



Ontario Survey

Field Dates: September 28-29th, 2026 | N = 1203 residents (18+)

Weighted by region, and by gender, age & education within region · MoE $\pm 2.8\%$, 19 times out of 20



**MAINSTREET
RESEARCH**

mainstreetresearch.ca | info@mainstreetresearch.ca

Margin of Error: $\pm 2.8\%$, 19 times out of 20

Survey Methodology


About Mainstreet Research

Founded in 2010, Mainstreet Research is recognized as one of Canada's top public opinion and market research firms. Since our founding, we have been providing actionable and data-driven insights to our clients to help them make their most important evidence-based strategic decisions. Mainstreet has an impressive track record in accurately forecasting election results in Canada and the United States and has become a trusted source for comprehensive market research, analysis and advice. Our insights are found in major media outlets across the country. Our diverse team has decades of experience in conducting both quantitative and qualitative research, ranging from broad national surveys, to focus groups, to membership surveys, and all points in between. Mainstreet Research is a proud corporate member of CRIC and exceeds all Canadian and international standards for market research and public opinion research.


Methodology

The analysis in this report is based on results of a survey conducted September 28-29th, 2026, among a sample of 1203 adults, 18 years of age or older, living in Ontario. The survey was conducted using Interactive Voice Response (IVR). Respondents were interviewed on landlines and cellular phones. The survey is intended to represent the voting population in Ontario. Results were weighted regionally: within each of 6 regions (Toronto; Halton, Peel, York & Durham; Hamilton, Niagara, Waterloo & Guelph; Southwestern Ontario; Eastern Ontario; and Northern Ontario), responses were weighted by gender, age & education to that region's own 2021 Census profile, and each region was then weighted to its share of the valid votes cast in the 2025 provincial election. The full sample was then weighted again to the 2021 Census profile of the whole area as a whole, with each region held at its share. The margin of error for the poll is +/- 2.8% at the 95% confidence level. Margins of error are higher in each subsample. Totals may not add up 100% due to rounding. This poll was commissioned by and is the exclusive property of Mainstreet Research. Any reproduction, in whole or in part of this report or the data contained herein is expressly prohibited without written authorization by Mainstreet Research.

Survey Regions



In the upcoming Provincial election, how would you vote if the election were held today?



In the upcoming Provincial election, how would you vote if the election were held today?

Results broken out by gender and age

Response	Total	Gender			Age			
		Male	Female	Non-binary	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+
Fraser, Liberal	18.6%	20.1%	20.0%	2.7%	20.1%	14.0%	20.7%	19.3%
Ford, Conservative	26.3%	31.1%	21.6%	27.1%	19.3%	27.4%	28.0%	31.9%
Stiles, NDP	20.1%	19.7%	16.7%	41.9%	34.6%	22.8%	11.6%	9.6%
Schreiner, Green	4.6%	4.4%	5.5%	0.1%	2.6%	2.7%	5.7%	7.7%
Another Party or candidate	6.4%	6.1%	3.8%	22.4%	10.3%	10.3%	2.8%	1.7%
Undecided	23.9%	18.5%	32.3%	5.8%	13.1%	22.9%	31.2%	29.9%
Unweighted Freq	1203	578	578	47	58	142	316	687
Weighted Freq	1203	541	563	99	332	286	309	275

Results broken out by education

Response	Total	Education		
		Highschool or less	College	University
Fraser, Liberal	18.6%	7.5%	15.8%	35.4%
Ford, Conservative	26.3%	29.6%	28.5%	20.1%
Stiles, NDP	20.1%	13.7%	23.7%	25.1%
Schreiner, Green	4.6%	5.9%	1.9%	5.3%
Another Party or candidate	6.4%	5.0%	10.4%	4.5%
Undecided	23.9%	38.1%	19.7%	9.6%
Unweighted Freq	1203	227	369	607
Weighted Freq	1203	482	344	377

Results broken out by income

Response	Total	Income				
		<\$50k	\$50k-\$75k	\$75k-\$100k	\$100k+	Don't want to say
Fraser, Liberal	18.6%	12.0%	9.5%	11.8%	36.3%	13.2%
Ford, Conservative	26.3%	28.1%	22.7%	36.1%	25.8%	22.8%
Stiles, NDP	20.1%	18.6%	16.6%	32.9%	20.9%	17.4%
Schreiner, Green	4.6%	2.9%	8.3%	4.2%	3.5%	5.0%
Another Party or candidate	6.4%	8.7%	11.9%	5.1%	0.9%	7.1%
Undecided	23.9%	29.7%	31.1%	9.9%	12.6%	34.4%
Unweighted Freq	1203	242	204	151	360	246
Weighted Freq	1203	300	211	124	340	228

Results broken out by race

Race										
Response	Total	White	Black	East Asian	Latino	Middle Eastern	South Asian	Southeast Asian	First Nations	Other
Fraser, Liberal	18.6%	20.7%	14.6%	15.9%	3.3%	38.4%	19.3%	12.6%	0.6%	6.2%
Ford, Conservative	26.3%	22.9%	35.0%	36.4%	70.4%	50.8%	47.7%	24.2%	32.0%	34.6%
Stiles, NDP	20.1%	22.2%	9.3%	17.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%	23.1%	32.2%	10.8%
Schreiner, Green	4.6%	5.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.9%	0.0%	22.6%	0.0%
Another Party or candidate	6.4%	5.0%	5.2%	7.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.1%	27.3%
Undecided	23.9%	24.2%	35.8%	23.0%	26.4%	10.9%	21.2%	40.0%	0.4%	21.1%
Unweighted Freq	1203	986	34	29	10	19	37	14	14	60
Weighted Freq	1203	934	55	28	14	8	38	17	30	81

Results broken out by region


Region							
Response	Total	Toronto	Halton, Peel, York & Durham	Hamilton, Niagara, Waterloo & Guelph	Southwestern Ontario	Eastern Ontario	Northern Ontario
Fraser, Liberal	18.6%	22.4%	24.4%	14.3%	12.6%	18.1%	12.4%
Ford, Conservative	26.3%	26.7%	25.2%	27.3%	22.9%	27.1%	32.8%
Stiles, NDP	20.1%	25.5%	16.4%	10.6%	17.9%	22.3%	38.7%
Schreiner, Green	4.6%	0.7%	3.4%	14.1%	2.3%	5.6%	0.0%
Another Party or candidate	6.4%	6.1%	5.0%	4.1%	15.8%	5.1%	0.0%
Undecided	23.9%	18.7%	25.7%	29.5%	28.5%	21.7%	16.1%
Unweighted Freq	1203	323	245	145	247	187	56
Weighted Freq	1203	212	293	175	181	263	79

Results broken out by q4

Q4								
Response	Total	Carney	Poillievre	Lewis	May	Bernier	Other	Undecided
Fraser, Liberal	18.6%	37.3%	1.9%	0.1%	0.9%	0.0%	3.8%	6.3%
Ford, Conservative	26.3%	15.6%	61.2%	0.2%	0.0%	4.6%	0.0%	19.7%
Stiles, NDP	20.1%	16.4%	5.0%	85.2%	10.5%	77.3%	0.0%	6.4%
Schreiner, Green	4.6%	5.3%	0.7%	0.0%	84.5%	1.0%	0.8%	0.4%
Another Party or candidate	6.4%	1.1%	7.7%	10.9%	0.0%	17.0%	49.0%	1.1%
Undecided	23.9%	24.4%	23.5%	3.5%	4.1%	0.0%	46.3%	66.2%
Unweighted Freq	1203	733	283	83	14	13	25	52
Weighted Freq	1203	567	353	130	26	19	51	56

n=1203 · All respondents

In the upcoming Provincial election, how would you vote if the election were held today? [Undecideds asked which party they are leaning towards]



In the upcoming Provincial election, how would you vote if the election were held today? [Undecideds asked which party they are leaning towards]

Results broken out by gender and age

Response	Total	Gender			Age			
		Male	Female	Non-binary	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+
Fraser, Liberal	22.5%	23.1%	25.3%	3.5%	23.2%	21.8%	23.5%	21.2%
Ford, Conservative	30.2%	33.4%	26.9%	31.7%	19.3%	29.4%	39.0%	34.3%
Stiles, NDP	23.9%	20.2%	24.4%	41.9%	36.3%	26.9%	15.9%	15.0%
Schreiner, Green	4.6%	4.4%	5.6%	0.1%	2.6%	2.7%	5.9%	7.8%
Another Party or candidate	7.1%	6.1%	5.3%	22.4%	10.3%	12.3%	2.8%	2.6%
Undecided	11.7%	12.8%	12.6%	0.4%	8.3%	6.9%	12.9%	19.3%
Unweighted Freq	1203	578	578	47	58	142	316	687
Weighted Freq	1203	541	563	99	332	286	309	275

Results broken out by education

Response	Total	Education		
		Highschool or less	College	University
Fraser, Liberal	22.5%	12.7%	18.9%	38.3%
Ford, Conservative	30.2%	35.8%	32.1%	21.3%
Stiles, NDP	23.9%	19.9%	28.0%	25.5%
Schreiner, Green	4.6%	5.9%	1.9%	5.4%
Another Party or candidate	7.1%	6.7%	10.4%	4.5%
Undecided	11.7%	18.9%	8.8%	5.1%
Unweighted Freq	1203	227	369	607
Weighted Freq	1203	482	344	377

Results broken out by income

Response	Total	Income				
		<\$50k	\$50k-\$75k	\$75k-\$100k	\$100k+	Don't want to say
Fraser, Liberal	22.5%	18.5%	12.9%	13.2%	38.2%	18.1%
Ford, Conservative	30.2%	34.0%	25.5%	37.6%	27.9%	28.8%
Stiles, NDP	23.9%	21.6%	22.8%	32.9%	24.9%	21.8%
Schreiner, Green	4.6%	3.0%	8.4%	4.2%	3.5%	5.3%
Another Party or candidate	7.1%	9.3%	11.9%	5.1%	0.9%	9.8%
Undecided	11.7%	13.6%	18.5%	7.0%	4.6%	16.1%
Unweighted Freq	1203	242	204	151	360	246
Weighted Freq	1203	300	211	124	340	228

Results broken out by race

Race										
Response	Total	White	Black	East Asian	Latino	Middle Eastern	South Asian	Southeast Asian	First Nations	Other
Fraser, Liberal	22.5%	24.5%	32.8%	16.8%	3.3%	38.4%	19.3%	12.6%	0.6%	6.9%
Ford, Conservative	30.2%	26.8%	35.0%	54.0%	70.4%	50.8%	55.8%	24.2%	32.0%	37.3%
Stiles, NDP	23.9%	26.9%	10.1%	18.7%	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%	23.1%	32.2%	12.5%
Schreiner, Green	4.6%	5.1%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.9%	0.0%	22.6%	0.1%
Another Party or candidate	7.1%	5.8%	5.2%	7.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.1%	27.3%
Undecided	11.7%	10.9%	16.9%	2.8%	26.4%	10.9%	13.1%	40.0%	0.4%	15.9%
Unweighted Freq	1203	986	34	29	10	19	37	14	14	60
Weighted Freq	1203	934	55	28	14	8	38	17	30	81

