



PEREGRINE INTELLIGENCE

Whole-Person Care in FQHCs: Integrating Comprehensive Care for Better Outcomes

Research Article *Updated July 15, 2025*

Introduction

Federally Qualified Health Centers (FQHCs) serve as the medical safety net for underserved populations. These health centers care for nearly 30 million Americans who often face complex medical and social challenges. Typical FQHC patients may struggle not only with chronic diseases (diabetes, hypertension, COPD, etc.) but also with mental health conditions, substance use disorders, and unmet social needs (food insecurity, unstable housing, transportation barriers). Simply treating one disease at a time can leave other critical issues unresolved. This “siloed” approach falls short of addressing how a patient’s environment, life stress, and behaviors affect health.

Whole-person care is a response to this challenge. It is a holistic model that seeks to improve health by treating the patient's entire context. Instead of focusing on individual organ systems or conditions, whole-person care "involves looking at the whole person and considering multiple factors that promote either health or disease".⁶ In practice, this means integrating primary care with behavioral health, social services, and preventive interventions. The emphasis is on restoring overall health, fostering resilience, and preventing disease before it starts. For FQHCs, whose patients often live with multiple co-existing needs, this model holds particular promise for improving outcomes and closing health equity gaps.

This article examines what whole-person care means in the context of FQHCs, the evidence behind it, and why it's critically important for FQHC leaders today. We will outline core components of the approach, summarize research findings, and discuss practical implications. Throughout, we will note how an empathetic, team-based strategy can empower health centers and patients alike. The goal is to provide FQHC decision-makers with a clear understanding of whole-person care so they can make informed decisions with confidence.

What Is Whole-Person Care?

At its heart, whole-person care is patient-centered and integrative. The National Institutes of Health (NIH) defines whole person health as an approach that improves health by simultaneously addressing a person's biological, behavioral, social, and environmental needs.⁶ This reflects the understanding that health is multi-dimensional. The World Health Organization similarly defines health as "complete physical, mental, and social well-being."⁵ Thus, treating just the disease is only one piece. Whole-person care asks: What else is affecting this patient? Are there behavioral health issues (depression, addiction), social stressors (housing, isolation), or lifestyle factors (nutrition, exercise) that impact disease control?

The core principles include:

- **Holistic Assessment:** Evaluating all aspects of a patient's life that affect health. This could include screening for food insecurity, stress, or spiritual beliefs alongside routine labs.
- **Collaborative Care Teams:** A multi-disciplinary team (primary care provider, nurse, behavioral health clinician, care coordinator, community health worker, etc.) shares a unified care plan. Roles overlap to meet the patient's full set of needs.
- **Patient Engagement:** Empowering patients by respecting their goals and involving them in decision-making. For example, helping a patient with diabetes set nutrition goals that fit their budget and culture.



- **Resource Coordination:** Connecting patients to community resources (food banks, housing programs, support groups) that address underlying social determinants. A patient with asthma might be connected to a housing program for mold remediation.
- **Prevention and Resilience:** Focusing not only on treating illness but on preventing disease and building resilience. Encouraging lifestyle changes (exercise, stress reduction) and addressing factors like poor sleep or chronic stress.

Together, these elements form a continuity of care that treats the whole patient. Instead of reactive, symptom-driven visits, whole-person care is proactive and integrated. It restores balance across the key dimensions of health, emphasizing that improving one area (e.g. mental health) can have positive ripple effects on others (e.g. physical health).

Importance and Evidence

Why does whole-person care matter? The answers come from both theory and evidence. We know people do not exist in medical isolation: genetics, behaviors, and social conditions all push health toward or away from wellness. An NIH explanation notes that factors like poor diet, stress, unsafe housing, and lack of healthcare access can lead to chronic, multi-system diseases.⁶ Conversely, intervening on these factors can prevent disease progression and help restore health.

In FQHC populations, the stakes are high. These centers serve predominantly low-income patients, many of whom have unaddressed social risks. One national study notes that nearly 30 million low-income patients (the entire FQHC population) disproportionately experience issues like housing instability, food insecurity, and unemployment.³ Importantly, 71% of health centers now report collecting data on patients' social needs.³ This widespread screening reflects a consensus: to improve clinical outcomes, providers must first identify and address patients' social risk factors.

