



Evaluating the Effectiveness of California's Rental Assistance Programs in Preventing Housing Instability

Dymphna (DJ) William

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

California faces one of the most severe rental affordability crises in the United States. Although rental assistance is intended to ease the burden on struggling households, a substantial number of California renters still spend a large portion of their income on housing. These programs are meant to promote stability and prevent eviction among low-income families, but their outcomes have been inconsistent. This brief evaluates the implementation and effects of California's rental assistance efforts, including federal Emergency Rental Assistance (ERA) funds and state-level programs. Furthermore, it highlights the extensive barriers that have limited access for many eligible households. Ultimately, while existing programs have prevented homelessness for many residents of California, policy reforms are vital to improve outcomes and expand equitable access.

II. OVERVIEW

California's rental housing market is one of the most expensive in the nation, and a large portion of individuals are cost-burdened, meaning they spend more than 30% of their income on housing costs. Nearly half of all California renter households fall into this category, significantly

higher than many other states and national averages. Rental assistance programs were created to help stabilize households struggling with high housing costs. These programs provide direct financial support for rent and utility payments to eligible low-income households, often tied to federal funds or temporary emergency appropriations. In theory, this assistance covers past-due rent, future rent obligations, and housing stability services to reduce the risk of eviction and homelessness. However, there is a substantial gap between policy intent and policy outcomes. Many renters who could benefit from assistance do not receive it due to barriers like administrative delays, language access limitations, and complex eligibility requirements.

A. Relevance

Rental assistance plays a critical role in preventing housing instability and eviction, which in turn affects employment, family well being, and community stability. When households are unstable or face eviction, residents, including children, may be forced to relocate, disrupting schooling, work, and social support networks. These disruptions can contribute to long-term economic hardship and deepen cycles of poverty. The financial stakes are high: studies show that a majority of California renters face rent burdens that make it hard to afford other essentials like food, healthcare, and transportation. High rent

burdens and limited savings raise the risk of rental arrears, making eviction more likely without effective rental assistance. In addition, rental assistance is a key public policy tool for poverty reduction, subsidizing housing costs can simply free up income for other necessities, increase economic productivity by keeping workers housed, and reduce the public costs of homelessness services and emergency shelters. Because of its interconnections with family welfare, economic stability, and community health, evaluating the effectiveness of California's rental assistance programs is a critically relevant policy issue.

III. HISTORY

A. Current Stances

Federal rental assistance policy in the United States dates back to efforts to address housing instability during the Great Depression, beginning with the Housing Act of 1937 and evolving significantly over subsequent decades. One of the major federal programs, known today as the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program, was established through amendments in the 1970s. Section 8 allows eligible low-income families to pay no more than about 30% of their income toward rent, with federal dollars covering the remainder. Over time, federal rental assistance programs have expanded in response to economic shocks and rising housing costs. In 2021, the Emergency Rental Assistance Program (ERA) was created with federal funds under the Consolidated Appropriations Act and the American Rescue Plan Act to rapidly provide rental and utility support to households affected by COVID-19 economic fallout. These programs collectively delivered tens of billions of dollars nationwide and aimed to prevent eviction, homelessness, and

housing instability. At the state level, California implemented some of the nation's largest rental relief efforts during the pandemic. The state's emergency rent relief programs distributed billions of dollars to hundreds of thousands of households, often working in partnership with local governments and community organizations. Despite substantial spending, issues with implementation and application processing caused many eligible households to not receive support at all.

IV. POLICY PROBLEM

A. Stakeholders

California's rental assistance programs involve many different groups who are affected in various ways. The individuals who depend on these programs the most are low-income residents who are at risk of falling behind on rent or being evicted. Their lives can change drastically based on whether assistance is easy to access and delivered on time. Landlords also play a key role in this system because rental assistance helps them get paid and avoid costly eviction cases. Conversely, many have been frustrated by long wait times and complex paperwork. Additionally, there are other secondary stakeholders that are equally significant: local government, community organizations, and federal agencies. Local government departments assist in processing applications, community organizations help renters understand the process, and federal agencies provide the funding that make these programs possible in the first place. All of these groups are interconnected, so challenges in one part of the system ultimately affect all the others.

