



Toronto Survey

Field Dates: October 14-16th, 2026 | N = 894 residents (18+)

Each ward weighted by gender, age & education to its own census profile



**MAINSTREET
RESEARCH**

mainstreetresearch.ca | info@mainstreetresearch.ca

Survey Methodology


About Mainstreet Research

Founded in 2010, Mainstreet Research is recognized as one of Canada's top public opinion and market research firms. Since our founding, we have been providing actionable and data-driven insights to our clients to help them make their most important evidence-based strategic decisions. Mainstreet has an impressive track record in accurately forecasting election results in Canada and the United States and has become a trusted source for comprehensive market research, analysis and advice. Our insights are found in major media outlets across the country. Our diverse team has decades of experience in conducting both quantitative and qualitative research, ranging from broad national surveys, to focus groups, to membership surveys, and all points in between. Mainstreet Research is a proud corporate member of CRIC and exceeds all Canadian and international standards for market research and public opinion research.

Methodology

The analysis in this report is based on results of a survey conducted October 14-16th, 2026, among a sample of 894 adults, 18 years of age or older, living in three City of Toronto wards. The survey was conducted using Interactive Voice Response (IVR). Respondents were interviewed on landlines and cellular phones. The survey is intended to represent the voting population in three City of Toronto wards. The wards are Ward 4 (Parkdale-High Park), Ward 10 (Spadina-Fort York) and Ward 25 (Scarborough-Rouge Park). Each ward was weighted separately, by gender, age & education to that ward's own 2021 Census profile, and each ward keeps its own sample size in the combined results. Each ward's councillor questions were asked only of that ward's respondents. The margin of error for each ward, at the 95% confidence level, is: Ward 4, +/- 6.1% (n=262); Ward 10, +/- 5.7% (n=292); Ward 25, +/- 5.3% (n=340). Margins of error are higher in each subsample. Totals may not add up 100% due to rounding. This poll was commissioned by and is the exclusive property of Mainstreet Research. Any reproduction, in whole or in part of this report or the data contained herein is expressly prohibited without written authorization by Mainstreet Research.

Ward 4 (Parkdale-High Park): In your local councillor race of Ward 4, which candidate are you likely to vote for or are leaning towards?



Ward 4 (Parkdale-High Park): In your local councillor race of Ward 4, which candidate are you likely to vote for or are leaning towards?

Results broken out by gender and age

Response	Total	Gender			Age		
		Male	Female	Non-binary	18-49	50-64	65+
Nadia Guerrero	35.2%	36.1%	37.5%	0.0%	35.7%	39.0%	31.9%
Debbie King	3.3%	1.5%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	6.8%
Diana Chan McNally	29.2%	31.4%	29.9%	0.0%	21.4%	36.9%	30.0%
Bridget Ogundipe	0.4%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%
Adam Pham	15.5%	15.9%	11.9%	50.0%	14.3%	12.0%	18.9%
Vanessa Raponi	3.4%	1.0%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%	7.2%	3.3%
Holly Weber	3.4%	6.6%	0.9%	0.0%	7.1%	2.4%	1.1%
No preferred candidate	9.5%	7.5%	7.7%	50.0%	21.4%	0.0%	6.8%
Unweighted Freq	262	104	154	4	28	64	170
Weighted Freq	262	119	131	12	84	75	103


Results broken out by education and income

Response	Total	Education			Income			
		Highschool or less	College	University	<\$50k	\$50k-\$75k	\$75k-\$100k	\$100k+
Nadia Guerrero	35.2%	45.4%	32.4%	33.2%	22.1%	43.4%	26.3%	25.7%
Debbie King	3.3%	7.7%	0.0%	3.3%	4.5%	2.1%	0.0%	3.7%
Diana Chan McNally	29.2%	13.1%	20.6%	37.2%	25.9%	28.4%	54.3%	32.6%
Bridget Ogundipe	0.4%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Adam Pham	15.5%	13.1%	14.3%	16.6%	33.2%	15.0%	10.5%	6.6%
Vanessa Raponi	3.4%	0.0%	8.3%	2.6%	3.5%	0.0%	8.9%	4.7%
Holly Weber	3.4%	0.0%	10.3%	1.9%	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%	3.8%
No preferred candidate	9.5%	20.8%	12.3%	5.3%	9.0%	0.0%	0.0%	23.0%
Unweighted Freq	262	28	54	180	64	52	54	66
Weighted Freq	262	46	58	158	65	54	33	78