Results broken out by region


Region							
Response	Total	Toronto	Halton, Peel, York & Durham	Hamilton, Niagara, Waterloo & Guelph	Southwestern Ontario	Eastern Ontario	Northern Ontario
Fraser, Liberal	22.5%	27.2%	28.0%	19.6%	14.9%	22.7%	12.4%
Ford, Conservative	30.2%	28.6%	31.4%	28.5%	29.4%	31.1%	32.8%
Stiles, NDP	23.9%	30.3%	24.0%	15.1%	19.6%	23.2%	38.7%
Schreiner, Green	4.6%	0.8%	3.4%	14.6%	2.3%	5.6%	0.0%
Another Party or candidate	7.1%	6.5%	5.0%	4.6%	19.2%	5.1%	0.0%
Undecided	11.7%	6.7%	8.3%	17.5%	14.6%	12.2%	16.1%
Unweighted Freq	1203	323	245	145	247	187	56
Weighted Freq	1203	212	293	175	181	263	79

Results broken out by q4

Q4								
Response	Total	Carney	Poillievre	Lewis	May	Bernier	Other	Undecided
Fraser, Liberal	22.5%	43.6%	4.0%	1.9%	0.9%	0.0%	5.0%	6.6%
Ford, Conservative	30.2%	20.0%	65.6%	0.2%	0.0%	4.6%	1.9%	28.5%
Stiles, NDP	23.9%	18.6%	11.7%	85.2%	10.5%	77.3%	17.9%	7.1%
Schreiner, Green	4.6%	5.3%	0.9%	0.0%	84.5%	1.0%	0.8%	0.6%
Another Party or candidate	7.1%	1.3%	9.5%	10.9%	0.0%	17.0%	49.0%	2.8%
Undecided	11.7%	11.3%	8.4%	1.8%	4.1%	0.0%	25.3%	54.3%
Unweighted Freq	1203	733	283	83	14	13	25	52
Weighted Freq	1203	567	353	130	26	19	51	56

n=1203 · All respondents

In the upcoming Provincial election, how would you vote if the election were held today? [Undecideds removed]



Fraser, Liberal Ford, Conservative Stiles, NDP Schreiner, Green Another Party or candidate

In the upcoming Provincial election, how would you vote if the election were held today? [Undecideds removed]

Results broken out by gender and age

Gender					Age			
Response	Total	Male	Female	Non-binary	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+
Fraser, Liberal	25.5%	26.5%	28.9%	3.5%	25.3%	23.4%	27.0%	26.2%
Ford, Conservative	34.2%	38.3%	30.7%	31.8%	21.0%	31.6%	44.8%	42.4%
Stiles, NDP	27.1%	23.2%	27.9%	42.0%	39.6%	28.9%	18.3%	18.6%
Schreiner, Green	5.3%	5.1%	6.5%	0.1%	2.8%	2.9%	6.8%	9.6%
Another Party or candidate	8.0%	7.0%	6.0%	22.5%	11.2%	13.2%	3.2%	3.2%
Unweighted Freq	1099	533	521	45	55	132	288	624
Weighted Freq	1062	471	492	99	304	266	269	222

Results broken out by education

Education				
Response	Total	Highschool or less	College	University
Fraser, Liberal	25.5%	15.7%	20.7%	40.3%
Ford, Conservative	34.2%	44.1%	35.2%	22.4%
Stiles, NDP	27.1%	24.5%	30.7%	26.9%
Schreiner, Green	5.3%	7.3%	2.1%	5.7%
Another Party or candidate	8.0%	8.3%	11.4%	4.7%
Unweighted Freq	1099	190	334	575
Weighted Freq	1062	391	314	357

Results broken out by income

Income						
Response	Total	<\$50k	\$50k-\$75k	\$75k-\$100k	\$100k+	Don't want to say
Fraser, Liberal	25.5%	21.5%	15.9%	14.2%	40.0%	21.6%
Ford, Conservative	34.2%	39.3%	31.3%	40.5%	29.2%	34.3%
Stiles, NDP	27.1%	25.0%	27.9%	35.3%	26.1%	26.0%
Schreiner, Green	5.3%	3.4%	10.3%	4.5%	3.7%	6.3%
Another Party or candidate	8.0%	10.8%	14.6%	5.5%	1.0%	11.7%
Unweighted Freq	1099	214	182	142	347	214
Weighted Freq	1062	259	172	116	325	191

Results broken out by race

Race										
Response	Total	White	Black	East Asian	Latino	Middle Eastern	South Asian	Southeast Asian	First Nations	Other
Fraser, Liberal	25.5%	27.5%	39.5%	17.3%	4.4%	43.0%	22.2%	21.0%	0.7%	8.2%
Ford, Conservative	34.2%	30.1%	42.1%	55.6%	95.6%	57.0%	64.2%	40.4%	32.1%	44.3%
Stiles, NDP	27.1%	30.1%	12.1%	19.2%	0.0%	0.0%	9.2%	38.6%	32.4%	14.9%
Schreiner, Green	5.3%	5.7%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	4.4%	0.0%	22.7%	0.1%
Another Party or candidate	8.0%	6.5%	6.3%	7.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.1%	32.4%
Unweighted Freq	1099	904	32	28	9	16	31	11	13	55
Weighted Freq	1062	832	45	27	10	7	33	10	29	68

Results broken out by region


Region							
Response	Total	Toronto	Halton, Peel, York & Durham	Hamilton, Niagara, Waterloo & Guelph	Southwestern Ontario	Eastern Ontario	Northern Ontario
Fraser, Liberal	25.5%	29.2%	30.5%	23.8%	17.4%	25.8%	14.8%
Ford, Conservative	34.2%	30.6%	34.2%	34.6%	34.4%	35.4%	39.0%
Stiles, NDP	27.1%	32.4%	26.1%	18.3%	23.0%	26.5%	46.2%
Schreiner, Green	5.3%	0.8%	3.7%	17.7%	2.6%	6.4%	0.0%
Another Party or candidate	8.0%	7.0%	5.4%	5.6%	22.5%	5.9%	0.0%
Unweighted Freq	1099	303	231	130	222	169	44
Weighted Freq	1062	198	268	145	155	231	66

Results broken out by q4

Q4								
Response	Total	Carney	Poillievre	Lewis	May	Bernier	Other	Undecided
Fraser, Liberal	25.5%	49.1%	4.4%	1.9%	1.0%	0.0%	6.7%	14.5%
Ford, Conservative	34.2%	22.5%	71.6%	0.2%	0.0%	4.6%	2.5%	62.5%
Stiles, NDP	27.1%	20.9%	12.8%	86.7%	10.9%	77.3%	23.9%	15.6%
Schreiner, Green	5.3%	6.0%	0.9%	0.0%	88.1%	1.0%	1.1%	1.3%
Another Party or candidate	8.0%	1.4%	10.3%	11.1%	0.0%	17.0%	65.7%	6.2%
Unweighted Freq	1099	686	263	77	13	13	19	28
Weighted Freq	1062	503	323	128	25	19	38	26

n=1203 · All respondents

If a federal election were held today, which candidate/party would you vote for?



If a federal election were held today, which candidate/party would you vote for?

Results broken out by gender and age

Response	Total	Gender			Age			
		Male	Female	Non-binary	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+
Carney, Liberal	47.2%	48.4%	52.6%	9.9%	33.3%	45.6%	54.4%	57.4%
Poilievre, Conservative	29.3%	35.0%	23.9%	29.3%	27.6%	38.1%	28.3%	23.5%
Lewis, NDP	10.8%	7.2%	6.8%	52.8%	24.5%	7.6%	3.9%	5.4%
May, Green	2.2%	0.7%	3.5%	2.7%	2.6%	1.4%	3.1%	1.4%
Bernier, PPC	1.6%	0.6%	2.7%	0.9%	4.5%	0.8%	0.3%	0.3%
Other	4.3%	2.6%	5.8%	4.3%	3.6%	4.4%	4.1%	5.1%
Undecided	4.7%	5.6%	4.6%	0.2%	3.9%	2.0%	5.9%	6.9%
Unweighted Freq	1203	578	578	47	58	142	316	687
Weighted Freq	1203	541	563	99	332	286	309	275

Results broken out by education

Response	Total	Education		
		Highschool or less	College	University
Carney, Liberal	47.2%	40.9%	41.3%	60.6%
Poilievre, Conservative	29.3%	30.4%	36.1%	21.8%
Lewis, NDP	10.8%	11.6%	11.2%	9.4%
May, Green	2.2%	2.0%	1.6%	2.8%
Bernier, PPC	1.6%	0.0%	4.9%	0.6%
Other	4.3%	7.4%	2.3%	1.9%
Undecided	4.7%	7.7%	2.5%	2.8%
Unweighted Freq	1203	227	369	607
Weighted Freq	1203	482	344	377

Results broken out by income

Income						
Response	Total	<\$50k	\$50k-\$75k	\$75k-\$100k	\$100k+	Don't want to say
Carney, Liberal	47.2%	51.7%	24.6%	35.4%	64.6%	42.5%
Poilievre, Conservative	29.3%	28.3%	40.0%	32.6%	22.4%	29.5%
Lewis, NDP	10.8%	8.5%	17.8%	14.3%	6.4%	12.0%
May, Green	2.2%	1.3%	8.2%	0.2%	1.2%	0.1%
Bernier, PPC	1.6%	0.1%	0.5%	11.9%	0.3%	0.9%
Other	4.3%	4.3%	4.6%	5.0%	0.2%	9.5%
Undecided	4.7%	5.7%	4.3%	0.6%	4.9%	5.5%
Unweighted Freq	1203	242	204	151	360	246
Weighted Freq	1203	300	211	124	340	228

Results broken out by race

Race										
Response	Total	White	Black	East Asian	Latino	Middle Eastern	South Asian	Southeast Asian	First Nations	Other
Carney, Liberal	47.2%	51.0%	54.5%	55.5%	38.2%	69.3%	28.9%	75.4%	1.0%	14.6%
Poilievre, Conservative	29.3%	25.7%	43.3%	40.2%	61.8%	29.3%	62.9%	0.0%	32.5%	41.8%
Lewis, NDP	10.8%	10.0%	1.4%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%	23.1%	32.2%	23.8%
May, Green	2.2%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	22.2%	3.4%
Bernier, PPC	1.6%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	4.3%	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.1%	4.2%
Undecided	4.7%	4.8%	0.8%	1.9%	0.0%	1.4%	1.4%	1.5%	0.0%	12.2%
Unweighted Freq	1203	986	34	29	10	19	37	14	14	60
Weighted Freq	1203	934	55	28	14	8	38	17	30	81

Results broken out by region


Region							
Response	Total	Toronto	Halton, Peel, York & Durham	Hamilton, Niagara, Waterloo & Guelph	Southwestern Ontario	Eastern Ontario	Northern Ontario
Carney, Liberal	47.2%	49.0%	51.1%	41.7%	44.6%	49.5%	37.8%
Poilievre, Conservative	29.3%	27.8%	24.9%	34.4%	31.1%	25.9%	45.8%
Lewis, NDP	10.8%	13.5%	13.9%	6.9%	2.3%	12.8%	13.9%
May, Green	2.2%	1.1%	0.0%	4.5%	0.9%	5.4%	0.0%
Bernier, PPC	1.6%	0.1%	5.2%	0.9%	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Other	4.3%	1.8%	3.1%	2.4%	16.7%	0.7%	2.5%
Undecided	4.7%	6.7%	1.8%	9.2%	4.2%	5.1%	0.0%
Unweighted Freq	1203	323	245	145	247	187	56
Weighted Freq	1203	212	293	175	181	263	79

