

AI Methodology Fact Sheet

For inclusion with expert reports, depositions, or case files

This fact sheet describes the artificial intelligence (AI) tool used to assist with medical record review in the case identified below. It is designed as a starting template for disclosure under the published guidance of ABVE, CRCC, ANA, AMA, and ABA Formal Opinion 512, and to document the expert’s verification posture under Federal Rule of Evidence 702. It is not a complete disclosure in itself; each expert should adapt the language to their own professional standards and the specific requirements of the matter.

CASE IDENTIFICATION	
Case name: _____	Date of report: _____
Expert name: _____	Retained by: _____

1. TOOL AND VENDOR

Platform: SecondLook Health
Vendor: SecondLook Health, Inc., San Mateo, California
Tool category: Closed clinical analytics platform for medical record analysis
Compliance: SOC 2 and HIPAA compliant; Business Associate Agreement available where required by Customer status
Data handling: Records are processed within a private cloud environment; PHI is not exposed to consumer or public-facing AI platforms, and records are not used to train public models

2. HOW THE TOOL WAS USED AND VERIFIED

Inputs: Case materials produced by counsel for review in this matter, which may include medical records, deposition transcripts, interrogatory responses, prior expert reports (such as life care plans, vocational reports, or medical chronologies), employment records, incident reports, and other case-related documents
Outputs: Structured clinical analysis tailored to the matter and the retained expert’s queries. Possible outputs include, among others, chronological clinical timelines, queryable record indexes, identification of treatments and diagnostic workup, assessments of guideline concordance, identification of relevant clinical literature, and observations relating to standard of care

The retained expert used the tool to assist with organizing the case materials and generating structured clinical analysis for the expert’s review. Every factual claim, date, and citation that appears in the expert’s report was independently verified by the expert against the underlying source document before inclusion. The expert reviewed the cited pages directly rather than relying on the tool’s summary of those pages. Where the tool surfaced clinical observations, assessments of guideline concordance, or references to the medical literature, the expert evaluated each against their own professional training and the underlying sources before relying on any of it. The opinions expressed in the report are the expert’s own professional judgment, formed on the basis of the records and materials the expert personally examined.

3. APPLICABLE PROFESSIONAL GUIDANCE

ABVE: Guidelines for Ethical AI Use in Forensic Vocational Evaluations (July 7, 2025)
CRCC: FAQs and Guiding Statements to Support CRCs Using Artificial Intelligence (February 2026)
ANA: The Ethical Use of Artificial Intelligence in Nursing Practice (Position Statement, 2022); Code of Ethics for Nurses, Provision 7.5 (2025)
AMA: Principles for Augmented Intelligence Development, Deployment, and Use (November 2023)
ABA: Formal Opinion 512: Generative Artificial Intelligence Tools (July 29, 2024)
Rule 702: Federal Rule of Evidence 702, as amended December 1, 2023

Deposition Script

Sample answers for the six questions most likely to come up

The following are sample answers to six questions a cross-examining attorney is likely to ask about an expert's use of AI. If Question 1 is delivered cleanly, Questions 2 through 6 often do not come up. Question 4 offers two sample answers; pick the one that matches what you actually did. Practice Question 1 out loud several times before it is needed.

QUESTION 1 Did you use artificial intelligence in preparing your report?

"I used a SOC 2 and HIPAA compliant clinical analytics platform called SecondLook Health to help organize the medical records and generate structured clinical analysis in this case. The platform operates under a Business Associate Agreement with customers who are HIPAA Covered Entities, and the vendor extends equivalent contractual privacy commitments to all customers regardless of regulatory status. I reviewed every output, independently verified the factual elements against the underlying records, evaluated any clinical analysis against my own professional training, and the opinions in my report are my own."

QUESTION 2 What platform did you use, and how does it differ from tools like ChatGPT?

"I used SecondLook Health, which is a closed clinical analytics platform built specifically for medical record analysis. It is not a consumer chatbot. Records are processed within the vendor's private cloud, the platform does not train public AI models on customer data, and the vendor maintains SOC 2 and HIPAA compliance appropriate for handling medical information."

QUESTION 3 How does the platform actually work?

"The platform ingests medical records and other case materials produced by counsel and uses a combination of optical character recognition, third-party frontier AI models accessed through enterprise channels, and the vendor's own clinical ontology. The specific outputs depend on the matter and the questions the expert asks, and may include, among others, a chronological clinical timeline, a queryable record index, identification of treatments and diagnostic workup, assessments of guideline concordance, identification of relevant clinical literature, or observations relating to standard of care. Every output includes citations back to the source page so that the expert can verify each one against the underlying document."

QUESTION 4 Did you ask the platform any specific clinical questions in this case?

"[If yes:] Yes. I queried the platform on specific clinical questions relevant to this matter, in the same way one might use an AI assistant to organize thinking and surface relevant information. For each response, I reviewed the platform's answer, followed the source citations back to the underlying records, and evaluated the clinical substance against my own professional training before relying on any of it in my opinion. [If no:] No. I used the platform primarily for organizing the records and building the chronology. The clinical opinions in my report are based on my own review of the underlying materials and my professional training, and the platform served as a research aid for navigating the records."

QUESTION 5 How did you verify the output before relying on it in your report?

"For every fact, date, and citation in my report, I opened the cited page in the underlying record and confirmed the content directly. I did not rely on the AI summary as a substitute for reading the source document. Where the platform surfaced clinical observations, guideline references, or medical literature, I evaluated each against my own professional training and the underlying sources before relying on it. The opinions in the report are my own professional judgment, formed on the basis of records I personally examined."

QUESTION 6 What does your report disclose about your use of AI?

"My methodology section discloses that AI-assisted record organization and structured clinical analysis was part of my process, names the platform used, describes its compliance posture, and confirms that I independently verified every citation in the report against the underlying source. I can also provide a one-page methodology fact sheet that documents this in more detail for the record if counsel wishes."

Legal Disclaimer and Use Terms

Read before using any accompanying materials in a matter

Not Legal Advice

The materials accompanying this disclaimer, which include a methodology fact sheet and a deposition script with sample answers, are provided by SecondLook Health as a template for independent consulting experts who use the SecondLook Health platform. They are not legal advice, and nothing in them should be construed as creating an attorney-client relationship between SecondLook Health and the expert, the retaining party, or any other person.

Experts should consult their own legal counsel regarding disclosure obligations, work product treatment, record retention policies, and jurisdiction-specific requirements before using these materials or any portion of them in a matter. Use of these materials does not guarantee compliance with any court's, tribunal's, or credentialing body's requirements.

SecondLook Health does not direct the work of experts who use its platform and takes no position on the substance of any expert opinion. The expert remains solely responsible for the accuracy, completeness, and defensibility of any disclosure made in a matter, for the suitability of the sample answers in the deposition script to the specific facts of the matter, and for all professional judgments exercised in the course of the engagement.

Document identification

Identifier: 260414_1630_SLH-AI-Disclosure-Materials-v1_10

Version: 1.10

Issue date: April 14, 2026

Issued by: SecondLook Health, Inc., 204 E 2nd Ave #612, San Mateo, CA 94401

Contact: support@secondlookhealth.ai

These materials are versioned. Experts should confirm they are working from the most current version before use in a matter. The current version is available at secondlookhealth.ai.