Results broken out by race

Race									
Response	Total	White	Black	East Asian	Latino	Middle Eastern	Southeast Asian	First Nations	Other
Nadia Guerrero	35.2%	28.4%	8.0%	46.4%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	26.1%
Debbie King	3.3%	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Diana Chan McNally	29.2%	34.6%	92.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.1%
Bridget Ogundipe	0.4%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Adam Pham	15.5%	19.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	15.7%
Vanessa Raponi	3.4%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Holly Weber	3.4%	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
No preferred candidate	9.5%	2.7%	0.0%	53.6%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	53.2%
Unweighted Freq	262	192	8	10	2	2	2	2	18
Weighted Freq	262	177	14	11	1	1	2	1	23

Ward 4 (Parkdale-High Park): And regardless of who you indicated you might vote for above, who do you think would be the best councillor to represent Ward 4 on Toronto City council?



Ward 4 (Parkdale-High Park): And regardless of who you indicated you might vote for above, who do you think would be the best councillor to represent Ward 4 on Toronto City council?

Results broken out by gender and age

Response	Total	Gender			Age		
		Male	Female	Non-binary	18-49	50-64	65+
Nadia Guerrero	37.9%	41.2%	38.4%	0.0%	42.9%	39.0%	33.1%
Debbie King	5.6%	1.5%	5.3%	50.0%	7.1%	2.4%	6.8%
Diana Chan McNally	33.1%	32.9%	36.3%	0.0%	28.6%	36.9%	34.0%
Bridget Ogundipe	1.5%	1.0%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.9%
Adam Pham	12.7%	15.9%	11.0%	0.0%	7.1%	12.0%	17.8%
Vanessa Raponi	3.4%	1.0%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%	7.2%	3.3%
Holly Weber	5.7%	6.6%	0.9%	50.0%	14.3%	2.4%	1.1%
Unweighted Freq	262	104	154	4	28	64	170
Weighted Freq	262	119	131	12	84	75	103


Results broken out by education and income

Response	Total	Education			Income			
		Highschool or less	College	University	<\$50k	\$50k-\$75k	\$75k-\$100k	\$100k+
Nadia Guerrero	37.9%	45.4%	44.7%	33.2%	25.6%	43.4%	22.8%	33.3%
Debbie King	5.6%	20.8%	0.0%	3.3%	13.8%	2.1%	0.0%	3.7%
Diana Chan McNally	33.1%	17.0%	22.5%	41.7%	30.4%	28.4%	57.8%	38.8%
Bridget Ogundipe	1.5%	3.8%	2.0%	0.7%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%
Adam Pham	12.7%	0.0%	12.3%	16.6%	22.1%	15.0%	10.5%	6.6%
Vanessa Raponi	3.4%	0.0%	8.3%	2.6%	3.5%	0.0%	8.9%	4.7%
Holly Weber	5.7%	13.1%	10.3%	1.9%	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%	11.4%
Unweighted Freq	262	28	54	180	64	52	54	66
Weighted Freq	262	46	58	158	65	54	33	78

Results broken out by race

Race									
Response	Total	White	Black	East Asian	Latino	Middle Eastern	Southeast Asian	First Nations	Other
Nadia Guerrero	37.9%	29.1%	0.0%	46.4%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	52.6%
Debbie King	5.6%	7.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Diana Chan McNally	33.1%	35.6%	100.0%	53.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.1%
Bridget Ogundipe	1.5%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Adam Pham	12.7%	15.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	15.7%
Vanessa Raponi	3.4%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Holly Weber	5.7%	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	26.6%
Unweighted Freq	262	192	8	10	2	2	2	2	18
Weighted Freq	262	177	14	11	1	1	2	1	23

Ward 10 (Spadina-Fort York): In your local councillor race of Ward 10, which candidate are you likely to vote for or are leaning towards?



Ward 10 (Spadina-Fort York): In your local councillor race of Ward 10, which candidate are you likely to vote for or are leaning towards?