Results broken out by q4

Q4								
Response	Total	Carney	Poilievre	Lewis	May	Bernier	Other	Undecided
Carney, Liberal	47.2%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Poilievre, Conservative	29.3%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Lewis, NDP	10.8%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
May, Green	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Bernier, PPC	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Undecided	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Unweighted Freq	1203	733	283	83	14	13	25	52
Weighted Freq	1203	567	353	130	26	19	51	56

n=1203 · All respondents

If a federal election were held today, which candidate/party would you vote for?
[Undecideds asked which party they are leaning towards]



If a federal election were held today, which candidate/party would you vote for?
[Undecideds asked which party they are leaning towards]

Results broken out by gender and age

Gender					Age			
Response	Total	Male	Female	Non-binary	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+
Carney, Liberal	49.0%	52.1%	52.9%	9.9%	37.3%	45.7%	54.8%	60.0%
Poilievre, Conservative	29.6%	35.6%	23.9%	29.3%	27.6%	39.0%	28.5%	23.5%
Lewis, NDP	10.9%	7.4%	6.9%	52.8%	24.5%	7.6%	4.1%	5.4%
May, Green	2.2%	0.7%	3.7%	2.7%	2.6%	1.4%	3.1%	1.8%
Bernier, PPC	1.6%	0.6%	2.7%	0.9%	4.5%	0.8%	0.3%	0.3%
Other	4.3%	2.6%	5.8%	4.5%	3.6%	4.4%	4.1%	5.1%
Undecided	2.4%	1.1%	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	5.0%	3.9%
Unweighted Freq	1203	578	578	47	58	142	316	687
Weighted Freq	1203	541	563	99	332	286	309	275

Results broken out by education

Education				
Response	Total	Highschool or less	College	University
Carney, Liberal	49.0%	44.4%	41.3%	62.0%
Poilievre, Conservative	29.6%	30.4%	36.7%	22.1%
Lewis, NDP	10.9%	11.6%	11.4%	9.5%
May, Green	2.2%	2.2%	1.7%	2.8%
Bernier, PPC	1.6%	0.0%	4.9%	0.6%
Other	4.3%	7.4%	2.4%	1.9%
Undecided	2.4%	4.0%	1.7%	1.1%
Unweighted Freq	1203	227	369	607
Weighted Freq	1203	482	344	377

Results broken out by income

Income						
Response	Total	<\$50k	\$50k-\$75k	\$75k-\$100k	\$100k+	Don't want to say
Carney, Liberal	49.0%	53.9%	24.9%	35.4%	67.7%	44.3%
Poilievre, Conservative	29.6%	29.0%	40.0%	32.6%	22.7%	29.5%
Lewis, NDP	10.9%	8.5%	17.9%	14.8%	6.4%	12.1%
May, Green	2.2%	1.7%	8.2%	0.2%	1.2%	0.1%
Bernier, PPC	1.6%	0.1%	0.5%	11.9%	0.3%	0.9%
Other	4.3%	4.3%	4.6%	5.0%	0.2%	9.5%
Undecided	2.4%	2.5%	3.9%	0.2%	1.5%	3.5%
Unweighted Freq	1203	242	204	151	360	246
Weighted Freq	1203	300	211	124	340	228

Results broken out by race

Race										
Response	Total	White	Black	East Asian	Latino	Middle Eastern	South Asian	Southeast Asian	First Nations	Other
Carney, Liberal	49.0%	52.2%	54.5%	55.5%	38.2%	69.3%	28.9%	76.9%	1.0%	26.5%
Poilievre, Conservative	29.6%	26.0%	43.3%	40.2%	61.8%	29.3%	62.9%	0.0%	32.5%	41.8%
Lewis, NDP	10.9%	10.1%	1.4%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%	23.1%	32.2%	24.0%
May, Green	2.2%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	22.2%	3.4%
Bernier, PPC	1.6%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	4.3%	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.1%	4.4%
Undecided	2.4%	3.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unweighted Freq	1203	986	34	29	10	19	37	14	14	60
Weighted Freq	1203	934	55	28	14	8	38	17	30	81

Results broken out by region


Region							
Response	Total	Toronto	Halton, Peel, York & Durham	Hamilton, Niagara, Waterloo & Guelph	Southwestern Ontario	Eastern Ontario	Northern Ontario
Carney, Liberal	49.0%	51.0%	51.3%	47.9%	45.2%	51.5%	37.8%
Poilievre, Conservative	29.6%	29.1%	25.1%	34.4%	31.1%	25.9%	45.8%
Lewis, NDP	10.9%	13.6%	14.1%	6.9%	2.4%	12.8%	13.9%
May, Green	2.2%	1.6%	0.0%	4.5%	0.9%	5.4%	0.0%
Bernier, PPC	1.6%	0.1%	5.2%	0.9%	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Other	4.3%	1.8%	3.1%	2.5%	16.7%	0.7%	2.5%
Undecided	2.4%	2.8%	1.2%	3.0%	3.5%	3.1%	0.0%
Unweighted Freq	1203	323	245	145	247	187	56
Weighted Freq	1203	212	293	175	181	263	79

Results broken out by q4

Q4								
Response	Total	Carney	Poilievre	Lewis	May	Bernier	Other	Undecided
Carney, Liberal	49.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	38.6%
Poilievre, Conservative	29.6%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.7%
Lewis, NDP	10.9%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%
May, Green	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%
Bernier, PPC	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.3%
Undecided	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	51.7%
Unweighted Freq	1203	733	283	83	14	13	25	52
Weighted Freq	1203	567	353	130	26	19	51	56

n=1203 · All respondents

If a federal election were held today, which candidate/party would you vote for?
[Undecideds removed]



Carney, Liberal Poilievre, Conservative Lewis, NDP May, Green Bernier, PPC Other

If a federal election were held today, which candidate/party would you vote for?
[Undecideds removed]

Results broken out by gender and age

Gender					Age			
Response	Total	Male	Female	Non-binary	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+
Carney, Liberal	50.2%	52.7%	55.2%	9.9%	37.3%	46.2%	57.7%	62.4%
Poillievre, Conservative	30.3%	36.0%	24.9%	29.3%	27.6%	39.4%	30.1%	24.4%
Lewis, NDP	11.2%	7.4%	7.2%	52.8%	24.5%	7.7%	4.4%	5.7%
May, Green	2.3%	0.7%	3.8%	2.7%	2.6%	1.4%	3.3%	1.8%
Bernier, PPC	1.6%	0.6%	2.8%	0.9%	4.5%	0.9%	0.3%	0.4%
Other	4.4%	2.7%	6.1%	4.5%	3.6%	4.4%	4.3%	5.4%
Unweighted Freq	1176	570	559	47	58	141	304	673
Weighted Freq	1174	535	540	99	332	283	294	265

Results broken out by education

Education				
Response	Total	Highschool or less	College	University
Carney, Liberal	50.2%	46.2%	42.0%	62.6%
Poillievre, Conservative	30.3%	31.7%	37.3%	22.4%
Lewis, NDP	11.2%	12.1%	11.6%	9.6%
May, Green	2.3%	2.3%	1.7%	2.9%
Bernier, PPC	1.6%	0.0%	5.0%	0.6%
Other	4.4%	7.7%	2.4%	2.0%
Unweighted Freq	1176	217	362	597
Weighted Freq	1174	463	338	372

Results broken out by income

Income						
Response	Total	<\$50k	\$50k-\$75k	\$75k-\$100k	\$100k+	Don't want to say
Carney, Liberal	50.2%	55.2%	25.9%	35.5%	68.7%	45.9%
Poillievre, Conservative	30.3%	29.7%	41.6%	32.6%	23.1%	30.6%
Lewis, NDP	11.2%	8.8%	18.7%	14.8%	6.5%	12.5%
May, Green	2.3%	1.7%	8.5%	0.2%	1.3%	0.1%
Bernier, PPC	1.6%	0.1%	0.5%	11.9%	0.3%	1.0%
Other	4.4%	4.5%	4.8%	5.1%	0.2%	9.9%
Unweighted Freq	1176	232	200	150	354	240
Weighted Freq	1174	292	202	124	335	220

Results broken out by race

Race										
Response	Total	White	Black	East Asian	Latino	Middle Eastern	South Asian	Southeast Asian	First Nations	Other
Carney, Liberal	50.2%	53.9%	55.0%	55.5%	38.2%	70.3%	29.4%	76.9%	1.0%	26.5%
Poilievre, Conservative	30.3%	26.8%	43.6%	40.2%	61.8%	29.7%	63.8%	0.0%	32.5%	41.8%
Lewis, NDP	11.2%	10.4%	1.4%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	6.8%	23.1%	32.2%	24.0%
May, Green	2.3%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	22.2%	3.4%
Bernier, PPC	1.6%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	4.4%	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.1%	4.4%
Unweighted Freq	1176	963	33	29	10	18	35	14	14	60
Weighted Freq	1174	906	54	28	14	8	38	17	30	81

Results broken out by region


Region							
Response	Total	Toronto	Halton, Peel, York & Durham	Hamilton, Niagara, Waterloo & Guelph	Southwestern Ontario	Eastern Ontario	Northern Ontario
Carney, Liberal	50.2%	52.5%	51.9%	49.3%	46.8%	53.2%	37.8%
Poilievre, Conservative	30.3%	30.0%	25.4%	35.5%	32.2%	26.7%	45.8%
Lewis, NDP	11.2%	14.0%	14.3%	7.1%	2.5%	13.2%	13.9%
May, Green	2.3%	1.6%	0.0%	4.6%	1.0%	5.5%	0.0%
Bernier, PPC	1.6%	0.1%	5.2%	0.9%	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Other	4.4%	1.9%	3.2%	2.5%	17.3%	0.7%	2.5%
Unweighted Freq	1176	318	239	142	239	182	56
Weighted Freq	1174	206	289	170	175	255	79

Results broken out by q4

Q4								
Response	Total	Carney	Poillievre	Lewis	May	Bernier	Other	Undecided
Carney, Liberal	50.2%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	80.0%
Poillievre, Conservative	30.3%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.9%
Lewis, NDP	11.2%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%
May, Green	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.9%
Bernier, PPC	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	4.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.6%
Unweighted Freq	1176	733	283	83	14	13	25	25
Weighted Freq	1174	567	353	130	26	19	51	27

n=1203 · All respondents

How often do you travel all, or part of the Windsor/Toronto/Ottawa/Montreal corridor in a given year?



At least once a week At least once a month A few times a year Rarely/Never

How often do you travel all, or part of the Windsor/Toronto/Ottawa/Montreal corridor in a given year?

