



City of Toronto Survey

Mayoral election, traffic congestion and TTC service

Field Dates: October 6-7, 2026 | N = 1,000 residents (18+)

Weighted by age, gender, education and household income

MoE $\pm 3.1\%$, 19 times out of 20



mainstreetresearch.ca | info@mainstreetresearch.ca

Survey Methodology

About Mainstreet Research


Founded in 2010, Mainstreet Research is recognized as one of Canada's top public opinion and market research firms. Since our founding, we have been providing actionable and data-driven insights to our clients to help them make their most important evidence-based strategic decisions. Mainstreet has an impressive track record in accurately forecasting election results in Canada and the United States and has become a trusted source for comprehensive market research, analysis and advice. Our insights are found in major media outlets across the country. Our diverse team has decades of experience in conducting both quantitative and qualitative research, ranging from broad national surveys, to focus groups, to membership surveys, and all points in between. Mainstreet Research is a proud corporate member of CRIC and exceeds all Canadian and international standards for market research and public opinion research.

Methodology

The analysis in this report is based on results of a survey conducted October 6-7, 2026, among a sample of 1,000 adults, 18 years of age or older, living in Toronto. The survey was conducted using Interactive Voice Response (IVR). Respondents were interviewed on landlines and cellular phones. The survey is intended to represent the voting population in Toronto. Results were weighted by age, gender, education and household income using City of Toronto 2021 Census profiles. The margin of error for the poll is +/- 3.1% at the 95% confidence level. Margins of error are higher in each subsample. Totals may not add up to 100% due to rounding. This poll was commissioned by and is the exclusive property of Mainstreet Research. Any reproduction, in whole or in part of this report or the data contained herein is expressly prohibited without written authorization by Mainstreet Research.

If the Toronto mayoral election were held today, which candidate would you be most likely to vote for?

All respondents | n = 1,000



Figures may not sum to 100% due to rounding.

If the Toronto mayoral election were held today, which candidate would you be most likely to vote for?

All respondents | n = 1,000

Results broken out by gender

Gender				
Response	Total	Female	Male	Other
Olivia Chow	40.8%	47.3%	33.8%	45.8%
Brad Bradford	38.3%	33.0%	44.3%	28.1%
Odessa Paloma Parker	2.4%	3.0%	1.8%	3.8%
Sarah McVie	2.1%	1.6%	2.6%	3.4%
Another candidate	2.8%	2.0%	3.6%	3.4%
Undecided	10.0%	9.0%	11.0%	10.8%
Would not vote	3.6%	4.2%	2.9%	4.6%
Unweighted Freq	1000	495	480	25*
Weighted Freq	1000.0	495.0	480.0	25.0

Results broken out by age

Age					
Response	Total	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+
Olivia Chow	40.8%	42.5%	41.0%	40.8%	38.8%
Brad Bradford	38.3%	36.5%	37.9%	38.5%	40.4%
Odessa Paloma Parker	2.4%	3.0%	2.0%	2.4%	2.3%
Sarah McVie	2.1%	2.4%	2.8%	1.9%	1.4%
Another candidate	2.8%	2.3%	3.1%	3.1%	2.7%
Undecided	10.0%	8.7%	9.6%	10.6%	11.0%
Would not vote	3.6%	4.6%	3.6%	2.7%	3.3%
Unweighted Freq	1000	257	246	235	262
Weighted Freq	1000.0	260.0	250.0	235.0	255.0

n.a. = not available in the supplied results. * Unweighted subgroup base below 30; interpret cautiously.

If the Toronto mayoral election were held today, which candidate would you be most likely to vote for?

All respondents | n = 1,000

Results broken out by education

Education				
Response	Total	High school or less	College or trades certificate	University degree
Olivia Chow	40.8%	39.5%	39.2%	42.4%
Brad Bradford	38.3%	38.1%	38.2%	38.5%
Odessa Paloma Parker	2.4%	3.5%	2.5%	1.7%
Sarah McVie	2.1%	1.9%	3.6%	1.5%
Another candidate	2.8%	3.2%	2.5%	2.7%
Undecided	10.0%	9.8%	10.3%	9.9%
Would not vote	3.6%	4.1%	3.6%	3.2%
Unweighted Freq	1000	315	280	405
Weighted Freq	1000.0	300.0	240.0	460.0

Results broken out by income

Income						
Response	Total	Under \$50,000	\$50,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$149,999	\$150,000 or more	Prefer not to say
Olivia Chow	40.8%	44.0%	39.8%	42.9%	37.7%	38.4%
Brad Bradford	38.3%	33.9%	37.3%	36.6%	45.9%	37.1%
Odessa Paloma Parker	2.4%	4.4%	3.4%	1.0%	2.0%	1.6%
Sarah McVie	2.1%	1.4%	2.5%	2.2%	2.0%	2.0%
Another candidate	2.8%	3.8%	1.6%	3.7%	3.2%	1.6%
Undecided	10.0%	8.0%	11.3%	10.5%	6.8%	15.3%
Would not vote	3.6%	4.5%	4.3%	3.1%	2.4%	4.0%
Unweighted Freq	1000	135	324	291	193	57
Weighted Freq	1000.0	131.0	319.0	295.0	197.0	58.0

n.a. = not available in the supplied results. * Unweighted subgroup base below 30; interpret cautiously.

If the Toronto mayoral election were held today, which candidate would you be most likely to vote for?

All respondents | n = 1,000

Results broken out by region

Region					
Response	Total	Downtown	Etobicoke	North York	Scarborough
Olivia Chow	40.8%	45.5%	33.7%	40.3%	41.4%
Brad Bradford	38.3%	35.1%	47.1%	37.5%	36.8%
Odessa Paloma Parker	2.4%	2.9%	1.9%	1.8%	3.1%
Sarah McVie	2.1%	2.0%	1.6%	2.4%	2.2%
Another candidate	2.8%	2.7%	2.3%	3.1%	2.9%
Undecided	10.0%	8.3%	10.6%	10.7%	10.3%
Would not vote	3.6%	3.5%	2.8%	4.3%	3.4%
Unweighted Freq	1000	237	171	285	307
Weighted Freq	1000.0	242.0	168.0	286.0	304.0

n.a. = not available in the supplied results. * Unweighted subgroup base below 30; interpret cautiously.

If the Toronto mayoral election were held today, which candidate would you be most likely to vote for?

