Code Assessment

of the Dss Flappers

Smart Contracts

October 21, 2025

Produced for



S CHAINSECURITY

Contents

1	Executive Summary	3
2	Assessment Overview	5
3	Limitations and use of report	11
4	Terminology	12
5	Open Findings	13
6	Resolved Findings	14
7	Informational	17
8	Notes	19



1 Executive Summary

Dear all,

Thank you for trusting us to help Sky with this security audit. Our executive summary provides an overview of subjects covered in our audit of the latest reviewed contracts of Dss Flappers according to Scope to support you in forming an opinion on their security risks.

Sky has implemented new contracts to process the surplus of the stablecoin system. A new Splitter contract divides the surplus between a burning engine (Flapper) and a reward farm. Flapper contracts interact with UniswapV2, exchanging USDS for Gem tokens, with two variants: FlapperUniV2SwapOnly fully converts USDS to Gem, while FlapperUniV2 adds liquidity to the pool.

In the latest version, a Kicker contract has been implemented which provides a new entrypoint to initiate surplus processing.

The most critical subjects covered in our audit are access control, asset solvency, functional correctness, and the impact of the change on the existing system. An issue with functional correctness was identified, where Splitter.cage() did not lock the Splitter completely (see Splitter.cage() does not lock the Splitter). After the intermediate report, this issue has been resolved.

The general subjects covered are specifications correctness, optimizations, and soundness of the deployment and initialization scripts. The specification of babylonian.sqrt() was inaccurate (see Incorrect specification). The checks in the initialization scripts could be further enhanced (see Missing check for bump and Missing check of reward token on farm contract). All the issues have been resolved and security regarding all the aforementioned subjects is high.

In summary, we find that the codebase provides a high level of security.

It is important to note that security audits are time-boxed and cannot uncover all vulnerabilities. They complement but don't replace other vital measures to secure a project.

The following sections will give an overview of the system, our methodology, the issues uncovered and how they have been addressed. We are happy to receive questions and feedback to improve our service.

Sincerely yours,

ChainSecurity



1.1 Overview of the Findings

Below we provide a brief numerical overview of the findings and how they have been addressed.

Critical - Severity Findings	0
High-Severity Findings	0
Medium-Severity Findings	0
Low-Severity Findings	6
• Code Corrected	6



2 Assessment Overview

In this section, we briefly describe the overall structure and scope of the engagement, including the code commit which is referenced throughout this report.

2.1 Scope

The assessment was performed on the source code files inside the Dss Flappers repository based on the documentation files. The table below indicates the code versions relevant to this report and when they were received.

V	Date	Commit Hash	Note
1	29 Jan 2024	4e7a8f452a463a243f3bb2ef065cf5f517af6490	Initial Version
2	07 Feb 2024	f039433bc80c0e5009cf7bf3fc2008e12f9c1c46	After Intermediate Report
3	09 May 2024	bf96463d5a3ec4ae82845668c43e57311d3aa 3dc	Farm Mutable & Compiler Version
4	28 Aug 2024	95431f3d4da66babf81c6e1138bd05f5ddc5e5 16	Usage of USDS
5	17 Oct 2025	f4f4f22b3eae6c912551b00ad64a56862ad61f8 6	Kicker

For the solidity smart contracts, the compiler version 0.8.16 was chosen. In Version 3, the compiler version was changed to 0.8.21.

The scope consists of the following Solidity files:

```
./src/FlapperUniV2.sol
```

- ./src/FlapperUniV2SwapOnly.sol
- ./src/OracleWrapper.sol
- ./src/Splitter.sol
- ./src/SplitterMom.sol
- ./src/Babylonian.sol
- ./deploy/FlapperDeploy.sol
- ./deploy/FlapperInit.sol
- ./deploy/SplitterInstance.sol

Since (Version 5), the following file has been added to the scope:

```
./src/Kicker.sol
```

For the deployment scripts, the review covered the following:

- Deployment: Confirmed proper deployment mechanisms, including accurate parameter relay from script to constructor and, if required, ownership transitions.
- Initialization: This code is to be executed as delegate call in the context of the Governance pause proxy. It is assumed the Splitter, Flapper, and Oracle / OracleWrapper are initialized atomically.
- 1. Ensuring the code is performing thorough sanity checks on already configured state variables (except mappings, which is not feasible).