Results broken out by gender and age

Gender					Age			
Response	Total	Male	Female	Non-binary	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+
At least once a week	5.6%	8.4%	3.2%	3.5%	10.4%	7.2%	2.1%	2.0%
At least once a month	8.8%	9.3%	9.2%	3.6%	4.6%	12.0%	16.0%	2.4%
A few times a year	32.2%	31.2%	30.0%	50.8%	36.7%	33.9%	32.0%	25.4%
Rarely/Never	53.4%	51.1%	57.7%	42.0%	48.3%	46.9%	49.9%	70.2%
Unweighted Freq	1203	578	578	47	58	142	316	687
Weighted Freq	1203	541	563	99	332	286	309	275

Results broken out by education

Education				
Response	Total	Highschool or less	College	University
At least once a week	5.6%	1.6%	6.8%	9.4%
At least once a month	8.8%	3.3%	9.7%	15.0%
A few times a year	32.2%	26.3%	32.7%	39.4%
Rarely/Never	53.4%	68.7%	50.7%	36.2%
Unweighted Freq	1203	227	369	607
Weighted Freq	1203	482	344	377

Results broken out by income

Income						
Response	Total	<\$50k	\$50k-\$75k	\$75k-\$100k	\$100k+	Don't want to say
At least once a week	5.6%	8.4%	2.2%	9.3%	6.3%	1.7%
At least once a month	8.8%	10.1%	3.1%	8.2%	13.6%	5.5%
A few times a year	32.2%	16.7%	34.3%	31.8%	41.5%	37.3%
Rarely/Never	53.4%	64.9%	60.4%	50.7%	38.6%	55.4%
Unweighted Freq	1203	242	204	151	360	246
Weighted Freq	1203	300	211	124	340	228

Results broken out by race

Race										
Response	Total	White	Black	East Asian	Latino	Middle Eastern	South Asian	Southeast Asian	First Nations	Other
At least once a week	5.6%	4.7%	8.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	15.5%	12.6%	21.8%	4.8%
At least once a month	8.8%	10.7%	1.4%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%
A few times a year	32.2%	33.2%	22.4%	18.7%	44.5%	33.2%	12.4%	34.7%	0.8%	50.3%
Rarely/Never	53.4%	51.3%	67.9%	81.3%	54.3%	66.8%	66.0%	52.7%	77.4%	41.7%
Unweighted Freq	1203	986	34	29	10	19	37	14	14	60
Weighted Freq	1203	934	55	28	14	8	38	17	30	81

Results broken out by region


Region							
Response	Total	Toronto	Halton, Peel, York & Durham	Hamilton, Niagara, Waterloo & Guelph	Southwestern Ontario	Eastern Ontario	Northern Ontario
At least once a week	5.6%	6.6%	4.9%	1.7%	4.7%	9.6%	2.5%
At least once a month	8.8%	7.5%	17.2%	2.4%	6.3%	9.1%	0.0%
A few times a year	32.2%	27.4%	22.1%	46.3%	28.5%	37.2%	43.6%
Rarely/Never	53.4%	58.5%	55.8%	49.6%	60.5%	44.1%	53.9%
Unweighted Freq	1203	323	245	145	247	187	56
Weighted Freq	1203	212	293	175	181	263	79

Results broken out by q4

Q4								
Response	Total	Carney	Poillievre	Lewis	May	Bernier	Other	Undecided
At least once a week	5.6%	3.0%	8.5%	11.3%	10.5%	9.2%	0.0%	0.8%
At least once a month	8.8%	14.6%	4.3%	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%
A few times a year	32.2%	33.8%	26.5%	39.1%	40.5%	5.6%	17.5%	55.4%
Rarely/Never	53.4%	48.6%	60.6%	44.3%	49.0%	85.2%	82.5%	42.3%
Unweighted Freq	1203	733	283	83	14	13	25	52
Weighted Freq	1203	567	353	130	26	19	51	56

n=1203 · All respondents

When taking a minimum 150 km trip within the Windsor, Toronto, Ottawa, Montreal corridor, which mode of transportation do you usually take?



When taking a minimum 150 km trip within the Windsor, Toronto, Ottawa, Montreal corridor, which mode of transportation do you usually take?

Results broken out by gender and age

Response	Total	Gender			Age			
		Male	Female	Non-binary	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+
Personal Car	71.1%	74.7%	70.4%	54.8%	62.3%	70.9%	79.7%	72.1%
Intercity Motor Coach (bus)	7.1%	6.5%	2.6%	35.5%	21.0%	0.9%	2.3%	2.1%
VIA Train	6.7%	5.0%	8.9%	3.9%	9.2%	6.3%	5.7%	5.2%
Airplane	2.3%	2.4%	2.7%	0.0%	1.1%	4.1%	1.0%	3.6%
Combination of 2 or more modes	6.3%	6.3%	6.7%	4.3%	6.5%	8.1%	8.3%	2.0%
Other	6.5%	5.2%	8.6%	1.6%	0.0%	9.6%	3.1%	14.9%
Unweighted Freq	1203	578	578	47	58	142	316	687
Weighted Freq	1203	541	563	99	332	286	309	275

Results broken out by education

Response	Total	Education		
		Highschool or less	College	University
Personal Car	71.1%	68.5%	81.1%	65.2%
Intercity Motor Coach (bus)	7.1%	8.1%	0.9%	11.4%
VIA Train	6.7%	5.5%	4.6%	10.1%
Airplane	2.3%	1.8%	4.1%	1.4%
Combination of 2 or more modes	6.3%	7.0%	4.0%	7.6%
Other	6.5%	9.1%	5.3%	4.2%
Unweighted Freq	1203	227	369	607
Weighted Freq	1203	482	344	377

Results broken out by income

Response	Total	Income				
		<\$50k	\$50k-\$75k	\$75k-\$100k	\$100k+	Don't want to say
Personal Car	71.1%	71.2%	71.3%	71.1%	72.1%	69.1%
Intercity Motor Coach (bus)	7.1%	4.0%	0.0%	5.6%	12.7%	10.0%
VIA Train	6.7%	4.8%	16.7%	2.9%	5.2%	4.3%
Airplane	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	3.9%	6.1%
Combination of 2 or more modes	6.3%	8.6%	7.7%	4.7%	5.1%	4.7%
Other	6.5%	11.3%	4.3%	15.1%	1.0%	5.8%
Unweighted Freq	1203	242	204	151	360	246
Weighted Freq	1203	300	211	124	340	228

Results broken out by race

Race										
Response	Total	White	Black	East Asian	Latino	Middle Eastern	South Asian	Southeast Asian	First Nations	Other
Personal Car	71.1%	71.8%	59.2%	66.0%	87.4%	68.7%	52.0%	26.7%	87.2%	82.4%
Intercity Motor Coach (bus)	7.1%	8.3%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%
VIA Train	6.7%	6.7%	8.4%	0.3%	0.6%	28.7%	2.9%	23.1%	12.5%	2.6%
Airplane	2.3%	2.6%	6.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Combination of 2 or more modes	6.3%	5.3%	11.8%	13.4%	0.0%	1.2%	2.7%	50.2%	0.0%	8.2%
Other	6.5%	5.2%	14.2%	0.3%	12.0%	0.0%	42.3%	0.0%	0.3%	5.0%
Unweighted Freq	1203	986	34	29	10	19	37	14	14	60
Weighted Freq	1203	934	55	28	14	8	38	17	30	81

Results broken out by region



Region							
Response	Total	Toronto	Halton, Peel, York & Durham	Hamilton, Niagara, Waterloo & Guelph	Southwestern Ontario	Eastern Ontario	Northern Ontario
Personal Car	71.1%	61.7%	64.9%	72.3%	77.3%	72.7%	96.4%
Intercity Motor Coach (bus)	7.1%	7.9%	17.8%	0.5%	0.7%	5.5%	0.0%
VIA Train	6.7%	8.8%	1.4%	11.5%	6.2%	10.2%	0.0%
Airplane	2.3%	2.7%	6.7%	1.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%
Combination of 2 or more modes	6.3%	9.4%	4.6%	8.7%	8.1%	4.8%	0.0%
Other	6.5%	9.5%	4.6%	5.9%	7.4%	6.8%	3.6%
Unweighted Freq	1203	323	245	145	247	187	56
Weighted Freq	1203	212	293	175	181	263	79

Results broken out by q4

Q4								
Response	Total	Carney	Poillievre	Lewis	May	Bernier	Other	Undecided
Personal Car	71.1%	67.9%	79.5%	57.4%	61.7%	97.9%	67.5%	80.1%
Intercity Motor Coach (bus)	7.1%	7.1%	0.6%	27.1%	4.5%	0.6%	0.0%	10.2%
VIA Train	6.7%	6.4%	4.5%	8.0%	33.1%	0.0%	18.6%	0.4%
Airplane	2.3%	2.5%	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Combination of 2 or more modes	6.3%	9.0%	5.5%	3.7%	0.7%	1.1%	0.0%	0.7%
Other	6.5%	7.1%	5.9%	3.8%	0.0%	0.5%	13.9%	8.6%
Unweighted Freq	1203	733	283	83	14	13	25	52
Weighted Freq	1203	567	353	130	26	19	51	56

n=1203 · All respondents

What is the main reason you usually take that transportation mode for long trips?



What is the main reason you usually take that transportation mode for long trips?

Results broken out by gender and age

Response	Total	Gender			Age			
		Male	Female	Non-binary	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+
Convenience	31.0%	31.3%	34.4%	9.5%	31.3%	40.6%	25.5%	26.6%
Greater freedom in movement at destination	20.4%	23.1%	20.7%	4.4%	19.3%	23.8%	18.9%	19.9%
More space for luggage	2.4%	3.7%	1.6%	0.0%	4.5%	0.5%	2.5%	1.7%
Lower cost	9.2%	6.3%	7.2%	36.0%	16.3%	8.3%	8.4%	2.5%
Better Experience	4.7%	5.2%	2.5%	14.4%	5.3%	3.3%	8.1%	1.5%
Enjoy that mode of transportation the most	14.6%	15.4%	15.1%	7.9%	11.0%	5.8%	23.3%	18.5%
Don't know/other	17.7%	15.0%	18.5%	27.9%	12.3%	17.7%	13.2%	29.3%
Unweighted Freq	1203	578	578	47	58	142	316	687
Weighted Freq	1203	541	563	99	332	286	309	275

Results broken out by education

Response	Total	Education		
		Highschool or less	College	University
Convenience	31.0%	17.6%	34.3%	45.0%
Greater freedom in movement at destination	20.4%	23.3%	14.3%	22.3%
More space for luggage	2.4%	5.2%	0.4%	0.6%
Lower cost	9.2%	6.2%	12.7%	9.8%
Better Experience	4.7%	2.3%	6.4%	6.2%
Enjoy that mode of transportation the most	14.6%	19.1%	12.9%	10.5%
Don't know/other	17.7%	26.2%	19.0%	5.6%
Unweighted Freq	1203	227	369	607
Weighted Freq	1203	482	344	377

Results broken out by income

Income						
Response	Total	<\$50k	\$50k-\$75k	\$75k-\$100k	\$100k+	Don't want to say
Convenience	31.0%	26.0%	20.3%	55.7%	40.1%	20.1%
Greater freedom in movement at destination	20.4%	23.6%	17.4%	4.4%	27.4%	17.3%
More space for luggage	2.4%	4.8%	5.0%	0.8%	0.6%	0.5%
Lower cost	9.2%	6.2%	16.5%	8.1%	10.3%	5.3%
Better Experience	4.7%	1.6%	2.5%	4.2%	5.7%	9.5%
Enjoy that mode of transportation the most	14.6%	17.7%	23.5%	5.1%	10.0%	14.4%
Don't know/other	17.7%	20.0%	14.8%	21.7%	5.8%	32.9%
Unweighted Freq	1203	242	204	151	360	246
Weighted Freq	1203	300	211	124	340	228

