ICONIQ Growth

Sales Leadership: A Hiring Blueprint

What to prioritize when hiring a Sales executive after \$50M+ ARR

January 2023
















Disclosure

UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED, THE VIEWS EXPRESSED IN THIS PRESENTATION ARE THOSE OF ICONIQ GROWTH ("ICONIQ" OR THE "FIRM"), ARE THE RESULT OF PROPRIETARY RESEARCH, MAY BE SUBJECTIVE, AND MAY NOT BE RELIED UPON IN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. INFORMATION USED IN THIS PRESENTATION WAS OBTAINED FROM NUMEROUS SOURCES. CERTAIN OF THESE COMPANIES ARE PORTFOLIO COMPANIES OF ICONIQ GROWTH. ICONIQ GROWTH DOES NOT MAKE ANY REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES AS TO THE ACCURACY OF THE INFORMATION OBTAINED FROM THESE SOURCES.

THIS PRESENTATION IS FOR EDUCATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY AND DOES NOT CONSTITUTE INVESTMENT ADVICE OR AN OFFER TO SELL OR A SOLICITATION OF AN OFFER TO BUY ANY SECURITIES WHICH WILL ONLY BE MADE PURSUANT TO DEFINITIVE OFFERING DOCUMENTS AND SUBSCRIPTION AGREEMENTS, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, ANY INVESTMENT FUND OR INVESTMENT PRODUCT REFERENCED HEREIN.

ANY REPRODUCTION OR DISTRIBUTION OF THIS PRESENTATION IN WHOLE OR IN PART, OR THE DISCLOSURE OF ANY OF ITS CONTENTS, WITHOUT THEPRIOR CONSENT OF ICONIQ, IS PROHIBITED.

THIS PRESENTATION MAY CONTAIN FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS BASED ON CURRENT PLANS, ESTIMATES AND PROJECTIONS. THE RECIPIENT OF THIS PRESENTATION ("YOU") ARE CAUTIONED THAT A NUMBER OF IMPORTANT FACTORS COULD CAUSE ACTUAL RESULTS OR OUTCOMES TO DIFFER MATERIALLY FROM THOSE EXPRESSED IN, OR IMPLIED BY, THE FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS. THE NUMBERS, FIGURES AND CASE STUDIES INCLUDED IN THIS PRESENTATION HAVE BEEN INCLUDED FOR PURPOSES OF ILLUSTRATION ONLY, AND NO ASSURANCE CAN BE GIVEN THAT THE ACTUAL RESULTS OF ICONIQ OR ANY OF ITS PARTNERS AND AFFILIATES WILL CORRESPOND WITH THE RESULTS CONTEMPLATED IN THE PRESENTATION. NO INFORMATION IS CONTAINED HEREIN WITH RESPECT TO CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, WHICH MAY BE SIGNIFICANT. THE PORTFOLIO COMPANIES AND OTHER PARTIES MENTIONED HEREIN MAY REFLECT A SELECTIVE LIST OF THE PRIOR INVESTMENTS MADE BY ICONIO.

CERTAIN OF THE ECONOMIC AND MARKET INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN MAY HAVE BEEN OBTAINED FROM PUBLISHED SOURCES AND/OR PREPAREDBYOTHER PARTIES. WHILE SUCH SOURCES ARE BELIEVED TO BE RELIABLE, NONE OF ICONIQ OR ANY OF ITS AFFILIATES AND PARTNERS, EMPLOYEES AND REPRESENTATIVES ASSUME ANY RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ACCURACY OF SUCH INFORMATION.

ALL OF THE INFORMATION IN THE PRESENTATION IS PRESENTED AS OF THE DATE MADE AVAILABLE TO YOU (EXCEPT AS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED), AND IS SUBJECT TO CHANGE WITHOUT NOTICE, AND MAY NOT BE CURRENT OR MAY HAVE CHANGED (POSSIBLY MATERIALLY) BETWEEN THE DATE MADE AVAILABLE TO YOU AND THE DATE ACTUALLY RECEIVED OR REVIEWED BY YOU. ICONIQ ASSUMES NO OBLIGATION TO UPDATE OR OTHERWISE REVISE ANY INFORMATION, PROJECTIONS, FORECASTS OR ESTIMATES CONTAINED IN THE PRESENTATION, INCLUDING ANY REVISIONS TO REFLECT CHANGES IN ECONOMIC OR MARKET CONDITIONS OR OTHER CIRCUMSTANCES ARISING AFTER THE DATE THE ITEMS WERE MADE AVAILABLE TO YOU OR TO REFLECT THE OCCURRENCE OF UNANTICIPATED EVENTS.

