through rodents, with no available vaccine or cure. Recent cases have been recorded in the U.S. with health organizations implementing preventive measures to potentially minimize its spread. This brief analyzes agencies' policies and provides suggestions for future amendments, evaluating and improving their effectiveness in controlling the disease.

Keywords — Hantavirus, Public Health, Rodent Control, Tracking, Prevention, Outbreaks, Safety Gaps, Infection, Vaccines, Response

I. HISTORICAL CONTEXT

Although hantavirus remains a relatively rare disease in the United States, its high fatality rate and lack of effective medical countermeasures have made it an ongoing concern for public health officials. Hantavirus was first identified in the United States during the 1993 Four Corners outbreak. While annual case numbers remain low compared to more common infectious diseases, the severity of infection and the challenges associated with prevention continue to attract attention from health organizations and researchers.

A. *What is Hantavirus?*

Hantaviruses are a family of viruses carried by rodents throughout the world. It is a viral disease primarily spread through contact with infected rodents and their droppings, urine or saliva. In the United States, the strain most commonly associated with severe illness is the Sin Nombre virus which is mainly carried by deer mice. According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), people can become infected when they “breathe in air contaminated with the virus” after disturbing areas where infected rodents have lived. Infection most commonly occurs when contaminated dust becomes airborne during activities such as cleaning sheds, cabins, garages, barns and other enclosed spaces. Unlike many infectious diseases that spread directly between people, hantavirus is generally considered a zoonotic disease, meaning it spreads from animals to humans. The World Health Organization explains that humans typically contract hantavirus through “contact with rodents or their urine, feces, and saliva.” Human-to-human transmission is extremely rare

and has only been documented with certain strains, most notably the Andes virus found in South America.

B. Symptoms and Severity

One of the most dangerous characteristics of hantavirus is the progression of its symptoms. Early symptoms often resemble influenza or other respiratory illnesses, making initial diagnosis difficult. According to the CDC, early symptoms can include “fatigue, fever, and muscle aches,” often accompanied by headaches, dizziness, nausea, vomiting, and abdominal pain. As the disease progresses, patients may develop Hantavirus Pulmonary Syndrome (HPS), a severe respiratory condition that causes fluid accumulation in the lungs and significant breathing difficulties. The CDC warns that “HPS can be deadly” and reports that approximately one-third of people who develop respiratory symptoms ultimately die from the disease. Because symptoms often appear similar to common viral infections during the early stages, delays in diagnosis can have serious consequences for patient outcomes.

C. Geographic Distribution and Recent Cases

Although hantavirus infections occur worldwide, cases in the United States are concentrated primarily in western states where deer mouse populations are most common. According to CDC surveillance data, most cases have historically occurred west of the Mississippi River, particularly in the rural regions of states such as New Mexico, Arizona, Colorado, Utah, Montana, and California. Recent cases continue to demonstrate that hantavirus remains a persistent public health concern despite its rarity. In 2026, public health officials monitored dozens

of individuals across multiple states following potential exposure to the Andes virus during an international cruise. This response effort highlighted the sheer amount of resources needed to investigate even a single potential outbreak and underscored the importance of maintaining strong disease surveillance systems.

D. Why Public Health Officials Remain Concerned

At first glance, the relatively low number of annual hantavirus cases may suggest that it poses a limited threat to public health. However, public health experts emphasize that rarity alone does not eliminate concern. The disease’s high mortality rate, lack of a vaccine, absence of targeted antiviral treatments, and difficulty of early diagnosis all contribute to its significance. The World Health Organization notes that “there is no specific treatment, cure, or vaccine for hantavirus infection.” As a result, prevention remains the primary strategy available to health agencies. Unlike diseases such as influenza or COVID-19, where vaccination programs can significantly reduce transmission and severity, hantavirus prevention depends almost entirely on environmental management and behavioral precaution. This places a greater burden on public awareness campaigns and personal protective actions. Additionally, climate conditions, changing rodent populations, and increasing human interaction with wildlife habitats may create opportunities for future exposure events. Because outbreaks are often unpredictable, public health agencies must remain prepared even during periods when case numbers remain low.