5

- 2. Sanity checks and initialization of further states.
- 3. Distributing essential access rights.
- 4. Updating the chainlog.

Previous reports have covered earlier versions of the Flapper implementations. This report focuses exclusively on the current implementation as outlined above.

2.1.1 Excluded from scope

Any other file not explicitly mentioned in the scope section. In particular tests and external dependencies are not part of the audit scope.

UniswapV2 is not in scope of this review.

The selection of the parameters (e.g. bump, kbump, khump) is out of scope of this review.

2.2 System Overview

This system overview describes the latest version (Version 5) of the contracts as defined in the Assessment Overview.

Sky implemented new contracts to process the surplus of the stablecoin system. A Splitter contract is introduced to split the surplus between the Flapper and the USDS reward farm contract. Two versions of the Flapper implementation exist: FlapperUniV2 and FlapperUniV2SwapOnly. In Version 5, a new Kicker contract is introduced to replace the native Vow.flap() functionality.

Leveraging UniswapV2, USDS is exchanged for Gem tokens. FlapperUniV2SwapOnly converts all USDS and the resulting Gem tokens are transferred to the predefined receiver while FlapperUniV2 converts a partial amount and deposits both USDS and Gem into the liquidity pool with the liquidity pool shares being minted to the predefined receiver.

Kicker

Introduced in $\overline{\text{Version 5}}$ of this codebase, the Kicker contract provides a new entrypoint to initiate surplus processing. This is intended to replace Vow.flap() which will be disabled by setting the Vow parameters accordingly. Contrary to Vow.flap(), it no longer features the check that ensures all system debt is accounted for (the requirement that Vat.sin(Vow) - Sin - Ash == 0).

Upon deployment, the immutable addresses of Vat, Vow, and Splitter are initialized in the constructor.

The Kicker contract features the following state variables modifiable by the privileged wards:

- kbump Fixed lot size [rad] to be kicked per surplus auction.
- khump Flap threshold [rad] representing the surplus buffer. This is an int256 type, allowing for negative values.

Whenever the Vow has sufficient surplus, anyone may execute Kicker.flap(). The function verifies that Vat.dai(Vow) >= Vat.sin(Vow) + kbump + khump, then mints kbump amount of internal dai balance to the Kicker via Vat.suck() (consequently increasing Vow's sin), and finally triggers Splitter.kick(kbump, 0) to process the surplus through the Splitter.kick(kbump, 0)

Splitter

Serves as the new Flapper contract to be registered in the Vow.

Upon deployment, the immutable address of the usdsJoin is initialized.

The Splitter contract features the following state variables modifiable by the privileged roles:



- burn Burn percentage expressed in WAD, 1 WAD = funneling 100% to the burn engine (Flapper contract).
- hop minimum cool down period (in seconds) between kicks.
- flapper Flapper contract / Burn engine where the Burn percentage part of the surplus USDS is transferred to and exec() is invoked.
- farm Reward farm that receives the surplus to be allocated to a farm.

Whenever the Vow of the DSS has sufficient surplus, anyone may execute Splitter.kick() through Vow.flap(). Before calling Splitter.kick(bump, 0), the Vow ensures the debt is zero and that there is sufficient surplus. The Splitter distributes the surplus (USDS tokens) according to the burn percentage configured and executes Flapper.exec(lot) and Farm.notifyRewardAmound(pay).

SplitterMom

This contract is used to bypass the governance delay when disabling the Splitter in an emergency.

Since Sky contracts do not feature fine grained access control, any privileged account (ward) may call any privileged function on the contract. To expose certain privileged functions only, Mom contracts are used: These smart contracts feature code which allows to call certain functions on the target contract only, hence restricting the access.

