SSA and Space Security: An Update

Victoria Samson, Secure World Foundation

NIAS-CISAC Dialogue, Bengaluru, India

Oct. 8, 2025





Space Situational Awareness (SSA) Trends

- Increasing emphasis on commercial actors providing military SSA capabilities
 - Part of this is tied to "maneuver without regret", dynamic space operations
 - GSSAP: Next iteration (RG-XX) will be provided by a commercial entity
 - Blurred lined between SSA and inspector satellites, aka co-orbital counterspace capabilities
 - Chinese focus on space-based SSA, rather than ground-based SSA
- Deep Space Advanced Radar Capability (DARC) held its first test, delayed
- Traffic Coordination System for Space (TRaCSS) in trouble
 - EU SST stepping up to share SSA data
 - Rise of commercial SSA companies
 - Question: what is "basic" spaceflight safety?



COPUOS' SSA Expert Group

- Created at June 2025 plenary of the UN Committee on Peaceful Uses of Outer Space (COPUOS)
 - "[N]o dedicated mechanism exists to discuss data formats and coordination of global SSA information sharing"
- Proposed by the UAE to facilitate "discussions to improve information sharing and development of best practices within the framework of the Scientific and Technical Sub-Committee (STSC) of COPUOS"
 - Meant to create actionable outcomes that complement existing frameworks and come up with voluntary standards to enhance space traffic coordination and space safety
- Will include private sector actors
- Goal is to have a report by UNISPACE IV in 2027



"Iron Dome for America"

- Jan. 27, 2025, President Donald Trump released Executive Order (EO) 14186, "An Iron Dome for America"
 - Sets out the mission of "deploying and maintaining a next-generation missile defense shield"
 - Calls for the Secretary of Defense to submit within 60 days an architecture, capabilities requirements, and implementation plan for the "Development and deployment of proliferated space-based interceptors capable of boost-phase intercept"
 - By end of February 2025, renamed "Golden Dome for America"



How Golden Dome is Envisioned to Work

- Multilayered defense system that would protect U.S. homeland from all types of threats: ballistic missile, cruise missile, hypersonic weapons, even drones
- System of systems that would incorporate much of existing ballistic missile defense (BMD) system's elements
 - Multiple intercept attempts
 - Defend not just against limited ICBM attack from rogue states but against attacks from peer and near-peer rivals
- Space-based sensor network (including Space Defense Agency's Proliferated Warfighter Space Architecture, PWSA)
- Space-based interceptor (SBI) layer
 - Kinetic interceptors, possibly also directed energy weapons?



Golden Dome's Timeline and Costs (1)

- Driving this all: Trump said that Golden Dome will be fully operational before the end of his term in January 2029, be nearly 100% effective, and cost \$175 billion
 - July 2025 reconciliation bill by Congress gave it \$24.4 billion to start
 - Pentagon has been charged with having an integrated test of the system by early November 2028
- USSF's Gen. Michael Guetlein confirmed by US Senate to be the "Golden Dome czar" July 17; given 60 days to come up with a notional architecture
 - Briefed Congress on Sept. 17
 - 4 integrated layers 3 land-based, 1 space-based plus 11 short-range missile defense batteries scattered across the United States
 - Mid-November, must brief Congress on full implementation plan with satellite and ground details



Golden Dome's Timeline and Costs (2)

- Todd Harrison: "iron triangle" of cost, schedule, and performance
- It all truly depends on what is planned and what the threat is anticipated to look like
 - Accelerated homeland defense architecture: cost over 20 years \$471 billion
 - Limited tactical defense: cost over 20 years \$252 billion
 - Space-centric strategic defense: cost over 20 years \$2.4 trillion
 - Balanced all-threat strategic defense: cost over 20 years \$1 trillion
 - Robust all-threat defense: cost over 20 years \$3.6 trillion
 - SBIs will be what drives the costs



Issues with Golden Dome (1)

- SBIs' technical challenges
 - Boost phase intercept is very short
 - Absentee problem means that many, many interceptors are needed to ensure coverage; maybe 1000 for each missile launched in a salvo
 - Only have a very short time to make a decision to act; the more time given, the more interceptors will be needed
 - Space traffic management issues but also very high costs to operate and maintain
- SBIs are questionable BMD boost phase interceptors but would be pretty reliable anti-satellite systems
- Introducing actual weapons in space crossing a line that will have ripple effects we can't fully anticipate



Issues with Golden Dome (2)

- Missile defense against ICBM-class targets does not have a great track record
 - Ground-based Midcourse Missile Defense (GMD) system has had a success rate during testing of about 55%
 - Never tested missile defense in a shoot-look-shoot capacity
- Up-end strategic stability
 - During the Cold War, US and USSR negotiated the 1972 Anti-Ballistic Missile (ABM) Treaty due to concerns about missile defense spurring an arms race; US withdrew officially in 2002
 - Russia and China: criticized it in May, then Russia immediately walked it back
 - Hadn't been mentioned in the OEWG on PAROS meetings but *was* called out during UNIDIR space security conference earlier this month



USSF Policy Evolutions

- Space Warfighting Framework April 2025
 - Saltzman: "In conflict, space will be a contested environment. We are the military Service dedicated to fighting in it"
 - USSF must "be prepared to conduct warfare to deter or compel adversary behavior, undermine adversary intent, and enable joint alldomain application of force, through force or the threat of force in, from, and to the space domain"
- International Partnership Strategy June 2025
 - US' "greatest asymmetric strategic advantage is the global network of Allies and partners and like minded nations who remain committed to security and stability in space"
 - Integrate allies into USSF development activities and max opportunities for their participation
 - But GAO report of July 2025 notes issues with classification, overlapping roles, staffing issues that complicates this





OCTOBER 22-23, 2025

Centre de Conférences Pierre Mendès France
PARIS | FRANCE

More information at SWFsummit.org

#SWFsummit25



CO-HOSTED BY







Liberté Égalité Fraternit Questions?

Thanks.

Victoria Samson, vsamson@swfound.org

