REVIEW ARTICLE

Journal of Occupational Health WILEY

Physical relaxation for occupational stress in healthcare workers: A systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials

Michael Zhang MD, MPH ¹ 🕩
Christina Yidi DMD ⁴

| Brittany Murphy BS² | Abegail Cabanilla BS³

 ¹Administration Division, Southern Nevada Health District, Las Vegas, NV, USA
²Department of Exercise Science, Florida Atlantic University, Boca Raton, FL, USA
³School of Life Sciences, University of Nevada Las Vegas, Las Vegas, NV, USA

⁴Department of Veterans Affairs, Orlando VA Healthcare System, Orlando, FL, USA

Correspondence

Michael Zhang, Administration Division, Southern Nevada Health District, Las Vegas, NV, USA. Email: maz19@case.edu

Funding information

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Abstract

Objectives: Work related stress is a major occupational health problem that is associated with adverse effects on physical and mental health. Healthcare workers are particularly vulnerable in the era of COVID-19. Physical methods of stress relief such as yoga and massage therapy may reduce occupational stress. The objective of this systematic review and network meta-analysis is to determine the effects of yoga, massage therapy, progressive muscle relaxation, and stretching on alleviating stress and improving physical and mental health in healthcare workers.

Methods: Databases were searched for randomized controlled trials on the use of physical relaxation methods for occupational stress in healthcare workers with any duration of follow-up. Meta-analysis was performed for standard mean differences in stress measures from baseline between subjects undergoing relaxation vs non-intervention controls. Network meta-analysis was conducted to determine the best relaxation method.

Results: Fifteen trials representing 688 healthcare workers were identified. Randomeffects meta-analysis shows that physical relaxation methods overall reduced measures of occupational stress at the longest duration of follow-up vs baseline compared to non-intervention controls (SMD -0.53; 95% CI [-0.74 to -0.33]; p < .00001). On network meta-analysis, only yoga alone (SMD -0.71; 95% CI [-1.01 to -0.41]) and massage therapy alone (SMD -0.43; 95% CI [-0.72 to -0.14]) were more effective than control, with yoga identified as the best method (p-score = .89).

Conclusion: Physical relaxation may help reduce occupational stress in healthcare workers. Yoga is particularly effective and offers the convenience of online delivery. Employers should consider implementing these methods into workplace wellness programs.

KEYWORDS

burnout, healthcare workers, occupational medicine, stress

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2021 The Authors. Journal of Occupational Health published by John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd on behalf of The Japan Society for Occupational Health

J Occup Health. 2021;63:e12243. https://doi.org/10.1002/1348-9585.12243 wileyonlinelibrary.com/journal/joh2 1 of 16

1 | INTRODUCTION

Occupational stress has been recognized as one of the major occupational health problems affecting workers worldwide.¹ Chronic exposure to work related stressors such as long hours and job strain has negative effects on physical and mental health.^{2,3} Major causes of morbidity, including cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and depression, have been linked with work stress across multiple demographic groups,^{3,4} and it has been estimated that employees with work stress suffer on average a 50% excess risk of coronary heart disease.⁵

Healthcare workers are an especially vulnerable group, with stressful environments and work pressure often leading to burnout.^{6,7} Studies have assessed occupational stress in a wide range of workers, including nurses, physicians, technicians, therapists, and other personnel in various disciplines,⁸ and common themes have emerged in the literature. Long hours, overwork, shift work, inadequate staffing, emotional demands, administrative burdens, and physical workplace hazards are all believed to be contributors,⁹⁻¹¹ and it has been suggested that stress and burnout have been associated with decreased job satisfaction, poor job performance, and negative patient outcomes.^{12,13}

The ongoing COVID-19 pandemic has seen healthcare workers across the world brought under immense physical and emotion strain. From the early days of the COVID-19 outbreak, surging case numbers placed increased demands on hospital staff and spawned a multitude of new challenges. Fear of infection, lack of adequate personal protective equipment (PPE), concerns for the health and safety of family and friends, limited training and experience against an emerging disease, and ever-changing care protocols are several of the many sources of stress faced by frontline healthcare workers.^{14,15} Numerous studies have been undertaken to assess the impact of these stressors; prevalence research from Japan,¹⁶ China,¹⁷ Italy,¹⁸ India,¹⁹ Iran,²⁰ the United States,²¹ and other countries have documented high levels of anxiety, depression, stress, and burnout amongst healthcare workers, and a meta-analysis using data from four continents found that the prevalence of each was in excess of 30%.²²

Due to these concerns, a number of stress reduction techniques for healthcare workers involved in the COVID-19 response have been recommended on both the individual and organization levels.²³ Organizational approaches are aimed at improving work conditions and facilitating workflow,²⁴ with particular emphasis placed on workplace safety and access to mental health.²³ However, it is recommended that these be accompanied by strategies targeting the individual,²⁵ which may also be incorporated into organizational stress reduction programs. These include mindfulness methods such as meditation, which promote awareness of the present moment without judgment so that arising stressors are met with calmness and equanimity,²⁶ and cognitive behavioral approaches that

Another approach that may be helpful in alleviating workrelated stress is the use of physical methods such as yoga, massage therapy, and progressive muscle relaxation. Yoga has shown promise in a pilot crossover study in reducing occupational stress in Japanese nurses,²⁸ and both massage and Pilates have been incorporated into a recently developed organizational program in France for hospital workers battling COVID-19.29 These interventions fall under the umbrella of "physical relaxation" and were previously investigated in a 2015 Cochrane meta-analysis which showed efficacy for stress reduction in healthcare workers compared to control at one month and one to six months follow-up.³⁰ However, this review included studies on music therapy,³¹ a quasiexperimental study,³² and research involving an obscure auriculotherapy treatment,³³ and combined post-intervention and change scores as standardized mean differences, a practice that is no longer recommended.³⁴ Furthermore, additional trials have appeared since this Cochrane review, and two recent systematic reviews have been qualitative and did not feature meta-analyses.35,36

Therefore, the objective of this study is to provide an updated systematic review and meta-analysis of all randomized controlled trials of the use of physical methods of relaxation in healthcare workers on occupational stress reduction. We also examine the effect of relaxation methods on physical and mental health and compare various methods with each other and non-intervention using network meta-analysis (NMA).

2 METHODS

2.1 | Search strategy and study selection

This meta-analysis was conducted per the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-analyses) guidelines.³⁷ We sought to identify all randomized controlled trials of the use of physical relaxation methods compared to non-intervention control or other physical relaxation methods for occupational stress in healthcare workers with change from baseline or both pre- and post-intervention stress data at any duration of follow-up, with the longest duration used for analysis. The intervention consists of physical relaxation, which is compared to non-intervention or other physical relaxation controls. We defined physical relaxation as any method that involves light muscular tension and relaxation. This includes movementbased techniques such as yoga and related exercises (eg tai chi and qigong), stretching, and walking, as well as passive techniques such as massage and progressive muscle relaxation. We excluded vigorous exercise, such as heavy aerobic activity and weightlifting. Techniques devoid of muscular activity, such as aromatherapy without massage and music therapy, were also

excluded. The target population consisted of healthcare workers. We searched PubMed, SCOPUS, Web of Science, and the Cochrane Library from inception to February 21st, 2021 (date of search). The search strategy was as follows: (stress OR burnout) AND (healthcare OR healthcare worker) AND (yoga OR tai chi OR qigong OR massage OR exercise OR walk OR stretch OR muscle OR muscular OR relax OR therapy) AND trial under titles, abstracts, and keywords. Titles and abstracts were screened for eligibility, followed by full-text assessment of potentially relevant articles. Finally, a manual search of references in pertinent review articles in this area was conducted for studies not found in the above databases. Studies were eligible for inclusion if they met all of the following criteria: full-text English language articles published in peer reviewed journals, prospective RCT design with at least two arms; a physical relaxation intervention group and a non-intervention control group or multiple physical relaxation groups (for the secondary analysis), study participants in both arms were all adult healthcare workers, at least one continuous measure of stress was reported with either changes from baseline reported in both arms or pre- and post-intervention data available at any duration of follow-up. The following were exclusion criteria: non-RCT's (such as quasi-randomized and quasi-experimental studies), lack of a non-intervention or another physical relaxation comparison group, lack of stress assessment data or data that is otherwise insufficient for extraction, studies involving rigorous physical exercise or strength training, studies on subjects with preexisting mental illness, articles without full-text, and non-English manuscripts.

2.2 | Primary and secondary outcomes

The primary outcome was the change in occupational stress after physical relaxation, and secondary outcomes were changes in physical and mental health. The follow-up time frame of all outcome assessments was defined from the beginning of the intervention, for example, a study administering a follow-up assessment for stress 2 weeks after the completion of 4 weeks of intervention is considered to have a 6-week follow-up. We conducted two types of meta-analyses; pairwise two-armed meta-analyses which examined the effect of all methods of physical relaxation together on the primary and secondary outcomes, and a separate network meta-analysis to compare individual modalities of physical relaxation with each other and non-intervention control simultaneously on the primary outcome.