SplitterMom must be given the ward role in the Splitter. It exposes stop() which updates the hop parameter of the Splitter to type(uint256).max. Consequently, executions of kick() will be paused until the value has been reset.

stop() is a privileged function. Access control is determined through a DsAuth scheme: Either the contract itself or the owner can call the function. Furthermore, if an authority contract is set, the authority contract is queried whether to grant access for this msg.sender() on this function.

Finally the contract offers functionality for the owner to update the owner (setOwner()) and the authority contract (setAuthority()).

FlapperUniV2

The contract's main function exec() is expected to be called from the Splitter contract following the transfer of USDS tokens. The available USDS amount is processed as follows:

- Calculates the USDS amount to sell for Gem, in order to be able to supply both USDS and Gem to the pool in a balanced way.
- A sanity check on the exchange rate is done by comparing the expected exchange rate with a reference oracle.
- Eventually swap and mint operations are executed on the pool. The received LP tokens are sent to the receiver.

The receiver will be a PauseProxy controlled by the Governance. LP tokens are internally called "Elixir".

Upon deployment of the contract, immutables including the Gem token, pair (UniswapV2 pool) and the receiver are initialized.

The Flapper contract features the following state variables that can be updated by privileged roles:

- pip the reference price oracle used for the sanity check on the exchange rate of the swap.
- want relative multiplier (in units of WAD) of the reference price to insist on during the price check.

Furthermore, there is a variable live which is set to 1 upon deployment and to 0 when the contract is caged during global shutdown.

Shutdown / Global settlement:

In step 1 of the shutdown procedure, the End module will call <code>Vow.cage()</code> which in turn calls the Flapper. Since this Flapper holds no assets, no funds must be moved, the contract's <code>live</code> status is simply disabled.



LP tokens at the receiver are considered lost for the system in case of Emergency Shutdown due to malicious governance.

Administrative functions to add/remove privileged roles (rely() / deny()) and to update parameters file() exist.

FlapperUniV2SwapOnly

Similar to FlapperUniV2, however it only swaps all USDS to Gem (the recipient is the receiver), does not deposit liquidity into the pool.

OracleWrapper

This contract facilitates the conversion between an MKR/USD oracle and the USD value of the new governance token: SKY. The denomination of the new token is that 1 MKR token is equivalent to 24000 of SKY.

2.3 Deployment Scripts

2.3.1 FlapperDeploy

Library FlapperDeploy implements the logic to be used off-chain to initiate the transactions that create the new contracts and switches its owner if necessary.

- deployFlapperUniV2() deploys the Flapper (FlapperUniV2SwapOnly or FlapperUniV2) depending on the input flag swapOnly and transfers its ownership from the deployer to a designated owner.
- deployOracleWrapper() deploys an OracleWrapper.
- deploySplitter() deploys a Splitter and a SplitterMom. The ownership of both will be transferred from the deployer to a designated owner.
- deployKicker() deploys the Kicker using the Vow and Splitter contract addresses retrieved from the chainlog as constructor parameters and transfers its ownership from the deployer to a designated owner.

2.3.2 SplitterInstance

A struct SplitterInstance is provided to wrap the Splitter and Mom addresses together after deployment.

2.3.3 FlapperInit

Library FlapperInit provides the logic to initialize the Flapper, Splitter, and OracleWrapper by configuring the parameters and access controls. This is expected to be used in a governance spell that is executed as Delegatecall by the DSPauseProxy.

initFlapperUniV2() will first conduct some sanity checks on the deployed Flapper to make sure it is deployed with correct parameters:

- USDS, Spotter, pair, receiver are set correctly (aligned with the passed DSS system and initialization configuration).
- pair is further checked that one of the tokens is USDS and the other one is the same as Flapper.gem().
- cfg.want is ensured to be equal or above 90%.
- Then it sets want and pip of Flapper, grants Splitter the auth privilege over Flapper, and connects Flapper with Splitter.
- Finally it updates the address of Flapper in the chainlog in case cfg.prevChainlogKey is not 0.