Results broken out by gender and age

Response	Total	Gender			Age		
		Male	Female	Non-binary	18-49	50-64	65+
Ian Cunningham	39.1%	44.0%	30.5%	100.0%	58.3%	31.1%	12.4%
Xue Ding	1.6%	1.2%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	3.9%	2.5%
Andi Hoàng-Lefranc	0.7%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%
Bashar Kassir	1.6%	0.0%	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.9%	2.5%
Ausma Malik	37.6%	36.3%	40.4%	0.0%	33.3%	21.3%	57.0%
Paul Nash	2.9%	2.4%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%	5.0%
Husain Neemuchwala	3.2%	0.0%	8.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.8%
No preferred candidate	13.4%	14.9%	11.3%	0.0%	8.3%	29.7%	9.9%
Unweighted Freq	292	144	144	4	48	96	148
Weighted Freq	292	175	115	2	144	63	85


Results broken out by education and income

Response	Total	Education			Income			
		Highschool or less	College	University	<\$50k	\$50k-\$75k	\$75k-\$100k	\$100k+
Ian Cunningham	39.1%	32.1%	32.9%	42.0%	15.0%	60.1%	13.0%	41.8%
Xue Ding	1.6%	0.0%	4.0%	1.2%	5.9%	0.0%	4.1%	0.0%
Andi Hoàng-Lefranc	0.7%	0.0%	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Bashar Kassir	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%	5.9%	0.0%	4.1%	0.0%
Ausma Malik	37.6%	11.3%	47.0%	40.1%	39.9%	26.6%	56.8%	38.5%
Paul Nash	2.9%	0.0%	8.0%	2.1%	0.0%	6.7%	4.1%	1.8%
Husain Neemuchwala	3.2%	24.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	17.9%	0.0%
No preferred candidate	13.4%	32.1%	4.0%	12.4%	33.4%	6.7%	0.0%	17.9%
Unweighted Freq	292	20	62	210	60	64	64	88
Weighted Freq	292	37	52	202	42	63	51	116

Results broken out by race

Race									
Response	Total	White	Black	East Asian	Latino	Middle Eastern	South Asian	Southeast Asian	Other
Ian Cunningham	39.1%	40.3%	23.0%	7.5%	14.9%	0.0%	33.3%	100.0%	77.4%
Xue Ding	1.6%	1.5%	0.0%	7.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Andi Hoàng-Lefranc	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Bashar Kassir	1.6%	1.3%	27.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ausma Malik	37.6%	29.0%	50.0%	85.1%	0.0%	100.0%	66.7%	0.0%	22.6%
Paul Nash	2.9%	5.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Husain Neemuchwala	3.2%	5.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
No preferred candidate	13.4%	16.8%	0.0%	0.0%	85.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unweighted Freq	292	196	16	16	8	4	12	4	16
Weighted Freq	292	161	9	28	14	2	36	2	19

Ward 10 (Spadina-Fort York): And regardless of who you indicated you might vote for above, who do you think would be the best councillor to represent Ward 10 on Toronto City council?



Ward 10 (Spadina-Fort York): And regardless of who you indicated you might vote for above, who do you think would be the best councillor to represent Ward 10 on Toronto City council?

Results broken out by gender and age

		Gender			Age		
Response	Total	Male	Female	Non-binary	18-49	50-64	65+
Ian Cunningham	37.2%	35.9%	38.1%	100.0%	50.0%	31.6%	19.8%
Xue Ding	5.7%	8.1%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	23.0%	2.5%
Bashar Kassir	3.0%	1.2%	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%	7.3%	5.0%
Ausma Malik	41.7%	43.1%	40.4%	0.0%	41.7%	21.3%	57.0%
Paul Nash	9.2%	11.7%	5.5%	0.0%	8.3%	16.8%	5.0%
Husain Neemuchwala	3.2%	0.0%	8.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.8%
Unweighted Freq	292	144	144	4	48	96	148
Weighted Freq	292	175	115	2	144	63	85


Results broken out by education and income

		Education			Income			
Response	Total	Highschool or less	College	University	<\$50k	\$50k-\$75k	\$75k-\$100k	\$100k+
Ian Cunningham	37.2%	0.0%	32.9%	45.3%	15.0%	60.1%	13.0%	47.6%
Xue Ding	5.7%	32.1%	4.0%	1.2%	34.3%	0.0%	4.1%	0.0%
Bashar Kassir	3.0%	0.0%	4.0%	3.3%	10.8%	0.0%	4.1%	0.0%
Ausma Malik	41.7%	43.3%	47.0%	40.1%	34.9%	29.9%	56.8%	38.5%
Paul Nash	9.2%	0.0%	12.1%	10.1%	5.0%	10.0%	4.1%	13.9%
Husain Neemuchwala	3.2%	24.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	17.9%	0.0%
Unweighted Freq	292	20	62	210	60	64	64	88
Weighted Freq	292	37	52	202	42	63	51	116