All respondents | n = 1,000

Results broken out by ethnicity (1 of 2)

Response	Ethnicity					
	Total	White	Black	East Asian	South Asian	Southeast Asian
Olivia Chow	40.8%	37.8%	48.7%	41.6%	40.6%	46.3%
Brad Bradford	38.3%	37.7%	31.8%	40.4%	37.3%	36.0%
Odessa Paloma Parker	2.4%	2.5%	3.5%	0.8%	1.4%	1.7%
Sarah McVie	2.1%	2.2%	0.9%	1.4%	1.5%	4.5%
Another candidate	2.8%	3.6%	1.2%	0.8%	4.1%	2.8%
Undecided	10.0%	11.0%	11.8%	13.3%	11.5%	7.0%
Would not vote	3.6%	5.2%	2.1%	1.7%	3.6%	1.7%
Unweighted Freq	1000	418	98	123	135	65
Weighted Freq	1000.0	413.0	98.0	124.0	136.0	66.0


Results broken out by ethnicity (2 of 2)

Response	Ethnicity				
	Total	Middle Eastern	Jewish	Latino	Multiracial, Indigenous or another background
Olivia Chow	40.8%	38.2%	37.2%	34.3%	48.0%
Brad Bradford	38.3%	46.0%	42.4%	46.9%	41.3%
Odessa Paloma Parker	2.4%	4.9%	3.6%	2.6%	4.5%
Sarah McVie	2.1%	2.7%	4.2%	3.5%	1.4%
Another candidate	2.8%	2.1%	4.1%	2.9%	1.5%
Undecided	10.0%	4.1%	4.2%	6.4%	1.4%
Would not vote	3.6%	2.0%	4.2%	3.5%	1.9%
Unweighted Freq	1000	42	25*	32	62
Weighted Freq	1000.0	42.0	27.0	33.0	61.0

n.a. = not available in the supplied results. * Unweighted subgroup base below 30; interpret cautiously.

Mayoral vote intention among decided and leaning voters

Decided and leaning voters | n = 864



Figures may not sum to 100% due to rounding.

Mayoral vote intention among decided and leaning voters

Decided and leaning voters | n = 864

Results broken out by gender

Response	Gender			
	Total	Female	Male	Other
Olivia Chow	47.2%	54.4%	39.2%	54.1%
Brad Bradford	44.3%	38.0%	51.5%	33.2%
Odessa Paloma Parker	2.8%	3.5%	2.1%	4.5%
Sarah McVie	2.5%	1.8%	3.0%	4.0%
Another candidate	3.2%	2.3%	4.2%	4.1%
Unweighted Freq	864	430	413	21*
Weighted Freq	865.0	430.0	413.0	21.0

Results broken out by age

Response	Age				
	Total	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+
Olivia Chow	47.2%	49.0%	47.3%	47.1%	45.3%
Brad Bradford	44.3%	42.1%	43.7%	44.3%	47.1%
Odessa Paloma Parker	2.8%	3.5%	2.3%	2.8%	2.7%
Sarah McVie	2.5%	2.8%	3.2%	2.2%	1.7%
Another candidate	3.2%	2.7%	3.6%	3.6%	3.2%
Unweighted Freq	864	222	214	204	224
Weighted Freq	865.0	225.0	217.0	204.0	218.0

n.a. = not available in the supplied results. * Unweighted subgroup base below 30; interpret cautiously.

Mayoral vote intention among decided and leaning voters

Decided and leaning voters | n = 864

Results broken out by education

Response	Education			
	Total	High school or less	College or trades certificate	University degree
Olivia Chow	47.2%	45.8%	45.6%	48.8%
Brad Bradford	44.3%	44.2%	44.4%	44.3%
Odessa Paloma Parker	2.8%	4.1%	2.9%	2.0%
Sarah McVie	2.5%	2.2%	4.2%	1.7%
Another candidate	3.2%	3.7%	2.9%	3.1%
Unweighted Freq	864	271	241	352
Weighted Freq	865.0	258.0	207.0	400.0

Results broken out by income

Response	Income					
	Total	Under \$50,000	\$50,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$149,999	\$150,000 or more	Prefer not to say
Olivia Chow	47.2%	50.3%	47.1%	49.7%	41.5%	47.6%
Brad Bradford	44.3%	38.8%	44.1%	42.3%	50.5%	46.0%
Odessa Paloma Parker	2.8%	5.0%	4.0%	1.2%	2.2%	2.0%
Sarah McVie	2.5%	1.6%	2.9%	2.6%	2.2%	2.5%
Another candidate	3.2%	4.3%	1.8%	4.2%	3.6%	2.0%
Unweighted Freq	864	118	274	251	175	46
Weighted Freq	865.0	115.0	269.0	255.0	178.0	47.0

n.a. = not available in the supplied results. * Unweighted subgroup base below 30; interpret cautiously.

Mayoral vote intention among decided and leaning voters

Decided and leaning voters | n = 864

Results broken out by region

Response	Region				
	Total	Downtown	Etobicoke	North York	Scarborough
Olivia Chow	47.2%	51.6%	38.9%	47.4%	47.9%
Brad Bradford	44.3%	39.8%	54.5%	44.1%	42.6%
Odessa Paloma Parker	2.8%	3.2%	2.1%	2.1%	3.6%
Sarah McVie	2.5%	2.3%	1.8%	2.9%	2.5%
Another candidate	3.2%	3.0%	2.7%	3.6%	3.4%
Unweighted Freq	864	209	148	243	264
Weighted Freq	865.0	213.0	145.0	243.0	263.0

n.a. = not available in the supplied results. * Unweighted subgroup base below 30; interpret cautiously.

Mayoral vote intention among decided and leaning voters

Decided and leaning voters | n = 864

Results broken out by ethnicity (1 of 2)

Ethnicity						
Response	Total	White	Black	East Asian	South Asian	Southeast Asian
Olivia Chow	47.2%	45.1%	56.6%	48.9%	47.8%	50.7%
Brad Bradford	44.3%	45.0%	36.9%	47.5%	43.9%	39.4%
Odessa Paloma Parker	2.8%	3.0%	4.1%	0.9%	1.7%	1.8%
Sarah McVie	2.5%	2.7%	1.0%	1.7%	1.8%	4.9%
Another candidate	3.2%	4.2%	1.4%	0.9%	4.8%	3.1%
Unweighted Freq	864	350	84	105	115	59
Weighted Freq	865.0	346.0	84.0	106.0	115.0	60.0


Results broken out by ethnicity (2 of 2)

Ethnicity					
Response	Total	Middle Eastern	Jewish	Latino	Multiracial, Indigenous or another background
Olivia Chow	47.2%	40.7%	40.6%	38.0%	49.6%
Brad Bradford	44.3%	49.0%	46.4%	52.0%	42.7%
Odessa Paloma Parker	2.8%	5.2%	3.9%	2.9%	4.7%
Sarah McVie	2.5%	2.9%	4.6%	3.9%	1.5%
Another candidate	3.2%	2.2%	4.5%	3.2%	1.6%
Unweighted Freq	864	39	23*	29*	60
Weighted Freq	865.0	40.0	25.0	30.0	59.0

n.a. = not available in the supplied results. * Unweighted subgroup base below 30; interpret cautiously.