2.3 Data extraction

Two authors independently extracted data from all studies deemed eligible for inclusion, with disagreements addressed

Journal of Occupational Health-WILEY

through discussion until a consensus was reached. The following data were obtained: nominal study characteristics including title, authors, journal, and year of publication; verification of RCT design, healthcare worker population under study, number of participants in each arm, type of intervention, type of stress assessment, and duration of follow-up. If multiple follow-up periods were reported, the longest was used for further analysis. For the primary outcome, we obtained mean changes of stress scores and standard deviation from baseline for both arms, with conversion from pre- and post-intervention stress scores if not otherwise available using a correlation coefficient of zero.^{38,39} When multiple scales are present in a study, preference was given to measures more specific for stress and those that are more commonly used in other identified studies. In decreasing order of preference, these are the Perceived Stress Scale (PSS), the Maslach Burnout Inventory for emotional exhaustion (MBI-EE), the Nursing Stress Scale (NSS), and others if these are not available. Mean changes in assessments examining mental and physical health were obtained in similar fashion for the secondary outcome. Directional consistency of varying scales was ensured through multiplication of means by -1 where appropriate. Other measures of central tendency and variation were converted to means and standard deviation where appropriate per established methods.^{39,40} Data from graphs were extracted with digitalization tools if not otherwise available.41

2.4 | Quality assessment and risk of bias

Two authors independently assessed the quality of included RCT's with the Cochrane Collaboration's Risk of Bias tool (RoB 2) per established recommendations.⁴² In brief, manuscripts were evaluated on five domains of bias: randomization process, deviations from intended interventions, missing outcome data, measurement of the outcome, and selection of the reported result. Domains were graded as "low risk," "some concerns," or "high risk," with the overall bias determined by the highest grade in any domain. Disagreements were resolved through discussion until consensus was reached.

2.5 | Statistical analysis

For the primary analysis, the pooled effects of physical interventions vs non-intervention on the primary and secondary outcomes were analyzed with the meta-package in RStudio version 1.4.1106. Data are reported as standardized mean differences (SMD) with 95% confidence intervals and presented on Forest plots. Random-effects inverse variance models were used, and the I^2 test was used to assess study heterogeneity. Egger's test was used to assess publication bias. Post hoc subgroup and meta-regression analyses were performed to examine the influence of gender, control status, and duration of treatment on study heterogeneity. For the secondary analysis comparing various methods of physical relaxation to each other, a random-effects frequentist network meta-analysis was conducted with the R package netmeta and visualized with MetaInsight version 3.14.⁴³ Sensitivity analysis was performed by temporarily omitting one study at a time to assess stability of results for both analyses. Statistical significance was set at p < .05.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Study selection

We identified 3414 articles with the above search strategy, with another 37 through manual searching of review articles. After the removal of duplicates, 3150 records were screened. After the removal of 3102 nonrelevant records, 48 full text articles were assessed for eligibility. 33 of these records were filtered, with the most common reason being the lack of a non-intervention control group or other physical relaxation comparison group (15 studies).^{28,44-57} Furthermore, seven studies were excluded due to non-randomized or quasi-randomized

designs,^{32,58-63} eight for inadequate data,⁶⁴⁻⁷¹ and three due to interventions that included vigorous aerobic or weight training exercise.⁷²⁻⁷⁴ Finally, 15 studies that met our inclusion criteria were included in this meta-analysis (Figure 1 and Table 1).⁷⁵⁻⁸⁹

3.2 | Study characteristics

A total of 688 subjects were enrolled across these 15 studies, with 341 participants having undergone physical relaxation compared to 347 non-intervention controls. Of the former group, 139 were involved in yoga or a yoga like exercise (tai chi and qigong); 167 received some type of massage therapy; 15 were engaged in progressive muscle relaxation (PMR), and 20 performed stretching exercises. All studies compared a physical relaxation intervention to non-intervention. Follow-up duration was one day to one year, with the remaining studies between 2 and 15 weeks. Of the studies reporting gender, the overwhelming majority (78.1%) of participants were female, and the average age was 30.8 years. Four studies specified waitlist controls, with another two that promised some form of intervention upon study completion. Five studies asked controls to take a break, relax, read, or go about their usual business, three studies did not specify control activities other than non-intervention, and one study made interventions available at study end without



						Open Access	WILEY
Results	Significant stress reduction in IG vs CG $(p = .008)$	Stress reduction in IG vs CG at treatment end but no reduction from treatment end to 24 h follow-up	Significant stress reduction in IG (p = .007) but not in CG $(p = .913)$	IG reduction in perceived stress vs CG (p = .02). No difference in mental or physical health	No difference between IG and CG for stress, mental, and physical health (p = .42, 0.62, and 0.33 respectively).	Significant stress reduction in IG vs CG $(p = .023)$	Significant stress reduction in IG (p = .008) but not in CG (Continues)
Longest follow-up after beginning treatment	5 weeks	24 h	6 weeks	6 weeks	15 weeks	3 weeks	8 weeks
Outcome measures	Trait-STAI (State-trait anxiety inventory)	Perceived stress scale (PSS)	Cooper's job stress questionnaire (CSQ)	PSS, health status survey short form (SF-36)	PSS, SF-36	Maslach burnout inventory for emotional exhaustion (MBI-EE)	MBI-EE
Intervention	Swedish massage, 15 min weekly × 5 weeks	Chair massage for 10 min × 1	Aromatherapy massage, 90 min weekly × 6 weeks	Qigong, 60 min classes twice weekly plus 30 min self-practice on non-class days × 6 weeks	Tai chi, 45 min weekly classes plus 10 min self-practice at least 4 days a week × 15 weeks	Qigong 20 min daily × 1 week followed by 5 min self-practice twice daily × 2 more weeks	Yoga program × 8 weeks
Control	No therapy, controls asked to continue usual lifestyle	No therapy, controls asked to take 10 min break	No therapy, controls promised treatment after study end	Waiting list controls	Controls promised a class after study end	Waiting list controls	Controls asked to continue usual self-care
Population (<i>n</i> intervention/ <i>n</i> control)	Hospital nurses (27/21)	Hospital nurses (41/41)	Female psychiatric hospital nurses (18/14)	VA hospital staff (16/21)	Female nurses in academic medical center aged ≥49 (6/5)	Physiotherapists suffering from burnout (8/8)	Hospital nurses (20/20)
Design	RCT	RCT	RCT	RCT	RCT	RCT	RCT
Study	Bost et al. 2006 ⁷⁵ (Australia)	Brennan et al. 2006 ⁷⁶ (USA)	Hansen et al. 2006 ⁷⁷ (Norway)	Griffith et al. 2008 ⁷⁸ (USA)	Palumbo et al. 2012 ⁷⁹ (USA)	Saganha et al. 2012 ⁸⁰ (Portugal)	Alexander et al. 2015 ⁸¹ (USA)

TABLE 1 Characteristics of included studies

5 of 16

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/joh/article/63/1/e12243/7249853 by guest on 08 April 2024

			SS	SS				
Results	Significant stress reduction in IG vs $CG (P = .002)$	Significant stress reduction in IG vs $CG (p < .001)$	No significant stress reduction IG vs CG	No difference in WSS after treatment	Significant stress reduction in IG vs $CG (p < .001)$	Significant stress reduction in IG vs $CG (p = .001)$	Significant stress reduction in IG vs CG $(p = .041)$	Significant stress reduction in IG vs CG ($p < .0001$)
Longest follow-up after beginning treatment	12 weeks	6 weeks	8 weeks	2 weeks	8 weeks	4 weeks	52 weeks	12 weeks
Outcome measures	Work-related stress scale	Occupational stress inventory (OSI)	PSS	Work stress scale (WSS)	Occupational stress scale (OSS) (OSS) Expanded nurses' occupational stress scale (ENSS) Visual analog scale (VAS)		Visual analog scale (VAS)	PSS
Intervention	Yoga, 60 min weekly classes × 12 weeks	Swedish massage, 25 min twice weekly × 4 weeks	Yoga, 60 min 5 days a week × 8 weeks	Aromatherapy massage, 10-15 min × 6 sessions across 2 weeks	Stretching, 40 min 3 times weekly × 8 weeks	Swedish massage, 20- 25 min twice weekly × 4 weeks	Progressive muscle relaxation, breathing posture exercises, 40 min × 5 weeks	Yoga, 50 min twice weekly × 12 weeks
Control	Controls watched television during tea break without exercise	No intervention	Waiting list controls	Intervention made available to controls after study end	No intervention	No intervention	Controls rested in reading room	Waiting list controls
Population (<i>n</i> intervention/ <i>n</i> control)	Mental health professionals (30/30)	ICU nurses (33/33)	Female nursing students (40/40)	Nurses and nursing technicians at surgical center (19/19)	Nurses (20/19)	Male EMS staff (29/29)	Female hospital nurses (15/15)	Hospital nurses (19/32)
Design	RCT	RCT	RCT	RCT	RCT	RCT	RCT	RCT
Study	Lin et al. 2015 ⁸² (Taiwan)	Nazari et al. 2015 ⁸³ (Iran)	Mathad et al. 2017 ⁸⁴ (India)	Montibeler et al. 2018 ⁸⁵ (Brazil)	da Costa et al 2019 ⁸⁶ (Brazil)	Mahdizadeh et al. 2019 ⁸⁷ (Iran)	Akyurek et al. 2020 ⁸⁸ (Turkey)	Mandal et al. 2021 ⁸⁹ (India)

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Abbreviations: CG, control group; IG, intervention group.