Results broken out by race

Race									
Response	Total	White	Black	East Asian	Latino	Middle Eastern	South Asian	Southeast Asian	Other
Ian Cunningham	37.2%	45.8%	23.0%	0.0%	14.9%	0.0%	33.3%	100.0%	77.4%
Xue Ding	5.7%	1.5%	0.0%	7.5%	85.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Bashar Kassir	3.0%	2.6%	27.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ausma Malik	41.7%	29.0%	50.0%	85.1%	0.0%	100.0%	66.7%	0.0%	22.6%
Paul Nash	9.2%	15.3%	0.0%	7.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Husain Neemuchwala	3.2%	5.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unweighted Freq	292	196	16	16	8	4	12	4	16
Weighted Freq	292	161	9	28	14	2	36	2	19

Ward 25 (Scarborough-Rouge Park): In your local councillor race of Ward 25, which candidate are you likely to vote for or are leaning towards?



Shawn Allen Dharshan Casinathen Jannette Lumley Zakir Patel Neethan Shan Another candidate

Ward 25 (Scarborough-Rouge Park): In your local councillor race of Ward 25, which candidate are you likely to vote for or are leaning towards?

Results broken out by gender and age

Response	Total	Gender			Age		
		Male	Female	Non-binary	18-49	50-64	65+
Shawn Allen	37.1%	47.8%	26.1%	79.2%	41.6%	43.9%	32.7%
Dharshan Casinathen	5.7%	2.5%	8.2%	0.0%	0.0%	6.0%	7.2%
Jannette Lumley	1.3%	1.8%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%
Zakir Patel	11.6%	5.3%	16.7%	0.0%	16.8%	13.4%	9.3%
Neethan Shan	29.4%	28.6%	31.8%	10.4%	41.6%	30.8%	25.2%
Another candidate	15.0%	14.1%	16.1%	10.4%	0.0%	5.9%	23.4%
Unweighted Freq	340	124	196	20	20	80	240
Weighted Freq	340	121	198	21	58	85	197


Results broken out by education and income

Response	Total	Education			Income			
		Highschool or less	College	University	<\$50k	\$50k-\$75k	\$75k-\$100k	\$100k+
Shawn Allen	37.1%	25.0%	47.6%	37.7%	50.3%	35.2%	27.5%	34.3%
Dharshan Casinathen	5.7%	12.5%	5.1%	1.5%	0.0%	4.0%	5.1%	1.8%
Jannette Lumley	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%	3.6%
Zakir Patel	11.6%	12.5%	5.0%	15.7%	15.0%	0.0%	7.2%	10.7%
Neethan Shan	29.4%	37.5%	20.5%	30.2%	18.3%	49.0%	38.5%	27.1%
Another candidate	15.0%	12.5%	21.8%	11.9%	16.4%	11.9%	18.1%	22.6%
Unweighted Freq	340	32	120	188	80	68	68	76
Weighted Freq	340	96	101	143	112	54	59	60

Results broken out by race

Race								
Response	Total	White	Black	East Asian	Middle Eastern	South Asian	Southeast Asian	Other
Shawn Allen	37.1%	47.1%	25.8%	0.0%	50.0%	59.1%	0.0%	46.2%
Dharshan Casinathen	5.7%	3.6%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Jannette Lumley	1.3%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Zakir Patel	11.6%	1.5%	9.6%	65.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	3.8%
Neethan Shan	29.4%	25.9%	43.1%	11.7%	0.0%	40.9%	50.0%	33.2%
Another candidate	15.0%	18.9%	19.1%	23.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.8%
Unweighted Freq	340	128	56	20	8	16	8	56
Weighted Freq	340	142	44	18	4	10	10	55

Ward 25 (Scarborough-Rouge Park): And regardless of who you indicated you might vote for above, who do you think would be the best councillor to represent Ward 25 on Toronto City council?



Ward 25 (Scarborough-Rouge Park): And regardless of who you indicated you might vote for above, who do you think would be the best councillor to represent Ward 25 on Toronto City council?