In case a direct oracle is reused, <code>initDirectOracle()</code> will be called to grant Flapper the reading access to pip. Otherwise, <code>initOracleWrapper()</code> will be used to grant Flapper the reading access to the oracle wrapper and save the wrapper into the chainlog.

initSplitter() will conduct the following sanity checks on the deployed Splitter and Mom:

- Contracts Vat and USDSJoin are set correctly (aligned with the passed DSS system and initialization configuration). Ensures the Splitter is set correctly in SplitterMom.
- Some parameters (hump, hop, burn) of the input configuration cfg are further checked.
- Configures the Splitter by setting the hop, burn, and granting auth privilege to Mom and Vow.
- The Vow is configured with the new Flapper, hump, and bump.
- Sets the authority address of Mom to MCD_ADM.
- Eventually, it updates the chainlog with the new contracts.

setFarm() will do the following sanity checks and actions:

- Given a farm, its reward token is validated against the expected USDSJoin's underlying token (usds()).
- Some sanity checks to ensure the Splitter has been initialized. Validates the hop matches the Splitter's.
- Sets the farm on the Splitter with file().
- Configures the StakingReward contract by setting its setRewardsDistribution to Splitter and reward duration to hop.
- Eventually, it updates the chainlog with the new farm.

initKicker() will first conduct some sanity checks on the deployed Kicker to make sure it is deployed with correct parameters:

- Validates that cfg.kbump is a multiple of RAY.
- Contracts Vow and Splitter are validated. Vow against the passed DSS instance and Splitter against the chainlog.

Then it will:

- Disable the Vow's flop/flap auctions by setting bump (surplus auction lot size) and dump (debt auction lot size) to zero, and hump (surplus buffer) and sump (debt auction bid size) to type(uint256).max.
- Configure the Kicker by setting khump and kbump parameters.
- Grant auth privilege to Kicker on both Vat and Splitter.
- Finally, update the chainlog with the Kicker address using cfg.chainlogKey.

2.3.4 Changelog

Version 2: Cage functionality is removed from Flapper. Instead, a live flag is added and checked in Splitter.

Version 3: Farm can now be configured on Splitter instead of being an immutable.

Version 4: The rebranding of MakerDAO to Sky introduces SKY and USDS.

Version 5: The Kicker contract is introduced to replace the native Vow.flap() functionality.



2.4 Trust Model

The surplus USDS available is split between the Flapper and the Farm. The Flapper is called "burn engine". All LP pool shares minted (FlapperUniV2) or Gem tokens (FlapperUniV2SwapOnly) are transferred to an external recipient set upon deployment. This recipient is intended to be a pause proxy controlled by the Governance. While the tokens are not actually burned, there is little difference between the protocol holding SKY compared to burning it. In doubt, the protocol is able to mint and sell SKY as it is to sell these tokens held at the pause proxy.

SKY Governance: fully trusted to set all parameters honestly and correctly. The file functions feature no sanity checks, the assumption is that the governance thoroughly checks the parameters before the transaction is executed. Will trigger the execution of the FlapperInit code executed as delegatecall in the context of the GovernancePauseProxy. Must ensure the parameters passed and the code to be executed is correct.

Callers of Vow.flap() or Kicker.flap() which invoke Splitter.kick() are untrusted.

Uniswap V2 is expected to work correctly as documented. The pool is expected to have sufficient liquidity, an illiquid pool may have negative consequences for this Flapper. Furthermore it is assumed the exchange rate on Uniswap v2 is synced with other markets.

Wards of SplitterMom and the price feeds are fully trusted.

Deployer: Untrusted. Deploys the contract using the FlapperDeploy script. Could modify the script and/or state of the deployment contract before transferring ownership.

For the Kicker introduced in <u>Version 5</u>, the governance is further trusted to set the triggering threshold in the Splitter carefully and ensures that there is always enough surplus secured.

