

# The #Pristine Parish Methodology and Protocol

NOTE: If there is a new credit class and methodology these should be reviewed as one under Credit Protocol - if it is a new methodology under an existing credit class/protocol list as a methodology review

External R1 Review Round

Reviewer 1

Date February 2026

<b>CONTENT referenced by reviewer's comment</b> <i>e.g. Section number + paste exact text</i>	<b>REVIEWER'S COMMENT</b> <i>Please paste the comment from the reviewer</i>	<b>AUTHOR'S RESPONSE</b> <i>Please describe how the comment was addressed and include new content in quotations</i>	<b>Reviewer's Conclusion</b> [PASSED/ REJECTED WITH COMMENTS]
<i>e.g. 2.1 - "approximately 25%</i>	<b>e.g. Replace</b> with "adequate"	<i>e.g. This was changed to "The majority of the material must have a moisture content of 25% or less, as measured in the field."</i>	PASSED
<i>The following comments refer to the methodology</i>			
1 Glossary - Pik	Replace with "Pick"	<i>Excellent point. I propose switching to "patrol" and confine the use of Pikker-derived terms to Eden's Project Plan.</i>	Passed

		<p><i>Why 'patrol'? It suggests regularity and from time to time a volunteer will not find any litter, so no picking involved.</i></p> <p><i>In the cause of clarity, I'll also change Walks to 'routes'.</i></p>	
1 Glossary - Picker	Replace with "Picker" everywhere this word appears throughout the Methodology text, as it is easier to understand for the general reader.	<p><i>Following the logic above, I propose to call the volunteers "Patrollers".</i></p>	Passed
1 Glossary - Parish Offtake Sponsor	Add a specific example of a POS for clarity of meaning	<p><i>The POS is mentioned in Clause 13. Clause 13 contained funding details, which more properly belong in the Project Plan - written by a PP Organiser who therein will specify the funding they are counting on to set up a PP Program. In other words, on reflection, funding is outside the scope of this document.</i></p> <p><b><i>I've thus reduced the clause to two sentences: "The PPO is remunerated through credit revenue upon achieving the Standard of Performance set out in Section 14.5. The specific commercial arrangements between the PPO and the Credit Buyer are to be documented in the Project Plan."</i></b></p>	Passed

1 Glossary - Litter	Add after ... cans, "plastic, fast-food packaging," ...	<i>Proposed text:</i> "Rubbish such as unclaimed paper, cans, bottles, plastic and fast-food packaging in a public place. Excludes fly tips."	Passed
2.1 - "free of pollutants"	Replace with "free of litter/pollutants"	<i>Proposed text:</i> "The #PristinePlanet Credit Class rewards projects that keep Parishes free of pollutants (e.g., litter) by virtue of twice-weekly pollutant-clearance patrols."	Passed
2.4 - "purchased ... by retailers from entities that remove packaging from the ground".	Replace with "purchased ... by retailers that remove litter and packaging from the ground".	<i>Proposed text to maintain the equivalence with the carbon space:</i> "In the same way that carbon credits are purchased by carbon emitters (e.g., airlines) from entities that remove carbon from the air (e.g., landowners who plant trees), so #PristinePlanet Credits will be purchased by packaging emitters (e.g., supermarkets) from entities that remove packaging from the ground (e.g., environmental protection organisations)."	Passed
5 - para 2 - "or - smashed - "	Replace with "or if smashed, can injure ..."	<i>Proposed text:</i> "One discarded bottle can trap multiple rodents or, if smashed, can injure larger mammals, both wild and domestic."	Passed
6.1.2 - * "Common land, a park, a beach"	Add ... a beach, "a recreation ground, a public car park".	<i>Proposed text:</i> "Common land, a park, a recreation ground, a public car park, a beach"	Passed
7.1 - Set-up - subclause 4	Change Picking to "Litter Picking". Add after words in brackets, "and provides Health and Safety advice".	<i>Proposed text:</i> "Provides training in patrolling safely and reporting (i.e., uploading haul photos)."	Passed

7.1 - Set-up - subclause 5	Change Pickers to “Litter Pickers”. Change “free hi-vis vests and grabbers” to “free hi-vis vests, grabbers and protective gloves”.	<i>Proposed text to also accommodate Steve’s suggestion: “Equips the patrollers with free hi-vis vests and heavy duty grabbers and gloves.”</i>	Passed
7.2.1 - Rota	I had difficulty understanding this paragraph, with particular reference to “ ‘Edit’ permission allowing them to change their Pick client-side from red to green or yellow. Otherwise, the change will be made server-side,” ... Needs clarification.	<i>Proposed text: “...‘Edit’ permission allowing them to change their patrol themselves from red to green or yellow. Otherwise, the change will be made either manually by the PPO or automatically by the system.”</i>	Passed
8 - Reporting, para 4	This refers to “the end of a PPP”. What constitutes the end of a PPP? Is it one year? If so, make this clear in this paragraph.	<p>Thinking of how results will be displayed, i.e., on a bar chart, the most user-friendly timing for a PPP is one year, running from 1 January to 31 December. So the bars will be 2027, 2028, etc.</p> <p>The first year’s PPP (e.g., 2026) will likely not start on 1 January. It would nevertheless appear as a normal bar, while only generating a proportion of a year’s worth of credits.</p> <p><i>So, proposed text: “At the end of a PPP, which will occur on the first 31 December following its commencement, the PPO submits a PPR to a third-party Verifier and submits to the Registry Agent proof of the Verifier’s participation in the PPP.”</i></p>	Passed

		<i>There may be a neater way of expressing that.</i>	
12.1 - Biodiversity	Add the word "wildlife", eg ... "the harm that litter can do to wildlife and the environment".	<i>Proposed text:</i> <b>"The benefit is from neutralising the harm that litter can do to wildlife and the environment."</b>	Passed

## Reviewer's Blind Review Comments regarding Protocol/Methodology

Kindly enter your comments based on these questions in the table below. Also, if referencing specific text, please include text excerpt or row/page number from the protocol/methodology for ease of reference by the authors. All reviewer comments will remain anonymous unless you choose to be named.

Is the protocol/methodology clearly written with adequate detail for implementation?	Yes, subject to inclusion of the additions and minor changes I have suggested above.	
Is the underlying foundation of the protocol/methodology clear?	Yes, though I had to read sections 13 and 14 several times to be sure I understood the protocol/methodology clearly.	<i>The credit space is indeed complex. Clause 13 is now a couple of sentences. Clause 14.12 re Costs has been simplified.</i>
Is the protocol/methodology feasible?	Yes, and it is already in operation and has therefore been tested.	
Are there any alternative or additional points that should be considered?	Only those I have already mentioned in my review.	
Will the proposed guidelines and regulations achieve the	Yes, if followed conscientiously.	

results defined in the protocol/methodology?		
Do you want to be named in the review? (Expert Reviewers will be named after review is completed unless you choose to be anonymous)	Yes	

## Recommendation

Kindly mark with an X

Accept As Is:	X
Requires Minor Revision:	
Requires Moderate Revision:	
Requires Major Revision:	
Reject and Re-submit:	
Rejection: (Please provide reasons)	



---

**General/Additional Comments:**