							Open Access V V	ILEI	
Study	Total	Experimenta Mean SD	Total N	Contro Iean SI		SMD	95%-CI	Weight (fixed)	Weight (random)
,						•		((,
Griffith 2008	16	-4.50 6.6000	21	0.40 4.9000		-0.84	[-1.52; -0.16]	5.1%	6.0%
Palumbo 2012	6	-2.80 2.4000	5 -	1.40 3.9000		-0.41	[-1.61; 0.80]	1.6%	2.6%
Saganha 2012	8	-6.60 14.0460	8	4.00 5.3907	·	-0.94	[-1.99; 0.11]	2.2%	3.3%
Lin 2015	30	-32.97 29.0100	30	2.40 38.3000		-1.03	[-1.57; -0.49]	8.1%	7.8%
Alexander 2015	20	-4.65 13.5671	20	0.20 17.8926		-0.30	[-0.92; 0.32]	6.1%	6.7%
Bost 2005	27	-0.05 0.1800	21	0.05 0.3000		-0.41	[-0.99; 0.17]	7.1%	7.3%
Brennan 2006	41	-1.64 9.7670	41	0.28 10.0904	· · · · · ·	-0.19	[-0.63; 0.24]	12.6%	9.5%
Hansen 2006	18	-0.39 0.8640	14	0.02 0.7587	· <u> </u>	-0.49	[-1.20; 0.22]	4.7%	5.7%
Nazari 2015	33	-25.20 25.5501	33	1.60 24.1814		-1.06	[-1.58; -0.55]	8.9%	8.1%
Mahdizadeh 2019	29	-19.79 34.2442	29 -	1.83 42.944	- <u>-</u>	-0.46	[-0.98; 0.07]	8.7%	8.1%
Akyurek 2020	15	-1.00 5.6569	15	1.00 5.0000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-0.36	[-1.09; 0.36]	4.5%	5.6%
da Costa 2019	20	-0.69 2.6503	19	0.16 4.0057		-0.25	[-0.88; 0.39]	6.0%	6.6%
Mathad 2017	40	-1.47 5.5160	40	0.55 5.2960		-0.37	[-0.81; 0.07]	12.1%	9.4%
Montibeler 2018	19	2.32 16.8146	19	0.94 13.005		0.09	[-0.55; 0.73]	5.9%	6.5%
Mandal 2021	19	-6.30 8.6000	32	0.90 4.5000		-1.12	[–1.73; –0.51]	6.4%	6.8%
Fixed effect model	341		347		\diamond	-0.52 [-0.68; -0.37]	100.0%	
Random effects model					\diamond	-	-0.74; -0.33]		100.0%
Prediction interval						-	[-1.16; 0.09]		
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 32\%$, τ	$^{2} = 0.07$	748. g = 0.11							
········	0.01				-1 0 1				

FIGURE 2 Meta-analysis of all physical relaxation methods vs no intervention on occupational stress reduction at the longest duration of follow-up from baseline. A negative SMD indicates a reduction in stress measures vs baseline

Study	Total	Exper Mean	rimental SD	Total	1000 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Control SD	Standardised Mean Difference	SMD		Veight (fixed)	Weight (random)
Griffith 2008 Palumbo 2012		-1.90 -0.60	18.4000 7.0000	21 5	-3.60 1 4.00	5.8000 4.2000 —			-0.55; 0.75] -1.95; 0.53]	78.5% 21.5%	72.4% 27.6%
Fixed effect model Random effects mode Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 22\%$,		704, p =	0.26	26			-1 0 1	-	-0.65; 0.50] 1 -0.83; 0.58]	00.0%	 100.0%
Study	Total		erimental SD		Mean	Control SD	Standardised Mean Difference	SMD	95%-C	Weigh (fixed	t Weight) (random)
Griffith 2008 Palumbo 2012	16 6		17.3000 9.3000			24.5000 9.1000			[-0.84; 0.46 [-0.76; 1.66		
Fixed effect model Random effects mode Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 0\%$, τ^2	-	= 0.36		26					[-0.62; 0.53 [-0.62; 0.53	and the second se	

FIGURE 3 Meta-analysis of physical relaxation methods vs no intervention on physical health (top) and mental health (bottom) at the longest duration of follow-up from baseline

explicitly promising beforehand. A wide variety of instruments were used for outcome measurements, with the most common being the Perceived Stress Scale (PSS) for stress assessment (five studies) followed by the Maslach Burnout Inventory for emotional exhaustion (MBI-EE) (two studies). Physical and mental health were assessed through the Health Status Survey Short Form (SF-36) in two studies. The most common healthcare profession represented was nursing; 11 studies with 575 participants consisted of nurses, nursing students, or nursing technicians.

3.3 | Study quality

The risk of bias assessment is available in the supplementary file (Table S1). Most studies did not specify allocation methods, and all studies had performance bias due to selfreporting of outcomes. Due to the nature of the interventions, providers and participants cannot be blinded. Overall, seven studies were judged to have high bias, eight with some concerns, and one with low bias.

7 of 16

Journal of Occupational Health_WILES

3.4 | Study outcomes

3.4.1 | Physical relaxation vs non-intervention for occupational stress

Random-effects meta-analysis of the 15 included trials totaling 688 healthcare workers is presented in (Figure 2). Pooled results show that altogether, interventions involving yoga (seven trials), massage therapy (six trials), PMR (one trial), and stretching exercises (one trial) significantly reduced measures of occupational stress at the longest duration of follow-up vs baseline compared to non-intervention controls (SMD -0.53; 95% CI [-0.74 to -0.33]; p < .00001). Moderate heterogeneity was observed across these studies ($I^2 = 32\%$), and sensitivity analysis did not alter the results of the original analysis. Egger's test did not suggest publication bias (p = .70).

Network plot of all studies



FIGURE 4 Network plot of physical relaxation trials. The size of each node is proportional to the sample size, and line thickness is proportional to the number of trials

ZHANG ET AL

3.4.2 | Subgroup and meta-regression analyses

Due to the overwhelming female majority across these studies, a post-hoc subgroup analysis was performed using the only two studies with appreciable numbers of males (25% or more) to examine the effect of gender. No significant difference was found between this subgroup, consisting of a study with 100% males⁸⁷ and another with 42%,⁸³ compared to the remaining nine studies with known gender compositions (92.4% female) (p = .31) (Figure S1). Four studies with indeterminant gender compositions were excluded from this analysis.^{75,80,86,89} Another post-hoc analysis was conducted to compare studies using waitlist controls with non-waitlist control groups to address concerns that the former might overestimate intervention effects. A subgroup consisting of four studies explicitly utilizing waitlist controls combined with two additional studies promising therapy upon study conclusion compared with the nine remaining trials found no difference in treatment effect (p = .24) (Figure S2). Finally, mixed effects meta-regression was used to investigate the contribution of treatment duration to between-study differences in occupational stress measures at the longest duration of follow-up. Despite the wide range of interventions from 10 min to 15 weeks, this was not found to be a significant source of inter-trial heterogeneity (slope -0.044; 95% CI (-0.096 to -0.007); p = .086) (Figure S3). The results of these analyses are included in the supplementary file.

3.4.3 | Physical relaxation vs non-intervention for physical and mental health

Figure 3 shows the results of meta-analysis for the effects of physical relaxation on physical and mental health (two trials, 48 participants for both outcomes). Pooled SMD's showed no difference between relaxation methods and no intervention for either outcome (SMD -0.13; 95% CI [-0.83 to 0.58]; p = .73) and (SMD -0.05; 95% CI [-0.62 to 0.53]; p = .87), respectively. These outcomes were measured by the SF-36, which was multiplied by -1 to maintain consistent directionality. Study heterogeneity was low for both outcomes ($I^2 = 22\%$ and 0%, respectively), and no further analyses were conducted due to low study numbers.

TABLE 2 League table showing the results of network meta-analysis comparing the effects of all methods of physical relaxation and control with SMD and 95% CI. Treatments are ranked from best to worst along the diagonal starting from the top left.