FOR AVOIDANCE OF DOUBT, ICONIQ IS NOT ACTING AS AN ADVISER OR FIDUCIARY IN ANY RESPECT IN CONNECTION WITH PROVIDING THIS PRESENTATION AND NO RELATIONSHIP SHALL ARISE BETWEEN YOU AND ICONIQ AS A RESULT OF THIS PRESENTATION BEING MADE AVAILABLE TO YOU.

ICONIQ IS A DIVERSIFIED FINANCIAL SERVICES FIRM AND HAS DIRECT CLIENT RELATIONSHIPS WITH PERSONS THAT MAY BECOME LIMITED PARTNERS OF ICONIQ FUNDS. NOTWITHSTANDING THAT A PERSON MAY BE REFERRED TO HEREIN AS A "CLIENT" OF THE FIRM, NO LIMITED PARTNER OF ANY FUND WILL, IN ITS CAPACITY AS SUCH, BE A CLIENT OF ICONIQ. THERE CAN BE NO ASSURANCE THAT THE INVESTMENTS MADE BY ANY ICONIQ FUND WILL BE PROFITABLE OR WILL EQUAL THE PERFORMANCE OF PRIOR INVESTMENTS MADE BY PERSONS DESCRIBED IN THIS PRESENTATION.

THESE MATERIALS ARE PROVIDED FOR GENERAL INFORMATION AND DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY AND MAY NOT BE RELIED UPON.

THIS MATERIAL MAY BE DISTRIBUTED TO, OR DIRECTED AT, ONLY THE FOLLOWING PERSONS: (I) PERSONS WHO HAVE PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE IN MATTERS RELATING TO INVESTMENTS FALLING WITHIN ARTICLE 19(5) OF THE FINANCIAL SERVICES AND MARKETS ACT 2000 (FINANCIAL PROMOTION) ORDER 2005 (THE "FP ORDER"), (II) HIGH-NET-WORTH ENTITIES FALLING WITHIN ARTICLE 49(2) OF THE FP ORDER, AND (III) ANY OTHER PERSONS TO WHOM IT MAY OTHERWISE LAWFULLY BE COMMUNICATED (ALL SUCH PERSONS TOGETHER BEING REFERRED TO AS "FPO RELEVANT PERSONS"). PERSONS WHO ARE NOT FPO RELEVANT PERSONS MUST NOT ACT ON OR RELY ON THIS MATERIAL OR ANY OF ITS CONTENTS. ANY INVESTMENT OR INVESTMENT ACTIVITY TO WHICH THIS MATERIAL RELATES IS AVAILABLE ONLY TO FPO RELEVANT PERSONS AND WILL BE ENGAGED IN ONLY WITH FPO RELEVANT PERSONS. RECIPIENTS MUST NOT DISTRIBUTE, PUBLISH, REPRODUCE, OR DISCLOSE THIS MATERIAL, IN WHOLE OR IN PART. TO ANY OTHER PERSON.



Introduction

ICONIQ Growth Leadership Analytics Executive hiring is the final frontier within the modern organization that is yet to see a proliferation of data. Despite having data to guide nearly every other business decision – and despite the criticality of executive hiring decisions – CEOs and Founders have heretofore been forced to rely on anecdotal guidance.

ICONIQ Growth set out to change that.

Determined to help de-risk hiring decisions by empowering CEOs and Founders with data, we are studying every leadership hire between Founding and IPO at dozens of high-caliber SaaS companies. We segment each hiring decision by growth stage in order to deliver hyper-relevant insights. To date, we have collected over **27,000 datapoints on nearly 1,000 leaders**.

The result is a series of **first-of-their-kind playbooks** that help guide decision-making across the entire private company lifecycle – whether you are making your very first leadership hire, scaling rapidly to attain triple-digit ARR, or evaluating the skillsets needed to adeptly enter the public markets.

We hope this analysis, which examines <u>the skillsets and backgrounds of Sales</u> <u>executives at Growth Stage and Late Growth Stage SaaS companies</u>, serves as a north star as you continue to scale your go-to-market organization.