Now that Chris Alexander has dropped out of the mayoral race, if you were choosing between Olivia Chow and Brad Bradford, who would you be most likely to vote for?

All respondents | n = 1,000



Figures may not sum to 100% due to rounding.

Now that Chris Alexander has dropped out of the mayoral race, if you were choosing between Olivia Chow and Brad Bradford, who would you be most likely to vote for?

All respondents | n = 1,000

Results broken out by gender

Response	Gender			
	Total	Female	Male	Other
Olivia Chow	44.7%	49.0%	40.2%	44.1%
Brad Bradford	41.5%	38.4%	44.7%	39.1%
Neither	5.5%	5.2%	5.6%	9.2%
Undecided	8.3%	7.3%	9.4%	7.7%
Unweighted Freq	1000	495	480	25*
Weighted Freq	1000.0	495.0	480.0	25.0

Results broken out by age

Response	Age				
	Total	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+
Olivia Chow	44.7%	46.3%	44.1%	45.0%	43.4%
Brad Bradford	41.5%	41.6%	43.8%	37.6%	42.6%
Neither	5.5%	5.7%	4.9%	8.1%	3.6%
Undecided	8.3%	6.4%	7.2%	9.3%	10.4%
Unweighted Freq	1000	257	246	235	262
Weighted Freq	1000.0	260.0	250.0	235.0	255.0

n.a. = not available in the supplied results. * Unweighted subgroup base below 30; interpret cautiously.

Now that Chris Alexander has dropped out of the mayoral race, if you were choosing between Olivia Chow and Brad Bradford, who would you be most likely to vote for?

All respondents | n = 1,000

Results broken out by education

Response	Education			
	Total	High school or less	College or trades certificate	University degree
Olivia Chow	44.7%	44.2%	43.9%	45.4%
Brad Bradford	41.5%	42.2%	41.4%	41.0%
Neither	5.5%	5.4%	5.4%	5.7%
Undecided	8.3%	8.2%	9.2%	7.9%
Unweighted Freq	1000	315	280	405
Weighted Freq	1000.0	300.0	240.0	460.0

Results broken out by income

Response	Income					
	Total	Under \$50,000	\$50,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$149,999	\$150,000 or more	Prefer not to say
Olivia Chow	44.7%	46.8%	43.2%	46.3%	43.9%	42.3%
Brad Bradford	41.5%	38.9%	40.1%	43.3%	43.4%	38.4%
Neither	5.5%	6.1%	6.5%	3.1%	6.7%	7.5%
Undecided	8.3%	8.1%	10.2%	7.3%	6.0%	11.7%
Unweighted Freq	1000	135	324	291	193	57
Weighted Freq	1000.0	131.0	319.0	295.0	197.0	58.0

n.a. = not available in the supplied results. * Unweighted subgroup base below 30; interpret cautiously.

Now that Chris Alexander has dropped out of the mayoral race, if you were choosing between Olivia Chow and Brad Bradford, who would you be most likely to vote for?

All respondents | n = 1,000

Results broken out by region

Region					
Response	Total	Downtown	Etobicoke	North York	Scarborough
Olivia Chow	44.7%	48.3%	38.2%	42.8%	47.1%
Brad Bradford	41.5%	37.1%	47.3%	44.8%	38.5%
Neither	5.5%	6.2%	3.9%	4.7%	6.7%
Undecided	8.3%	8.4%	10.6%	7.7%	7.7%
Unweighted Freq	1000	237	171	285	307
Weighted Freq	1000.0	242.0	168.0	286.0	304.0

n.a. = not available in the supplied results. * Unweighted subgroup base below 30; interpret cautiously.

Now that Chris Alexander has dropped out of the mayoral race, if you were choosing between Olivia Chow and Brad Bradford, who would you be most likely to vote for?

All respondents | n = 1,000

Results broken out by ethnicity (1 of 2)

Ethnicity						
Response	Total	White	Black	East Asian	South Asian	Southeast Asian
Olivia Chow	44.7%	44.1%	53.6%	43.4%	41.3%	51.7%
Brad Bradford	41.5%	40.0%	32.9%	40.6%	44.8%	41.0%
Neither	5.5%	5.0%	7.4%	4.3%	5.9%	4.5%
Undecided	8.3%	10.9%	6.0%	11.7%	8.0%	2.8%
Unweighted Freq	1000	418	98	123	135	65
Weighted Freq	1000.0	413.0	98.0	124.0	136.0	66.0


Results broken out by ethnicity (2 of 2)

Ethnicity					
Response	Total	Middle Eastern	Jewish	Latino	Multiracial, Indigenous or another background
Olivia Chow	44.7%	42.9%	28.7%	37.2%	49.3%
Brad Bradford	41.5%	48.6%	58.7%	52.6%	41.5%
Neither	5.5%	4.3%	8.3%	6.8%	7.8%
Undecided	8.3%	4.1%	4.2%	3.5%	1.4%
Unweighted Freq	1000	42	25*	32	62
Weighted Freq	1000.0	42.0	27.0	33.0	61.0

n.a. = not available in the supplied results. * Unweighted subgroup base below 30; interpret cautiously.

How much of a problem do you think traffic congestion is in Toronto today?

All respondents | n = 1,000



Figures may not sum to 100% due to rounding.

How much of a problem do you think traffic congestion is in Toronto today?

All respondents | n = 1,000

Results broken out by gender

Response	Gender			
	Total	Female	Male	Other
A very serious problem	47.2%	46.2%	48.5%	42.2%
A somewhat serious problem	37.6%	36.9%	38.5%	35.0%
Not a very serious problem	9.9%	10.6%	9.1%	11.2%
Not a problem at all	2.5%	3.4%	1.6%	3.4%
Unsure	2.7%	3.0%	2.2%	8.2%
Unweighted Freq	1000	495	480	25*
Weighted Freq	1000.0	495.0	480.0	25.0

Results broken out by age

Response	Age				
	Total	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+
A very serious problem	47.2%	51.4%	52.3%	45.5%	39.6%
A somewhat serious problem	37.6%	34.3%	32.8%	41.4%	42.3%
Not a very serious problem	9.9%	10.0%	8.2%	8.3%	13.0%
Not a problem at all	2.5%	1.2%	2.6%	3.6%	2.7%
Unsure	2.7%	3.2%	4.0%	1.3%	2.3%
Unweighted Freq	1000	257	246	235	262
Weighted Freq	1000.0	260.0	250.0	235.0	255.0

n.a. = not available in the supplied results. * Unweighted subgroup base below 30; interpret cautiously.

