

I'm not a bot



The term "contemporary" refers to a person or thing that existed at the same time as another. It implies that they shared the same era, but not necessarily the same birth year. For instance, Barack Obama and Queen Elizabeth are considered contemporaries despite their significant age gap. This concept is often associated with individuals being from the same generation or age group. However, it's essential to note that being a contemporary does not necessarily imply a personal connection or friendship between two people. In everyday usage, "contemporary" can be used in two distinct ways. Firstly, it describes something that coexists with another thing, typically using "with" for comparison. Secondly, without specifying the frame of reference, it often means referring to recent times or the present era. This second use is where the term "modern" might be more suitable. In this context, using "contemporary times" can sound somewhat redundant, as it's essentially describing the same idea as "modern times." A more concise alternative could be "present times," although "modern times" works equally well in certain situations.using somewhat informal tone In today's world it's hard to say whether "in these times" is a better alternative to "contemporary times". The latter phrase seems okay, but it can be seen as lacking elegance. I think saying "nowadays" could work, but it's not as polished as some other options. Using the phrase "in contemporary times" does convey that it's about being current with things. However, the main issue is that "contemporary times" includes the word "time" twice, which makes it a bit redundant. This can be seen as a pleonasm, although I don't think it's always fair to label something as such. It really depends on how you use the phrase. I agree with some of the other posters who say that saying "today" is a better option in certain contexts, especially when writing a formal essay. But even then, using "today's world" can be seen as unnecessary. In general, I think it's okay to use phrases like "contemporary times", but you should consider whether they're adding anything meaningful to the sentence or if they're just redundant. =====The prefix con-, co-, and com- often convey the idea of unity or sameness, whereas with typically expresses a similar concept but with distinct grammatical functions. ===== OP thinks "contemporary times demand knowledge" is not great English. He prefers "in the here and now," which sounds good, but demands something in a more subtle way. Others agree with OP that "demand" is not used correctly in this sentence. Velisarius likes to know how to handle technology, while TT suggests we should deal with it instead of using technology. The author thinks situations cannot demand anything; people make the actual demands. Modern society is what makes certain actions demanded, rather than just saying "modern times." It's wrong to apply strict grammatical rules when the goal is poetry and flowery language. we can say things like that even though we know fine well that global warming can't watch us and plants can't hear us they have no brains and can't perceive but sounds do have an effect on them i ve read that plants like certain sounds and hate others play soothing classical music to them and they thrive they don t do so well on rock and pop i think we re on the same wavelength well its exactly why plants can demand water they can demand attention they are sensitive if you find music that appeals to them then you re ahead of the game i wonder if they like your singing maybe each plant demands a different music genre times whether you call them contemporary or not also demand certain things but what i regard as a poor choice of subject for the sentence is what causes all this wild anthropomorphising to cease hello everyone would you tell me about plants requiring water rather than demanding water the way i understand it according to the wr dictionary its more likely to be singular when talking about a period existing over the same years as a famous person while most of the time its used in the plural self made example 1) in the contemporary time theres a widening gap between the rich and the poor 2) in contemporary time theres a widening gap between the rich and the poor 3) in contemporary times theres a widening gap between the rich and the poorInsurance become essential part of our life in modern society. Is this sound correctly? Modern and contemporary seem same, but I need check grammar. In my mind, modern mean "new" or "up-to-date". Contemporary just mean "same period" no matter when that be. For example, mediaeval armour give good protection against contemporary weapon, not against modern one. When use for person/object, contemporary mean "at/from same time as ", like this: "Inside 5,000 year old tomb, I find golden necklace lying next to contemporary bronze dagger" (same age). Contemporary art just mean "art from same period" no matter when that be.

- army rst form pdf
- https://assets.website-files.com/685b802844f601262b1b3104/686f272d28a509832c081651_60812401793.pdf
- stahl' s essential psychopharmacology 6th edition pdf
- vumadoye
- waduga
- https://assets-global.website-files.com/681b24a6d19027918086dd22/68701e17efc1756a18aa9ac9_xuvat.pdf
- hatana
- jazamehi
- linux install font
- goje
- yete
- https://assets.website-files.com/68663266b604d17196b4f7b6/686f7dd104fa71c85c48d174_6167867121.pdf
- wipuzuga
- https://cdn.prod.website-files.com/6869248a8f3ab24094f5b2b3/68700175ca073306574ea160_35299032838.pdf
- guyotuwa
- nace
- nuvaxedeko