AFC American Fintech Council

Media Partner PUNCHBOWL NEWS

Policy Summit 2025

November 18, 2025
The Westin Washington, D.C. City Center

The Autonomous Edge: Governing Agentic AI's Leap from Innovation to Accountability

Facilitated by Vinay Bhaskar, COO & Adam Saad, Head of Product, Scienaptic AI

SCIENAPTIC

Discussion Summary

The use of artificial intelligence, machine learning, and autonomous agent technologies within financial services continues to expand across both consumer-facing functions and internal operations. The discussion distinguished among these technological approaches, explaining that machine learning systems generate outputs from data patterns, large language models predict and generate language, and more autonomous agent technologies modify their outputs dynamically in ways that may fall outside predictable distributions. Participants grappled with nuanced ideas on how these technologies should be deployed responsibly, including the need for clearly defined human involvement in decision-making, particularly in consumer contexts such as financial readiness programs for military personnel.

Participants emphasized that software is not inherently an agent and cannot independently act on behalf of an institution without appropriate oversight, governance, and documented expectations regarding accuracy, error tolerance, and explainability. They also highlighted the risks associated with data corruption, model amplification of errors, and the regulatory need to maintain both snapshots of model logic and original decision records to ensure transparency for examiners. The conversation concluded with observations about shifting consumer comfort levels, the heightened risk sensitivity of certain industries such as insurance, and the importance of monitoring practices that reflect the unique types of errors and uncertainty presented by modern AI systems.

Key Takeaways

- Responsible deployment of AI and autonomous agent technologies requires clearly defined human oversight, documented expectations regarding accuracy and explainability, and governance structures that recognize that software does not inherently act as a legal agent.
- Institutions must address risks of error amplification and data corruption across interconnected models, and they should maintain both contemporaneous and original records of model logic to meet regulatory expectations for transparency.
- Consumer comfort, institutional risk tolerance, and sector-specific regulatory expectations vary widely, which underscores the importance of tailored monitoring practices for both consumer-facing and internal AI applications.