E. High-Risk Occupations and Communities

The risk of hantavirus exposure is not evenly distributed throughout the population. Certain occupations and communities face substantially greater risk due to frequent contact with rodent habitats. According to the CDC, individuals who work in agriculture, forestry, construction, pest control, wildlife management and park maintenance may face elevated exposure risks. Farmers, ranchers, field biologists, and maintenance workers frequently enter environments where infected rodents may be present. In addition, rural communities are disproportionately affected, as residents are more likely to encounter rodent-infested structures such as barns, sheds, grain storage facilities, and seasonal cabins. Individuals cleaning long-vacant buildings are particularly vulnerable because disturbing rodent droppings can release infectious particles into the air. The CDC specifically recommends avoiding sweeping or vacuuming rodent waste because these actions can increase the likelihood of airborne transmission.

F. Distinctions from More Familiar Diseases

Hantavirus differs significantly from more serious diseases such as seasonal influenza. While influenza spreads rapidly through person-to-person contact and can often be prevented through annual vaccination, hantavirus typically originates from environmental exposure to infected rodents and currently has no approved vaccine. Influenza generally produces mild to moderate illness in most patients, whereas hantavirus infections can progress rapidly into life-threatening respiratory failure. Public familiarity with influenza has led to widespread awareness of symptoms, prevention strategies, and treatment options. In contrast, many Americans

remain unfamiliar with hantavirus due to its rarity. This lack of public knowledge can delay recognition of symptoms and complicate public health outreach efforts. Consequently, even though hantavirus affects far fewer individuals than influenza each year, its unique characteristics make it a distinct and ongoing challenge for disease prevention and preparedness efforts.

Hantavirus remains an uncommon but potentially serious public health threat. Its transmission through infected rodents, severe respiratory complications, lack of pharmaceutical countermeasures, and concentration among high-risk occupations all contribute to its significance. Understanding the disease's background and unique challenges provides important context for evaluating current public health policies and identifying opportunities for future improvements.

II. CURRENT POLICY RESPONSE

There are multiple levels of government involved in the current hantavirus response so as to monitor exposures and coordinate response efforts, including the CDC, state and local health departments, the World Health Organization (WHO), and the U.S. State Department. Some, like the CDC's May 2026 Health Alert Network notice, recommend clinicians and health departments to have careful watch for imported cases — though they also mention that, at this time, it is considered “extremely unlikely” that broad spread in the United States could occur. WHO likewise notes that it works with countries and partners on surveillance, laboratory capacity, risk communication, early detection, patient care, and outbreak response.

Currently, there are mainly preventative and surveillance-based responses rather than curative. The CDC reports support this claim when they reveal that 41 people across the U.S. were being monitored for symptoms after a cruise-ship-linked cluster; these individuals were either quarantined or coordinated with local and state health departments at home. CDC guidance advises that there be an emphasis on rodent control, avoiding contact with rodent urine and droppings, as well as special cleanup procedures rather than being dependent for a potential treatment or vaccine that has not yet been developed. WHO similarly structures hantavirus control to prioritize surveillance, contact tracing, and One Health coordination rather than emergency treatment.

Laboratory confirmation and public-health notification is necessary for case reporting. CDC's case-tracking page shows that national surveillance reports have supported findings regarding outbreaks of the U.S. hantavirus cases, demonstrating how 890 laboratory-confirmed cases have been recorded in the United States through the end of 2023. The WHO outbreak notice continues by saying that national IHR focal points were informed through the International Health Regulations system and are supporting international contact tracing. In other words, the system is reactive to confirmed clusters, but the main tools being used are still in prevention, tracing, and monitoring rather than a nationwide emergency medical intervention.

A major prevention step currently in place is public guidance on avoiding rodents and safely cleaning contaminated spaces. CDC materials recommend sealing homes against rodents,

trapping rodents, and cleaning with protective steps to reduce exposure. The CDC's prevention page also says avoiding rodent urine, feces, saliva, and nesting material is the best way to prevent infection. WHO adds that early diagnosis is difficult because symptoms resemble other respiratory or febrile illnesses, which makes surveillance and rapid communication especially important.

III. PERCEIVED BENEFITS

While the hantavirus outbreak has been a cause for mass concern throughout the world, public health measures have been readily placed to ensure the outbreak will be managed responsibly and effectively. For one, non-pharmaceutical interventions have already been set in place to ease public fears: contact tracing of affected patients, rapid isolation of hantavirus victims, and educating the public on the virus are all working towards said goal. However, an important factor in this scenario was timing; the South African government's ability to identify the disease, a recurring outbreak of the hantavirus, within a month has allowed for significant health organizations like WHO and the CDC to understand how to counteract this health scare.

As such, the CDC and WHO have already responded to this outbreak with beneficial mandates within this short time period. Among them include infection control protocols through the required use of PPE (personal protection equipment) by medical professionals, mandatory reporting of new hantavirus cases to local and federal registries, and the implementation of data modernization frameworks for real-time epidemic

tracking through the automation of data collection.