Research supports the effectiveness of integrated, whole-person approaches. For instance, coordinated behavioral health care in primary settings dramatically improves outcomes. The Lamoille Health Partners health center reports that integrating depression care into primary care significantly reduced depressive symptoms for half of patients studied.⁸ Similarly, the renowned Framingham Heart Study (since 1948) found that better access to both medical and behavioral healthcare was associated with reduced risk of heart attacks and strokes. In practice, simple interventions (smoking cessation programs, diabetes prevention, counseling) showed measurable population health benefits.²



A broader review by Jonas and Rosenbaum (2021) confirms that whole-person primary care models yield “substantial benefits” in patient experience, clinical outcomes, and cost reduction.⁵ In other words, while approaches vary, the evidence consistently shows that an integrative focus can lead to higher quality care. Patients often feel more satisfied and engaged when their full range of needs is addressed; clinicians report better teamwork; and systems see improvements in metrics like hospitalization rates and readmissions.

These findings underline a key point for FQHCs: treating patients holistically not only aligns with ethical care but delivers practical results. It reduces the burden of disease and can lower overall costs by preventing avoidable complications. In a resource-limited setting, this model offers both compassion and efficiency.

FQHCs and Whole-Person Care: A Natural Fit

FQHCs are already leaders in whole-person care, even if its not exactly under that name. For over 50 years, the FQHC model has required comprehensive, patient-centered services. By regulation, health centers must provide primary care, dental care, mental health and substance use treatment, plus enabling services like case management, translation, and transportation. This structure inherently recognizes that patients’ non-medical needs must be met for health to improve.

Many FQHCs have adopted collaborative care models, which emphasizes care coordination and accessibility. Health centers integrate services to address social determinants of health beyond the care that typically occurs in an exam room.¹⁰ In practice, an FQHC patient may see a primary care doctor, a behavioral health counselor, and a social worker in the same visit, and then be connected to a food assistance program upon discharge. This wraparound approach reflects how FQHCs see the whole person.

FQHCs are also using interprofessional teams to operationalize whole-person care. For example, one health center built teams around patients that include medical providers, behavioral health clinicians, pharmacists, nurses who manage care transitions, and community health workers (CHWs).¹⁰ Each team member has a role: CHWs may follow up after appointments to ensure patients have transportation or have filled prescriptions; care coordinators help with insurance paperwork; behavioral health providers offer counseling or medication management. This level of teamwork means that when a patient comes with a complex diabetes case, the team can address blood sugar management and underlying stress, diet issues, or economic barriers simultaneously.



Data show this design can work. The national social risk study highlights that FQHCs are uniquely positioned to help minimize the social risks of patients by addressing social needs through enabling services and community partnerships.³ Indeed, many health centers already offer on-site food pantries, legal aid advice, or housing assistance, recognizing that these services are part of health care for their patients. By integrating these resources, FQHCs improve health outcomes and patient engagement.

Implementing Whole-Person Care in FQHCs

While the vision of whole-person care is clear, implementation takes strategy. Key elements include:

- **Behavioral Health Integration:** Co-locating or embedding mental health and substance use services into primary care is crucial. This could mean having a licensed social worker in the primary care team or using telehealth. Evidence-based models like the Behavioral Health Integration have shown success in FQHCs and other primary care settings. For example, studies find that when depression and anxiety are treated within the primary care visit, patients achieve better symptom control and are more likely to engage in care.¹
- **Social Determinants Screening and Referral:** Use standardized tools (like PRAPARE or AHC-HRSN) to screen every patient for social needs (housing, food, violence, etc.). The 2022 national survey found 71% of FQHCs now screen for social risks.³ Once identified, these needs are addressed by care teams or referred to community partners. This systematic approach ensures no issue is overlooked.
- **Care Coordination and Community Teams:** Creating dedicated roles (care coordinators, community health workers, patient navigators) helps patients follow through on plans. Vermont's Blueprint for Health exemplifies this by embedding CHWs in rural FQHCs to link patients to resources across towns.⁹ These teams attend case conferences, manage chronic conditions, and reinforce patient education outside the health center visit.
- **Data and Technology:** Leveraging health IT can support whole-person care. Integrated platforms allow providers to track outcomes (e.g. reduction in A1c, depression scores) and manage referrals. Real-time dashboards can alert teams to patients overdue for screenings or social needs uncovered in follow-up surveys.
- **Culture and Training:** Staff buy-in is essential. Training providers to take a holistic history and consider social factors shifts practice culture. Leadership can encourage cross-disciplinary team huddles and joint care plans. Patients should view the health center as a trusted community partner, not just a medical storefront.