It is assumed that Vat.flop()/Vat.flap() are disabled by setting the parameters bump (surplus auction lot size) and dump (debt auction lot size) to zero, while setting hump (surplus buffer) and sump (debt auction bid size) to type(uint256).max:

- This effectively inhibits flop/flap auctions except at these maximum values (which are unreachable) with a lot size of zero.
- Otherwise, there might be a race condition between triggering flap from Vow or Kicker if their configurations are different. Inconsistency may exist where flap will succeed on one contract but revert on the other.



3 Limitations and use of report

Security assessments cannot uncover all existing vulnerabilities; even an assessment in which no vulnerabilities are found is not a guarantee of a secure system. However, code assessments enable the discovery of vulnerabilities that were overlooked during development and areas where additional security measures are necessary. In most cases, applications are either fully protected against a certain type of attack, or they are completely unprotected against it. Some of the issues may affect the entire application, while some lack protection only in certain areas. This is why we carry out a source code assessment aimed at determining all locations that need to be fixed. Within the customer-determined time frame, ChainSecurity has performed an assessment in order to discover as many vulnerabilities as possible.

The focus of our assessment was limited to the code parts defined in the engagement letter. We assessed whether the project follows the provided specifications. These assessments are based on the provided threat model and trust assumptions. We draw attention to the fact that due to inherent limitations in any software development process and software product, an inherent risk exists that even major failures or malfunctions can remain undetected. Further uncertainties exist in any software product or application used during the development, which itself cannot be free from any error or failures. These preconditions can have an impact on the system's code and/or functions and/or operation. We did not assess the underlying third-party infrastructure which adds further inherent risks as we rely on the correct execution of the included third-party technology stack itself. Report readers should also take into account that over the life cycle of any software, changes to the product itself or to the environment in which it is operated can have an impact leading to operational behaviors other than those initially determined in the business specification.



4 Terminology

For the purpose of this assessment, we adopt the following terminology. To classify the severity of our findings, we determine the likelihood and impact (according to the CVSS risk rating methodology).

- Likelihood represents the likelihood of a finding to be triggered or exploited in practice
- Impact specifies the technical and business-related consequences of a finding
- · Severity is derived based on the likelihood and the impact

We categorize the findings into four distinct categories, depending on their severity. These severities are derived from the likelihood and the impact using the following table, following a standard risk assessment procedure.

Likelihood	Impact		
	High	Medium	Low
High	Critical	High	Medium
Medium	High	Medium	Low
Low	Medium	Low	Low

As seen in the table above, findings that have both a high likelihood and a high impact are classified as critical. Intuitively, such findings are likely to be triggered and cause significant disruption. Overall, the severity correlates with the associated risk. However, every finding's risk should always be closely checked, regardless of severity.



5 Open Findings

In this section, we describe any open findings. Findings that have been resolved have been moved to the Resolved Findings section. The findings are split into these different categories:

- Security: Related to vulnerabilities that could be exploited by malicious actors
- Design: Architectural shortcomings and design inefficiencies
- Correctness: Mismatches between specification and implementation

Below we provide a numerical overview of the identified findings, split up by their severity.

Critical - Severity Findings	0
High-Severity Findings	0
Medium-Severity Findings	0
Low-Severity Findings	0



6 Resolved Findings

Here, we list findings that have been resolved during the course of the engagement. Their categories are explained in the Open Findings section.

Below we provide a numerical overview of the identified findings, split up by their severity.

Critical -Severity Findings	0
High-Severity Findings	0
Medium-Severity Findings	0
Low-Severity Findings	6

- Dangling Approval Code Corrected
- Incorrect Specification Code Corrected
- Initialization Does Not Check Divisor Code Corrected
- Missing Check for Bump Code Corrected
- Missing Check of Reward Token on Farm Contract Code Corrected
- Splitter.cage() Does Not Lock the Splitter Code Corrected

6.1 Dangling Approval



CS-MKSPFL-007

In the constructors of both FlapperUniV2SwapOnly and FlapperUniV2, an approval is granted to daiJoin for moving its vat.dai balance, facilitating exiting or joining of Dai tokens (vat.hope(address(daiJoin))).