The Dataset

We studied every Head of Sales hired at these 69 B2B SaaS companies from the day they were founded to the day they went public, capturing the entire private company lifecycle:


ICONIQ Growth Portfolio Companies^{2,3} Other Companies³ alteryx Bill.com Amplitude /anaplan ppfolio apigee APPTIO R blend box BLACKLINE braze **ATLASSIAN Avalara ⊿∃IC**COMMERCE 蕊coupa **CROWDSTRIKE** Carbon Black. (CONFLUENT **CLOUDERA** Docu Sign DATADOG **Dropbox** freshworks DOMO elastic 🛶 <) FORESCOUT. fastly **Frog** Livongo[®] Medallia enfusion mimecast mongo DB₈ **₩** GitLab **PagerDuty** H) HashiCorp MuleSoft* okta ncino. **NUTANIX** >>> MARQETA PLURALSIGHT PURESTORAGE **RAPID** SentinelOne® samsara SendGrid snowflake Square √ smartsheet ✓ sproutsocial sumo logic PROCORE # slack Ui Path" >> sprinklr zoom talend (C) tenable **○**toast (::) twilio **(**) unity **User Testing** vext **Example** zscaler zuora

We defined "Head of Sales" as the senior-most executive responsible for the revenue number¹ (aside from the CEO), agnostic of title. This includes President, President of Field Operations, COO, Chief Revenue Officer, (E)(S)VP Sales and others, depending on organizational structure and revenue responsibility at each company. Throughout this analysis, we use "Head of Sales" as a catchall term.

This analysis considers only those who were hired directly into the Head of Sales role and excludes cofounders and promoted individuals.

Company Inclusion Criteria:

- SaaS business model
- Went public after 2015 via IPO or Direct Listing
- Raised venture capital prior to going public








Table of Contents

Executive hiring, at its core, is about *tradeoffs*. For example, is it most important to hire a CRO who has owned a revenue target >\$500M, even if they haven't owned the full company number? Or is end-to-end revenue ownership more important than the scale someone has seen? This analysis will offer a data-driven perspective to help guide prioritization across *five key operational traits*:

- What is the largest revenue number that someone has previously been accountable for? What is their prior revenue ownership relative to the company's current ARR? Page 9
- Public Company Experience Has someone previously been a public company CRO? If not, have they been the direct report of a CRO or a CRO skip level at a public company? Page 10
- Prior CRO
 Experience

 Has someone previously had end-to-end revenue accountability at a scaled company? Or is this their first time owning the full company number (even if they have owned a larger number in a non-functional lead role before)? Page 11-12
- Sales

 Motion

 Is their prior experience primarily at Product Led Growth or Sales Led Growth organizations? Page 13
- Sector & Domain
 Expertise

 Has someone primarily spent time at application or infrastructure companies? Do they have expertise in the same sector, or selling to a similar buyer persona? Page 14-15

Even top-performing companies must make tradeoffs between various operational qualifications when hiring executives. This is because the universe of operators who can check every box is extremely limited, or perhaps even nonexistent. For example, there may only be a select number of operators who have held the top Sales job at a public Data & Analytics company with >\$1B revenue and a Sales Led Growth go-to-market motion and are open to joining a growth-stage private company.

Executive Summary

<u>Sales Hiring Overview</u>


- Nearly 75% of SaaS companies hired 2-3 Heads of Sales between Founding and IPO.
- Heads of Sales hired during Growth & Late Growth Stages the topic of this analysis stay at the company for an average of 3.3 years.

Operating Backgrounds

- As companies scale, hiring a Head of Sales who has **owned a significant revenue number** becomes paramount. 58% of Growth Stage companies hire someone who had previously owned a revenue target >\$250M (~5X+ the company's current ARR), while 73% of Late Growth Stage companies hire someone with scale >\$1B (~10X+ the company's current ARR).
- Closely related to scale is **prior public company experience**. 58% of Growth Stage hires and 81% of Late Growth Stage hires demonstrate public company CRO or CRO direct report experience (with the latter being more common).
- While some argue that prior Head of Sales experience is critical, we found that **most Heads of Sales are stepping into the "#1" role for the first time**. More than half of Growth Stage and Late Growth Stage hires had never been a CRO before (or had not been a CRO before at a relevant scale).
- Late Growth Stage companies are >6X more likely to hire someone with significant scale over prior CRO experience.
- Both Sales Led Growth (SLG) and Product Led Growth (PLG) companies predominantly hire Heads of Sales with SLG expertise.
- Application companies typically hire Heads of Sales from other application companies, while infrastructure companies are roughly split between hiring from application and infrastructure companies. Despite selling to a technical buyer for the first time, those from application companies see similar longevity in their roles.
- Most companies hire a Head of Sales who is **new to the sector**, except for **Back Office & Operations tools** which see the highest rate of domain expertise (50%). Application companies and infrastructure companies see similar rates of domain expertise vs. no prior domain exposure.