These strategies can be tailored to each FQHC's size and resources. For example, small health centers might rely heavily on telebehavioral health and mobile care managers, while larger centers could develop full community health outreach teams. In all cases, early wins (like improving depression rates or successfully housing a homeless patient) build momentum.

Critically, the implementation must also align with payment and policy realities. Recent changes in Medicaid and Medicare reimbursement (behavioral health integration codes, value-based care incentives) can offset costs. Grant funding and state initiatives (health home programs, SDOH collaboratives) often support innovation. For example, HRSA's Health Center program and Rural Health organizations provide toolkits and funding to integrate whole-person approaches. Leadership should actively seek such opportunities to supplement health center budgets.

Outcomes and Benefits

By adopting a whole-person approach, FQHCs can expect tangible improvements. Some documented outcomes include:

- **Better Clinical Measures:** Health centers report that integrated programs improve management of chronic disease markers.⁵
- **Improved Mental Health:** As noted, coordinated depression care often leads to significant symptom improvement for patients. FQHCs with strong mental health integration also see reduced ER visits for psychiatric crises.
- **Greater Patient Satisfaction:** Surveys consistently find that patients value holistic care. When an FQHC addresses a patient's financial or social concerns (e.g., connecting a diabetic patient to food support), it deepens trust.
- **Lower Costs and Utilization:** Whole-person models can reduce costly hospitalizations and emergency visits. By addressing root causes, FQHCs help prevent disease exacerbation. A report observed that many whole-person initiatives yield cost savings that outweigh their implementation costs.⁵
- **Staff Engagement and Retention:** Clinicians and staff often feel more fulfilled when their work improves patient lives in a broader sense. A team-based culture reduces burnout by sharing workload and celebrating collective wins.

Of course, results vary by program maturity and support. Continuous quality improvement is needed: regularly measuring outcomes (clinical and social) and refining processes. The encouraging news is that the evidence base continues to expand, and many FQHCs are already at the forefront of this



work. Some FQHC executives note that treating the whole person is something health centers have done from their very inception, because that is how they were born.¹⁰ This history gives confidence that with the right tools, FQHCs can scale up and innovate.

Challenges and Enablers

Transitioning fully to whole-person care is not without challenges. Common barriers include limited funding for non-billable services, workforce shortages (especially of mental health professionals), and the complexity of coordinating across many agencies. FQHCs often operate on thin margins, so dedicating staff time for care coordination or SDOH screening can strain resources. Leaders may worry about documentation, compliance, and competing priorities.

However, several enablers exist:

- **Funding Streams:** Taking advantage of integrated care billing codes (e.g. for behavioral health integration), value-based payment models, and grants (e.g. HRSA, SAMHSA) can provide financial support. Advocacy at the state level can also yield SDOH-focused funding.
- **Partnerships:** Collaborating with local nonprofits, social service agencies, schools and faith organizations extends the health center's reach. For example, some FQHCs partner with housing coalitions or food pantries to streamline referrals. These allies multiply the FQHC's impact.
- **Technology:** Simple data tools can help manage panels of patients who need follow-up. More advanced systems can flag patients with unmet needs or generate care plans automatically.
- **Community Health Workers and Volunteers:** Training workers and volunteers to assist in care navigation and education can boost capacity. These team members often share cultural/linguistic ties with patients and can build trust.
- **Culture and Leadership:** Perhaps the most important enabler is organizational culture. Leaders must champion whole-person values, setting expectations that every staff member thinks beyond the medical chart. Recognition and continuous training reinforce this.

Empathy is key. FQHC leaders already know their staff work hard under difficult conditions. By framing whole-person care as a source of hope, organizations can maintain morale. The evidence of success (positive patient stories, improved outcomes) is a powerful motivator. Over time, many health centers find that the initial investment pays off as patients become healthier and more engaged, reducing emergency costs and improving health center workflow.



