

The case studies and other materials in this section are confidential and for members of the ForGood Framework community only. For Discussion, Not Distribution: These materials are intended to facilitate discussion and are not for public use. Do Not Cite or Share: Please do not cite, share, or distribute these materials outside of this member's section.

What is sludge? Comparing Sunstein's definition to others' Newall, 2023

Context

The concept of sludge, was popularised by Thaler (2018), and has been covered by Sunstein in numerous papers.

Newall's paper provides a perspective on the ongoing debate about what exactly constitutes sludge and how it should be defined and distinguished.

Key Insights

Sunstein's definition of sludge

Sludge according to Sunstein refers to **anything that contrastingly makes an action harder to do**, this could involve waiting time, reporting burdens, form of design, administrative, or travel-realated friction. All of these **increase the effort required from users to take their desired action.**Sunstein also states that sludge is usually bad for people but there can be cases where it is good.

Other definitions of sludge

- Shahab and Lades (2021) also focus on excessive frictions that prevent beneficial actions
- Mills (2020) proposes that nudge and sludge are symmetrical, whereby nudges decrease frictions whilst sludges increase frictions. Along with this he introduces the terms 'Pareto' for a nudge or sludge which increases the nudgee's welfare, and 'rent-seeking' for a nudge or sludge that decreases the nudgee's welfare.
- Thaler (2018) also provides similar examples of sludge involving frictions but also goes beyond this by using the Bernie Madoff Ponzi scheme as an example of a sludge. Thaler views beneficial frictions as nudges rather than optimal sludge, which emphasises his argument that sludge is bad.

How is sludge used?

- People have limited time and attention and so one example of sludge is long and confusing disclosures.
- Dark patterns are deceptive aspects of websites that encourage people to pick options they don't actually want e.g pre-ticked checkboxes to sign up for mailing lists.
- Another example is on gambling websites, showing a pre-filled deposit input field with higher amounts, leveraging anchoring bias, which results in people tending to set higher deposit limits.

Implications

- Have you identified any frictions that may arise in actions your customers want to take?
- How did you identify these frictions?
- How easy to understand are disclosures you use? Is there a risk of consumers missing crucial information?