Sales Hiring Overview


Both Sales Led Growth and Product Led Growth companies tend to hire 2-3 Heads of Sales between Founding and IPO, with 2 being more common than 3.




Among the companies that had 3 Heads of Sales, more than half of these companies had at least 1 "misfire" (tenure <18 months) or extenuating circumstance (e.g., Head of Sales promoted to CEO), suggesting that 2 is the number to plan for and orient your strategy around, but sometimes 3 happens. The subsequent pages will cover Heads of Sales hired after >\$50M ARR.

Tenure and Pre-IPO Timing

CEOs of organizations >\$50M ARR should consider whether a Head of Sales candidate has the capability to lead the Sales organization for <u>at least</u> 3 years <u>and</u> lead the organization through an IPO (as to avoid a leadership transition during a high-stakes time).






Average tenure is 3.3 years
Median tenure is 3 years

Titling and Ownership

"CRO" is the most common title for sales leaders at Late Growth Stage companies and at the time of IPO, while "SVP" and "CRO" are equally common at Growth Stage companies. Most Sales leadership roles focus exclusively on sales, though 41% of Heads of Sales also own professional services, and 37% own Customer Success.



⁽¹⁾ Growth and Late Growth Stage titles reflect title at hire. At IPO group includes all Heads of Sales at time of IPO.

⁽²⁾ Includes all Heads of Sales present at time of IPO. 5 companies did not have a Head of Sales at IPO, resulting in n = 64.

⁽³⁾ Data sources include S-1 bios, company management page bios. Leaders omitted if responsibilities could not be determined.

Scale


An executive's prior scale is paramount as it informs their "operating runway." While 58% of companies \$50M-\$100M hire someone with >5X operating runway, companies >\$100M see scale-to-ARR multiple requirements increase, with 73% hiring >10X.

Introducing the SCALE TO ARR (STARR) MULTIPLE Operator's largest prior personal revenue number Company ARR at time of hire

Hiring an executive with a lower multiple results in shorter "operating runway" – the amount of time before they are operating at a scale they have never seen before – which can introduce risk.

Prior Revenue Accountability by Stage (% of Total Hires)


Note: revenue accountability refers to an executive's <u>personal</u> target, not the full company number



Public Company Experience

Prior senior-level sales experience at a public company is key (but not necessarily as the Head of Sales). 58% of Growth Stage hires and 81% of Late Growth Stage hires demonstrate public company CRO or CRO direct report experience.

Prior Public Company Experience



n = 19


n = 22

Public company CRO or CRO direct report experience is accretive for private companies with aspirations to IPO in part because these executives have a track record of operating on a quarterly cadence that dictates a public company's success. As discussed in our recent publication on Heads of Finance, a company's ability to forecast accurately is an important determinant of its readiness to go public.

Additionally, finding executives with a sufficient scale to ARR multiple generally demands hiring from public companies. Public company CROs and CRO direct reports also may be more likely to have additional sub-functional responsibilities (e.g., alliances, channels, business development...), demonstrating breadth.

Leadership

While some argue that prior Head of Sales experience is critical, more than half of Growth Stage and Late Growth Stage hires have <u>not</u> previously owned an end-to-end revenue number at an applicable scale – the majority are doing so for the first time.






ICONIQ Growth Cross-Functional Insight

Go-to-market functions place less of a premium on prior public company functional leadership experience than G&A functions. At companies \$50M - \$100M ARR, 21% of Heads of Sales and 28% of Heads of Marketing had owned their respective functions at a public company, compared with 65% of Heads of Finance and 44% of Heads of People. For companies >\$100M ARR, these figures are 36% (Sales) and 22% (Marketing) vs. 68% (Finance) and 52% (People).

Role vs. Scale

Growth Stage companies are roughly split between prioritizing role and scale, while Late Growth Stage companies optimize for scale more than <u>six times</u> as often.