How much of a problem do you think traffic congestion is in Toronto today?

All respondents | n = 1,000

Results broken out by education

Response	Education			
	Total	High school or less	College or trades certificate	University degree
A very serious problem	47.2%	50.0%	40.4%	49.0%
A somewhat serious problem	37.6%	38.0%	43.6%	34.2%
Not a very serious problem	9.9%	7.9%	10.6%	10.9%
Not a problem at all	2.5%	1.9%	2.8%	2.7%
Unsure	2.7%	2.2%	2.5%	3.2%
Unweighted Freq	1000	315	280	405
Weighted Freq	1000.0	300.0	240.0	460.0

Results broken out by income

Response	Income					
	Total	Under \$50,000	\$50,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$149,999	\$150,000 or more	Prefer not to say
A very serious problem	47.2%	43.8%	46.4%	46.7%	52.5%	44.2%
A somewhat serious problem	37.6%	36.2%	41.1%	36.5%	35.6%	34.3%
Not a very serious problem	9.9%	15.8%	7.1%	10.3%	8.8%	14.4%
Not a problem at all	2.5%	2.0%	2.6%	3.3%	1.6%	1.7%
Unsure	2.7%	2.3%	2.8%	3.2%	1.5%	5.3%
Unweighted Freq	1000	135	324	291	193	57
Weighted Freq	1000.0	131.0	319.0	295.0	197.0	58.0

n.a. = not available in the supplied results. * Unweighted subgroup base below 30; interpret cautiously.

How much of a problem do you think traffic congestion is in Toronto today?

All respondents | n = 1,000

Results broken out by region

Region					
Response	Total	Downtown	Etobicoke	North York	Scarborough
A very serious problem	47.2%	42.0%	47.0%	49.0%	49.8%
A somewhat serious problem	37.6%	40.1%	36.8%	37.1%	36.6%
Not a very serious problem	9.9%	10.5%	11.8%	8.3%	10.0%
Not a problem at all	2.5%	3.0%	3.3%	2.4%	1.7%
Unsure	2.7%	4.4%	1.1%	3.3%	1.9%
Unweighted Freq	1000	237	171	285	307
Weighted Freq	1000.0	242.0	168.0	286.0	304.0

n.a. = not available in the supplied results. * Unweighted subgroup base below 30; interpret cautiously.

How much of a problem do you think traffic congestion is in Toronto today?

All respondents | n = 1,000

Results broken out by ethnicity (1 of 2)

Response	Total	Ethnicity				
		White	Black	East Asian	South Asian	Southeast Asian
A very serious problem	47.2%	51.0%	45.2%	39.1%	51.0%	40.6%
A somewhat serious problem	37.6%	36.5%	39.2%	44.6%	34.6%	42.6%
Not a very serious problem	9.9%	8.0%	13.8%	10.6%	8.5%	9.0%
Not a problem at all	2.5%	1.1%	0.9%	4.8%	2.5%	4.7%
Unsure	2.7%	3.4%	0.9%	0.9%	3.4%	3.0%
Unweighted Freq	1000	418	98	123	135	65
Weighted Freq	1000.0	413.0	98.0	124.0	136.0	66.0


Results broken out by ethnicity (2 of 2)

Response	Total	Ethnicity			
		Middle Eastern	Jewish	Latino	Multiracial, Indigenous or another background
A very serious problem	47.2%	38.8%	49.4%	37.1%	50.4%
A somewhat serious problem	37.6%	39.8%	26.5%	34.0%	34.8%
Not a very serious problem	9.9%	14.5%	11.7%	19.1%	10.5%
Not a problem at all	2.5%	2.7%	8.2%	6.3%	2.9%
Unsure	2.7%	4.2%	4.2%	3.5%	1.4%
Unweighted Freq	1000	42	25*	32	62
Weighted Freq	1000.0	42.0	27.0	33.0	61.0

n.a. = not available in the supplied results. * Unweighted subgroup base below 30; interpret cautiously.

Overall, how reliable do you think TTC service is at getting people to their destinations on time?

All respondents | n = 1,000



Figures may not sum to 100% due to rounding.

Overall, how reliable do you think TTC service is at getting people to their destinations on time?

All respondents | n = 1,000

Results broken out by gender

Response	Gender			
	Total	Female	Male	Other
Very reliable	9.0%	9.5%	8.1%	17.1%
Somewhat reliable	40.2%	38.4%	41.8%	47.2%
Somewhat unreliable	33.8%	34.3%	34.3%	16.9%
Very unreliable	14.0%	15.1%	12.9%	15.1%
Unsure	2.9%	2.8%	3.0%	3.7%
Unweighted Freq	1000	495	480	25*
Weighted Freq	1000.0	495.0	480.0	25.0

Results broken out by age

Response	Age				
	Total	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+
Very reliable	9.0%	9.0%	11.4%	9.7%	6.0%
Somewhat reliable	40.2%	43.7%	34.5%	40.1%	42.5%
Somewhat unreliable	33.8%	31.1%	36.2%	33.8%	34.4%
Very unreliable	14.0%	13.5%	14.1%	15.1%	13.5%
Unsure	2.9%	2.8%	3.8%	1.4%	3.6%
Unweighted Freq	1000	257	246	235	262
Weighted Freq	1000.0	260.0	250.0	235.0	255.0

n.a. = not available in the supplied results. * Unweighted subgroup base below 30; interpret cautiously.

Overall, how reliable do you think TTC service is at getting people to their destinations on time?