Yoga				
-0.28 [-0.70; 0.14]	Massage therapy			
-0.35 [-1.25; 0.56]	-0.06 [-0.96; 0.83]	PMR		
-0.46 [-1.29; 0.36]	-0.18 [-1.01; 0.64]	-0.12 [-1.27; 1.03]	Stretching	
-0.71 [-1.01; -0.41]*	-0.43 [-0.72; -0.14]*	-0.36 [-1.21; 0.48]	-0.25 [-1.02; 0.53]	No intervention

*Significant difference at the 95% confidence level.

3.4.4 | Network meta-analysis of physical relaxation methods for occupational stress

The network plot of all 15 included trials is shown in (Figure 4). Although no studies directly compared various methods of physical relaxation with each other, all have been compared with the common comparator of non-intervention, thus allowing for indirect between-method comparisons. The size of the nodes are proportional to the number of participants in each intervention group, reflecting yoga (n = 139), massage (n = 167), stretching (n = 20), PMR (n = 15), and non-intervention controls (n = 337). The number of trials studying each intervention type is reflected in the size of the lines connecting the nodes.

Random frequentist network meta-analysis of these trials shows the relative effects of physical activity on occupational stress in ranked order (Table 2). Yoga was found to rank the highest in effectiveness, followed by massage therapy, PMR, stretching, and finally no intervention. Both yoga alone (SMD -0.71; 95% CI [-1.01 to -0.41]) and massage therapy alone (SMD -0.43; 95% CI [-0.72 to -0.14]) significantly reduced measures of occupational stress at the longest duration of follow-up vs baseline compared to non-intervention controls. The rank order of these interventions did not change on sensitivity analysis, and consistency was not evaluated due to the lack of direct comparisons.

Finally, we ranked individual interventions on the basis of their *p*-score, which reflects the mean certainty that one treatment is better than other competing treatments, ranging continuously from 0 (least effective) to 1 (most effective).⁹⁰ In agreement with the results of our network meta-analysis, the ranked order of these interventions is yoga, massage therapy, PMR, stretching, and no-intervention, with *p*-scores of .89, .58, .51, .40, and .12 respectively.

4 | DISCUSSION

Although numerous techniques of stress reduction in healthcare workers have been assessed in previous studies, physical methods of relaxation have produced consistently positive results. This meta-analysis of multiple interventions confirms their overall effectiveness, with yoga and related exercises particularly beneficial. These findings are in agreement with systematic reviews in other professions and in the general population.⁹¹⁻⁹⁴

A notable feature of our study is that we have elected to conduct both pairwise and network meta-analyses. The earlier Cochrane meta-analysis utilized only the former, pooling results from both movement-based and non-movement-based interventions.³⁰ Sufficient homogeneity between interventions is a prerequisite for meaningful meta-analysis,³⁴ and this is reflected in various methods of physical relaxation. For example, yoga, which obviously incorporates stretching, has also been described as a form of "self-massage",⁹⁵ and additionally has been compared to progressive muscle relaxation.⁹⁶ Nevertheless, we detected moderate heterogeneity across trials. Possible causes explored were gender, control status, and treatment duration. Studies have suggested that the utilization of waitlist controls may overestimate treatment effects, as these participants appear to improve less than would otherwise be expected.⁹⁷ However, we did not find a difference in effects between this subgroup and non-waitlist controls. Similarly, there was no significant effect of gender and treatment duration found on subgroup and meta-regression analyses. This leaves the possibility of variations in intervention modality,⁹⁸ which would be identified on network metaanalysis. We have ranked these interventions in order, with yoga and massage therapy superior to non-intervention, yet we could not demonstrate that a given intervention was superior to another. The highest *p*-score of yoga represents the highest probability of being the best treatment, but without information on ranking spread it cannot determine head-tohead superiority over another particular intervention.^{90,99}

The use of network meta-analyses in the occupational medicine literature is apparently uncommon. The ability to assess multiple comparators simultaneously, rank them in order of effect, and elicit indirect evidence are powerful tools that may guide both interventional and preventive measures to promote worker health. Potential applications may include comparing treatments for work-related injuries, return-towork programs, and multiple workplace exposures. However, these techniques should not be used blindly. In addition to complex statistical assumptions that may necessitate expert input,^{100,101} the nature of the primary research should be considered. A major assumption is the balanced distribution of effect modifiers such as study and patient characteristics across trials¹⁰²; imbalance of these factors leads to bias and may invalidate study results.¹⁰³ Interestingly, occupation itself can be considered as an effect modifier, and many studies use the occupational group as the unit of analysis,¹⁰⁴ for example, assessing an intervention or exposure in nurses. Therefore, it may not be appropriate to compare such a study with one in a different occupation, even if the intervention or outcome were identical.

From the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic, there has been interest in developing both individual and organizational interventions that maintain the well-being of hospital staff.^{15,23,25} There is debate over the relative efficacy of these approaches. Many reviews have emphasized individual therapy,¹⁰⁵ but studies in the healthcare setting have noted that organizational interventions are longer lasting and more effective.²⁵ However, this is not a mutually exclusive dichotomy. Workplace wellness programs are interventions implemented at the organizational level,¹⁰⁶ but may incorporate relaxation methods targeting the individual. In France, these

9 of 16

ideas were explored in the *Bulle* (bubble) program at Cochin Hospital in Paris, which supported hospital staff during the pandemic with a relaxing space that encouraged physical movement.²⁹ Widespread adoption of such organizational programs should be encouraged by healthcare employers to promote employee wellness.

Stress reduction programs may be especially helpful for nurses. Nursing professionals comprise the great majority of subjects across these trials, and studies have consistently reported that nurses experience the highest levels of occupational stress and burnout of all healthcare workers.^{107,108} Indeed, the profession has the distinction of having its own scales, the Nursing Stress Scale (NSS) and the expanded NSS (ENSS) for evaluating nursing occupational stress.¹⁰⁹ Several job characteristics particular to the nursing profession may be contributing factors, such as work hours, time constraints, irregular schedules, and lack of professional support.¹¹⁰ Studies assessing nurses' mental well-being have found higher levels of anxiety,¹¹¹ depression,¹¹² and post-traumatic stress¹¹³ compared to the general population. In our analyses, we were unable to compare nurses with non-nursing professionals, as the only study that featured significant numbers of the latter consisted of physiotherapists with pre-existing burnout.⁸⁰

Our results suggest that yoga and related exercises may be the most effective methods of stress reduction. Several mechanisms have been postulated for these effects. Modulation of the autonomic nervous system appears to play a role, and studies have documented reductions in heart rate, blood pressure, and breath rate suggestive of reduced sympathetic and/ or increased parasympathetic activity.^{114,115} These peripheral effects are most likely mediated through vagal nerve stimulation,¹¹⁶ but central anxiolytic effects may also be produced through vagal communication with the nucleus tractus solitarii (NTS).¹¹⁷ Additional central effects include the release of beta-endorphins and reduction in ACTH and cortisol levels.^{118,119} Indeed, it has been found that yoga leads to significant reductions in salivary cortisol immediately after practice.¹²⁰ Tai chi and gigong appear to operate via similar mechanisms.¹²¹ However, yoga stands out not only in terms of effectiveness but also in terms of the method of delivery. The recent need for social distancing has driven many activities online, and yoga enjoys obvious logistical advantages over massage therapy in keeping with these measures. Pilot studies of online yoga programs have shown improvements in mental well-being in specialized populations, 122,123 and tele-yoga has been suggested as a specific means of stress management in the era of COVID-19.¹²⁴

Our study has several limitations. The overall study quality of these trials was medium to low mainly due to lack of blinding and self-reporting of measures which are largely unavoidable in this type of study. A recent review of 142 Cochrane meta-analyses did not find a difference in treatment effect between trials with blinded and non-blinded

participants ¹²⁵ and is thus unlikely to have influenced outcomes in this case. However, self-reporting of outcomes has been associated with differing treatment effects, ^{126,127} and it is important for these outcome measures to be properly validated. Longitudinal validity is crucial in studies assessing preand post- outcomes,¹²⁸ and the most commonly used scales in included trials have been validated in the healthcare-worker population.^{109,129,130} In our study, an informal comparison between studies using the PSS, MBI, ENSS, and others did not find any subgroup differences (p = .99). There was only one trial identified each for PMR and stretching, and in the context of NMA, bias in only a single trial may affect multiple pooled estimates instead of just one in pairwise MA. Subjects were overwhelmingly young and healthy females who may not reflect the overall healthcare worker population. Although we performed a post hoc subgroup analysis to examine the effect of gender, the use of only two trials with appreciable numbers of males in this analysis makes it difficult to definitively conclude that these interventions are indeed gender neutral. As these two trials only evaluated massage therapy, it cannot be ruled out that other methods of relaxation may differ based on gender. We also did not find any benefit for these treatments on mental and physical health. One reason for this may be the low number of trials identified which studied these outcomes. Further, participants across all trials were generally young and healthy, and many trials specifically excluded subjects with any mental or severe physical illnesses. Identifying improvements in mental and physical health would be difficult in this context. For network meta-analysis, we were unable to identify trials comparing multiple physical relaxation methods to each other instead of non-intervention. Owing to the lack of direct evidence, we

In some instances, multiple scales were used in the same trial. In such cases we used the scale that is more reflective of stress. For example, several studies used the MBI, which, in addition to the emotional exhaustion (MBI-EE) component, also features the depersonalization (MBI-DP) and personal accomplishment (MBI-PA) scales. Since it has been suggested that MBI-EE is a better measure of occupational stress,¹³¹ this scale only was chosen for meta-analysis when data from all scales are available in the original trial. This is likewise true for the STAI state vs STAI trait; the latter may correlate more with the PSS¹³² and was thus the preferred scale. Occasionally, multiple measures were presented for occupational stress; in such situations, the most common scale was used; for example, in a trial featuring both the PSS and NSS,⁷⁹ the PSS was used for meta-analysis since it was used more frequently in other studies, perhaps leading to a tradeoff of consistency at the expense of specificity.

were unable to evaluate study consistency.