B. Risks of Indifference

The risk of indifference to housing inequity is that failing to address the flaws in California's rental assistance system will allow housing instability to grow even more severe. Families who already struggle to keep up with rent could face rising eviction rates, especially in areas where wages have not kept up with the cost of living. Once someone is evicted, it becomes much harder to stay employed, keep kids in the same school, or find another affordable place to live. Local governments would also face higher costs because responding to homelessness is far more expensive than preventing it in the first place. Small landlords, especially in communities where most rentals are owned by individual families, would also be harmed by missed rent payments and inconsistent assistance. And the communities already facing the biggest barriers, such as individuals who have language barriers, lack internet access, or worry about interacting with government programs, would fall even further behind. Doing nothing does not keep the problem the same, instead it causes the problem to escalate.

C. Nonpartisan Reasoning

Keeping people housed benefits entire communities regardless of background or beliefs. When families have stable housing, adults are more likely to stay employed, students tend to perform better in school, and employers face fewer disruptions from turnover. It is also more cost-effective for cities and counties to prevent housing loss in the first place than to respond later with emergency shelters and crisis services after homelessness has already occurred. Beyond efficiency, stronger rental assistance supports fairness by helping ensure that all households, especially those facing language barriers or

limited access to technology, have a real chance at maintaining stability. Due to these programs strengthening families, reducing public spending, and supporting local economies, improving them is a solution that benefits individuals across groups.

V. TRIED POLICY

California's largest recent effort to support renters was the statewide COVID-19 Rent Relief Program. This program used federal emergency funding to help families pay back rent and utility bills during the pandemic. During the COVID-19 pandemic, California distributed more than \$5 billion in rent relief and assisted over 1.2 million residents. While it did protect many households from eviction, it also showed that the state's rental assistance system was not prepared to handle such a large demand. Many families waited months for their applications to be reviewed, and some were evicted before money arrived. Counties with strong staffing and better technology moved faster, while others fell behind. Some renters faced barriers such as language differences, confusing online forms, or difficulty providing documents the program required. In addition, some landlords refused to participate because they were frustrated with the slow timeline or disliked program rules. A similar situation happened with the Emergency Rental Assistance Program, where there were over half a million households on the list for rental assistance, but only 16% received it. These challenges reveal that even though the funding was available, the system needed better organization, clearer communication, and stronger outreach.

VI. POLICY OPTIONS

Simplified Application and Language Access

Reform

Rental assistance in California is often difficult to access because the application process is overly complex and not always accessible to the people who need it most. Many low-income renters face barriers such as limited English proficiency, lack of internet access, or confusion around documentation requirements. A streamlined application system with clearer instructions, mobile-friendly multilingual support would help reduce these barriers. While programs already exist at the county level, their effectiveness varies widely depending on resources. Strengthening language access services and expanding in-person assistance through schools, libraries, and community organizations would make the system more equitable and ensure that eligible households are not excluded simply because of administrative complexity. This is especially due to the fact that over 51% of severely cost-burdened renter households speak a language other than English at home, but they represented just 12% of statewide rental assistance applicants. Data shows that non-English-speaking renters were underrepresented in relief programs due to a lack of support.

Guaranteed Processing Times and Interim Payments

One major issue with California's rental assistance programs is the amount of time it takes for applications to be processed, which can leave tenants vulnerable to eviction while they wait for approval. A policy that establishes guaranteed processing timelines, such as requiring decisions within 30 to 45 days, would create more consistency across counties and reduce delays. In addition, providing interim or partial payments to

landlords while applications are being reviewed would help maintain trust in the system and encourage greater participation from property owners. Although California has attempted large-scale emergency rental relief programs in the past, inconsistent timelines have weakened their effectiveness. A more structured and predictable system would help prevent avoidable evictions caused by administrative delays rather than actual ineligibility.