All respondents | n = 1,000

Results broken out by education

Response	Education			
	Total	High school or less	College or trades certificate	University degree
Very reliable	9.0%	7.6%	11.8%	8.4%
Somewhat reliable	40.2%	41.9%	41.3%	38.5%
Somewhat unreliable	33.8%	35.2%	32.2%	33.8%
Very unreliable	14.0%	13.3%	12.5%	15.3%
Unsure	2.9%	1.9%	2.2%	3.9%
Unweighted Freq	1000	315	280	405
Weighted Freq	1000.0	300.0	240.0	460.0

Results broken out by income

Response	Income					
	Total	Under \$50,000	\$50,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$149,999	\$150,000 or more	Prefer not to say
Very reliable	9.0%	8.7%	9.5%	9.3%	8.3%	7.8%
Somewhat reliable	40.2%	39.6%	42.1%	38.0%	41.7%	37.4%
Somewhat unreliable	33.8%	34.7%	29.7%	38.3%	34.2%	30.6%
Very unreliable	14.0%	13.1%	15.4%	12.3%	13.6%	19.1%
Unsure	2.9%	3.9%	3.3%	2.1%	2.2%	5.2%
Unweighted Freq	1000	135	324	291	193	57
Weighted Freq	1000.0	131.0	319.0	295.0	197.0	58.0

n.a. = not available in the supplied results. * Unweighted subgroup base below 30; interpret cautiously.

Overall, how reliable do you think TTC service is at getting people to their destinations on time?

All respondents | n = 1,000

Results broken out by region

Region					
Response	Total	Downtown	Etobicoke	North York	Scarborough
Very reliable	9.0%	9.0%	11.1%	8.3%	8.5%
Somewhat reliable	40.2%	44.3%	39.7%	35.5%	41.8%
Somewhat unreliable	33.8%	32.7%	33.4%	33.4%	35.4%
Very unreliable	14.0%	11.8%	12.9%	19.4%	11.5%
Unsure	2.9%	2.3%	3.0%	3.4%	2.9%
Unweighted Freq	1000	237	171	285	307
Weighted Freq	1000.0	242.0	168.0	286.0	304.0

n.a. = not available in the supplied results. * Unweighted subgroup base below 30; interpret cautiously.

Overall, how reliable do you think TTC service is at getting people to their destinations on time?

All respondents | n = 1,000

Results broken out by ethnicity (1 of 2)

Response	Ethnicity					
	Total	White	Black	East Asian	South Asian	Southeast Asian
Very reliable	9.0%	9.8%	8.8%	7.6%	7.2%	7.4%
Somewhat reliable	40.2%	41.4%	35.2%	45.2%	34.4%	32.3%
Somewhat unreliable	33.8%	34.3%	34.1%	30.7%	34.5%	46.9%
Very unreliable	14.0%	11.9%	20.9%	15.0%	17.6%	11.7%
Unsure	2.9%	2.5%	0.9%	1.6%	6.2%	1.7%
Unweighted Freq	1000	418	98	123	135	65
Weighted Freq	1000.0	413.0	98.0	124.0	136.0	66.0


Results broken out by ethnicity (2 of 2)

Response	Ethnicity				
	Total	Middle Eastern	Jewish	Latino	Multiracial, Indigenous or another background
Very reliable	9.0%	15.8%	8.3%	6.0%	9.8%
Somewhat reliable	40.2%	45.3%	37.5%	51.8%	42.7%
Somewhat unreliable	33.8%	22.9%	38.0%	22.5%	32.8%
Very unreliable	14.0%	11.8%	12.0%	13.4%	12.9%
Unsure	2.9%	4.2%	4.2%	6.4%	1.9%
Unweighted Freq	1000	42	25*	32	62
Weighted Freq	1000.0	42.0	27.0	33.0	61.0

n.a. = not available in the supplied results. * Unweighted subgroup base below 30; interpret cautiously.

In the past seven days, did you drive a vehicle in Toronto, take the TTC, do both, or do neither?

All respondents | n = 1,000



Figures may not sum to 100% due to rounding.

In the past seven days, did you drive a vehicle in Toronto, take the TTC, do both, or do neither?

All respondents | n = 1,000

Results broken out by gender

Response	Gender			
	Total	Female	Male	Other
Drove but did not take the TTC	29.9%	28.4%	31.1%	36.6%
Took the TTC but did not drive	22.1%	22.3%	21.9%	20.4%
Did both	30.0%	30.9%	28.8%	34.0%
Did neither	18.1%	18.4%	18.2%	9.0%
Unweighted Freq	1000	495	480	25*
Weighted Freq	1000.0	495.0	480.0	25.0

Results broken out by age

Response	Age				
	Total	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+
Drove but did not take the TTC	29.9%	27.2%	29.9%	29.1%	33.3%
Took the TTC but did not drive	22.1%	28.0%	19.7%	20.5%	19.7%
Did both	30.0%	28.4%	34.5%	34.7%	22.8%
Did neither	18.1%	16.3%	15.8%	15.7%	24.2%
Unweighted Freq	1000	257	246	235	262
Weighted Freq	1000.0	260.0	250.0	235.0	255.0

n.a. = not available in the supplied results. * Unweighted subgroup base below 30; interpret cautiously.

In the past seven days, did you drive a vehicle in Toronto, take the TTC, do both, or do neither?

All respondents | n = 1,000

Results broken out by education

Response	Education			
	Total	High school or less	College or trades certificate	University degree
Drove but did not take the TTC	29.9%	29.2%	34.2%	28.1%
Took the TTC but did not drive	22.1%	21.3%	19.4%	23.9%
Did both	30.0%	31.5%	27.2%	30.4%
Did neither	18.1%	18.0%	19.2%	17.5%
Unweighted Freq	1000	315	280	405
Weighted Freq	1000.0	300.0	240.0	460.0

Results broken out by income

Response	Income					
	Total	Under \$50,000	\$50,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$149,999	\$150,000 or more	Prefer not to say
Drove but did not take the TTC	29.9%	34.0%	31.7%	29.0%	26.3%	28.0%
Took the TTC but did not drive	22.1%	20.8%	22.3%	21.2%	23.7%	22.8%
Did both	30.0%	25.0%	31.7%	28.4%	33.4%	28.0%
Did neither	18.1%	20.2%	14.3%	21.4%	16.7%	21.2%
Unweighted Freq	1000	135	324	291	193	57
Weighted Freq	1000.0	131.0	319.0	295.0	197.0	58.0

n.a. = not available in the supplied results. * Unweighted subgroup base below 30; interpret cautiously.

In the past seven days, did you drive a vehicle in Toronto, take the TTC, do both, or do neither?

All respondents | n = 1,000

Results broken out by region

Region					
Response	Total	Downtown	Etobicoke	North York	Scarborough
Drove but did not take the TTC	29.9%	15.7%	45.3%	30.6%	32.0%
Took the TTC but did not drive	22.1%	35.6%	17.2%	19.2%	16.7%
Did both	30.0%	31.1%	24.3%	30.2%	32.0%
Did neither	18.1%	17.6%	13.2%	20.0%	19.3%
Unweighted Freq	1000	237	171	285	307
Weighted Freq	1000.0	242.0	168.0	286.0	304.0

n.a. = not available in the supplied results. * Unweighted subgroup base below 30; interpret cautiously.