Results broken out by gender and age

Response	Total	Gender			Age		
		Male	Female	Non-binary	18-49	50-64	65+
Shawn Allen	37.7%	37.9%	32.2%	89.6%	20.8%	43.9%	39.9%
Dharshan Casinathen	2.1%	2.5%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	6.0%	1.1%
Ashan Fernando	5.6%	1.8%	8.6%	0.0%	0.0%	5.9%	7.2%
Jannette Lumley	1.3%	1.8%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%
Zakir Patel	12.9%	7.0%	17.8%	0.0%	16.8%	13.4%	11.5%
Neethan Shan	28.5%	30.4%	29.3%	10.4%	41.6%	24.9%	26.3%
Another candidate	11.9%	18.7%	9.0%	0.0%	20.8%	5.9%	11.9%
Unweighted Freq	340	124	196	20	20	80	240
Weighted Freq	340	121	198	21	58	85	197


Results broken out by education and income

Response	Total	Education			Income			
		Highschool or less	College	University	<\$50k	\$50k-\$75k	\$75k-\$100k	\$100k+
Shawn Allen	37.7%	37.5%	39.9%	36.2%	50.3%	31.2%	31.1%	37.8%
Dharshan Casinathen	2.1%	0.0%	5.1%	1.5%	0.0%	4.0%	5.1%	1.8%
Ashan Fernando	5.6%	12.5%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%	8.4%
Jannette Lumley	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%	3.6%
Zakir Patel	12.9%	12.5%	9.2%	15.7%	10.5%	13.3%	7.2%	14.2%
Neethan Shan	28.5%	37.5%	13.4%	33.2%	20.2%	39.6%	38.5%	23.6%
Another candidate	11.9%	0.0%	25.3%	10.4%	19.0%	11.9%	10.9%	10.7%
Unweighted Freq	340	32	120	188	80	68	68	76
Weighted Freq	340	96	101	143	112	54	59	60

Results broken out by race

Race								
Response	Total	White	Black	East Asian	Middle Eastern	South Asian	Southeast Asian	Other
Shawn Allen	37.7%	48.6%	21.0%	11.7%	50.0%	59.1%	0.0%	46.2%
Dharshan Casinathen	2.1%	3.6%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ashan Fernando	5.6%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%
Jannette Lumley	1.3%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Zakir Patel	12.9%	1.5%	25.7%	65.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%
Neethan Shan	28.5%	25.9%	36.6%	11.7%	0.0%	40.9%	50.0%	29.4%
Another candidate	11.9%	15.9%	14.4%	11.7%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	7.7%
Unweighted Freq	340	128	56	20	8	16	8	56
Weighted Freq	340	142	44	18	4	10	10	55

And in the Mayoral contest, how would you vote or are leaning towards voting for Mayor if the election were today? [Wards 4, 10 and 25 only]



Olivia Chow Brad Bradford Odessa Paloma Parker Sarah McVie Someone else

And in the Mayoral contest, how would you vote or are leaning towards voting for Mayor if the election were today? [Wards 4, 10 and 25 only]

Results broken out by gender and age

Response	Total	Gender			Age		
		Male	Female	Non-binary	18-49	50-64	65+
Olivia Chow	52.1%	53.9%	51.3%	40.8%	50.4%	59.3%	49.2%
Brad Bradford	44.9%	45.6%	44.9%	35.7%	47.5%	38.8%	46.4%
Odessa Paloma Parker	0.9%	0.0%	0.5%	17.3%	2.1%	0.0%	0.6%
Sarah McVie	0.2%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%
Someone else	1.9%	0.5%	2.8%	6.1%	0.0%	0.9%	3.8%
Unweighted Freq	894	372	494	28	96	240	558
Weighted Freq	894	415	445	35	286	223	385

Results broken out by education and income

Response	Total	Education			Income			
		Highschool or less	College	University	<\$50k	\$50k-\$75k	\$75k-\$100k	\$100k+
Olivia Chow	52.1%	46.3%	40.8%	59.0%	49.0%	44.0%	64.1%	57.8%
Brad Bradford	44.9%	49.4%	54.2%	39.3%	46.0%	56.0%	30.7%	41.3%
Odessa Paloma Parker	0.9%	3.3%	1.0%	0.0%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sarah McVie	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%
Someone else	1.9%	1.0%	4.0%	1.3%	1.3%	0.0%	3.8%	0.8%
Unweighted Freq	894	80	236	578	204	184	186	230
Weighted Freq	894	179	212	503	219	171	143	255

Results broken out by race


Response	Total	Race								
		White	Black	East Asian	Latino	Middle Eastern	South Asian	Southeast Asian	First Nations	Other
Olivia Chow	52.1%	49.6%	75.2%	69.0%	78.7%	43.3%	56.3%	12.7%	100.0%	48.1%
Brad Bradford	44.9%	47.9%	21.6%	31.0%	21.3%	28.3%	39.1%	87.3%	0.0%	49.7%
Odessa Paloma Parker	0.9%	1.3%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sarah McVie	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	28.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Someone else	1.9%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%
Unweighted Freq	894	516	80	46	10	14	28	14	2	90
Weighted Freq	894	480	68	58	15	8	46	14	1	97