Finally, we have elected to group tai chi and qigong together with yoga under the common heading of yoga. Although these practices all possess their own distinct

5 | CONCLUSION

Healthcare workers face a multitude of stressors in their work environments. Occupational stress may lead to decreased job satisfaction, poor job performance, and impact overall health. Physical methods of relaxation may be helpful in reducing stress in this population. Movement-based activities such as yoga are particularly effective and may be delivered remotely. Employers in the healthcare industry should consider implementing workplace wellness programs that integrate these methods to promote the wellbeing of their staff.

ETHICS STATEMENT

Ethical approval is not required for this meta-analysis as all data are collected and synthesized from previously published manuscripts.

DISCLOSURES

Approval of the research protocol: N/A. Informed Consent: N/A. Registry and the Registration No. of the study/trial: N/A. Animal Studies: N/A.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Authors declare no Conflict of Interests for this article.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

MZ contributed to study design, literature search, data collection, statistical analysis, and manuscript writing. BM contributed to study conceptualization, data collection, data interpretation, and manuscript editing. AC contributed to data interpretation, statistical analysis, and manuscript writing. CY contributed to study design, data collection, statistical analysis, and manuscript editing. All authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All data analyzed for this study are included in the manuscript.

ORCID

Michael Zhang D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7074-1021

REFERENCES

- Quick JC, Henderson DF. Occupational stress: preventing suffering, enhancing wellbeing. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2016;13(5):459. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph13050459
- Herr RM, Barrech A, Riedel N, Gündel H, Angerer P, Li J. Longterm effectiveness of stress management at work: effects of the changes in perceived stress reactivity on mental health and sleep problems seven years later. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2018;15(2):255. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15020255
- Theorell T, Hammarström A, Aronsson G, et al. A systematic review including meta-analysis of work environment and depressive symptoms. *BMC Public Health*. 2015;15:738. https://doi. org/10.1186/s12889-015-1954-4
- Kivimäki M, Kawachi I. Work stress as a risk factor for cardiovascular disease. *Curr Cardiol Rep.* 2015;17(9):630. https://doi. org/10.1007/s11886-015-0630-8
- Kivimäki M, Virtanen M, Elovainio M, Kouvonen A, Väänänen A, Vahtera J. Work stress in the etiology of coronary heart disease–a meta-analysis. *Scand J Work Environ Health.* 2006;32(6):431– 442. https://doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.1049
- Bagheri T, Fatemi MJ, Payandan H, Skandari A, Momeni M. The effects of stress-coping strategies and group cognitivebehavioral therapy on nurse burnout. *Ann Burns Fire Disasters*. 2019;32(3):184–189.
- Sharma P, Davey A, Davey S, Shukla A, Shrivastava K, Bansal R. Occupational stress among staff nurses: controlling the risk to health. *Indian J Occup Environ Med.* 2014;18(2):52–56. https:// doi.org/10.4103/0019-5278.146890
- Jennings BM. Work stress and burnout among nurses: role of the work environment and working conditions. In: *Patient Safety and Quality: An Evidence-Based Handbook for Nurses*. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2008. https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/books/NBK2668/
- Joseph B, Joseph M. The health of the healthcare workers. *Indian J Occup Environ Med.* 2016;20(2):71–72. https://doi.org/10.4103/0019-5278.197518
- Panari C, Caricati L, Pelosi A, Rossi C. Emotional exhaustion among healthcare professionals: the effects of role ambiguity, work engagement and professional commitment. *Acta Biomed.* 2019;90(6-S):60–67. https://doi.org/10.23750/abm.v90i6-S.8481
- Reith TP. Burnout in United States healthcare professionals: a narrative review. *Cureus*. 2018;10(12):e3681. https://doi. org/10.7759/cureus.3681
- Kriakous SA, Elliott KA, Lamers C, Owen R. The effectiveness of mindfulness-based stress reduction on the psychological functioning of healthcare professionals: a systematic review. *Mindfulness*. 2021;12(1):1–28. https://doi.org/10.1007/s1267 1-020-01500-9
- Li LI, Ai H, Gao L, et al. Moderating effects of coping on work stress and job performance for nurses in tertiary hospitals: a crosssectional survey in China. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2017;17(1):401. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-017-2348-3
- Fernandez R, Lord H, Halcomb E, et al. Implications for COVID-19: A systematic review of nurses' experiences of working in acute care hospital settings during a respiratory pandemic. *Int J Nurs Stud.* 2020;111:103637. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnur stu.2020.103637
- 15. Arnetz JE, Goetz CM, Arnetz BB, Arble E. Nurse reports of stressful situations during the covid-19 pandemic: qualitative

12 of 16 WILEY-Journal of Occupational Health

analysis of survey responses. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17(21):8126. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17218126

- Nishimura Y, Miyoshi T, Hagiya H, Kosaki Y, Otsuka F. Burnout of healthcare workers amid the COVID-19 pandemic: a japanese cross-sectional survey. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021;18(5):2434. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18052434
- Zhang X, Zhao KE, Zhang G, et al. Occupational stress and mental health: a comparison between frontline medical staff and non-frontline medical staff during the 2019 novel coronavirus disease outbreak. *Front psychiatry*. 2020;11:555703. https://doi. org/10.3389/fpsyt.2020.555703
- Lasalvia A, Amaddeo F, Porru S, et al. Levels of burn-out among healthcare workers during the COVID-19 pandemic and their associated factors: a cross-sectional study in a tertiary hospital of a highly burdened area of north-east Italy. *BMJ Open.* 2021;11(1):e045127. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjop en-2020-045127
- Sharma R, Saxena A, Magoon R, Jain MK. A cross-sectional analysis of prevalence and factors related to depression, anxiety, and stress in health care workers amidst the COVID-19 pandemic. *Indian J Anaesth.* 2020;64(Suppl 4):S242–S244. https:// doi.org/10.4103/ija.IJA_987_20
- Hassannia L, Taghizadeh F, Moosazadeh M, et al. Anxiety and depression in health workers and general population during COVID-19 in IRAN: a cross-sectional study. *Neuropsychopharmacol Rep.* 2021;41(1):40–49. https://doi.org/10.1002/npr2.12153
- Shechter A, Diaz F, Moise N, et al. Psychological distress, coping behaviors, and preferences for support among New York healthcare workers during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*. 2020;66:1–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.genhosppsy ch.2020.06.007
- Batra K, Singh TP, Sharma M, Batra R, Schvaneveldt N. Investigating the psychological impact of COVID-19 among healthcare workers: a meta-analysis. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17(23):9096. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17239096
- Callus E, Bassola B, Fiolo V, Bertoldo EG, Pagliuca S, Lusignani M. Stress reduction techniques for health care providers dealing with severe coronavirus infections (SARS, MERS, and COVID-19): a rapid review. *Front Psychol.* 2020;11:589698. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.589698
- Linzer M, Poplau S, Grossman E, et al. A cluster randomized trial of interventions to improve work conditions and clinician burnout in primary care: results from the healthy work place (HWP) study. J Gen Intern Med. 2015;30(8):1105–1111. https://doi. org/10.1007/s11606-015-3235-4
- Heath C, Sommerfield A, von Ungern-Sternberg BS. Resilience strategies to manage psychological distress among healthcare workers during the COVID-19 pandemic: a narrative review. *Anaesthesia.* 2020;75(10):1364–1371. https://doi.org/10.1111/ anae.15180
- Behan C. The benefits of meditation and mindfulness practices during times of crisis such as COVID-19. *Ir J Psychol Med.* 2020;37(4):256–258. https://doi.org/10.1017/ipm.2020.38
- van der Klink JJ, Blonk RW, Schene AH, van Dijk FJ. The benefits of interventions for work-related stress. *Am J Public Health*. 2001;91(2):270–276. https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.91.2.270
- Miyoshi Y. Restorative yoga for occupational stress among Japanese female nurses working night shift: randomized crossover trial. J Occup Health. 2019;61(6):508–516. https://doi. org/10.1002/1348-9585.12080