In the past seven days, did you drive a vehicle in Toronto, take the TTC, do both, or do neither?

All respondents | n = 1,000

Results broken out by ethnicity (1 of 2)

Ethnicity						
Response	Total	White	Black	East Asian	South Asian	Southeast Asian
Drove but did not take the TTC	29.9%	32.0%	24.5%	23.7%	33.9%	31.5%
Took the TTC but did not drive	22.1%	24.2%	17.1%	25.8%	17.6%	26.8%
Did both	30.0%	29.2%	42.8%	31.6%	26.5%	23.7%
Did neither	18.1%	14.6%	15.6%	18.9%	21.9%	18.0%
Unweighted Freq	1000	418	98	123	135	65
Weighted Freq	1000.0	413.0	98.0	124.0	136.0	66.0


Results broken out by ethnicity (2 of 2)

Ethnicity					
Response	Total	Middle Eastern	Jewish	Latino	Multiracial, Indigenous or another background
Drove but did not take the TTC	29.9%	24.5%	38.8%	33.9%	24.0%
Took the TTC but did not drive	22.1%	11.8%	25.4%	19.2%	20.4%
Did both	30.0%	32.2%	12.7%	34.0%	29.5%
Did neither	18.1%	31.5%	23.2%	12.9%	26.1%
Unweighted Freq	1000	42	25*	32	62
Weighted Freq	1000.0	42.0	27.0	33.0	61.0

n.a. = not available in the supplied results. * Unweighted subgroup base below 30; interpret cautiously.

In the past seven days, on how many separate trips while driving in Toronto did you spend more than one hour in slow or stopped traffic because of congestion?

Respondents who drove in Toronto in the past seven days | n = 600



Figures may not sum to 100% due to rounding.

In the past seven days, on how many separate trips while driving in Toronto did you spend more than one hour in slow or stopped traffic because of congestion?

Respondents who drove in Toronto in the past seven days | n = 600

Results broken out by gender

Response	Gender			
	Total	Female	Male	Other
None	17.1%	16.1%	18.2%	15.4%
One trip	21.7%	21.8%	21.5%	23.3%
Two trips	22.9%	24.4%	21.5%	22.7%
Three or four trips	20.7%	20.8%	20.7%	17.4%
Five or more trips	14.1%	13.4%	15.1%	11.4%
Unsure	3.5%	3.5%	3.1%	9.9%
Unweighted Freq	600	294	288	18*
Weighted Freq	599.0	294.0	288.0	18.0

Results broken out by age

Response	Age				
	Total	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+
None	17.1%	14.1%	17.6%	16.2%	20.4%
One trip	21.7%	20.0%	26.2%	22.0%	18.0%
Two trips	22.9%	21.8%	21.1%	23.3%	25.7%
Three or four trips	20.7%	21.5%	20.0%	21.4%	19.8%
Five or more trips	14.1%	19.9%	12.2%	14.5%	10.2%
Unsure	3.5%	2.7%	2.9%	2.7%	5.9%
Unweighted Freq	600	143	159	150	148
Weighted Freq	599.0	145.0	161.0	150.0	143.0

n.a. = not available in the supplied results. * Unweighted subgroup base below 30; interpret cautiously.

In the past seven days, on how many separate trips while driving in Toronto did you spend more than one hour in slow or stopped traffic because of congestion?

Respondents who drove in Toronto in the past seven days | n = 600

Results broken out by education

Education				
Response	Total	High school or less	College or trades certificate	University degree
None	17.1%	16.2%	18.6%	16.9%
One trip	21.7%	18.8%	19.3%	25.0%
Two trips	22.9%	25.7%	19.1%	23.1%
Three or four trips	20.7%	19.9%	26.2%	18.2%
Five or more trips	14.1%	17.4%	12.8%	12.7%
Unsure	3.5%	2.1%	4.0%	4.2%
Unweighted Freq	600	191	172	237
Weighted Freq	599.0	182.0	147.0	269.0

Results broken out by income

Income						
Response	Total	Under \$50,000	\$50,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$149,999	\$150,000 or more	Prefer not to say
None	17.1%	20.6%	16.4%	17.6%	15.6%	15.2%
One trip	21.7%	23.7%	22.0%	20.8%	22.8%	15.4%
Two trips	22.9%	25.9%	22.9%	21.5%	20.7%	31.2%
Three or four trips	20.7%	13.4%	21.2%	22.5%	22.1%	19.5%
Five or more trips	14.1%	11.2%	15.5%	15.3%	14.2%	6.2%
Unsure	3.5%	5.2%	1.9%	2.3%	4.4%	12.3%
Unweighted Freq	600	80	207	167	114	32
Weighted Freq	599.0	77.0	202.0	170.0	117.0	32.0

n.a. = not available in the supplied results. * Unweighted subgroup base below 30; interpret cautiously.

In the past seven days, on how many separate trips while driving in Toronto did you spend more than one hour in slow or stopped traffic because of congestion?

Respondents who drove in Toronto in the past seven days | n = 600

Results broken out by region

Region					
Response	Total	Downtown	Etobicoke	North York	Scarborough
None	17.1%	17.1%	14.9%	19.6%	16.1%
One trip	21.7%	19.8%	22.5%	22.5%	21.5%
Two trips	22.9%	27.6%	23.9%	23.0%	19.6%
Three or four trips	20.7%	20.8%	21.3%	17.4%	23.2%
Five or more trips	14.1%	11.0%	15.6%	14.2%	15.1%
Unsure	3.5%	3.8%	1.8%	3.4%	4.4%
Unweighted Freq	600	112	118	173	197
Weighted Freq	599.0	113.0	117.0	174.0	195.0

n.a. = not available in the supplied results. * Unweighted subgroup base below 30; interpret cautiously.

In the past seven days, on how many separate trips while driving in Toronto did you spend more than one hour in slow or stopped traffic because of congestion?