Results broken out by ward

Ward				
Response	Total	Ward 4	Ward 10	Ward 25
Olivia Chow	52.1%	62.5%	54.2%	42.4%
Brad Bradford	44.9%	33.7%	45.1%	53.2%
Odessa Paloma Parker	0.9%	2.3%	0.0%	0.6%
Sarah McVie	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%
Someone else	1.9%	1.5%	0.7%	3.1%
Unweighted Freq	894	262	292	340
Weighted Freq	894	262	292	340

n=894 · All respondents

And again, regardless of who you indicated you might vote for above for Mayor, who do you think would be the best Mayor to stand up for residents of your ward?
[Wards 4, 10 and 25 only]



Olivia Chow Brad Bradford Odessa Paloma Parker Sarah McVie Someone else Undecided

And again, regardless of who you indicated you might vote for above for Mayor, who do you think would be the best Mayor to stand up for residents of your ward?
[Wards 4, 10 and 25 only]

Results broken out by gender and age

Response	Total	Gender			Age		
		Male	Female	Non-binary	18-49	50-64	65+
Olivia Chow	49.1%	44.7%	53.8%	40.8%	42.0%	53.8%	51.6%
Brad Bradford	45.1%	49.0%	42.2%	35.7%	49.6%	39.4%	45.1%
Odessa Paloma Parker	2.3%	2.9%	0.6%	17.3%	6.3%	1.1%	0.0%
Sarah McVie	0.5%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.6%
Someone else	2.8%	2.9%	2.5%	6.1%	2.1%	3.8%	2.8%
Undecided	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%
Unweighted Freq	894	372	494	28	96	240	558
Weighted Freq	894	415	445	35	286	223	385

Results broken out by education and income

Response	Total	Education			Income			
		Highschool or less	College	University	<\$50k	\$50k-\$75k	\$75k-\$100k	\$100k+
Olivia Chow	49.1%	53.9%	35.9%	52.9%	53.5%	40.5%	60.1%	46.6%
Brad Bradford	45.1%	36.0%	58.2%	42.8%	42.3%	58.3%	33.0%	47.7%
Odessa Paloma Parker	2.3%	10.0%	0.0%	0.5%	2.7%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%
Sarah McVie	0.5%	0.0%	1.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	0.8%
Someone else	2.8%	0.0%	4.8%	3.0%	1.5%	0.0%	3.8%	4.9%
Undecided	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Unweighted Freq	894	80	236	578	204	184	186	230
Weighted Freq	894	179	212	503	219	171	143	255

Results broken out by race


Race										
Response	Total	White	Black	East Asian	Latino	Middle Eastern	South Asian	Southeast Asian	First Nations	Other
Olivia Chow	49.1%	47.7%	78.4%	42.5%	78.7%	43.3%	56.3%	12.7%	100.0%	39.7%
Brad Bradford	45.1%	48.3%	18.5%	57.5%	21.3%	28.3%	39.1%	87.3%	0.0%	49.7%
Odessa Paloma Parker	2.3%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sarah McVie	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	28.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%
Someone else	2.8%	1.8%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%	8.4%
Undecided	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Unweighted Freq	894	516	80	46	10	14	28	14	2	90
Weighted Freq	894	480	68	58	15	8	46	14	1	97

Results broken out by ward

Ward				
Response	Total	Ward 4	Ward 10	Ward 25
Olivia Chow	49.1%	53.1%	49.1%	45.9%
Brad Bradford	45.1%	40.7%	43.6%	49.7%
Odessa Paloma Parker	2.3%	2.3%	5.0%	0.0%
Sarah McVie	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%
Someone else	2.8%	3.9%	1.6%	3.1%
Undecided	0.2%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%
Unweighted Freq	894	262	292	340
Weighted Freq	894	262	292	340

n=894 · All respondents

And would you say the City of Toronto overall is on the right track or the wrong track? [Wards 4, 10 and 25 only]



Wrong track Right track Don't know

And would you say the City of Toronto overall is on the right track or the wrong track? [Wards 4, 10 and 25 only]