- Lefèvre H, Stheneur C, Cardin C, et al. The bulle: support and prevention of psychological decompensation of health care workers during the trauma of the COVID-19 epidemic. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2021;61(2):416–422. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain symman.2020.09.023
- Ruotsalainen JH, Verbeek JH, Mariné A, Serra C. Preventing occupational stress in healthcare workers. *Cochrane database Syst Rev.* 2015(4):CD002892. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858. CD002892.pub5
- Bittman B, Bruhn KT, Stevens C, Westengard J, Umbach PO. Recreational music-making: a cost-effective group interdisciplinary strategy for reducing burnout and improving mood states in long-term care workers. Adv Mind Body Med. 2003;19(3–4):4–15.
- Yazdani M, Rezaei S, Pahlavanzadeh S. The effectiveness of stress management training program on depression, anxiety and stress of the nursing students. *Iran J Nurs Midwifery Res.* 2010;15(4):208–215.
- 33. Kurebayashi LFS, Gnatta JR, Borges TP, et al. The applicability of auriculotherapy with needles or seeds to reduce stress in nursing professionals. *Rev Esc Enferm USP*. 2012;46(1):89–95. https://doi.org/10.1590/s0080-62342012000100012
- 34. Cochrane statistical methods group. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions version 6.1. Chapter 10: analysing data and undertaking meta-analyses. Published 2020. https://training.cochrane.org/handbook/current/chapter-10. Accessed February 19, 2021.
- Cocchiara R, Peruzzo M, Mannocci A, et al. The use of yoga to manage stress and burnout in healthcare workers: a systematic review. *J Clin Med.* 2019;8(3):284. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm80 30284
- Bischoff LL, Otto A-K, Hold C, Wollesen B. The effect of physical activity interventions on occupational stress for health personnel: a systematic review. *Int J Nurs Stud.* 2019;97:94–104. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2019.06.002
- PRISMA Group. PRISMA (preferred reporting items for systemic reviews and meta-analyse). http://prisma-statement.org. Accessed February 19, 2020.
- Pearson MJ, Smart NA. Reported methods for handling missing change standard deviations in meta-analyses of exercise therapy interventions in patients with heart failure: a systematic review. *PLoS One.* 2018;13(10):e0205952. https://doi.org/10.1371/journ al.pone.0205952
- Cochrane Statistical Methods Group. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions version 6.1. Chapter 6: choosing effect measures and computing estimates of effect. Published 2020. https://training.cochrane.org/handbook/current/ chapter-06. Accessed February 19, 2020
- Greco T, Biondi-Zoccai G, Gemma M, Guérin C, Zangrillo A, Landoni G. How to impute study-specific standard deviations in meta-analyses of skewed continuous endpoints? *World J Meta-Analysis*. 2015;3(5):215–224. https://doi.org/10.13105/wjma. v3.i5.215
- Tsafnat G, Glasziou P, Choong MK, Dunn A, Galgani F, Coiera E. Systematic review automation technologies. Syst Rev. 2014;3:74. https://doi.org/10.1186/2046-4053-3-74
- 42. Cochrane Statistical Methods Group. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions version 6.1. Chapter 8: Assessing risk of bias in a randomized trial. Published 2020. https://training.cochrane.org/handbook/current/chapter-08. Accessed February 19, 2020.

- 43. Owen RK, Bradbury N, Xin Y, Cooper N, Sutton A. MetaInsight: an interactive web-based tool for analyzing, interrogating, and visualizing network meta-analyses using R-shiny and netmeta. *Res Synth Methods*. 2019;10(4):569–581. https://doi.org/10.1002/ jrsm.1373
- Repar PA, Patton D. Stress reduction for nurses through Artsin-Medicine at the University of New Mexico Hospitals. *Holist Nurs Pract.* 2007;21(4):182–186. https://doi.org/10.1097/01. HNP.0000280929.68259.5c
- 45. La Torre G, Raffone A, Peruzzo M, et al. Yoga and mindfulness as a tool for influencing affectivity, anxiety, mental health, and stress among healthcare workers: results of a single-arm clinical trial. *J Clin Med.* 2020;9(4):1037. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm9041037
- 46. Cooke M, Holzhauser K, Jones M, Davis C, Finucane J. The effect of aromatherapy massage with music on the stress and anxiety levels of emergency nurses: comparison between summer and winter. *J Clin Nurs.* 2007;16(9):1695–1703. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-2702.2007.01709.x
- Anderson R, Mammen K, Paul P, Pletch A, Pulia K. Using yoga nidra to improve stress in psychiatric nurses in a pilot study. J Altern Complement Med. 2017;23(6):494–495. https://doi. org/10.1089/acm.2017.0046
- Bernstein AM, Kobs A, Bar J, et al. Yoga for stress management among intensive care unit staff: a pilot study. *Altern Complement Ther.* 2015;21(3):111–115. https://doi.org/10.1089/act.2015.28999.amb
- Silveira E, Batista K, Grazziano E, Bringuete M, Lima E. Effect of progressive muscle relaxation on stress and workplace wellbeing of hospital nurses. *Enferm Glob.* 2020;19:466–493. https:// doi.org/10.6018/eglobal.396621
- Chevalier G, Patel S, Weiss L, Chopra D, Mills PJ. The effects of grounding (earthing) on bodyworkers' pain and overall quality of life: a randomized controlled trial. *Explore*. 2019;15(3):181–190. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.explore.2018.10.001
- Ofei-Dodoo S, Cleland-Leighton A, Nilsen K, Cloward JL, Casey E. Impact of a mindfulness-based, workplace group yoga intervention on burnout, self-care, and compassion in health care professionals: a pilot study. *J Occup Environ Med.* 2020;62(8):581–587.
- Riley KE, Park CL, Wilson A, et al. Improving physical and mental health in frontline mental health care providers: yoga-based stress management versus cognitive behavioral stress management. J Workplace Behav Health. 2017;32(1):26–48. https://doi. org/10.1080/15555240.2016.1261254
- Steinberg B, Bartimole L, Habash D, Fristad MA. Tai chi for workplace wellness: pilot feasibility study. *Explore*. 2017;13(6):407– 408. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.explore.2016.12.017
- Marshall D, Donohue G, Morrissey J, Power B. Evaluation of a tai chi intervention to promote well-being in healthcare staff: a pilot study. *Religion*. 2018;9(2):35. https://doi.org/10.3390/rel9020035
- 55. Edmonds C, Lockwood GM, Bezjak A, Nyhof-Young J. Alleviating emotional exhaustion in oncology nurses: an evaluation of wellspring's "care for the professional caregiver program". *J cancer Educ Off J Am Assoc Cancer Educ*. 2012;27(1):27–36. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13187-011-0278-z
- Tarantino B, Earley M, Audia D, D'Adamo C, Berman B. Qualitative and quantitative evaluation of a pilot integrative coping and resiliency program for healthcare professionals. *Explore*. 2013;9(1):44–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.explore.2012.10.002
- 57. Engen DJ, Wahner-Roedler DL, Vincent A, et al. Feasibility and effect of chair massage offered to nurses during work hours

on stress-related symptoms: a pilot study. *Complement Ther Clin Pract.* 2012;18(4):212–215. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ctcp.2012.06.002