Permanent Eviction Prevention Infrastructure

California's current rental assistance system largely relies on emergency funding during crises rather than maintaining consistent long-term support. A more effective approach would be to build permanent eviction prevention infrastructure at the county level that combines rental assistance with legal aid, mediation services, and early intervention programs. This system would identify households at risk of falling behind on rent before eviction proceedings begin and connect them with support services sooner. While some counties have pilot programs that incorporate elements of this approach, they are not yet widespread or consistently funded. Expanding these efforts statewide would shift the focus from responding to housing loss after it happens to preventing it altogether, which is both more cost-effective and socially beneficial.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

California's rental assistance programs are meant to keep families housed and prevent evictions, but the challenges revealed during the pandemic and other instances show that the system needs major improvements. Long processing times, complicated applications, unequal access, and

inconsistent landlord participation all limit the programs' effectiveness. By simplifying the process, ensuring faster payments, and building long-term eviction-prevention systems, the state can create a rental assistance program that truly supports families before they reach a crisis point. These changes would help communities remain stable, protect children and workers from unnecessary disruption, and make sure California's housing resources reach the people who need them most. Strengthening rental assistance is an investment in giving families a fair chance at stability and opportunity.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The Institute for Youth in Policy wishes to acknowledge Taylor Beljon-Regen, Patrick Pickren, Tiffany Li, Asher Cohen, Paul Kramer, and other contributors for developing and maintaining the Fellowship Program within the Institute.

REFERENCES

- [1] California Legislative Analyst's Office. *California's High Housing Costs: Causes and Consequences*. 2015. <https://lao.ca.gov/reports/2015/finance/housing-costs/housing-costs.pdf>.
- [2] Harvard Joint Center for Housing Studies. *The State of the Nation's Housing 2023*. 2023. <https://www.jchs.harvard.edu/state-nations-housing-2023>.
- [3] California Department of Housing and Community Development. *California's Housing Future: Challenges and Opportunities*. <https://www.hcd.ca.gov/policy-research/plans-reports>.
- [4] Eviction Lab at Princeton University. *California Eviction Trends*. Accessed 2026. <https://evictionlab.org/>.
- [5] PolicyLink and Housing NOW!. *California Evictions Are on the Rise Post-Pandemic*. <https://www.policylink.org>.
- [6] U.S. Department of the Treasury. *Emergency Rental Assistance Program: Data and Reporting*. Accessed 2026. <https://home.treasury.gov/policy-issues/coronavirus/assistance-for-state-local-and-tribal-governments/emergency-rental-assistance-program>.
- [7] National Low Income Housing Coalition. *Emergency Rental Assistance: Lessons from the Pandemic*. 2022. <https://nlihc.org>.
- [8] UCLA Luskin Institute on Inequality and Democracy. *Rent Debt in California*. 2021–2023. <https://challengeinequality.luskin.ucla.edu>.
- [9] California State Auditor. *California's COVID-19 Rent Relief Program: Weak Oversight and Administrative Delays Limited Program Success*. 2023. <https://www.auditor.ca.gov/reports>.
- [10] Turner Center for Housing Innovation, University of California, Berkeley. *A Year of COVID-19 in California: Impact on Renters Landlords*. <https://turnercenter.berkeley.edu>.
- [11] Urban Institute. *Barriers to Rental Assistance Access During COVID-19*. 2022. <https://www.urban.org>.
- [12] National Alliance to End Homelessness. *The Case for Eviction Prevention*. <https://endhomelessness.org>.
- [13] San Francisco Office of the Budget and Legislative Analyst. *Cost of Homelessness Services* 2022. <https://sfbos.org/budget-and-legislative-analyst>.

[14] Santa Clara County Office of Supportive Housing. *Annual Homeless Census and Report..* <https://osh.sccgov.org>.

<https://housing.lacity.org>

[15] Los Angeles Housing Department. *Housing Stability & Tenant Protections Reports.*