Toward a Time-Domain Metric for Electrical Stress in AI-Dense Data Centers: Definition and Application of Transient Stress Density (TSD) and Voltage Response Factor (VRF)

Jonathan Chu, Verdigris Technologies, Los Altos, CA
jon@verdigris.co

October 11, 2025

Abstract

Modern AI workloads impose sub-cycle, rapidly varying current draws that exceed the temporal bandwidth of existing power quality (PQ) metrics such as Total Harmonic Distortion (THD) and Total Demand Distortion (TDD). These loads generate repetitive transient stress on power distribution (PD) networks, stressing conductors, connectors, and UPS input filters. This paper proposes a time-domain metric—*Transient Stress Density* (TSD)—and a derived voltage-to-current ratio—*Voltage Response Factor* (VRF)—to quantify sub-cycle energy stress and dynamic voltage stiffness. Both metrics are designed for implementation in high-frequency metering (≥8 kHz) and complement IEEE 519 and IEC 61000-4-30 standards by addressing the missing transient domain.

1. Introduction

AI data centers routinely operate racks exceeding 80 kW, where fast GPU load ramps create rapid current excursions below the 50–60 Hz fundamental period. Existing PQ metrics (IEEE 519, IEC 61000-4-30) assume steady-state, periodic distortion and cannot quantify such events. Unmitigated transient stress causes localized heating, dielectric fatigue, and control-loop oscillations in UPS systems. This work defines measurable quantities that express these dynamics using available metering bandwidth.

2. Limitations of Existing PQ Metrics

IEEE 519 defines limits on steady-state harmonic distortion:

$$\mathrm{TDD} = \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{h=2}^{h_{\max}} I_h^2}}{I_L} \times 100\%, \tag{1}$$

where I_h is the RMS magnitude of the h-th harmonic and I_L is the 15–30 min RMS demand current. TDD quantifies spectral distortion but not sub-cycle amplitude modulation. A waveform that is perfectly sinusoidal yet changes amplitude 60% cycle-to-cycle yields negligible TDD but significant thermal and mechanical stress.

3. Definition of Transient Stress Density (TSD)

We define the *Transient Stress Density* for current as

$$TSD_I = \int_{t_0}^{t_0+T} [I(t) - I_{\mathrm{ref}}]^2 \, dt, \tag{2}$$

where I_{ref} is the smoothed RMS current over window T (typically 60 s). Normalized form:

$$TSD_N = \frac{1}{I_L^2 \, T} \int_{t_0}^{t_0+T} [I(t) - I_{\mathrm{ref}}]^2 \, dt. \tag{3}$$

Units are A^2s per minute or dimensionless when normalized. TSD_N expresses the fraction of transient energy relative to the rated load current.

Thermal stress in a conductor of resistance R scales as

$$Q_{\mathrm{heat}} = R \cdot TSD_I, \tag{4}$$

and thus TSD_I directly correlates with accumulated I^2t fatigue in conductors and connectors.

4. Voltage Response Factor (VRF)

Voltage deviations arise through network impedance Z_{eq}:

$$\Delta V(t) = Z_{eq} \cdot \Delta I(t). \tag{5}$$

The *Voltage Stress Density* is defined analogously:

$$VSD = \int_{t_0}^{t_0+T} [V(t) - V_{\text{ref}}]^2 \, dt. \tag{6}$$

We define the *Voltage Response Factor* as

$$VRF = \frac{VSD}{TSD_I} \approx |Z_{eq}|^2. \tag{7}$$

VRF represents the dynamic electrical stiffness of the PD network. A low VRF indicates a stiff supply; a rising VRF trend indicates increased impedance, resonance, or degraded UPS filtering.

5. Implementation and Measurement

High-frequency meters ($\geq$8 kHz sampling) can compute equations (2)–(7) locally using streaming aggregation rather than fixed waveform windows. Each measurement channel maintains a continuously updated RMS estimate of voltage and current over a 60 s trailing window, denoted $V_{\text{RMS,60s}}$ and $I_{\text{RMS,60s}}$. This moving baseline defines the reference terms V_{ref} and I_{ref} used in Eqs. (2)–(7).

During normal operation, the device performs the following steps:

1. Sample instantaneous voltage and current at high frequency ($\geq$8 kHz).
2. Compute incremental energy terms $[I(t) - I_{\text{ref}}]^2 \, \Delta t$ and $[V(t) - V_{\text{ref}}]^2 \, \Delta t$ in real time.
3. Integrate these terms over each 60 s interval to produce TSD_I and VSD.
4. Normalize by I_L^2 and interval duration T to yield TSD_N.
5. Report TSD_N and $VRF = VSD/TSD_I$ as one-minute aggregates to the data layer.

This method decouples waveform bandwidth from reporting cadence: while the device samples at kilohertz rates, only aggregated transient energy metrics are transmitted, minimizing data volume while preserving dynamic fidelity. Because the data stream provides continuous coverage of the time domain, thresholds are treated not as fixed compliance limits but as *anomaly indicators*. Alert bands (e.g., informational, warning, critical) may be determined empirically from the statistical distribution of TSD and VRF values over time or across comparable circuits once sufficient operational data has been collected. This allows thresholding to adapt to the site's unique electrical characteristics and loading profile, supporting data-driven alerting without reliance on prescriptive PQ standards.

6. Discussion

TSD captures sub-cycle current stress unobservable by harmonic metrics. VRF extends this insight to voltage behavior, approximating dynamic source impedance in situ. High TSD with increasing VRF correlates with connector heating, UPS input distortion, and voltage instability. Combined, they provide an energy-based health index for PD networks under AI-class loads.

7. Use Cases

Commissioning: quantify electrical stiffness of new AI racks.
Operation: detect emerging impedance growth or UPS filter wear.
Maintenance: schedule inspection when TSD_N and VRF exceed defined bands.

8. Conclusion

Transient Stress Density and Voltage Response Factor offer a physics-based extension to existing power quality metrics. By expressing stress energy and dynamic stiffness in measurable quantities, they enable proactive reliability monitoring in high-density data centers. Future work will correlate these metrics with temperature rise, UPS derating, and failure rates to establish standardized thresholds.

Acknowledgment

The author thanks colleagues at Verdigris Technologies and partner operators who provided waveform datasets and field validation during early trials of the proposed metrics.

References

[1] IEEE Std 519-2014, *Recommended Practice and Requirements for Harmonic Control in Electric Power Systems*, IEEE Power & Energy Society, 2014.

[2] IEC 61000-4-30 Ed.4, *Testing and Measurement Techniques – Power Quality Measurement Methods*, International Electrotechnical Commission, 2021.

[3] IEC 61000-4-15, *Flickermeter – Functional and Design Specifications*, IEC, 2010.

[4] R. M. Coberly, "Power quality indices for modern nonlinear loads," *IEEE Trans. Power Delivery*, vol. 36, no. 4, 2021.

[5] M. Bollen, D. L. Duong, and K. Yang, "The need for supraharmonics standards," in *Proc. CIRED Conf.*, 2020.

[6] S. Zacharias *et al.*, "Electrical stress and reliability of UPS systems under fast load variations," in *Proc. Intelec*, 2022.