Sales Motion

After reaching \$50M ARR+, Sales Led Growth and Product Led Growth companies predominantly hire Heads of Sales with SLG expertise.

Sales Leader's GTM Background vs. Company's GTM Motion

 $Growth + Late\ Growth\ Companies\ |\ n=41$





Why are SLG backgrounds so prevalent at \$50M+ ARR companies? As PLG companies approach \$50M ARR, most begin to layer in outbound sales in order to move upmarket into the enterprise and unlock new and otherwise inaccessible revenue.

Heads of Sales with SLG backgrounds are most accretive during this phase as the organization introduces a new top-down sales motion and creates coexistence with PLG.

Applications vs. Infrastructure Backgrounds

While 80% of application company hires have an applications background, infrastructure companies are roughly split (56% vs. 45%) between hiring Heads of Sales from infrastructure and applications backgrounds.





SaaS application companies (e.g., Slack, Box) mostly hire Heads of Sales from other application companies. As a result, many of these executives have sold into a similar or adjacent (if not identical) Ideal Customer Profile (ICP). *For example:*

Prior Company Prior ICP

Taleo : Chief People Officers

Marketing teams

New Company New ICP

Chief Legal Officers

Amplitude Product teams

Infrastructure companies (e.g., Confluent, HashiCorp) are nearly equally likely to recruit a Head of Sales from infrastructure companies and application companies. Notably, tenure for those from application companies slightly exceeds, on average, those from infrastructure backgrounds (3.5 years vs. 3 years, respectively).



Prior ICP
Chief Customer
Officers

and teams

Officers
Individual users

 \rightarrow

DATADOG

Carbon Black.

New Company

New ICP

Developers,

IT teams

opers, Top-down sales eams expertise

CISOs

Multi-billion dollar scale

Mitigant

Sector

Most Heads of Sales hired >\$50M ARR are entering a new sector for the first time – except for those selling Back Office & Operations products, 50% of whom had primarily spent time in the same sector.

Heads of Sales by Prior Sector Exposure

 $Growth + Late\ Growth\ Companies\ |\ n = 41$

	Sector	Example Company	Dominant in Sector	Some Exposure in Sector	Totally New to Sector	N-Size ¹	
Most sector affinity	Back Office & Operations	蕊coupa	50%	33%	17%	6	
Least sector affinity	Data & Analytics	alteryx	33%	17%	50%	6	
	Security	CROWDSTRIKE	33%	17%	50%	6	
	Vertical SaaS	enfusion	25%	50%	25%	4	
	Go-to-Market Stack	sprinklr	20%	20%	60%	5	
	Cloud Infrastructure	DATADOG	0%	50%	50%	4	
	Collaboration & Workflow	👬 slack	0%	20%	80%	5	
	All Application Companies		24%	24%	52%		or affinity trends are sistent across both
	All Infrastructure Companies		19%	25%	56%	16	application and structure companies.

Other Reports from ICONIQ Growth

Leadership Advisory Overview	Overview of our core motions and ways that we can support your organization	Case Study Record Law Case Study Record Law Case
Chief Marketing Officer Study	 Quantitative analysis of the most prevalent – and most successful – operational backgrounds and qualifications for Heads of Marketing at private SaaS companies, segmented by Growth Stage Data source: Proprietary dataset of >150 marketing leaders at 49 SaaS companies 	Working Annual in Tage Marine Longeries If the Control of Control
Chief People Officer Study	 Quantitative analysis of the most prevalent – and most successful – operational backgrounds and qualifications for Heads of People at private SaaS companies, segmented by Growth Stage Data source: Proprietary datasets of >100 people leaders at 59 companies; 2021 Cloud 100 People leaders 	We condense sharington. Proc of Equation at 1 high is primate. This of the first, in China of Linear (2 high is primate). The analysis of the first in China of Linear (2 high is primate). The analysis of the first in China of Linear (2 high is primate). The analysis of the first in China of Linear (2 high is primate). The analysis of Linear (2 high is primate). The analysi
President & Chief Operating Officer Study	 Examination of the advantages and challenges of having a COO and/or President role Data source: Proprietary dataset of every past and current COO/President at 61 SaaS companies 	Note: Section of the control of the
Chief Financial Officer Study	 Quantitative analysis of the most prevalent – and most successful – operational backgrounds and qualifications for Heads of Finance at private SaaS companies, segmented by Growth Stage Data source: Proprietary dataset of >170 finance leaders at 72 companies 	Section of the control of the contro
Chief Revenue Officer Study (Two-Part Series)	 Quantitative analysis of the most prevalent – and most successful – operational backgrounds and qualifications for Heads of Sales/CROs at private SaaS companies, segmented by Growth Stage Data source: Proprietary dataset of >180 sales leaders at 72 companies 	The state of the s