Results broken out by race

Race										
Response	Total	White	Black	East Asian	Latino	Middle Eastern	South Asian	Southeast Asian	First Nations	Other
Convenience	31.0%	30.6%	41.3%	29.0%	54.9%	42.7%	47.8%	40.4%	0.3%	24.4%
Greater freedom in movement at destination	20.4%	22.3%	1.2%	37.1%	0.0%	25.7%	28.8%	12.6%	0.4%	14.1%
More space for luggage	2.4%	1.0%	8.6%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	22.8%	35.5%	0.0%
Lower cost	9.2%	7.8%	17.5%	16.7%	0.6%	5.9%	6.8%	0.0%	12.1%	20.9%
Better Experience	4.7%	4.2%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	24.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	18.0%
Enjoy that mode of transportation the most	14.6%	13.5%	23.4%	8.8%	31.8%	1.4%	15.2%	24.2%	22.2%	16.8%
Don't know/other	17.7%	20.5%	6.9%	7.7%	12.6%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	29.1%	5.9%
Unweighted Freq	1203	986	34	29	10	19	37	14	14	60
Weighted Freq	1203	934	55	28	14	8	38	17	30	81

Results broken out by region


Region							
Response	Total	Toronto	Halton, Peel, York & Durham	Hamilton, Niagara, Waterloo & Guelph	Southwestern Ontario	Eastern Ontario	Northern Ontario
Convenience	31.0%	28.2%	38.7%	29.3%	33.1%	30.5%	10.2%
Greater freedom in movement at destination	20.4%	22.1%	15.2%	15.5%	16.4%	29.2%	26.0%
More space for luggage	2.4%	7.7%	0.4%	5.4%	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Lower cost	9.2%	9.6%	9.5%	11.4%	7.2%	10.4%	2.5%
Better Experience	4.7%	5.8%	6.2%	3.2%	1.0%	2.8%	14.4%
Enjoy that mode of transportation the most	14.6%	10.6%	12.5%	18.0%	16.9%	16.8%	13.6%
Don't know/other	17.7%	16.0%	17.6%	17.1%	25.3%	9.8%	33.2%
Unweighted Freq	1203	323	245	145	247	187	56
Weighted Freq	1203	212	293	175	181	263	79

Results broken out by q4

Q4								
Response	Total	Carney	Poillievre	Lewis	May	Bernier	Other	Undecided
Convenience	31.0%	37.1%	26.8%	20.5%	7.3%	77.3%	18.6%	25.5%
Greater freedom in movement at destination	20.4%	19.9%	30.2%	5.0%	12.0%	16.0%	14.4%	11.0%
More space for luggage	2.4%	1.9%	2.3%	7.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%
Lower cost	9.2%	10.0%	2.5%	27.5%	3.8%	0.0%	7.0%	8.7%
Better Experience	4.7%	4.7%	7.2%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	1.9%
Enjoy that mode of transportation the most	14.6%	12.3%	17.0%	5.7%	72.8%	4.1%	11.3%	24.0%
Don't know/other	17.7%	14.2%	14.1%	31.6%	4.1%	2.6%	46.5%	29.0%
Unweighted Freq	1203	733	283	83	14	13	25	52
Weighted Freq	1203	567	353	130	26	19	51	56

n=1203 · All respondents

Currently there are VIA Rail passenger trains that run between Windsor, Toronto, Ottawa and Montreal. Have you taken the train along these routes before?



■ Yes ■ No ■ Don't remember

Currently there are VIA Rail passenger trains that run between Windsor, Toronto, Ottawa and Montreal. Have you taken the train along these routes before?

Results broken out by gender and age

Gender					Age			
Response	Total	Male	Female	Non-binary	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+
Yes	48.2%	51.7%	42.4%	61.9%	51.5%	43.7%	59.8%	35.7%
No	49.4%	47.2%	54.1%	34.8%	48.5%	51.7%	39.2%	59.5%
Don't remember	2.4%	1.2%	3.5%	3.4%	0.0%	4.6%	1.0%	4.8%
Unweighted Freq	1203	578	578	47	58	142	316	687
Weighted Freq	1203	541	563	99	332	286	309	275

Results broken out by education

Education				
Response	Total	Highschool or less	College	University
Yes	48.2%	42.1%	49.4%	54.9%
No	49.4%	55.7%	49.6%	41.1%
Don't remember	2.4%	2.2%	1.0%	4.0%
Unweighted Freq	1203	227	369	607
Weighted Freq	1203	482	344	377

Results broken out by income

Income						
Response	Total	<\$50k	\$50k-\$75k	\$75k-\$100k	\$100k+	Don't want to say
Yes	48.2%	40.8%	45.9%	46.4%	60.3%	42.8%
No	49.4%	57.9%	53.1%	53.5%	34.3%	55.0%
Don't remember	2.4%	1.4%	1.0%	0.1%	5.4%	2.2%
Unweighted Freq	1203	242	204	151	360	246
Weighted Freq	1203	300	211	124	340	228

Results broken out by race

Race										
Response	Total	White	Black	East Asian	Latino	Middle Eastern	South Asian	Southeast Asian	First Nations	Other
Yes	48.2%	49.1%	33.1%	51.4%	0.7%	38.2%	50.3%	47.0%	13.1%	67.3%
No	49.4%	48.2%	66.0%	48.6%	98.7%	53.3%	47.5%	53.0%	83.2%	30.9%
Don't remember	2.4%	2.6%	0.9%	0.0%	0.6%	8.5%	2.2%	0.0%	3.7%	1.9%
Unweighted Freq	1203	986	34	29	10	19	37	14	14	60
Weighted Freq	1203	934	55	28	14	8	38	17	30	81

Results broken out by region


Region							
Response	Total	Toronto	Halton, Peel, York & Durham	Hamilton, Niagara, Waterloo & Guelph	Southwestern Ontario	Eastern Ontario	Northern Ontario
Yes	48.2%	49.0%	45.0%	43.0%	52.3%	59.6%	21.7%
No	49.4%	48.7%	50.9%	54.6%	45.8%	38.5%	78.3%
Don't remember	2.4%	2.3%	4.0%	2.4%	1.9%	1.9%	0.0%
Unweighted Freq	1203	323	245	145	247	187	56
Weighted Freq	1203	212	293	175	181	263	79

Results broken out by q4

Q4								
Response	Total	Carney	Poillievre	Lewis	May	Bernier	Other	Undecided
Yes	48.2%	55.0%	30.9%	75.1%	52.3%	3.0%	37.6%	48.3%
No	49.4%	41.5%	67.5%	23.5%	47.7%	96.0%	61.1%	49.0%
Don't remember	2.4%	3.4%	1.6%	1.4%	0.0%	1.1%	1.3%	2.7%
Unweighted Freq	1203	733	283	83	14	13	25	52
Weighted Freq	1203	567	353	130	26	19	51	56

n=1203 · All respondents

Is there a main reason why you Don't take the train for those routes, or do you take the train as often as you can?



- I do not like taking the train
- I don't want to rely on public transportation at my destination
- I have a lot of luggage so I need more space for my journey
- I prefer a lower cost mode of transportation
- I prefer the flexibility of choosing when I arrive or depart
- I take the train as often as I can
- Don't know

Is there a main reason why you Don't take the train for those routes, or do you take the train as often as you can?

Results broken out by gender and age

Response	Total	Gender			Age			
		Male	Female	Non-binary	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+
I do not like taking the train	10.3%	13.2%	7.6%	10.0%	7.1%	15.5%	7.3%	12.3%
I don't want to rely on public transportation at my destination	15.4%	20.3%	12.0%	8.0%	17.2%	19.1%	13.9%	11.1%
I have a lot of luggage so I need more space for my journey	1.9%	2.8%	1.4%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.9%	1.7%
I prefer a lower cost mode of transportation	24.9%	18.8%	22.2%	74.0%	47.1%	20.0%	19.9%	9.1%
I prefer the flexibility of choosing when I arrive or depart	11.8%	14.4%	10.7%	3.7%	3.6%	12.9%	21.2%	10.0%
I take the train as often as I can	12.2%	12.9%	13.5%	1.2%	15.5%	9.0%	13.8%	9.8%
Don't know	23.5%	17.7%	32.6%	3.2%	8.6%	21.6%	21.0%	46.0%
Unweighted Freq	1203	578	578	47	58	142	316	687
Weighted Freq	1203	541	563	99	332	286	309	275

Results broken out by education

Response	Total	Education		
		Highschool or less	College	University
I do not like taking the train	10.3%	9.2%	8.3%	13.6%
I don't want to rely on public transportation at my destination	15.4%	12.8%	14.3%	19.8%
I have a lot of luggage so I need more space for my journey	1.9%	2.1%	2.0%	1.5%
I prefer a lower cost mode of transportation	24.9%	18.3%	32.0%	27.0%
I prefer the flexibility of choosing when I arrive or depart	11.8%	8.6%	14.4%	13.5%
I take the train as often as I can	12.2%	11.7%	8.3%	16.4%
Don't know	23.5%	37.3%	20.7%	8.3%
Unweighted Freq	1203	227	369	607
Weighted Freq	1203	482	344	377

Results broken out by income

Income						
Response	Total	<\$50k	\$50k-\$75k	\$75k-\$100k	\$100k+	Don't want to say
I do not like taking the train	10.3%	11.0%	3.8%	7.9%	15.7%	8.8%
I don't want to rely on public transportation at my destination	15.4%	15.2%	12.9%	23.2%	19.3%	7.9%
I have a lot of luggage so I need more space for my journey	1.9%	2.1%	3.6%	2.8%	1.5%	0.0%
I prefer a lower cost mode of transportation	24.9%	17.7%	31.6%	34.5%	23.3%	25.6%
I prefer the flexibility of choosing when I arrive or depart	11.8%	10.5%	11.8%	10.6%	15.5%	8.6%
I take the train as often as I can	12.2%	9.8%	16.9%	15.7%	12.0%	9.3%
Don't know	23.5%	33.6%	19.5%	5.3%	12.7%	39.8%
Unweighted Freq	1203	242	204	151	360	246
Weighted Freq	1203	300	211	124	340	228

Results broken out by race

Race										
Response	Total	White	Black	East Asian	Latino	Middle Eastern	South Asian	Southeast Asian	First Nations	Other
I do not like taking the train	10.3%	11.3%	17.3%	2.3%	0.0%	31.0%	9.3%	0.0%	0.4%	2.8%
I don't want to rely on public transportation at my destination	15.4%	15.5%	15.0%	18.5%	32.5%	0.0%	42.2%	0.0%	3.7%	6.7%
I have a lot of luggage so I need more space for my journey	1.9%	1.7%	8.0%	0.0%	17.4%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
I prefer a lower cost mode of transportation	24.9%	20.2%	33.4%	65.6%	36.2%	44.1%	18.9%	24.2%	56.4%	48.3%
I prefer the flexibility of choosing when I arrive or depart	11.8%	12.9%	5.6%	4.7%	1.3%	17.6%	7.0%	1.5%	0.0%	15.2%
I take the train as often as I can	12.2%	13.4%	1.2%	0.0%	1.4%	5.9%	8.5%	23.1%	0.6%	16.3%
Don't know	23.5%	25.0%	19.6%	8.9%	11.3%	1.4%	13.5%	51.2%	38.9%	10.8%
Unweighted Freq	1203	986	34	29	10	19	37	14	14	60
Weighted Freq	1203	934	55	28	14	8	38	17	30	81