In the most recent version of these contracts, the interaction with daiJoin has been handed over to the Splitter contract. Now Flappers handle received ERC-20 Dai tokens directly, the dangling approval is never used in the current Flapper's logic.

Code corrected:

The unnecessary hope() calls have been removed. At the same time vat and daiJoin (immutables) have been removed from flapper as they are no longer needed.

6.2 Incorrect Specification



CS-MKSPFL-006

Library Babylonian.sqrt() provides an efficient way to approximate the square root of an uint256. Nevertheless, the following specification is incorrect because the last if branch incorrectly checks xx with 0x8.

// this block is equivalent to r = uint256(1) << (BitMath.mostSignificantBit(x) / 2);



For instance, consider x==1515, its hexadecimal representation is 0x5eb which has 11 bits in total. Thus its 0-indexed most significant bit divided by 2 results in 5 and r should be 32 (2^5), while the following code yields 16 (2^4).

r is used as the starting value for the iterative algorithm. While it may not be uint256(1) << (BitMath.mostSignificantBit(x) / 2) as specified, it is a sufficient approximation to the true square root, hence the algorithm's convergence remains unaffected.

Code corrected:

The code has been updated to match the behavior described in the original comment. The condition of the last if branch has been set to xx >= 0x4.

6.3 Initialization Does Not Check Divisor



CS-MKSPFL-008

The divisor is used to scale the MKR price to NGT (the re-denominated governance token). This is expected to match the rate stored in the MKR and NGT converter MkrNgt.sol.

This divisor is set by the (untrusted) deployer of the contract. However, this value is not checked during the contract's (trusted) initialization.

Code corrected:

The initialization code now features a sanity check for the divisor.

6.4 Missing Check for Bump



CS-MKSPFL-004

initSplitter() does not check if bump is a multiple of RAY. In case it is not, there would be a dust vat.dai balance on Splitter accumulating over time, which cannot be used up in kick().

Code corrected:

A check has been added to verify that bump is a multiple of RAY.



6.5 Missing Check of Reward Token on Farm Contract

Correctness Low Version 1 Code Corrected

CS-MKSPFL-005

initSplitter() does not check if the reward token of the farm contract matches the Dai token. In case it is not, notifyRewardAmount() may revert due to insufficient reward token sent to the farm contract, and Dai cannot be claimed as reward.

Code corrected:

The code of the initialization script now ensures that the farm's rewards token is DAI.

6.6 Splitter.cage() Does Not Lock the Splitter



CS-MKSPFL-009

The Splitter distributes funds to two contracts. Splitter.cage() cages one of these contracts, the Flapper, by calling FlapLike(flapper).cage(). This sets the Flapper's state variable live to 0 and subsequent calls to the flapper.exec() will revert since the contract is no longer live.

Meanwhile, the Splitter contract itself is not caged. splitter.kick() can be executed but reverts upon calling flapper.exec().

However, in case all funds are directed to the farming engine (burn==0), the call to flapper.exec() will be bypassed, and splitter.kick() can still be executed successfully to distribute funds to the farm.

Code corrected:

The live flag has been moved from Flapper to the Splitter. In case Splitter.cage() is called, the flag will be reset to 0 and the funds flow to both the burning engine and the farming engine will be stopped.



7 Informational

We utilize this section to point out informational findings that are less severe than issues. These informational issues allow us to point out more theoretical findings. Their explanation hopefully improves the overall understanding of the project's security. Furthermore, we point out findings which are unrelated to security.

7.1 Live Flag Is Not Checked

Informational Version 1

CS-MKSPFL-001

All state except the live flag of the Flapper is checked in the initialization code.

Code corrected:

A sanity check has been added for the live flag.

