Searls-Briggs Type Indicator®

1. It's boring when all the code in a project is structured similarly.

1	2	3	4	5
Strongly Disagree		Meh.		Strongly Agree

2. I prefer hearing all requirements up front, even if I can't tackle them all right away.

1	2	3	4	5
Strongly Disagree		Meh.		Strongly Agree

3. It's okay for everyone on a team to maintain separate coding styles.

1	2	3	4	5
Strongly Disagree		Meh.		Strongly Agree

4. I often feel paralyzed while staring at a blank editor screen.

1	2	3	4	5
Strongly Disagree		Meh.		Strongly Agree

5. I strive to write visually appealing code, down to syntax & symmetry.

1	2	3	4	5
Strongly Disagree		Meh.		Strongly Agree

6. It's more important to build the right thing than to build the thing right.

1	2	3	4	5
Strongly Disagree		Meh.		Strongly Agree

7. Writing good commit messages today will pay off in the future.

1	2	3	4	5
Strongly Disagree		Meh.		Strongly Agree

8. Software teams will make smarter use of time under pressure.

1	2	3	4	5
Strongly Disagree		Meh.		Strongly Agree

9. I love experimenting with new tools, frameworks, and build systems.

1	2	3	4	5
Strongly Disagree		Meh.		Strongly Agree

10. Publishing metrics (e.g. code coverage) is always a good idea.

1	2	3	4	5
Strongly Disagree		Meh.		Strongly Agree

11. Most teams lack sufficient understanding of their dependencies.

1	2	3	4	5
Strongly Disagree		Meh.		Strongly Agree

12. Better to ship code quickly than wait until everything's tested.

1	2	3	4	5
Strongly Disagree		Meh.		Strongly Agree

13. I look forward to being assigned to new projects and teams.

1	2	3	4	5
Strongly Disagree		Meh.		Strongly Agree

14. Adding to a long function feels like more code won't "fit".

1	2	3	4	5
Strongly Disagree		Meh.		Strongly Agree

15. Design principles are useful, but most teams waste too much time on them.

1	2	3	4	5
Strongly Disagree		Meh.		Strongly Agree

16. Software is generally improving over time and we're not doomed.

1	2	3	4	5
Strongly Disagree		Meh.		Strongly Agree

Box 1: compute #2 − #4 + #13 − #14 = _____

Greater than **0**? Mark **[F]**earless. Otherwise, **[S]**ensistive.

Box 2: compute #1 − #5 + #6 + #9 = _____

Greater than **5**? Mark **[I]**nventive. Otherwise, **[A]**esthetic.

Box 3: compute #7 + #8 + #10 + #16 = _____

Greater than **10**? Mark **[N]**aive. Otherwise, **[L]**eery.

Box 4: compute #3 − #11 + #12 + #15 = _____

Greater than **5**? Mark **[E]**conomical. Otherwise, **[T]**horough.

My Searls-Briggs® type is ▢ ▢ ▢ ▢

Show us how you program!

testdouble.com/join