

COREECI CASE STUDY

How a Corporate Legal Team Reduced Review Volume by 95.5%*

consilio.com
info@consilio.com

THE CHALLENGE

Narrowing a Large Data Set Before Review

A highly regulated energy corporation faced a data collection that included approximately 40 mobile devices and other data sources, resulting in 1,670,964 documents after processing.

Under the legal team's previous workflow, the full collection would have been loaded into a review platform before searches were conducted. That approach would have created a much larger review workspace and placed a greater volume of potentially irrelevant material into review.

The team needed to identify documents responsive to 104 search terms and phrases, include their associated family documents, and preserve the flexibility to accommodate additional data sources as the matter progressed.

The company needed a way to:

- Put all 104 of the case team's search terms to work across the entire collection before a single document entered review
- Keep document families intact, so every responsive result reached reviewers with its full context
- Shrink the review workspace to only the documents that met its criteria
- Stay flexible as new data sources were added over the life of the matter
- Deliver a targeted, review-ready set to the review platform of its choice

*Results are based on matter data supplied by Consilio's Legal Services team. The figures reflect the matter at the time of analysis and may change as additional data is processed. See Consilio Legal Services Team, Matter Metrics (Sept. 9, 2026) (data on file with Consilio).

THE NUMBERS

1,670,964
Documents Processed

75,193
Promoted for Review

95.5%
Documents Kept Out of Review



CONSILIO SOLUTION

Promoting Only What Matters with Aurora CoreECI

This matter ran on a standard Aurora CoreECI workflow, delivered end to end by Consilio. Consilio's project management team worked the full 1.67 million-document collection in CoreECI and applied the case team's criteria at scale. Only the resulting, targeted set of documents moved forward to review. Everything else stayed out.

CoreECI was the decision point of the workflow, where the team determined what was worth reviewing. Consilio then exported the targeted set into the review platform the corporation already had in place, so the case team kept working in the environment it knew.

This was not an exceptional or unusually complex engagement. It was the kind of litigation matter corporate legal departments manage routinely, where a broad initial collection can quickly expand the amount of data entering review.

Instead of pushing entire collections into a review platform, send only the documents that truly require **eyes-on review**.

RESULTS ACHIEVED

Reducing 1,670,964 Documents to 75,193

The initial workflow reduced the documents promoted to review from 1,670,964 to 75,193, a 95.5% reduction. The smaller data set created a substantially smaller review workspace for the case team.

The engagement demonstrated that CoreECI could support a typical litigation matter at scale. Rather than loading the entire collection into review and narrowing it afterward, only the documents that fit the selection criteria were promoted for review.

The corporate legal department gained a practical way to reduce the volume entering review while maintaining the flexibility required for an evolving litigation matter.



Ready to Learn More?
consilio.com
info@consilio.com