7.2 No Revert Reason When SplitterMom Stops Splitter

[Informational](Version 1)

CS-MKSPFL-002

SplitterMom can inhibit Splitter in an emergency. It does so by setting the minimum time between two executions of kick() to type.max(uint256).kick() will then revert due to the addition overflow:

```
require(block.timestamp >= zzz + hop, "Splitter/kicked-too-soon");
```

Except when kick() has never been executed before and zzz is still equal to 0, the overflowing addition will cause the execution to revert and the require statement will not emit the message "Splitter/kicked-too-soon".

Sky states:

This is deemed an acceptable trade-off as this solution allows not having to read an additional storage variable.

7.3 Redundant daiJoin Field in Config

Informational Version 1

CS-MKSPFL-003

In FlapperInit.sol, two structs (FlapperUniV2Config and SplitterConfig) are passed as the initialization configuration for initFlapperUniV2 and initSplitter respectively. Both contain the daiJoin address. daiJoin is already present in another input struct dss (DssInstance) however. It is redundant in the configuration.



Sky states:

This parameter is necessary to allow initialisation using nstJoin in the future. nstJoin will ultimately be added to DssInstance but we might need to deploy the Splitter before that happens.



8 Notes

We leverage this section to highlight further findings that are not necessarily issues. The mentioned topics serve to clarify or support the report, but do not require an immediate modification inside the project. Instead, they should raise awareness in order to improve the overall understanding.

8.1 Call to Vow.flap() Can Be Sandwiched

Note Version 1

Parameter want of the Flapper contracts provides slippage protection regarding the reference price feed. However, depending on the bump and want parameters, as well as the current status of the pool, a kick() operation may be vulnerable to being sandwiched for arbitrage purposes. Analysis of the parameters and pool status to prevent arbitrage is out of scope for this review.

8.2 Deployment Verification

Note Version 1

Since deployment of the contracts is not performed by the governance directly, special care has to be taken that all contracts have been deployed correctly. While some variables can be checked upon initialization through the PauseProxy, some things have to be checked beforehand.

We therefore assume that all mappings in the deployed contracts are checked for any unwanted entries (by verifying the bytecode of the contract and then looking at the emitted events). This is especially crucial for the wards mapping.

8.3 Kicker Considerations

Note Version 5

Kicker replaces the native Vow.flap() as the entrypoint for surplus distribution to the Splitter. The following properties need to be considered by governance and dependent contracts:

- 1. It allows surplus distribution even when there is no actual system surplus (according to the Vow's dai and sin) by setting a negative khump. As a consequence, the "surplus" USDS will be minted from thin air via Vat.suck().
- 2. Unlike Vow.flap(), Kicker.flap() increases Vat.sin(vow) by kbump with each execution via Vat.suck(). When actual surplus exists in the Vow, this debt can be manually healed via Vow.heal(), but this requires an explicit call and is not automatic. When there is no actual surplus (enabled by setting negative khump), the minted USDS is unbacked and the debt accumulates. Contracts dependent on Vat.sin(vow) should be aware that this value will continuously increase with each kick, regardless of whether actual surplus is being distributed or unbacked USDS is being minted.

8.4 Oracle Rounds Down the Price

Note Version 1

In case the gem token used is a re-denominated version of an existing token, the OracleWrapper will scale down the price of an existing oracle (pip) by a certain divisor. If the return value from



pip.read() is not a multiple of divisor, the rescaled price may be lower than the actual price (due to precision loss in the conversion).

8.5 Semantics of Flapper in the System

Note Version 1

The semantics of Flapper has slightly changed due to the introduction of the Splitter.

- 1. The Vow will be connected with the Splitter instead of directly to the Flapper. After a successful deployment and initialization, the Flapper state variable on Vow will return the Splitter address.
- The interface of Flapper has been changed compared to the docs. For instance, the surplus auction
 has been changed to swaps/deposits on UniswapV2. And the entry point has been changed from
 kick() to exec().