- Lary A, Borimnejad L, Mardani-Hamooleh M. The impact of a stress management program on the stress response of nurses in neonatal intensive care units: a quasi-experimental study. *J Perinat Neonatal Nurs.* 2019;33(2):189–195. https://doi.org/10.1097/ JPN.0000000000000396
- Sallon S, Katz-Eisner D, Yaffe H, Bdolah-Abram T. Caring for the caregivers: results of an extended, five-component stress-reduction intervention for hospital staff. *Behav Med.* 2017;43(1):47–60. https://doi.org/10.1080/08964289.2015.1053426
- Lynes L, Kawar L, Valdez RM. Can laughter yoga provide stress relief for clinical nurses? *Nurs Manage*. 2019;50(6):30–37. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.NUMA.0000558481.00191.78
- Lee J-S, Lee S-K. The effects of laughter therapy for the relief of employment-stress in korean student nurses by assessing psychological stress salivary cortisol and subjective happiness. *Osong public Heal Res Perspect*. 2020;11(1):44–52. https://doi. org/10.24171/j.phrp.2020.11.1.07
- Keller SR, Engen DJ, Bauer BA, et al. Feasibility and effectiveness of massage therapy for symptom relief in cardiac catheter laboratory staff: a pilot study. *Complement Ther Clin Pract.* 2012;18(1):4–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctcp.2011.08.006
- 63. Veiga G, Dias Rodrigues A, Lamy E, Guiose M, Pereira C, Marmeleira J. The effects of a relaxation intervention on nurses' psychological and physiological stress indicators: a pilot study. *Complement Ther Clin Pract.* 2019;35:265–271. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ctcp.2019.03.008
- Shirey MR. An evidence-based solution for minimizing stress and anger in nursing students. J Nurs Educ. 2007;46(12):568–571. https://doi.org/10.3928/01484834-20071201-07
- 65. Klatt M, Steinberg B, Duchemin A-M. Mindfulness in motion (MIM): an onsite mindfulness based intervention (MBI) for chronically high stress work environments to increase resiliency and work engagement. *J Vis Exp.* 2015;101:e52359. https://doi.org/10.3791/52359
- Fang R, Li X. A regular yoga intervention for staff nurse sleep quality and work stress: a randomised controlled trial. *J Clin Nurs*. 2015;24(23–24):3374–3379. https://doi.org/10.1111/jocn.12983
- Moyle W, Cooke M, O'Dwyer ST, Murfield J, Johnston A, Sung B. The effect of foot massage on long-term care staff working with older people with dementia: a pilot, parallel group, randomized controlled trial. *BMC Nurs.* 2013;12:5. https://doi. org/10.1186/1472-6955-12-5
- Turkeltaub PC, Yearwood EL, Friedmann E. Effect of a brief seated massage on nursing student attitudes toward touch for comfort care. J Altern Complement Med. 2014;20(10):792–799. https://doi.org/10.1089/acm.2014.0142
- Katz J, Wowk A, Culp D, Wakeling H. a randomized controlled study of the pain- and tension-reducing effects of 15 min workplace massage treatments versus seated rest for nurses in a large teaching hospital. *Pain Res Manag.* 1999;4:81–88. https://doi. org/10.1155/1999/145703.
- Mehrabi T, Azadi F, Pahlavanzadeh S, Meghdadi N. The effect of yoga on coping strategies among intensive care unit nurses. *Iran J Nurs Midwifery Res.* 2012;17(6):421–424.
- Airosa F, Andersson SK, Falkenberg T, et al. Tactile massage and hypnosis as a health promotion for nurses in emergency care–a qualitative study. *BMC Complement Altern Med.* 2011;11:83. https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6882-11-83

14 of 16 WILEY-Journal of Occupational Health

- 72. Gerdle B, Brulin C, Elert J, Eliasson P, Granlund B. Effect of a general fitness program on musculoskeletal symptoms, clinical status, physiological capacity, and perceived work environment among home care service personnel. *J Occup Rehabil*. 1995;5(1):1–16. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02117816
- Weight CJ, Sellon JL, Lessard-Anderson CR, Shanafelt TD, Olsen KD, Laskowski ER. Physical activity, quality of life, and burnout among physician trainees: the effect of a team-based, incentivized exercise program. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2013;88(12):1435–1442. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2013.09.010
- Tveito TH, Eriksen HR. Integrated health programme: a workplace randomized controlled trial. *J Adv Nurs*. 2009;65(1):110– 119. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2648.2008.04846.x
- 75. Bost N, Wallis M. The effectiveness of a 15 minute weekly massage in reducing physical and psychological stress in nurses. *Aust J Adv Nurs a Q Publ R Aust Nurs Fed.* 2006;23(4):28–33.
- Brennan MK, DeBate RD. The effect of chair massage on stress perception of hospital bedside nurses. J Bodyw Mov Ther. 2006;10(4):335–342. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbmt.2005.11.003
- Hansen TM, Hansen B, Ringdal GI. Does aromatherapy massage reduce job-related stress? Results from a randomised, controlled trial. *Int J Aromather*. 2006;16(2):89–94. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ijat.2006.04.004
- 78. Griffith JM, Hasley JP, Liu H, Severn DG, Conner LH, Adler LE. Qigong stress reduction in hospital staff. J Altern Complement Med. 2008;14(8):939–945. https://doi.org/10.1089/ acm.2007.0814
- Palumbo MV, Wu G, Shaner-McRae H, Rambur B, McIntosh B. Tai Chi for older nurses: a workplace wellness pilot study. *Appl Nurs Res.* 2012;25(1):54–59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. apnr.2010.01.002
- Saganha JP, Doenitz C, Greten T, Efferth T, Greten HJ. Qigong therapy for physiotherapists suffering from burnout: a preliminary study. *Zhong Xi Yi Jie He Xue Bao*. 2012;10(11):1233–1239. https://doi.org/10.3736/jcim20121106
- Alexander GK, Rollins K, Walker D, Wong L, Pennings J. Yoga for self-care and burnout prevention among nurses. *Workplace Health Saf.* 2015;63(10):462–470; quiz 471. https://doi. org/10.1177/2165079915596102
- Lin S-L, Huang C-Y, Shiu S-P, Yeh S-H. Effects of yoga on stress, stress adaption, and heart rate variability among mental health professionals–a randomized controlled trial. *Worldviews Evid Based Nurs*. 2015;12(4):236–245. https://doi.org/10.1111/wvn.12097
- Nazari F, Mirzamohamadi M, Yousefi H. The effect of massage therapy on occupational stress of intensive care unit nurses. *Iran J Nurs Midwifery Res.* 2015;20(4):508–515. https://doi.org/10.410 3/1735-9066.161001
- Mathad MD, Pradhan B, Sasidharan RK. Effect of yoga on psychological functioning of nursing students: a randomized wait list control trial. *J Clin Diagn Res.* 2017;11(5):KC01–KC05. https:// doi.org/10.7860/JCDR/2017/26517.9833
- 85. Montibeler J, Domingos TDS, Braga EM, Gnatta JR, Kurebayashi LFS, Kurebayashi AK. Effectiveness of aromatherapy massage on the stress of the surgical center nursing team: a pilot study. *Rev Esc Enferm USP*. 2018;52:3348. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1980 -220X2017038303348
- Cezar da Costa MV, da Silva Filho JN, Lírio Gurgel J, Porto F. Stretching exercises in perception of stress in nursing professionals: randomized clinical trial. *Cad Bras Ter Ocup*. 2019;27:357–366.

- Mahdizadeh M, Jaberi AA, Bonabi TN. Massage therapy in management of occupational stress in emergency medical services staffs: a randomized controlled trial. *Int J Ther Massage Bodywork*. 2019;12(1):16–22.
- Akyurek G, Avci N, Ekici G. The effects of "Workplace Health Promotion Program" in nurses: A randomized controlled trial and one-year follow-up. *Health Care Women Int.* 2020:1–17. https:// doi.org/10.1080/07399332.2020.1800013
- Mandal S, Misra P, Sharma G, et al. Effect of structured yoga program on stress and professional quality of life among nursing staff in a tertiary care hospital of Delhi-a small scale phase-II trial. J Evid Based Integr Med. 2021;26:2515690X21991998. https://doi. org/10.1177/2515690X21991998
- Rücker G, Schwarzer G. Ranking treatments in frequentist network meta-analysis works without resampling methods. *BMC Med Res Methodol.* 2015;15:58. https://doi.org/10.1186/s1287 4-015-0060-8
- Della Valle E, Palermi S, Aloe I, et al. Effectiveness of workplace yoga interventions to reduce perceived stress in employees: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Funct Morphol Kinesiol. 2020;5(2): https://doi.org/10.3390/jfmk5020033
- Pascoe MC, Thompson DR, Ski CF. Yoga, mindfulness-based stress reduction and stress-related physiological measures: a meta-analysis. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2017;86:152–168. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2017.08.008
- Moyer CA, Rounds J, Hannum JW. A meta-analysis of massage therapy research. *Psychol Bull*. 2004;130(1):3–18. https://doi.org/ 10.1037/0033-2909.130.1.3
- Manzoni GM, Pagnini F, Castelnuovo G, Molinari E. Relaxation training for anxiety: a ten-years systematic review with meta-analysis. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2008;8(1):41. https://doi. org/10.1186/1471-244X-8-41
- Field T. Massage therapy research review. Complement Ther Clin Pract. 2016;24:19–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctcp.2016.04.005
- Fares J, Fares Y. The role of yoga in relieving medical student anxiety and stress. N Am J Med Sci. 2016;8(4):202–204. https:// doi.org/10.4103/1947-2714.179963
- Cunningham JA, Kypri K, McCambridge J. Exploratory randomized controlled trial evaluating the impact of a waiting list control design. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2013;13:150. https://doi. org/10.1186/1471-2288-13-150
- Haidich AB. Meta-analysis in medical research. *Hippokratia*. 2010;14(Suppl 1):29–37.
- Salanti G. Indirect and mixed-treatment comparison, network, or multiple-treatments meta-analysis: many names, many benefits, many concerns for the next generation evidence synthesis tool. *Res Synth Methods*. 2012;3(2):80–97. https://doi.org/10.1002/ jrsm.1037
- Tonin FS, Rotta I, Mendes AM, Pontarolo R. Network metaanalysis: a technique to gather evidence from direct and indirect comparisons. *Pharm Pract (Granada)*. 2017;15(1):943. https:// doi.org/10.18549/PharmPract.2017.01.943
- 101. Ter Veer E, van Oijen MGH, van Laarhoven HWM. The use of (network) meta-analysis in clinical oncology. *Front Oncol.* 2019;9:822. https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2019.00822
- 102. Al Khalifah R, Florez ID, Guyatt G, Thabane L. Network meta-analysis: users' guide for pediatricians. *BMC Pediatr*. 2018;18(1):180. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12887-018-1132-9
- 103. Jansen JP, Naci H. Is network meta-analysis as valid as standard pairwise meta-analysis? It all depends on the

distribution of effect modifiers. *BMC Med.* 2013;11:159. https:// doi.org/10.1186/1741-7015-11-159