Results broken out by region


Region							
Response	Total	Toronto	Halton, Peel, York & Durham	Hamilton, Niagara, Waterloo & Guelph	Southwestern Ontario	Eastern Ontario	Northern Ontario
I do not like taking the train	10.3%	7.1%	10.1%	7.1%	9.9%	12.5%	21.1%
I don't want to rely on public transportation at my destination	15.4%	15.3%	17.2%	14.8%	18.7%	16.2%	0.0%
I have a lot of luggage so I need more space for my journey	1.9%	6.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%	2.4%	3.5%
I prefer a lower cost mode of transportation	24.9%	24.1%	42.4%	20.8%	17.2%	21.4%	1.1%
I prefer the flexibility of choosing when I arrive or depart	11.8%	14.7%	8.8%	7.5%	12.1%	14.5%	15.0%
I take the train as often as I can	12.2%	13.3%	2.4%	23.4%	11.7%	18.4%	1.1%
Don't know	23.5%	19.4%	19.0%	26.4%	30.1%	14.7%	58.2%
Unweighted Freq	1203	323	245	145	247	187	56
Weighted Freq	1203	212	293	175	181	263	79

Results broken out by q4

Q4								
Response	Total	Carney	Poillievre	Lewis	May	Bernier	Other	Undecided
I do not like taking the train	10.3%	10.6%	13.4%	0.6%	0.7%	8.2%	16.0%	11.3%
I don't want to rely on public transportation at my destination	15.4%	12.5%	28.1%	4.5%	0.0%	5.7%	11.1%	4.3%
I have a lot of luggage so I need more space for my journey	1.9%	2.4%	1.0%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%
I prefer a lower cost mode of transportation	24.9%	18.7%	23.6%	54.5%	29.9%	81.8%	21.2%	9.4%
I prefer the flexibility of choosing when I arrive or depart	11.8%	15.7%	9.4%	7.3%	16.8%	0.0%	2.5%	8.1%
I take the train as often as I can	12.2%	14.8%	5.0%	12.3%	34.8%	0.0%	11.6%	25.4%
Don't know	23.5%	25.4%	19.5%	16.5%	17.7%	4.4%	37.6%	41.2%
Unweighted Freq	1203	733	283	83	14	13	25	52
Weighted Freq	1203	567	353	130	26	19	51	56

n=1203 · All respondents

The Federal Government is spending \$4 Billion Dollars to plan Alto, a new 300 km/hr high-speed rail line connecting Toronto, Peterborough, Ottawa, Laval, Montreal, Trois Rivières and Quebec City. With an estimated capital cost of \$60-\$90 Billion Dollars and a completion date of 2041 or later, do you oppose or support this project?



Strongly Oppose Somewhat Oppose Somewhat Support Strongly Support Don't know

The Federal Government is spending \$4 Billion Dollars to plan Alto, a new 300 km/hr high-speed rail line connecting Toronto, Peterborough, Ottawa, Laval, Montreal, Trois Rivières and Quebec City. With an estimated capital cost of \$60-\$90 Billion Dollars and a completion date of 2041 or later, do you oppose or support this project?

Results broken out by gender and age

Response	Gender				Age			
	Total	Male	Female	Non-binary	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+
Strongly Oppose	25.2%	32.8%	19.4%	16.3%	11.5%	24.1%	29.7%	37.6%
Somewhat Oppose	6.1%	5.1%	7.8%	1.9%	4.4%	3.9%	6.8%	9.8%
Somewhat Support	22.6%	23.2%	25.0%	6.4%	23.0%	26.5%	24.0%	16.5%
Strongly Support	32.8%	30.9%	27.9%	71.0%	59.0%	25.1%	26.1%	16.7%
Don't know	13.2%	7.9%	19.9%	4.3%	1.9%	20.3%	13.4%	19.4%
Unweighted Freq	1203	578	578	47	58	142	316	687
Weighted Freq	1203	541	563	99	332	286	309	275

Results broken out by education

Response	Education			
	Total	Highschool or less	College	University
Strongly Oppose	25.2%	30.7%	26.1%	17.2%
Somewhat Oppose	6.1%	8.8%	5.3%	3.5%
Somewhat Support	22.6%	19.3%	18.6%	30.6%
Strongly Support	32.8%	23.4%	35.6%	42.4%
Don't know	13.2%	17.9%	14.4%	6.2%
Unweighted Freq	1203	227	369	607
Weighted Freq	1203	482	344	377

Results broken out by income

Response	Income					
	Total	<\$50k	\$50k-\$75k	\$75k-\$100k	\$100k+	Don't want to say
Strongly Oppose	25.2%	25.5%	25.9%	27.2%	19.8%	31.1%
Somewhat Oppose	6.1%	9.3%	9.5%	2.6%	3.3%	5.1%
Somewhat Support	22.6%	17.3%	26.0%	16.6%	30.9%	17.3%
Strongly Support	32.8%	28.2%	28.9%	38.0%	41.9%	26.2%
Don't know	13.2%	19.8%	9.7%	15.5%	4.2%	20.2%
Unweighted Freq	1203	242	204	151	360	246
Weighted Freq	1203	300	211	124	340	228

Results broken out by race

Race										
Response	Total	White	Black	East Asian	Latino	Middle Eastern	South Asian	Southeast Asian	First Nations	Other
Strongly Oppose	25.2%	25.3%	14.4%	6.7%	70.8%	73.9%	17.6%	1.5%	56.1%	22.4%
Somewhat Oppose	6.1%	6.4%	0.0%	3.1%	17.4%	0.0%	1.0%	27.4%	7.0%	5.0%
Somewhat Support	22.6%	23.9%	34.1%	25.9%	1.3%	14.2%	14.3%	12.6%	0.6%	17.0%
Strongly Support	32.8%	31.4%	32.0%	38.6%	10.5%	8.2%	31.1%	35.8%	32.9%	53.8%
Don't know	13.2%	13.0%	19.4%	25.6%	0.0%	3.7%	36.1%	22.8%	3.3%	1.7%
Unweighted Freq	1203	986	34	29	10	19	37	14	14	60
Weighted Freq	1203	934	55	28	14	8	38	17	30	81

Results broken out by region


Region							
Response	Total	Toronto	Halton, Peel, York & Durham	Hamilton, Niagara, Waterloo & Guelph	Southwestern Ontario	Eastern Ontario	Northern Ontario
Strongly Oppose	25.2%	10.8%	15.6%	17.8%	38.6%	34.0%	55.2%
Somewhat Oppose	6.1%	14.5%	1.0%	5.9%	4.5%	6.7%	5.0%
Somewhat Support	22.6%	27.5%	21.2%	19.8%	23.2%	25.0%	11.6%
Strongly Support	32.8%	35.4%	48.8%	37.1%	19.0%	29.5%	0.0%
Don't know	13.2%	11.7%	13.4%	19.3%	14.5%	4.9%	28.3%
Unweighted Freq	1203	323	245	145	247	187	56
Weighted Freq	1203	212	293	175	181	263	79

Results broken out by q4

Q4								
Response	Total	Carney	Poillievre	Lewis	May	Bernier	Other	Undecided
Strongly Oppose	25.2%	15.7%	45.0%	3.5%	44.4%	16.1%	49.7%	18.4%
Somewhat Oppose	6.1%	4.5%	10.4%	3.1%	0.0%	4.6%	0.0%	12.0%
Somewhat Support	22.6%	29.1%	14.9%	13.3%	49.9%	0.0%	11.0%	33.2%
Strongly Support	32.8%	35.4%	17.7%	75.1%	4.9%	78.8%	20.7%	11.6%
Don't know	13.2%	15.3%	12.0%	5.0%	0.8%	0.5%	18.7%	24.7%
Unweighted Freq	1203	733	283	83	14	13	25	52
Weighted Freq	1203	567	353	130	26	19	51	56

n=1203 · All respondents

What is, in your opinion, the most important reason to oppose the Alto project?



- Canada has more important priorities than building new rail
- Canadian households will pay \$8,000 for capital costs and more once in operation.
- Farmers and other property owners will lose all or part of their land.
- It is not a "nation-building" project as it will be built in Ontario and Quebec.
- Municipal planning, emergency and environmental impacts
- Lack of transparency and business case analysis
- It does not stop in smaller cities and rural towns
- Other

What is, in your opinion, the most important reason to oppose the Alto project?

Results broken out by gender and age

Response	Total	Gender			Age			
		Male	Female	Non-binary	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+
Canada has more important priorities than building new rail	21.7%	27.2%	18.2%	11.3%	12.0%	27.5%	28.4%	19.7%
Canadian households will pay \$8,000 for capital costs and more once in operation.	9.4%	14.4%	5.5%	4.2%	10.8%	12.0%	11.4%	2.6%
Farmers and other property owners will lose all or part of their land.	18.2%	15.5%	23.5%	3.0%	19.1%	19.1%	21.1%	12.8%
It is not a “nation-building” project as it will be built in Ontario and Quebec.	7.8%	5.3%	8.1%	19.8%	5.6%	2.5%	8.0%	15.6%
Municipal planning, emergency and environmental impacts	4.5%	4.2%	5.5%	0.1%	5.8%	4.0%	4.5%	3.4%
Lack of transparency and business case analysis	5.4%	5.1%	6.3%	1.4%	10.3%	2.5%	2.4%	5.7%
It does not stop in smaller cities and rural towns	6.0%	5.9%	6.2%	5.4%	4.1%	5.0%	3.1%	12.7%
Other	27.1%	22.4%	26.7%	54.8%	32.2%	27.3%	21.1%	27.5%
Unweighted Freq	1203	578	578	47	58	142	316	687
Weighted Freq	1203	541	563	99	332	286	309	275

Results broken out by education

Response	Total	Education		
		Highschool or less	College	University
Canada has more important priorities than building new rail	21.7%	28.6%	24.1%	10.7%
Canadian households will pay \$8,000 for capital costs and more once in operation.	9.4%	5.4%	8.4%	15.4%
Farmers and other property owners will lose all or part of their land.	18.2%	19.1%	18.1%	17.2%
It is not a “nation-building” project as it will be built in Ontario and Quebec.	7.8%	7.7%	8.5%	7.2%
Municipal planning, emergency and environmental impacts	4.5%	1.2%	8.0%	5.4%
Lack of transparency and business case analysis	5.4%	2.0%	5.0%	10.0%
It does not stop in smaller cities and rural towns	6.0%	6.7%	4.4%	6.6%
Other	27.1%	29.3%	23.6%	27.5%
Unweighted Freq	1203	227	369	607
Weighted Freq	1203	482	344	377

Results broken out by income

Income						
Response	Total	<\$50k	\$50k-\$75k	\$75k-\$100k	\$100k+	Don't want to say
Canada has more important priorities than building new rail	21.7%	21.2%	31.3%	18.6%	22.4%	14.0%
Canadian households will pay \$8,000 for capital costs and more once in operation.	9.4%	6.1%	2.3%	9.2%	18.2%	7.3%
Farmers and other property owners will lose all or part of their land.	18.2%	19.6%	21.8%	25.9%	17.3%	10.2%
It is not a “nation-building” project as it will be built in Ontario and Quebec.	7.8%	7.0%	11.2%	2.6%	6.6%	10.0%
Municipal planning, emergency and environmental impacts	4.5%	2.8%	2.5%	7.0%	5.9%	5.0%
Lack of transparency and business case analysis	5.4%	3.8%	10.3%	5.8%	5.0%	3.1%
It does not stop in smaller cities and rural towns	6.0%	7.4%	5.3%	9.0%	3.4%	7.1%
Other	27.1%	32.0%	15.2%	22.0%	21.2%	43.2%
Unweighted Freq	1203	242	204	151	360	246
Weighted Freq	1203	300	211	124	340	228