Through funding channeled from government appropriations (i.e., NIH, CDC, BARDA), global health & defense spending from USAMRIID, and non-profits (i.e., Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, Wellcome Trust, Vaccine Alliance) research has progressed incrementally. Studies like the Respiratory Illness Correlation have displayed that mask-wearing and social distancing typically lead to a statistical drop in the spread of diseases, including influenza B and measles. Additionally, the Diamond Princess Study, research similar to the hantavirus's exact cruise ship situation, has shown its ability to identify specific details of diseases in enclosed cruises by monitoring transmission times, required incubation windows, and common symptoms among patients. These studies are essential to the understanding of viruses and allow health organizations to choose the correct action steps to stifle any possible spreading.

Such information has allowed for productive real world applications. Although no widely accepted or available vaccine has been created to ease worries, defensive measures have been devised inspired from previous experiences. Immediately after notification of the hantavirus aboard the cruise, the CDC imposed a 42 day, or 6 weeks, monitoring policy on the 18 US passengers. The cruise was essentially used as a useful biocontainment facility, as predicted by the Diamond Princess study. While this method is extremely beneficial, if implemented too late, it could cause serious health concerns for individual passengers because symptoms like severe respiratory or cardiac problems could be

detrimental to their safety. Fortunately, because of the CDC's quick response, the virus was successfully restricted from continuously spreading and is now considered low risk.

IV. POTENTIAL DRAWBACKS

While current public health policies regarding hantavirus emphasize prevention and public awareness, several significant limitations still remain. The rarity of the disease and the absence of a vaccine or specific treatment, as well as a persistent gap between scientific research and policymaking, all create challenges that may reduce the effectiveness of current response efforts.

A. Limitations of Prevention-Based Strategies

The primary strategy employed by public health agencies such as the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) focuses on prevention through rodent control and public education. According to the CDC, "rodent control is the primary strategy for preventing hantavirus pulmonary syndrome (HPS)." However, reliance on prevention alone places substantial responsibility on individuals and their personal behavior rather than systemic protection. Many exposures occur accidentally during routine activities such as cleaning sheds, cabins, garages or other enclosed spaces where infected rodents may have been previously present. Even well-informed people may unknowingly encounter contaminated environments before realizing a risk exists.

Public awareness campaigns are also inherently limited by the rarity of the disease. Because hantavirus cases occur infrequently compared to more common infectious diseases, many Americans remain unfamiliar with

symptoms and transmission risks as well as reliable prevention recommendations. As a result, the educational outreach aspired to may not reach vulnerable populations until after exposure has already occurred. Prevention strategies can reduce risk but they may not be able to eliminate the possibility of accidental infections, particularly in rural communities where rodent exposure is more common.

B. Delays in Detection and Response

Another challenge involves the difficulty of identifying hantavirus infections quickly enough for effective intervention. Early symptoms closely resemble those of influenza and other respiratory illnesses, making diagnosis particularly difficult during the initial stages of infection. The World Health Organization notes that “early diagnosis of hantavirus infection can be challenging because early symptoms are common with other febrile or respiratory illnesses.” Similarly, many public health sources explain that symptoms are “nonspecific” and often difficult to distinguish from common viral illnesses.

These diagnostic challenges create the possibility that infected individuals may not receive appropriate medical attention until the disease has already progressed into severe respiratory distress. Because hantavirus can also deteriorate rapidly, even small delays in diagnosis can significantly worsen patient circumstances and their outcomes. Surveillance systems may also struggle to identify emerging clusters early due to the disease’s low incidence and long incubation period which can extend several weeks following exposure. Recent monitoring efforts following the 2026 Andes virus cruise ship outbreak

demonstrated the extensive resources required to track potentially exposed people across multiple states and countries, showing how quickly public health investigations can become complex in an operational sense.

C. Challenges Created by the Lack of a Vaccine or Treatment

Perhaps the most significant obstacle facing policymakers is the absence of a vaccine or targeted antiviral treatment. The CDC states plainly that “there is no specific treatment for hantavirus infection” and that “there is no specific antiviral treatment or vaccine” currently available. As a result public health agencies have few intervention options once the infection actually occurs. Most medical care consists of supportive treatment designed to manage symptoms rather than eliminate the virus itself.