Conclusion

Whole-person care offers a path forward for FQHCs commitment to equity and excellence. It requires a shift to integrative, team-based practice, something FQHCs are uniquely suited for. By leveraging their broad service arrays and deep community ties, health centers can address not only medical conditions but the full spectrum of factors that drive health. Research and experience demonstrate that this model works: patients do better, and health centers can achieve sustainable improvements.

For FQHC leaders, the message is one of empowerment. Your organizations have already made strides in patient-centered care. The evidence and tools now exist to amplify those efforts. Embrace a holistic mindset: ask what each patient really needs, build a care team around that, and harness community partnerships. The result can be profound, healthier patients and communities, and a healthcare system that truly reflects the values of compassion and completeness.

Peregrine Health stands ready to support this vision. We believe in a future where whole-person care is the norm for FQHCs, and we are committed to assisting the efforts in making it happen. Together, by focusing on the whole patient, we can build a healthier tomorrow for the most vulnerable among us.

Why this Matters to Peregrine

At Peregrine Health, our commitment to whole-person care is rooted in both purpose and partnership. We're exploring this model not only to strengthen our own understanding, but to better support the patients and health centers we serve. As we work alongside FQHCs, we've seen firsthand how health is shaped by far more than medical diagnoses; it's influenced by behavioral health, social needs, community ties, and lived experience. Understanding whole-person care more deeply allows us to align our services with what truly matters: helping people live healthier, more stable lives.

Equally important is our belief that knowledge should be shared. By studying and documenting what works, we hope to contribute to a larger movement in community health; one that prioritizes compassion, integration, and equity. This effort is about sharing practical insights that may help health centers improve outcomes, ease the burden on staff, and deliver more comprehensive care. We believe that when organizations come together to learn and evolve, entire communities benefit.



Discover more actionable insights for FQHC leaders at peregrinehealth.com/intelligence

Sources

1. Brenes, Gretchen A. “Anxiety, Depression, and Quality of Life in Primary Care Patients.” *Prim Care Companion to the Journal of Clinical Psychiatry* 9, no. 6 (2007): 437–443.
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC2139931/>
2. Christensen, Thor. Decades-Long Heart Study Shows Longer Lives, Lower Cardiovascular Risks. *American Heart Association News*
<https://www.heart.org/en/news/2022/04/18/decades-long-heart-study-shows-longer-lives-lower-cardiovascular-risks#:~:text=The%20Framingham%20Heart%20Study%20is%20an%20ongoing,developing%20a%20heart%20attack%20or%20a%20stroke.>
3. Cole, Megan B. et al. “Screening for Social Risk at Federally Qualified Health Centers: A National Study.” *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* (2022)[pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)
4. Health Resources & Services Administration. *Achieving Value Based Care Through Rural Population Health*. (HRSA, 2016)
<https://www.hrsa.gov/sites/default/files/hrsa/rural-health/rural-vbp-training.pdf>
5. Jonas, Wayne B., and Elena Rosenbaum. *The Case for Whole-Person Integrative Care*. Medicina (Kaunas) (2021)pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov.
6. Manderscheid, Ronald W., Carol D. Ryff, Elsie J. Freeman, Lela R. McKnight-Eily, Satvinder Dhingra, and Tara W. Strine. Evolving Definitions of Mental Illness and Wellness. *Preventing Chronic Disease* (January 2010): 7(1): A19.
https://www.cdc.gov/pcd/issues/2010/jan/09_0124.htm
7. National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health. *Whole Person Health: What It Is and Why It’s Important*. NIH, updated 2021[nccih.nih.gov](https://nccih.nih.gov/nccih.nih.gov)
8. Peregrine Health. *Comprehensive Behavioral Health Care Solutions for Clinics*. (Company website)[peregrinehealth.com](https://peregrinehealth.com/peregrinehealth.com)
9. Volansky, Melissa. *What is Whole Person Care?* Lamoille Health Partners (VT blog, Mar 2021)[lamoillehealthpartners.org](https://lamoillehealthpartners.org/lamoillehealthpartners.org)
10. Watson, Caroline. *Federally Qualified Health Centers at the Forefront of Value-Based Care*. athenahealth Blog (Oct 2023)[athenahealth.com](https://athenahealth.com/athenahealth.com)