Results broken out by race

Race										
Response	Total	White	Black	East Asian	Latino	Middle Eastern	South Asian	Southeast Asian	First Nations	Other
Canada has more important priorities than building new rail	21.7%	17.6%	38.2%	7.8%	56.3%	82.2%	10.2%	28.9%	73.2%	36.1%
Canadian households will pay \$8,000 for capital costs and more once in operation.	9.4%	10.4%	1.2%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	16.0%	34.3%	0.0%	3.4%
Farmers and other property owners will lose all or part of their land.	18.2%	20.1%	0.0%	39.1%	31.8%	0.0%	11.5%	0.0%	22.6%	5.6%
It is not a “nation-building” project as it will be built in Ontario and Quebec.	7.8%	6.9%	14.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	10.2%	0.0%	0.0%	21.0%
Municipal planning, emergency and environmental impacts	4.5%	4.9%	6.5%	2.7%	10.5%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Lack of transparency and business case analysis	5.4%	5.1%	15.8%	22.0%	0.7%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%
It does not stop in smaller cities and rural towns	6.0%	6.9%	0.9%	8.2%	0.0%	1.2%	2.3%	12.6%	0.6%	2.1%
Other	27.1%	28.0%	22.6%	18.9%	0.7%	9.3%	44.5%	24.2%	3.6%	30.1%
Unweighted Freq	1203	986	34	29	10	19	37	14	14	60
Weighted Freq	1203	934	55	28	14	8	38	17	30	81

Results broken out by region


Region							
Response	Total	Toronto	Halton, Peel, York & Durham	Hamilton, Niagara, Waterloo & Guelph	Southwestern Ontario	Eastern Ontario	Northern Ontario
Canada has more important priorities than building new rail	21.7%	21.9%	16.8%	32.8%	27.3%	17.8%	15.0%
Canadian households will pay \$8,000 for capital costs and more once in operation.	9.4%	9.2%	18.0%	8.4%	11.8%	1.7%	0.0%
Farmers and other property owners will lose all or part of their land.	18.2%	16.6%	12.0%	22.9%	28.5%	20.7%	3.5%
It is not a “nation-building” project as it will be built in Ontario and Quebec.	7.8%	2.6%	10.9%	2.2%	5.8%	4.7%	37.0%
Municipal planning, emergency and environmental impacts	4.5%	8.1%	1.4%	2.2%	2.1%	8.7%	2.5%
Lack of transparency and business case analysis	5.4%	10.4%	0.8%	4.3%	1.7%	10.9%	1.1%
It does not stop in smaller cities and rural towns	6.0%	6.0%	2.9%	7.6%	3.0%	10.0%	7.7%
Other	27.1%	25.3%	37.2%	19.5%	19.8%	25.5%	33.2%
Unweighted Freq	1203	323	245	145	247	187	56
Weighted Freq	1203	212	293	175	181	263	79

Results broken out by q4

Q4								
Response	Total	Carney	Poilievre	Lewis	May	Bernier	Other	Undecided
Canada has more important priorities than building new rail	21.7%	14.0%	35.1%	13.3%	11.2%	12.2%	33.3%	31.8%
Canadian households will pay \$8,000 for capital costs and more once in operation.	9.4%	14.0%	8.6%	1.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.6%	2.9%
Farmers and other property owners will lose all or part of their land.	18.2%	18.5%	17.8%	3.3%	33.3%	78.3%	34.3%	10.4%
It is not a “nation-building” project as it will be built in Ontario and Quebec.	7.8%	5.4%	13.0%	12.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	0.2%
Municipal planning, emergency and environmental impacts	4.5%	6.9%	0.3%	8.5%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	4.2%
Lack of transparency and business case analysis	5.4%	5.5%	3.7%	3.6%	41.7%	6.8%	3.2%	3.0%
It does not stop in smaller cities and rural towns	6.0%	8.0%	4.3%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13.7%
Other	27.1%	27.8%	17.1%	54.9%	13.8%	0.5%	26.7%	33.8%
Unweighted Freq	1203	733	283	83	14	13	25	52
Weighted Freq	1203	567	353	130	26	19	51	56

n=1203 · All respondents

What is, in your opinion, the most important reason to support the Alto project?



- It provides new train service for people living in 7 cities.
- Canada is one of 179 countries that doesn't have high-speed rail.
- High-speed rail has been studied since the 1970s so it is time to build it
- It is a "nation-building" project that protects Canada against US President Donald Trump.
- Jobs and economic opportunities for business
- Speed and time savings compared to current VIA Rail service.
- Traffic congestion and emissions reduction due to potential modal shift from cars and planes
- Canada built a national railway across the country in the 1880s so can do it again between Toronto and Quebec City.
- Other

What is, in your opinion, the most important reason to support the Alto project?

Results broken out by gender and age

Response	Total	Gender			Age			
		Male	Female	Non-binary	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+
It provides new train service for people living in 7 cities.	12.6%	11.7%	15.3%	1.7%	11.3%	15.1%	9.2%	15.2%
Canada is one of 179 countries that doesn't have high-speed rail.	11.7%	12.7%	12.7%	0.6%	9.9%	17.4%	11.2%	8.5%
High-speed rail has been studied since the 1970s so it is time to build it	6.4%	9.2%	4.6%	1.3%	7.2%	8.2%	2.8%	7.5%
It is a "nation-building" project that protects Canada against US President Donald Trump.	7.4%	7.5%	7.7%	5.5%	5.8%	5.2%	10.1%	8.6%
Jobs and economic opportunities for business	9.2%	11.5%	5.5%	18.0%	14.7%	8.9%	8.4%	3.9%
Speed and time savings compared to current VIA Rail service.	13.1%	11.2%	12.8%	25.6%	16.4%	9.8%	19.6%	5.6%
Traffic congestion and emissions reduction due to potential modal shift from cars and planes	10.9%	6.3%	14.4%	16.2%	18.0%	13.5%	5.5%	5.8%
Canada built a national railway across the country in the 1880s so can do it again between Toronto and Quebec City.	5.1%	2.4%	6.0%	14.4%	8.7%	1.4%	1.9%	8.2%
Other	23.5%	27.4%	21.0%	16.7%	7.9%	20.5%	31.4%	36.6%
Unweighted Freq	1203	578	578	47	58	142	316	687
Weighted Freq	1203	541	563	99	332	286	309	275

Results broken out by education

Education				
Response	Total	Highschool or less	College	University
It provides new train service for people living in 7 cities.	12.6%	11.5%	8.3%	17.8%
Canada is one of 179 countries that doesn't have high-speed rail.	11.7%	5.2%	14.4%	17.5%
High-speed rail has been studied since the 1970s so it is time to build it	6.4%	6.8%	6.5%	5.7%
It is a "nation-building" project that protects Canada against US President Donald Trump.	7.4%	9.0%	4.3%	8.2%
Jobs and economic opportunities for business	9.2%	7.7%	11.1%	9.6%
Speed and time savings compared to current VIA Rail service.	13.1%	15.0%	10.3%	13.4%
Traffic congestion and emissions reduction due to potential modal shift from cars and planes	10.9%	7.8%	12.9%	13.1%
Canada built a national railway across the country in the 1880s so can do it again between Toronto and Quebec City.	5.1%	4.9%	8.8%	2.1%
Other	23.5%	32.1%	23.4%	12.7%
Unweighted Freq	1203	227	369	607
Weighted Freq	1203	482	344	377

Results broken out by income

Income						
Response	Total	<\$50k	\$50k-\$75k	\$75k-\$100k	\$100k+	Don't want to say
It provides new train service for people living in 7 cities.	12.6%	15.9%	3.0%	17.7%	11.3%	16.2%
Canada is one of 179 countries that doesn't have high-speed rail.	11.7%	7.0%	16.8%	9.2%	16.8%	6.9%
High-speed rail has been studied since the 1970s so it is time to build it	6.4%	11.2%	8.7%	4.3%	4.1%	2.4%
It is a "nation-building" project that protects Canada against US President Donald Trump.	7.4%	6.1%	9.2%	7.2%	9.6%	4.2%
Jobs and economic opportunities for business	9.2%	7.3%	3.8%	14.7%	10.8%	11.5%
Speed and time savings compared to current VIA Rail service.	13.1%	11.4%	11.6%	2.5%	18.9%	14.1%
Traffic congestion and emissions reduction due to potential modal shift from cars and planes	10.9%	16.0%	14.4%	2.0%	12.2%	4.0%
Canada built a national railway across the country in the 1880s so can do it again between Toronto and Quebec City.	5.1%	3.1%	7.7%	20.1%	0.7%	3.7%
Other	23.5%	22.0%	24.6%	22.3%	15.7%	36.9%
Unweighted Freq	1203	242	204	151	360	246
Weighted Freq	1203	300	211	124	340	228

Results broken out by race

Race										
Response	Total	White	Black	East Asian	Latino	Middle Eastern	South Asian	Southeast Asian	First Nations	Other
It provides new train service for people living in 7 cities.	12.6%	11.4%	22.7%	8.2%	36.2%	28.7%	31.7%	0.0%	0.0%	13.5%
Canada is one of 179 countries that doesn't have high-speed rail.	11.7%	11.4%	29.6%	4.1%	0.7%	2.2%	4.4%	52.6%	0.0%	8.0%
High-speed rail has been studied since the 1970s so it is time to build it	6.4%	6.2%	3.5%	3.5%	11.9%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%	32.9%	3.2%
It is a "nation-building" project that protects Canada against US President Donald Trump.	7.4%	6.9%	18.2%	36.6%	0.0%	5.9%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%
Jobs and economic opportunities for business	9.2%	6.6%	0.3%	12.7%	31.8%	8.3%	27.0%	24.2%	6.6%	30.4%
Speed and time savings compared to current VIA Rail service.	13.1%	15.4%	0.0%	6.1%	0.0%	31.4%	15.2%	0.0%	0.7%	4.8%
Traffic congestion and emissions reduction due to potential modal shift from cars and planes	10.9%	12.7%	14.1%	2.7%	0.0%	2.2%	1.4%	23.1%	0.0%	0.1%
Canada built a national railway across the country in the 1880s so can do it again between Toronto and Quebec City.	5.1%	4.6%	6.5%	0.0%	0.7%	1.4%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	17.9%
Other	23.5%	24.8%	5.0%	26.2%	18.7%	19.8%	12.3%	0.0%	59.8%	18.0%
Unweighted Freq	1203	986	34	29	10	19	37	14	14	60
Weighted Freq	1203	934	55	28	14	8	38	17	30	81