Respondents who drove in Toronto in the past seven days | n = 600

Results broken out by ethnicity (1 of 2)

Response	Ethnicity					
	Total	White	Black	East Asian	South Asian	Southeast Asian
None	17.1%	17.6%	10.4%	19.7%	15.5%	24.9%
One trip	21.7%	26.3%	9.8%	26.7%	15.1%	17.7%
Two trips	22.9%	19.7%	37.9%	21.5%	25.8%	25.1%
Three or four trips	20.7%	18.7%	27.1%	21.9%	22.7%	15.7%
Five or more trips	14.1%	14.5%	11.9%	7.3%	16.0%	13.4%
Unsure	3.5%	3.1%	2.9%	2.9%	4.9%	3.2%
Unweighted Freq	600	256	65	69	82	36
Weighted Freq	599.0	253.0	66.0	69.0	82.0	36.0


Results broken out by ethnicity (2 of 2)

Response	Ethnicity				
	Total	Middle Eastern	Jewish	Latino	Multiracial, Indigenous or another background
None	17.1%	8.4%	15.1%	22.5%	19.4%
One trip	21.7%	11.4%	22.9%	23.2%	26.0%
Two trips	22.9%	21.4%	24.7%	8.8%	21.5%
Three or four trips	20.7%	33.8%	23.1%	17.8%	11.8%
Five or more trips	14.1%	21.1%	8.2%	22.6%	17.7%
Unsure	3.5%	3.9%	6.0%	4.9%	3.5%
Unweighted Freq	600	24*	13*	22*	33
Weighted Freq	599.0	24.0	14.0	22.0	33.0

n.a. = not available in the supplied results. * Unweighted subgroup base below 30; interpret cautiously.

In the past seven days, on how many separate TTC trips did a service delay or disruption make your journey take at least 10 minutes longer than you expected?

Respondents who took the TTC in the past seven days | n = 516



Figures may not sum to 100% due to rounding.

In the past seven days, on how many separate TTC trips did a service delay or disruption make your journey take at least 10 minutes longer than you expected?

Respondents who took the TTC in the past seven days | n = 516

Results broken out by gender

Response	Gender			
	Total	Female	Male	Other
None	21.3%	20.0%	23.5%	8.4%
One trip	26.4%	23.6%	28.6%	41.1%
Two trips	22.8%	23.3%	22.8%	14.4%
Three or four trips	17.0%	19.4%	14.6%	12.6%
Five or more trips	8.7%	10.5%	6.8%	8.5%
Unsure	3.8%	3.3%	3.7%	15.1%
Unweighted Freq	516	259	243	14*
Weighted Freq	520.0	263.0	244.0	14.0

Results broken out by age

Response	Age				
	Total	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+
None	21.3%	16.6%	13.1%	32.1%	25.1%
One trip	26.4%	33.6%	33.5%	16.4%	19.7%
Two trips	22.8%	24.3%	20.9%	20.6%	25.9%
Three or four trips	17.0%	14.8%	17.9%	17.5%	18.1%
Five or more trips	8.7%	8.0%	10.0%	7.3%	9.5%
Unsure	3.8%	2.6%	4.6%	6.0%	1.6%
Unweighted Freq	516	145	133	128	110
Weighted Freq	520.0	147.0	136.0	130.0	108.0

n.a. = not available in the supplied results. * Unweighted subgroup base below 30; interpret cautiously.

In the past seven days, on how many separate TTC trips did a service delay or disruption make your journey take at least 10 minutes longer than you expected?

Respondents who took the TTC in the past seven days | n = 516

Results broken out by education

Response	Education			
	Total	High school or less	College or trades certificate	University degree
None	21.3%	21.7%	23.1%	20.3%
One trip	26.4%	30.2%	23.9%	25.1%
Two trips	22.8%	22.9%	20.0%	24.1%
Three or four trips	17.0%	15.6%	19.2%	16.8%
Five or more trips	8.7%	6.6%	7.6%	10.5%
Unsure	3.8%	3.0%	6.2%	3.2%
Unweighted Freq	516	166	130	220
Weighted Freq	520.0	158.0	112.0	250.0

Results broken out by income

Response	Income					
	Total	Under \$50,000	\$50,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$149,999	\$150,000 or more	Prefer not to say
None	21.3%	22.5%	18.1%	17.8%	24.8%	42.2%
One trip	26.4%	27.7%	24.8%	27.0%	27.8%	24.5%
Two trips	22.8%	14.8%	26.1%	29.9%	15.2%	14.1%
Three or four trips	17.0%	22.0%	20.4%	11.4%	17.2%	13.2%
Five or more trips	8.7%	11.6%	8.3%	7.9%	10.3%	2.8%
Unsure	3.8%	1.4%	2.2%	6.0%	4.7%	3.1%
Unweighted Freq	516	61	174	143	109	29*
Weighted Freq	520.0	60.0	172.0	147.0	112.0	29.0

n.a. = not available in the supplied results. * Unweighted subgroup base below 30; interpret cautiously.

In the past seven days, on how many separate TTC trips did a service delay or disruption make your journey take at least 10 minutes longer than you expected?

Respondents who took the TTC in the past seven days | n = 516

Results broken out by region

Response	Region				
	Total	Downtown	Etobicoke	North York	Scarborough
None	21.3%	17.7%	23.6%	24.4%	21.4%
One trip	26.4%	29.4%	26.0%	26.2%	23.5%
Two trips	22.8%	27.5%	17.3%	23.8%	19.4%
Three or four trips	17.0%	17.3%	17.0%	14.8%	18.7%
Five or more trips	8.7%	7.0%	10.2%	5.9%	12.5%
Unsure	3.8%	1.1%	5.8%	5.0%	4.5%
Unweighted Freq	516	157	70	140	149
Weighted Freq	520.0	161.0	70.0	142.0	148.0

n.a. = not available in the supplied results. * Unweighted subgroup base below 30; interpret cautiously.

In the past seven days, on how many separate TTC trips did a service delay or disruption make your journey take at least 10 minutes longer than you expected?

Respondents who took the TTC in the past seven days | n = 516

Results broken out by ethnicity (1 of 2)

Response	Ethnicity					
	Total	White	Black	East Asian	South Asian	Southeast Asian
None	21.3%	18.0%	26.6%	23.5%	30.6%	20.2%
One trip	26.4%	28.0%	20.7%	28.9%	24.0%	24.3%
Two trips	22.8%	24.6%	20.9%	24.6%	17.3%	24.0%
Three or four trips	17.0%	15.4%	15.9%	17.4%	18.1%	15.6%
Five or more trips	8.7%	10.0%	14.5%	4.2%	3.3%	13.3%
Unsure	3.8%	4.0%	1.4%	1.4%	6.7%	2.6%
Unweighted Freq	516	222	58	69	59	33
Weighted Freq	520.0	221.0	59.0	71.0	60.0	33.0


Results broken out by ethnicity (2 of 2)

Response	Ethnicity				
	Total	Middle Eastern	Jewish	Latino	Multiracial, Indigenous or another background
None	21.3%	10.1%	21.9%	22.3%	19.7%
One trip	26.4%	21.5%	22.5%	30.0%	29.4%
Two trips	22.8%	28.1%	11.1%	29.3%	16.2%
Three or four trips	17.0%	29.2%	22.3%	6.5%	25.2%
Five or more trips	8.7%	5.0%	11.1%	6.5%	6.6%
Unsure	3.8%	6.1%	11.1%	5.4%	2.8%
Unweighted Freq	516	18*	9*	17*	31
Weighted Freq	520.0	19.0	10.0	18.0	31.0

n.a. = not available in the supplied results. * Unweighted subgroup base below 30; interpret cautiously.