Results broken out by gender and age

Response	Total	Gender			Age		
		Male	Female	Non-binary	18-49	50-64	65+
Wrong track	39.7%	39.1%	38.6%	59.2%	45.4%	32.5%	39.5%
Right track	43.2%	48.5%	41.5%	0.0%	44.1%	44.5%	41.7%
Don't know	17.2%	12.4%	19.8%	40.8%	10.5%	23.0%	18.8%
Unweighted Freq	894	372	494	28	96	240	558
Weighted Freq	894	415	445	35	286	223	385

Results broken out by education and income

Response	Total	Education			Income			
		Highschool or less	College	University	<\$50k	\$50k-\$75k	\$75k-\$100k	\$100k+
Wrong track	39.7%	26.2%	36.5%	45.8%	26.6%	44.3%	30.5%	52.9%
Right track	43.2%	52.9%	37.1%	42.2%	37.8%	39.8%	55.6%	40.8%
Don't know	17.2%	20.9%	26.3%	12.0%	35.6%	15.9%	13.9%	6.3%
Unweighted Freq	894	80	236	578	204	184	186	230
Weighted Freq	894	179	212	503	219	171	143	255

Results broken out by race


Response	Total	Race								
		White	Black	East Asian	Latino	Middle Eastern	South Asian	Southeast Asian	First Nations	Other
Wrong track	39.7%	41.7%	14.7%	34.7%	21.3%	28.3%	43.7%	15.1%	0.0%	57.1%
Right track	43.2%	41.2%	67.1%	61.4%	78.7%	71.7%	56.3%	0.0%	0.0%	10.6%
Don't know	17.2%	17.1%	18.2%	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	84.9%	100.0%	32.3%
Unweighted Freq	894	516	80	46	10	14	28	14	2	90
Weighted Freq	894	480	68	58	15	8	46	14	1	97

Results broken out by ward

Ward				
Response	Total	Ward 4	Ward 10	Ward 25
Wrong track	39.7%	35.8%	49.0%	34.7%
Right track	43.2%	47.3%	48.0%	35.8%
Don't know	17.2%	17.0%	3.0%	29.5%
Unweighted Freq	894	262	292	340
Weighted Freq	894	262	292	340

n=894 · All respondents

And who do you think is more responsible for your previous answer, whether you said right track or wrong track? [Wards 4, 10 and 25 only]



Mostly the Mayor Mostly the councillor Equally

And who do you think is more responsible for your previous answer, whether you said right track or wrong track? [Wards 4, 10 and 25 only]

Results broken out by gender and age

Response	Total	Gender			Age		
		Male	Female	Non-binary	18-49	50-64	65+
Mostly the Mayor	47.1%	49.2%	47.3%	18.4%	51.7%	48.2%	43.0%
Mostly the councillor	10.6%	6.8%	13.6%	17.3%	6.3%	10.1%	14.1%
Equally	42.4%	44.1%	39.0%	64.3%	42.0%	41.7%	43.0%
Unweighted Freq	894	372	494	28	96	240	558
Weighted Freq	894	415	445	35	286	223	385

Results broken out by education and income

Response	Total	Education			Income			
		Highschool or less	College	University	<\$50k	\$50k-\$75k	\$75k-\$100k	\$100k+
Mostly the Mayor	47.1%	18.2%	49.1%	56.5%	27.6%	52.8%	71.2%	57.4%
Mostly the councillor	10.6%	24.4%	10.4%	5.7%	10.6%	11.9%	6.7%	5.7%
Equally	42.4%	57.4%	40.5%	37.7%	61.8%	35.3%	22.1%	36.9%
Unweighted Freq	894	80	236	578	204	184	186	230
Weighted Freq	894	179	212	503	219	171	143	255

Results broken out by race



Response	Total	Race								
		White	Black	East Asian	Latino	Middle Eastern	South Asian	Southeast Asian	First Nations	Other
Mostly the Mayor	47.1%	50.6%	47.1%	60.5%	13.8%	43.6%	69.6%	63.9%	0.0%	42.1%
Mostly the councillor	10.6%	10.6%	13.3%	5.6%	0.0%	28.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%
Equally	42.4%	38.8%	39.6%	33.9%	86.2%	28.1%	30.4%	36.1%	100.0%	55.7%
Unweighted Freq	894	516	80	46	10	14	28	14	2	90
Weighted Freq	894	480	68	58	15	8	46	14	1	97