- 104. Symanski E, Sällsten G, Chan W, Barregård L. Heterogeneity in sources of exposure variability among groups of workers exposed to inorganic mercury. *Ann Occup Hyg.* 2001;45(8):677–687.
- 105. Bhui KS, Dinos S, Stansfeld SA, White PD. A synthesis of the evidence for managing stress at work: a review of the reviews reporting on anxiety, depression, and absenteeism. *J Environ Public Health.* 2012;2012:1–21. https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/515874
- Lapa TA, Madeira FM, Viana JS, Pinto-Gouveia J. Burnout syndrome and wellbeing in anesthesiologists: the importance of emotion regulation strategies. *Minerva Anestesiol*. 2017;83(2):191–199. https://doi.org/10.23736/S0375 -9393.16.11379-3
- 107. Chou L-P, Li C-Y, Hu SC. Job stress and burnout in hospital employees: comparisons of different medical professions in a regional hospital in Taiwan. *BMJ Open.* 2014;4(2):e004185. https:// doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2013-004185
- 108. Khamisa N, Oldenburg B, Peltzer K, Ilic D. Work related stress, burnout, job satisfaction and general health of nurses. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2015;12(1):652–666. https://doi. org/10.3390/ijerph120100652
- French SE, Lenton R, Walters V, Eyles J. An empirical evaluation of an expanded Nursing Stress Scale. J Nurs Meas. 2000;8(2):161–178.
- 110. Maharaj S, Lees T, Lal S. Prevalence and risk factors of depression, anxiety, and stress in a cohort of Australian nurses. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2018;16(1):61. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerp h16010061
- 111. Cheung T, Yip PSF. Depression, anxiety and symptoms of stress among hong kong nurses: a cross-sectional study. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2015;12(9):11072–11100. https://doi. org/10.3390/ijerph120911072
- Letvak S, Ruhm CJ, McCoy T. Depression in hospital-employed nurses. *Clin Nurse Spec.* 2012;26(3):177–182. https://doi. org/10.1097/NUR.0b013e3182503ef0
- 113. Mealer M, Burnham EL, Goode CJ, Rothbaum B, Moss M. The prevalence and impact of post traumatic stress disorder and burnout syndrome in nurses. *Depress Anxiety*. 2009;26(12):1118– 1126. https://doi.org/10.1002/da.20631
- 114. Telles S, Raghavendra BR, Naveen KV, Manjunath NK, Kumar S, Subramanya P. Changes in autonomic variables following two meditative states described in yoga texts. *J Altern Complement Med.* 2013;19(1):35–42. https://doi.org/10.1089/acm.2011.0282
- 115. Ankad RB, Herur A, Patil S, Shashikala GV, Chinagudi S. Effect of short-term pranayama and meditation on cardiovascular functions in healthy individuals. *Heart Views*. 2011;12(2):58–62. https://doi.org/10.4103/1995-705X.86016
- 116. Streeter CC, Gerbarg PL, Saper RB, Ciraulo DA, Brown RP. Effects of yoga on the autonomic nervous system, gammaaminobutyric-acid, and allostasis in epilepsy, depression, and post-traumatic stress disorder. *Med Hypotheses*. 2012;78(5):571– 579. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mehy.2012.01.021
- 117. Breit S, Kupferberg A, Rogler G, Hasler G. Vagus nerve as modulator of the brain-gut axis in psychiatric and inflammatory disorders. *Front Psychiatry*. 2018;9:44. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2018.00044
- 118. Thirthalli J, Naveen GH, Rao MG, Varambally S, Christopher R, Gangadhar BN. Cortisol and antidepressant effects of yoga. *Indian J Psychiatry*. 2013;55(Suppl 3):S405–S408. https://doi.or g/10.4103/0019-5545.116315

119. Arora S, Bhattacharjee J. Modulation of immune responses in stress by yoga. *Int J Yoga*. 2008;1(2):45–55. https://doi. org/10.4103/0973-6131.43541

Journal of Occupational Health_WILEY

- 120. Sullivan M, Carberry A, Evans ES, Hall EE, Nepocatych S. The effects of power and stretch yoga on affect and salivary cortisol in women. *J Health Psychol.* 2019;24(12):1658–1667. https://doi. org/10.1177/1359105317694487
- 121. Abbott R, Lavretsky H. Tai chi and qigong for the treatment and prevention of mental disorders. *Psychiatr Clin North Am.* 2013;36(1):109–119. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psc.2013.01.011
- 122. Huberty J, Sullivan M, Green J, et al. Online yoga to reduce post traumatic stress in women who have experienced stillbirth: a randomized control feasibility trial. *BMC Complement Med Ther*. 2020;20(1):173. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12906-020-02926-3
- 123. Huberty J, Green J, Gold KJ, Leiferman J, Cacciatore J. An iterative design process to develop a randomized feasibility study and inform recruitment of minority women after stillbirth. *Pilot Feasibility Stud.* 2019;5:140. https://doi.org/10.1186/s4081 4-019-0526-2
- 124. Jasti N, Bhargav H, George S, Varambally S, Gangadhar BN. Tele-yoga for stress management: Need of the hour during the COVID-19 pandemic and beyond? *Asian J Psychiatr.* 2020;54:102334. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajp.2020.102334
- 125. Moustgaard H, Clayton GL, Jones HE, et al. Impact of blinding on estimated treatment effects in randomised clinical trials: meta-epidemiological study. *BMJ*. 2020;368:16802. https://doi. org/10.1136/bmj.16802
- 126. Cuijpers P, Li J, Hofmann SG, Andersson G. Self-reported versus clinician-rated symptoms of depression as outcome measures in psychotherapy research on depression: a meta-analysis. *Clin Psychol Rev.* 2010;30(6):768–778. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cpr.2010.06.001
- 127. Prince SA, Cardilli L, Reed JL, et al. A comparison of selfreported and device measured sedentary behaviour in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2020;17(1):31. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-020-00938-3
- 128. Cochrane Statistical Methods Group. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions version 6.1. Chapter 18: Patient-reported outcomes. Published 2021. https://training.cochr ane.org/handbook/current/chapter-18. Accessed April 28, 2020.
- 129. Chakraborti A, Ray P, Sanyal D, et al. Assessing perceived stress in medical personnel: in search of an appropriate scale for the bengali population. *Indian J Psychol Med.* 2013;35(1):29–33. https:// doi.org/10.4103/0253-7176.112197
- 130. Tluczek A, Henriques JB, Brown RL. Support for the reliability and validity of a six-item state anxiety scale derived from the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory. J Nurs Meas. 2009;17(1):19–28. https://doi.org/10.1891/1061-3749.17.1.19
- Hansen V, Pit S. The single item burnout measure is a psychometrically sound screening tool for occupational burnout. *Heal Scope*. 2016;5(2):e32164. https://doi.org/10.17795/jhealthsco pe-32164
- 132. Onieva-Zafra MD, Fernández-Muñoz JJ, Fernández-Martínez E, García-Sánchez FJ, Abreu-Sánchez A, Parra-Fernández ML. Anxiety, perceived stress and coping strategies in nursing students: a cross-sectional, correlational, descriptive study. *BMC Med Educ*. 2020;20(1):370. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-020-02294-z
- Gerritsen RJS, Band GPH. Breath of life: the respiratory vagal stimulation model of contemplative activity. *Front Hum Neurosci*. 2018;12:397. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2018.00397

16 of 16 WILEY-Journal of Occupational Health

- 134. Wang YT, Huang G, Duke G, Yang Y. Tai chi, yoga, and qigong as mind-body exercises. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med.* 2017;2017:8763915. https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/8763915
- 135. Payne P, Crane-Godreau MA. Meditative movement for depression and anxiety. *Front Psychiatry*. 2013;4:71. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2013.00071

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section.

How to cite this article: Zhang M, Murphy B, Cabanilla A, Yidi C. Physical relaxation for occupational stress in healthcare workers: A systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Occup Health*. 2021;63:e12243. <u>https://doi.</u> org/10.1002/1348-9585.12243