This limitation makes hantavirus policy fundamentally different from policy responses to diseases such as influenza, measles, or COVID-19, where vaccination programs serve as a primary prevention tool. Without pharmaceutical countermeasures it means that policymakers must depend almost entirely on environmental management and behavioral guidance, as well as surveillance. If prevention efforts fail, our healthcare systems may have limited tools available to improve outcomes beyond intensive supportive care.

D. Scientific Knowledge Versus Legislative Understanding

A final concern involves the gap between scientific expertise and legislative decision-making. Hantavirus research remains relatively specialized because the disease itself is rare, meaning many lawmakers have limited

familiarity with its transmission patterns, epidemiology and emerging scientific findings. Complex issues such as incubation periods, regional variations among hantavirus strains, zoonotic transmission and the rare human-to-human spread observed with Andes virus require substantial scientific knowledge to fully understand.

This disconnect can create policy results that oftentimes lag behind scientific developments. For example, researchers continue investigating experimental vaccines and monoclonal antibodies, as well as antiviral therapies, yet funding for these efforts remains limited. According to AP News, “there hasn’t been enough sustained investment by governments, global health groups, or drug companies.” When legislators lack sufficient scientific literacy or access to current research, public policy may prioritize short-term responses over long-term investments in medical countermeasures to these crises. This can result in underfunded research initiatives, delayed preparedness planning and slower adaptation to emerging scientific evidence. The effectiveness of hantavirus policy is often constrained not only by the biology of the disease itself but also by the challenges of translating complex scientific knowledge into actionable legislation.

Although current hantavirus policies provide important protections through prevention and public education, significant vulnerabilities remain. Diagnostic delays, dependence on behavioral compliance, the absence of vaccines and treatments, and persistent gaps between scientific research and legislative action all limit the effectiveness of existing

strategies. Addressing these challenges will be essential for improving preparedness and reducing the public health risks posed by future hantavirus outbreaks.

V. FUTURE POLICY/OUTLOOK

Having only suffered from a global pandemic not too long ago, countries globally have learnt many lessons from the COVID-19 pandemic that may prove useful when combatting the rise of hantavirus.

Firstly, investment in public health infrastructure is crucial to preventing the spread of any virus. As the COVID-19 pandemic caught many countries off-guard, national health agencies worldwide struggled to grapple with the speed and scale at which COVID-19 spread, showing us how important it is to have systems that can quickly find and track new viruses as they spread. This is evidenced by the disparity in mortality rates across various developed countries: studies have shown that countries which had invested heavily in healthcare infrastructure, such as Germany and South Korea, were more equipped to handle the surge in critically ill patients, which thus resulted in lower mortality rates. This is something that U.S. health agencies could look into: although the U.S. healthcare sector is funded by a mix of public programs, local county programs and most prominently, private insurance, mandating minimum requirements for healthcare facilities such as ICU beds, hospitals, and medical supplies within a fixed area may be a viable measure for government agencies to implement. This could ensure that hospitals across the US are well-equipped to respond to public health

challenges or crises in the future, allowing potential outbreaks to be nipped in the bud.

Next, early intervention and coordination in response to public health crises is a must. This includes decisive government intervention (such as lockdowns, vaccination, and mask policies) at a federal, state, and county level; as well as clear channels of communication between the government and citizens to provide updates on critical public health measures and decisions. As various research has previously shown, countries which implemented earlier social contact restrictions suffered low excess mortality rates in relation to COVID-19. This must be the government's biggest priority if another pandemic breaks out, as early intervention is critical to stemming the early waves of a pandemic. This then provides institutions with critical time to plan next steps and measures, such as vaccine rollout schemes or mass testing policies. However, one caveat of this measure is that high trust in government and institutions is necessary in order for this to be effective. In a world rife with rapidly-spreading misinformation, it is thus imperative that clear, coordinated communication takes place between various levels of government and citizens, so as to enable effective implementation of government measures in the case of a public health crisis.

Looking forward, health agencies must look to be preventive instead of reactive, to better combat public health crises and outbreaks. As mentioned earlier, preventive measures such as communication, as well as surveillance could potentially be strengthened. One way this could be done is by creating a robust healthcare database that records accurate and comprehensive

information in regards to various illnesses and diseases, with consideration towards patients' data privacy. This could enable early detection and thus earlier responses to outbreaks, as well as raise awareness about health trends and risk factors. Another way preventive measures could be improved could be through creating clear, united messaging across all levels of government in times of healthcare crisis. Messaging must be fact-based and transparent to reduce the need for speculation and the reliability of disinformation, and regular engagement with the public would also prove to be useful, to foster trust and understanding between a government and its citizens.