Results broken out by ward

Ward				
Response	Total	Ward 4	Ward 10	Ward 25
Mostly the Mayor	47.1%	43.9%	58.2%	40.0%
Mostly the councillor	10.6%	16.2%	3.6%	12.2%
Equally	42.4%	39.9%	38.2%	47.8%
Unweighted Freq	894	262	292	340
Weighted Freq	894	262	292	340

n=894 · All respondents

What issue overall is of greatest concern for you when thinking about how you might vote for Mayor? [Wards 4, 10 and 25 only]



What issue overall is of greatest concern for you when thinking about how you might vote for Mayor? [Wards 4, 10 and 25 only]

Results broken out by gender and age

Response	Total	Gender			Age		
		Male	Female	Non-binary	18-49	50-64	65+
Affordability	28.1%	23.5%	31.4%	40.8%	32.8%	32.0%	22.4%
Services for seniors	19.1%	8.7%	30.2%	0.0%	4.2%	13.1%	33.6%
Crime and safety	10.9%	10.1%	10.2%	29.6%	8.4%	14.7%	10.6%
Jobs and the economy	4.7%	5.8%	4.0%	0.0%	8.4%	4.8%	1.9%
Transportation & congestion	14.2%	17.1%	12.1%	6.1%	16.8%	14.2%	12.2%
Transit	16.5%	24.7%	8.8%	17.3%	25.2%	13.4%	11.8%
Infrastructure	6.6%	10.1%	3.3%	6.1%	4.2%	7.9%	7.6%
Unweighted Freq	894	372	494	28	96	240	558
Weighted Freq	894	415	445	35	286	223	385

Results broken out by education and income

Response	Total	Education			Income			
		Highschool or less	College	University	<\$50k	\$50k-\$75k	\$75k-\$100k	\$100k+
Affordability	28.1%	22.1%	41.3%	24.8%	47.8%	29.9%	18.6%	23.8%
Services for seniors	19.1%	39.2%	20.2%	11.4%	26.2%	18.4%	27.2%	3.1%
Crime and safety	10.9%	5.5%	9.9%	13.3%	5.2%	19.4%	5.2%	11.6%
Jobs and the economy	4.7%	1.0%	1.0%	7.6%	0.0%	2.3%	1.5%	11.7%
Transportation & congestion	14.2%	10.0%	11.3%	16.8%	5.2%	14.5%	23.0%	13.5%
Transit	16.5%	14.4%	11.3%	19.4%	13.1%	14.3%	17.7%	26.2%
Infrastructure	6.6%	7.9%	4.9%	6.8%	2.4%	1.2%	6.7%	10.1%
Unweighted Freq	894	80	236	578	204	184	186	230
Weighted Freq	894	179	212	503	219	171	143	255

Results broken out by race

Race										
Response	Total	White	Black	East Asian	Latino	Middle Eastern	South Asian	Southeast Asian	First Nations	Other
Affordability	28.1%	31.2%	24.1%	16.8%	78.7%	43.6%	30.4%	72.2%	0.0%	29.1%
Services for seniors	19.1%	17.5%	27.9%	7.4%	7.5%	0.0%	4.6%	12.7%	100.0%	23.4%
Crime and safety	10.9%	9.6%	0.0%	7.7%	0.0%	28.3%	4.6%	15.1%	0.0%	25.7%
Jobs and the economy	4.7%	2.8%	17.7%	10.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%
Transportation & congestion	14.2%	13.1%	0.0%	29.5%	13.8%	0.0%	34.5%	0.0%	0.0%	5.9%
Transit	16.5%	21.1%	17.7%	20.8%	0.0%	0.0%	25.9%	0.0%	0.0%	8.4%
Infrastructure	6.6%	4.7%	12.7%	7.4%	0.0%	28.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.2%
Unweighted Freq	894	516	80	46	10	14	28	14	2	90
Weighted Freq	894	480	68	58	15	8	46	14	1	97

Results broken out by ward

Ward				
Response	Total	Ward 4	Ward 10	Ward 25
Affordability	28.1%	20.7%	30.2%	32.1%
Services for seniors	19.1%	14.5%	8.9%	31.3%
Crime and safety	10.9%	13.0%	14.4%	6.3%
Jobs and the economy	4.7%	5.7%	3.0%	5.3%
Transportation & congestion	14.2%	13.2%	17.5%	12.0%
Transit	16.5%	29.9%	18.1%	4.8%
Infrastructure	6.6%	2.9%	7.8%	8.3%
Unweighted Freq	894	262	292	340
Weighted Freq	894	262	292	340

n=894 · All respondents