Supporting founders through pivotal milestones and various stages of growth

Executive Hiring

Talent and Leadership Advisory

Guidance to attract and unlock the power of talent through advisory, connections and research

"ICONIO delivered the best reference check I've ever seen, overnight."



||Eleven llLabs Mati Staniszewski Co-founder and CEO

Product and Go-to-Market Strategy

Technical Advisory and Go-to-Market Boards

Strategic advisory from industry leaders with hands-on experience in technology, digital innovation, go-to-market, and more

"It has been so valuable to lean into ICONIQ's expertise, network, and advice. What you do is a total game changer."



PIGMENT Eléonore Crespo Co-founder and CEO

Revenue Acceleration

Portfolio Operations

Digital and Growth Advisory Boards

Strategic and commercial connections across industries to support global expansion goals

"The customer introductions have been incredibly valuable. ICONIO's relationships are truly deeper."



SIERRA

Bret Taylor Co-founder and CEO

Category Leadership + Operational Optimization

Analytics and Insights

Data-driven insights to support decision making across business operations and strategy

"Working with ICONIQ has been a dream partnership, they've gone above and beyond at every step."



WRITER May Habib Co-founder and CEO

This slide contains a statement made by certain founders, executives, employees or owners ("Portfolio Company Personnel") of an ICONIQ portfolio company and may be deemed to be an endorsement or testimonial. Such Portfolio Company Personnel are not ICONIQ personnel but are ICONIQ advisory clients and/or ICONIQ fund investors. An ICONIQ fund's investment in the portfolio Company in which Portfolio Company Personnel may be employed by or hold an equity interest in creates a conflict of interest, because it incentivizes Portfolio Company Personnel to present ICONIQ in a favorable light. Portfolio Company Personnel have not been directly or indirectly compensated for making the statements provided. Trademarks are the property of their respective owners.

A Portfolio of Category Leaders

1Passw@rd	adyen	Si Age of Learning		Airtable Airtable	ϔ ajaib	E Z. Alibaba Group	alteryx	APT <i>TUS</i>
articulāte	* aurora	AUTØMATTIC	X AXONIUS	" bambooнr"	🕏 Benchling	BetterUp	Bill .com	M BLACKLINE
braze	Calendly	■ Campaign Monitor	Captivate IQ	chime	♦ CLARA	<u> संग</u> ्रे collibra	⊠ conexiom	* coupa
CROWDSTRIKE	Cyber 🚉 GRX	DATADOG	🥒 dataiku		■ Devoted Health	op dialpad	Docu Sign	DRATA
.::. •:enfusion	EPI	Epic	ez cater	fastly.	fetch	"\\' Fivetran	▲ Fireblocks	Flipkart
<u>FREEWILL</u>	- FTX	♥ Gem	₩ GitLab	gofundme	$Good P_{\!\! \lambda}$	GreenSky	Groww	GUILD
(†) HashiCorp	headspin	% HEPTAGON™	Ohighradius	O HIGHSPOT	hightouch	<u>H</u> ippo	⊞ HONEST	houzz
iex [□]	INTERCOM	<i>in</i> vision	komodo health	╬ loom	Lucid	⋙ MARQETA	miro	MC MONTE CARLO
motorway [.]	Moveworks	Nayya	≁ netskope	notable	Notion	Orca security	panther	people.aí
PLURALSIGHT	> Primer	PROCORE°	@ QGenda	ramp ノ	recharge	RED VENTURES	Reifyhealth	母Relativity⁻
(Re prise	Restaurant 365	probinhood	SANITY	SERVICETITAN	shopmonkey	O side	skuid	sendbird
(a) SMARTLING	* snowflake		% sprinklr	SQUĪRE	TENCENT MUSIC ENTERTAINMENT		turbonomic	twin
W Twistlock	UBER	///Unit21	🔰 UNITE US	vic.ai	V virtru	WARBY PARKER	wayfair	Wealthsimple

zoom

Wolt

ICONIQ Growth

SAN FRANCISCO | PALO ALTO | NEW YORK | LONDON | SINGAPORE