Results broken out by region


Region							
Response	Total	Toronto	Halton, Peel, York & Durham	Hamilton, Niagara, Waterloo & Guelph	Southwestern Ontario	Eastern Ontario	Northern Ontario
It provides new train service for people living in 7 cities.	12.6%	8.1%	23.7%	1.1%	15.9%	6.1%	22.5%
Canada is one of 179 countries that doesn't have high-speed rail.	11.7%	14.8%	12.4%	14.0%	5.5%	14.4%	1.1%
High-speed rail has been studied since the 1970s so it is time to build it	6.4%	13.5%	1.8%	8.0%	1.5%	8.5%	5.0%
It is a "nation-building" project that protects Canada against US President Donald Trump.	7.4%	11.1%	8.8%	8.7%	4.9%	3.2%	9.1%
Jobs and economic opportunities for business	9.2%	10.6%	8.5%	17.9%	7.7%	6.3%	2.5%
Speed and time savings compared to current VIA Rail service.	13.1%	11.1%	18.2%	12.7%	12.3%	14.0%	0.0%
Traffic congestion and emissions reduction due to potential modal shift from cars and planes	10.9%	16.0%	0.7%	7.7%	16.3%	11.9%	26.8%
Canada built a national railway across the country in the 1880s so can do it again between Toronto and Quebec City.	5.1%	1.2%	10.4%	7.8%	2.6%	3.9%	0.0%
Other	23.5%	13.6%	15.6%	22.1%	33.3%	31.7%	33.0%
Unweighted Freq	1203	323	245	145	247	187	56
Weighted Freq	1203	212	293	175	181	263	79

Results broken out by q4

Q4								
Response	Total	Carney	Poillievre	Lewis	May	Bernier	Other	Undecided
It provides new train service for people living in 7 cities.	12.6%	16.2%	14.1%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	4.8%
Canada is one of 179 countries that doesn't have high-speed rail.	11.7%	15.5%	9.5%	5.7%	40.5%	0.5%	0.0%	2.1%
High-speed rail has been studied since the 1970s so it is time to build it	6.4%	8.7%	1.0%	15.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	6.3%
It is a "nation-building" project that protects Canada against US President Donald Trump.	7.4%	9.4%	8.3%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	4.5%	0.0%
Jobs and economic opportunities for business	9.2%	8.9%	11.6%	7.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	18.5%
Speed and time savings compared to current VIA Rail service.	13.1%	14.0%	9.4%	25.6%	0.7%	0.0%	11.8%	10.5%
Traffic congestion and emissions reduction due to potential modal shift from cars and planes	10.9%	10.3%	4.9%	26.7%	14.3%	1.0%	23.3%	8.4%
Canada built a national railway across the country in the 1880s so can do it again between Toronto and Quebec City.	5.1%	3.7%	2.3%	11.0%	0.0%	77.3%	0.0%	5.5%
Other	23.5%	13.2%	38.9%	0.6%	44.4%	21.2%	58.4%	43.9%
Unweighted Freq	1203	733	283	83	14	13	25	52
Weighted Freq	1203	567	353	130	26	19	51	56

n=1203 · All respondents

If the Alto project were to be canceled and assuming the \$60-\$90 billion is still available, how do you think the Federal government should spend the money instead?



- Increase frequency and speed of VIA Rail's current trains
- Expand highways
- Give private motor coach companies incentives to provide more service on rural routes
- Expand regional airports to increase flights to more destinations
- Expand urban and rural transit service
- Increase carpooling and mobility pricing systems (parking fees, tolls)
- Consolidate CN and CP freight operations into one freight corridor
- Spend the money on other national priorities or not at all
- Don't know

If the Alto project were to be canceled and assuming the \$60-\$90 billion is still available, how do you think the Federal government should spend the money instead?

Results broken out by gender and age

Response	Total	Gender			Age			
		Male	Female	Non-binary	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+
Increase frequency and speed of VIA Rail's current trains	19.3%	15.6%	21.3%	27.8%	34.5%	9.8%	14.7%	15.9%
Expand highways	13.6%	14.5%	12.3%	16.2%	5.7%	20.8%	20.0%	8.4%
Give private motor coach companies incentives to provide more service on rural routes	2.7%	2.3%	3.6%	0.2%	2.1%	1.2%	2.7%	5.1%
Expand regional airports to increase flights to more destinations	8.1%	8.8%	8.1%	4.5%	7.5%	9.1%	7.2%	8.8%
Expand urban and rural transit service	12.3%	13.8%	10.4%	14.5%	18.2%	10.6%	11.5%	7.7%
Increase carpooling and mobility pricing systems (parking fees, tolls)	1.7%	0.4%	3.2%	0.4%	1.7%	1.3%	2.7%	1.1%
Consolidate CN and CP freight operations into one freight corridor	2.7%	2.3%	3.5%	0.0%	3.6%	2.2%	0.9%	3.9%
Spend the money on other national priorities or not at all	30.2%	35.1%	24.9%	33.4%	23.5%	39.6%	33.0%	25.3%
Don't know	9.4%	7.2%	12.7%	3.0%	3.1%	5.4%	7.2%	23.8%
Unweighted Freq	1203	578	578	47	58	142	316	687
Weighted Freq	1203	541	563	99	332	286	309	275

Results broken out by education

Education				
Response	Total	Highschool or less	College	University
Increase frequency and speed of VIA Rail's current trains	19.3%	14.1%	14.0%	30.7%
Expand highways	13.6%	14.4%	15.9%	10.5%
Give private motor coach companies incentives to provide more service on rural routes	2.7%	4.4%	1.5%	1.8%
Expand regional airports to increase flights to more destinations	8.1%	9.3%	6.0%	8.5%
Expand urban and rural transit service	12.3%	4.9%	14.3%	19.8%
Increase carpooling and mobility pricing systems (parking fees, tolls)	1.7%	3.1%	1.1%	0.5%
Consolidate CN and CP freight operations into one freight corridor	2.7%	1.6%	1.7%	4.9%
Spend the money on other national priorities or not at all	30.2%	31.9%	39.5%	19.4%
Don't know	9.4%	16.3%	5.9%	3.9%
Unweighted Freq	1203	227	369	607
Weighted Freq	1203	482	344	377

Results broken out by income

Income						
Response	Total	<\$50k	\$50k-\$75k	\$75k-\$100k	\$100k+	Don't want to say
Increase frequency and speed of VIA Rail's current trains	19.3%	12.5%	28.4%	24.4%	20.5%	15.1%
Expand highways	13.6%	20.2%	9.6%	27.3%	8.2%	9.1%
Give private motor coach companies incentives to provide more service on rural routes	2.7%	5.4%	2.1%	1.2%	1.3%	3.0%
Expand regional airports to increase flights to more destinations	8.1%	6.8%	3.6%	6.5%	11.1%	10.5%
Expand urban and rural transit service	12.3%	7.2%	3.8%	7.9%	21.4%	15.4%
Increase carpooling and mobility pricing systems (parking fees, tolls)	1.7%	2.7%	3.8%	0.1%	1.3%	0.3%
Consolidate CN and CP freight operations into one freight corridor	2.7%	4.7%	3.1%	0.5%	1.9%	1.8%
Spend the money on other national priorities or not at all	30.2%	28.9%	39.9%	26.1%	31.4%	23.3%
Don't know	9.4%	11.6%	5.8%	6.0%	2.9%	21.6%
Unweighted Freq	1203	242	204	151	360	246
Weighted Freq	1203	300	211	124	340	228

Results broken out by race

Race										
Response	Total	White	Black	East Asian	Latino	Middle Eastern	South Asian	Southeast Asian	First Nations	Other
Increase frequency and speed of VIA Rail's current trains	19.3%	20.5%	16.5%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	23.1%	37.2%	32.2%	8.1%
Expand highways	13.6%	11.0%	12.0%	11.1%	69.5%	33.2%	49.6%	27.4%	21.8%	11.8%
Give private motor coach companies incentives to provide more service on rural routes	2.7%	3.3%	0.0%	0.6%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	2.4%
Expand regional airports to increase flights to more destinations	8.1%	6.8%	23.5%	17.3%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	22.8%	0.0%	16.0%
Expand urban and rural transit service	12.3%	11.2%	14.6%	34.5%	0.7%	7.1%	13.0%	0.0%	6.6%	22.6%
Increase carpooling and mobility pricing systems (parking fees, tolls)	1.7%	1.5%	1.4%	22.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Consolidate CN and CP freight operations into one freight corridor	2.7%	3.3%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Spend the money on other national priorities or not at all	30.2%	31.3%	29.4%	1.9%	29.2%	52.4%	6.3%	12.6%	35.0%	38.1%
Don't know	9.4%	11.2%	1.4%	8.5%	0.0%	5.9%	8.1%	0.0%	3.3%	1.0%
Unweighted Freq	1203	986	34	29	10	19	37	14	14	60
Weighted Freq	1203	934	55	28	14	8	38	17	30	81

Results broken out by region

Response	Region						
	Total	Toronto	Halton, Peel, York & Durham	Hamilton, Niagara, Waterloo & Guelph	Southwestern Ontario	Eastern Ontario	Northern Ontario
Increase frequency and speed of VIA Rail's current trains	19.3%	21.0%	23.0%	16.2%	10.8%	25.2%	7.1%
Expand highways	13.6%	15.5%	17.0%	6.5%	12.9%	15.7%	6.6%
Give private motor coach companies incentives to provide more service on rural routes	2.7%	1.8%	0.1%	3.7%	10.6%	0.4%	2.5%
Expand regional airports to increase flights to more destinations	8.1%	7.4%	4.1%	15.5%	10.0%	2.6%	22.5%
Expand urban and rural transit service	12.3%	19.0%	21.4%	3.1%	8.0%	8.8%	2.5%
Increase carpooling and mobility pricing systems (parking fees, tolls)	1.7%	2.9%	0.0%	4.4%	3.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Consolidate CN and CP freight operations into one freight corridor	2.7%	3.3%	1.3%	0.8%	3.4%	4.5%	2.5%
Spend the money on other national priorities or not at all	30.2%	17.9%	21.9%	35.3%	32.2%	37.7%	52.7%
Don't know	9.4%	11.2%	11.2%	14.5%	9.2%	4.6%	3.6%
Unweighted Freq	1203	323	245	145	247	187	56
Weighted Freq	1203	212	293	175	181	263	79

Results broken out by q4

Q4								
Response	Total	Carney	Poilievre	Lewis	May	Bernier	Other	Undecided
Increase frequency and speed of VIA Rail's current trains	19.3%	21.6%	4.7%	42.5%	46.5%	78.3%	10.6%	8.3%
Expand highways	13.6%	13.0%	23.0%	3.3%	10.5%	0.5%	0.6%	1.9%
Give private motor coach companies incentives to provide more service on rural routes	2.7%	3.0%	0.4%	3.3%	10.2%	0.0%	15.0%	0.0%
Expand regional airports to increase flights to more destinations	8.1%	8.3%	10.3%	2.6%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	18.5%
Expand urban and rural transit service	12.3%	14.1%	12.8%	9.9%	0.9%	0.6%	9.4%	7.9%
Increase carpooling and mobility pricing systems (parking fees, tolls)	1.7%	1.1%	4.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Consolidate CN and CP freight operations into one freight corridor	2.7%	2.3%	1.4%	8.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	6.2%
Spend the money on other national priorities or not at all	30.2%	25.1%	39.7%	28.4%	26.9%	10.3%	36.0%	29.0%
Don't know	9.4%	11.5%	3.7%	1.6%	4.9%	8.6%	28.1%	28.3%
Unweighted Freq	1203	733	283	83	14	13	25	52
Weighted Freq	1203	567	353	130	26	19	51	56

n=1203 · All respondents