Overall, given that hantavirus is vastly different from COVID-19, from how it has a longer incubation period and thus spreads much less efficiently, to the fact that scientists have long been aware of how hantavirus infects humans, many health experts have noted that the risk of a hantavirus pandemic is extremely low. Moreover, the differences in preparedness for a potential hantavirus pandemic and the COVID-19 pandemic also means that government agencies have been able to develop a more well-rounded and efficient approach to containing and combatting the spread of various contagious illnesses, such as hantavirus. Rest assured, even if a pandemic were to break out once again, countries globally are now equipped with past experience and improved strategies to combat such health crises; thus diminishing the impact that a possible hantavirus pandemic could have on the global landscape.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The Institute for Youth in Policy wishes to acknowledge Kara Wang for editing this policy brief.

VII. REFERENCES

- [1] 2024b. “About Hantavirus.” Hantavirus. May 13, 2024.
<https://www.cdc.gov/hantavirus/about/index.html>.
- [2] 2024c. “Hantavirus Prevention.” Hantavirus. June 4, 2024.
<https://www.cdc.gov/hantavirus/prevention/index.html>.
- [3] 2026. “2026 Multi-Country Hantavirus Cluster Linked to Cruise Ship.” Health Alert Network (HAN). May 9, 2026.
<https://www.cdc.gov/han/php/notices/han00528.html>.
- [4] CDC. 2024a. “Reported Cases of Hantavirus Disease.” Hantavirus. 2024.
https://www.cdc.gov/hantavirus/data-research/cases/?CDC_AAref_Val=https://www.cdc.gov/hantavirus/surveillance/index.html.
- [5] “CDC Says 41 across U.S. Being Monitored for Hantavirus | AHA News.” 2026. American Hospital Association | AHA News. 2026.
<https://www.aha.org/news/headline/2026-05-15-cdc-says-41-across-us-being-monitored-for-hantavirus>.
- [6] Czachor, Emily Mae. 2026. “Why Hantavirus Is Not like COVID, according to Infectious Disease Experts.” Cbsnews.com. May 11, 2026.
<https://www.cbsnews.com/news/hantavirus-covid-infectious-disease-experts/>.
- [7] “Hantavirus.” 2025. Who.int. 2025.
<https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/hantavirus>.
- [8] “Hantavirus Cluster Linked to Cruise Ship Travel, Multi-Country.” 2024. Who.int. 2024.
<https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease-outbreak-news/item/2026-DON600>.
- [9] Lawrence, Adewale. 2024. “Evaluating the Effectiveness of Public Health Measures during Infectious Disease Outbreaks: A Systematic Review.” *Curēus* 16 (3).
<https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.55893>.
- [10] McGowan, Ericka, Sidnie Christian, and Margaret Nilz. 2026. “Public Health Response to Hantavirus: Key Takeaways.” Astho.org. May 15, 2026.
<https://www.astho.org/communications/blog/2026/public-health-response-to-hantavirus-key-takeaways/>.

- [11] National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID). *COVID-19, RSV, and Flu*. January 25, 2024. Photograph. Unsplash. <https://unsplash.com/photos/a-group-of-blue-and-green-cells-on-a-black-surface-bh57ZKDa07g>.
- [12] Nayara Batschke, and Susan Montoya Bryan. 2026. "Deadly Cruise Ship Outbreak Renews the Push for Hantavirus Treatments and Vaccines." AP News. June 3, 2026. <https://apnews.com/article/hantavirus-vaccine-treatment-cruise-ship-chile-argentina-363981f63100e1d2229f8b19686a377b>.
- [13] Simoes, Eduardo J., and Jeannette Jackson-Thompson. 2022. "The United States Public Health Services Failure to Control the Coronavirus Epidemic." *Preventive Medicine Reports* 31 (6): 102090. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmedr.2022.102090>.
- [14] Techno-Science.net. 2025. "Covid-19: In Hindsight, Which Countries Responded Best during the Pandemic?" Techno-Science.net. February 8, 2025. <https://www.techno-science.net/en/news/covid-19-in-hindsight-which-countries-responded-best-during-the-pandemic-N26473.html>.
- [15] Tyagi, Vibhuti, Sapna Upadhyay, and Gauri Sharma. 2024. "Global Impact of COVID-19: Pandemic Preparedness, Healthcare Response, and Lessons Learned." *International Journal of Research and Review in Applied Science, Humanities, and Technology* 1 (2): 32–39. <https://doi.org/10.71143/7ab0dk25>.