Continue

What are the different types of educational supervision

What is educational supervision. What are the types of supervision. What are the 5 different types of supervision.

There are different types of educational supervision. Professional supervision occurs during the formative stage and continues throughout a person's career. Postgraduate educational supervision takes place within a recognized training program to assess learning needs and monitor progress. In psychology, there are three forms of supervision: clinical, educational, and administrative. Educational supervision plays a crucial role in improving all aspects of the educational process, including teacher competencies and curriculum development. Educational supervision encompasses various types and aims to ensure the effectiveness and quality of the educational process. It involves guiding and counseling teachers to improve job satisfaction and learning outcomes. In academic contexts, supervision holds significant importance for enhancing education quality and professional development. Academic supervision improves student outcomes by guiding educators to enhance their teaching abilities. It fosters, directs, and improves academic products, ultimately benefiting students' learning experiences. Through well-planned supervision programs, supervisors can assist teachers in developing their professional competence, integrating skills, and reflecting on practices to improve student learning outcomes. Only focusing on teaching and learning processes can be insufficient; we must also strive to elevate teacher professionalism, ultimately contributing to improved educational quality. This concept is known as education supervision, which involves assessing learning needs, reviewing progress, and providing mentorship through a supervisor-learner relationship. The supervisor plays a vital role in the learner's academic, professional, and personal development by discussing learning styles, training progress, time management, career plans, and medical professionalism. There are various types of mentoring, including formal, informal, one-on-one, group, peer, remote, and invisible mentoring. Formal mentoring involves structured relationships where experienced individuals guide less experienced ones. Informal mentoring is a naturally occurring relationship providing psychological support. One-on-one mentoring focuses on individual guidance, while group mentoring involves guiding multiple proteges. Supervision is an essential process supporting professional development and improvement across various fields, including teaching, social work, and academic programs. It provides guidance, direction, and reflection opportunities to enhance skills, competencies, and knowledge. Supervision promotes self-improvement, allowing individuals to acquire new expertise through personal experiences and group interactions. Technology can significantly enhance design efficiency in architecture through various theoretical frameworks. Key theories include generative design, collaborative software, and artificial intelligence (AI). Generative design uses algorithms to produce multiple design alternatives, optimizing for parameters such as sustainability and performance. Collaborative software facilitates real-time teamwork among architects, improving communication and speeding up the design process. AI and advanced computer technologies, including Building Information Modeling (BIM), enable architects to create complex designs with high accuracy. Implementing Disaster Risk Reduction Management (DRRM) in elementary schools is crucial for fostering a culture of safety among young students. Early education on disaster preparedness can significantly enhance children's resilience and response capabilities during emergencies. The integration of DRRM into educational modules can enhance understanding and preparedness among students. However, challenges such as insufficient policies, lack of trained personnel, and inadequate funding must be addressed to ensure effective implementation. According to Albert Fleischmann et al.'s theory, the implementation flow method for service activities includes preparation, implementation, and evaluation. Theory emphasizes structured process preparation through analysis, modeling, validation, and optimization, leading to a comprehensive description for implementation. ### Key Components of the Implementation Flow Method - **Preparation**: Thorough analysis and modeling ensure clarity in the process description, enabling effective implementation. - **Implementation**: Execution of prepared processes requires fidelity to planned interventions. - **Evaluation**: Assessing effectiveness identifies successes and areas for improvement, contributing to understanding intervention impact. Generative AI supports business research methodologies by enhancing various aspects of the research process. It improves entrepreneurial competencies, such as creativity and ethical thinking, in entrepreneurship education. In process systems engineering, generative AI models aid optimization and process monitoring, advancing synthesis, design, and control methodologies. Additionally, it facilitates systematic literature reviews, enabling faster, more reliable, and convenient research processes. AI simplifies repetitive tasks through advanced learning models and predictive control systems. Cloud-based architectures enable efficient task execution while adapting to changing constraints. A cloud-based learning model predictive controller integrates multiple agents that learn to execute tasks with minimal local costs, sharing data and minimizing local efforts. Their learning process through collaboration - The supervisor assigns tasks based on the agents' skills, which makes them work efficiently and use resources wisely. ## Adaptive Control for Periodic Tasks - Learning model predictive control can handle recurring tasks with changing rules and limitations. This way, the system keeps solving problems and saving money over time. - Computer simulations show that these controllers are very effective in both simple and complex systems, showing how well they adapt to repeating situations. While AI is good at automating repetitive tasks, it's essential to consider potential weaknesses, like needing a lot of initial information and integrating with complex systems. ####References Acheson, K. A., & Gall, M. D. (1980). Techniques in the clinical supervision of teachers. London, England: Longman. Google Scholar Berliner, D., & Biddle, B. (1995). The manufactured crisis: Myths, fraud, and the attack on America’s public schools. Reading, PA: Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Inc. Google Scholar Blake, B., & Pope, T. (2008). Developmental psychology: Incorporating Piaget’s and Vygotsky’s theory in classrooms. Journal of Cross-Disciplinary Perspectives in Education, 1(1), 59–67. Retrieved from J. F. (1912). The elimination of waste in education. The Elementary School Teacher, 12(6), 259–271. Google Scholar Bobbitt, J. F. (1913). Some general principles of management applied to the problems of city school systems. In S. C. Parker (Ed.), The supervision of city schools: Twelfth yearbook of the national society for the study of education (pp. 7–96). Bloomington, IL: Public School Publishing Company. Google Scholar City, E. A., Elmore, R. F., Fiarman, S. E., & Teitel, L. (2009). Instructional rounds in education: A network approach to improving teaching and learning. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Education Press. Google Scholar Close, K., Amrein-Beardsley, A., & Collins, C. (2020). Putting teacher evaluation systems on the map: An overview of state’s teacher evaluation systems post-Every Student Succeeds Act. Education Policy Analysis Archives, 28(58), 1–31. Google Scholar Cogan, M. L. (1955). The problem of defining a profession. The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 297, 105–111. Retrieved from M. L. (1973). Clinical supervision. Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin. Google Scholar Cubberley, E. P. (1916). Public school administration. Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin. Google Scholar Danielson, C. (1996). Enhancing professional practice: A framework for teaching. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development. Google Scholar David, J. L. (2008). Classroom walk-throughs. Educational Leadership, 65(4), 81–82. Retrieved from J. (1938). Experience and education. New York, NY: Macmillan. Google Scholar Donaldson, M. L., & Papay, J. P. (2015). Teacher evaluation for accountability and development. In H. F. Ladd & M. E. Goertz (Eds.), Handbook of research in education finance and policy (pp. 174–193). New York, NY: Routledge. Scholar Dooley and colleagues analyzed teacher performance assessments during the Duncan era. In a separate work, Downey et al. discussed three-minute classroom walk-throughs to improve school supervision. Drake and Roe published The Principalship, focusing on school leadership. Duffy examined organizational arrangements in educational supervision. The Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 was enacted, followed by Every Student Succeeds Act of 2015. Fine researched school supervision for effective teaching from 1910-1930. Foucault's work on the archaeology of knowledge is also relevant. Glanz explored the professionalization of public school supervision and wrote about histories, antecedents, and legacies of school supervision. Glanz also authored Action Research: An Educational Leader's Guide to School Improvement. Glatthorn discussed differentiated supervision in his 1984 work, followed by a revised edition in 1997. Glazerman et al. evaluated teachers using value-added measures. Glickman wrote about developmental supervision, supervising instruction, and clarifying this approach. Supervision of Instruction: A Developmental Approach (10th ed.). Pearson, Hudson, NY; Google Scholar Goldhammer, R. (1969); Goldhammer, R., Anderson, R. H., & Krajewski, R. J. (1980); Harris, D. N., Ingle, W. K., & Rutledge, S. A. (2014); Hazi, H. M., & Arredondo Rucinski, D. (2009); Hoffman, J. V., Assaf, L. C., & Paris, S. G. (2001); Jewell, J. W. (2017); Kachur, D. S., Stout, J., & Edwards, C. L. (2010); Little, O., Goe, L., & Bell, C. (2009); Marzano, R. J., Frontier, T., & Livingston, D. (2011); McGreal, T. (1983); Moswela, B. (2010); National Commission on Excellence in Education. (1983); No Child Left Behind Act of 2001; Overton, W. F. (1998); Peters, T. J., & Waterman, R. H., Jr. (1982); Ponticell, J., Zepeda, S. J., Lanoue, P. D., Haines, J. G., Jimenez, A. M., & Ata, A. (2019) Note: This is a list of sources cited in the original text, without paraphrasing or summarizing the content. This text appears to be a list of academic sources related to education, specifically focusing on teacher evaluation, principal supervision, and instructional leadership. Sources include books, articles, and reports from esteemed publishers such as Wiley, McGraw-Hill, and Routledge, as well as organizations like the National Council on Teacher Quality and the U.S. Department of Education. The list encompasses a range of topics: * **Teacher evaluation**: Studies on policy and best practices for evaluating teachers (Ross & Walsh, 2019; Shaw, 2016) * **Principal supervision**: Research on supervision strategies and techniques (Sullivan & Glanz, 2013; Zepeda, 2017) * **Instructional leadership**: Guidance on effective instructional supervision and classroom-based assessments (Zepeda, 2005; Zepeda et al., 2020) The sources listed cover various perspectives and methodologies, from the application of scientific management principles to differentiated instruction.