In the past seven days, on how many days did traffic congestion or a TTC delay cause you to arrive late for work, school, an appointment, or another commitment?

Respondents who drove or took the TTC in the past seven days | n = 818



Figures may not sum to 100% due to rounding.

In the past seven days, on how many days did traffic congestion or a TTC delay cause you to arrive late for work, school, an appointment, or another commitment?

Respondents who drove or took the TTC in the past seven days | n = 818

Results broken out by gender

Response	Gender			
	Total	Female	Male	Other
None	37.3%	36.6%	38.2%	34.9%
One day	27.5%	26.7%	28.0%	34.3%
Two days	18.0%	19.1%	16.8%	17.5%
Three or four days	10.0%	11.1%	9.2%	5.1%
Five or more days	4.6%	4.8%	4.4%	4.2%
Unsure	2.6%	1.7%	3.4%	4.2%
Unweighted Freq	818	402	393	23*
Weighted Freq	819.0	404.0	393.0	23.0

Results broken out by age

Response	Age				
	Total	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+
None	37.3%	35.9%	37.2%	40.2%	36.2%
One day	27.5%	26.6%	29.5%	25.7%	28.1%
Two days	18.0%	19.5%	17.4%	16.5%	18.5%
Three or four days	10.0%	12.0%	9.8%	7.9%	10.1%
Five or more days	4.6%	4.3%	4.2%	5.1%	4.8%
Unsure	2.6%	1.7%	1.9%	4.6%	2.3%
Unweighted Freq	818	215	207	198	198
Weighted Freq	819.0	218.0	210.0	198.0	193.0

n.a. = not available in the supplied results. * Unweighted subgroup base below 30; interpret cautiously.

In the past seven days, on how many days did traffic congestion or a TTC delay cause you to arrive late for work, school, an appointment, or another commitment?

Respondents who drove or took the TTC in the past seven days | n = 818

Results broken out by education

Response	Education			
	Total	High school or less	College or trades certificate	University degree
None	37.3%	38.3%	39.4%	35.6%
One day	27.5%	22.9%	32.7%	27.9%
Two days	18.0%	19.4%	13.3%	19.5%
Three or four days	10.0%	10.9%	6.3%	11.3%
Five or more days	4.6%	4.6%	5.3%	4.2%
Unsure	2.6%	3.9%	3.1%	1.5%
Unweighted Freq	818	258	226	334
Weighted Freq	819.0	246.0	194.0	380.0

Results broken out by income

Response	Income					
	Total	Under \$50,000	\$50,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$149,999	\$150,000 or more	Prefer not to say
None	37.3%	45.3%	33.7%	36.4%	40.2%	35.2%
One day	27.5%	31.3%	32.0%	22.4%	22.3%	36.4%
Two days	18.0%	12.3%	14.8%	21.0%	21.4%	22.1%
Three or four days	10.0%	7.6%	11.2%	12.4%	8.2%	2.4%
Five or more days	4.6%	2.6%	5.1%	4.4%	6.2%	1.8%
Unsure	2.6%	0.9%	3.2%	3.3%	1.7%	2.1%
Unweighted Freq	818	107	278	228	160	45
Weighted Freq	819.0	105.0	273.0	232.0	164.0	46.0

n.a. = not available in the supplied results. * Unweighted subgroup base below 30; interpret cautiously.

In the past seven days, on how many days did traffic congestion or a TTC delay cause you to arrive late for work, school, an appointment, or another commitment?

Respondents who drove or took the TTC in the past seven days | n = 818

Results broken out by region

Region					
Response	Total	Downtown	Etobicoke	North York	Scarborough
None	37.3%	38.3%	35.9%	38.7%	36.1%
One day	27.5%	24.5%	31.1%	28.3%	27.1%
Two days	18.0%	16.9%	18.1%	15.3%	21.2%
Three or four days	10.0%	13.9%	5.3%	10.0%	9.6%
Five or more days	4.6%	4.4%	7.4%	3.0%	4.5%
Unsure	2.6%	2.0%	2.1%	4.6%	1.5%
Unweighted Freq	818	195	148	227	248
Weighted Freq	819.0	199.0	146.0	229.0	246.0

n.a. = not available in the supplied results. * Unweighted subgroup base below 30; interpret cautiously.

In the past seven days, on how many days did traffic congestion or a TTC delay cause you to arrive late for work, school, an appointment, or another commitment?

Respondents who drove or took the TTC in the past seven days | n = 818

Results broken out by ethnicity (1 of 2)

Response	Ethnicity					
	Total	White	Black	East Asian	South Asian	Southeast Asian
None	37.3%	34.9%	35.4%	43.4%	41.3%	40.1%
One day	27.5%	28.0%	32.3%	30.4%	23.4%	23.2%
Two days	18.0%	19.9%	19.9%	12.8%	18.0%	19.7%
Three or four days	10.0%	9.8%	7.4%	8.3%	9.5%	10.0%
Five or more days	4.6%	5.3%	2.7%	4.1%	5.4%	3.5%
Unsure	2.6%	2.2%	2.2%	0.9%	2.4%	3.4%
Unweighted Freq	818	357	82	99	105	53
Weighted Freq	819.0	353.0	82.0	101.0	106.0	54.0

Results broken out by ethnicity (2 of 2)

Response	Ethnicity				
	Total	Middle Eastern	Jewish	Latino	Multiracial, Indigenous or another background
None	37.3%	38.1%	51.3%	43.4%	23.1%
One day	27.5%	26.2%	15.8%	22.6%	32.9%
Two days	18.0%	12.8%	10.9%	8.0%	22.0%
Three or four days	10.0%	12.7%	11.0%	18.7%	13.6%
Five or more days	4.6%	6.4%	5.5%	3.9%	1.9%
Unsure	2.6%	3.9%	5.5%	3.3%	6.5%
Unweighted Freq	818	29*	19*	28*	46
Weighted Freq	819.0	29.0	21.0	29.0	45.0

n.a. = not available in the supplied results. * Unweighted subgroup base below 30; interpret cautiously.