

# Pupil premium strategy statement – Dulwich Hamlet Junior School

This statement details our school's use of pupil premium funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the outcomes for disadvantaged pupils last academic year.

#### **School overview**

| Detail  | Data                                       |
|---|--|
| Number of pupils in school  | 362  |
| Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils   | 56/362 (15.5%)                             |
| Academic year/years that our current pupil premium strategy plan covers (3-year plans are recommended – you must still publish an updated statement each academic year) | 2025-28                                    |
| Date this statement was published   | September 2025                             |
| Date on which it will be reviewed   | September 2026                             |
| Statement authorised by   | Claire Purcell (Executive Headteacher)     |
| Pupil premium lead  | Victoria Begley<br>(Assistant Headteacher) |
| Governor / Trustee lead   | Cat de Jongh (Governor)                    |

## **Funding overview**

| Detail  | Amount  |
|---|---------|
| Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year   | £88,815 |
| Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable)  | £0      |
| Total budget for this academic year   | £88,815 |
| If your school is an academy in a trust that pools this funding, state the amount available to your school this academic year |         |

### Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan

#### Statement of intent

#### At Dulwich Hamlet our key principles for pupil premium (PP) funding are:

- Provision and progress for disadvantaged pupils is a key priority and responsibility of all staff
- All children, irrespective of their background or barriers, make excellent progress and achieve to their maximum capacity, closing the gap with nondisadvantaged peers
- · Equity for disadvantaged children, both in the classroom and beyond
- No child is left behind; we respond to academic, SEND, pastoral and other challenges quickly and effectively

The approaches that we have adopted, based on evidence-informed practice, complement each other to help all children maximise their attainment and experiences.

#### The current plan implements these objectives through:

- Maintaining a high profile for PP in all aspects of school leadership, reflected by our School Development Plan
- Adopting a 'barrier' focus approach over a 'label focused' approach, which sees PP pupils as individuals rather than part of a homogenous cohort
- Maintaining high expectations and challenging PP children, including higher prior attainers, in all aspects of their learners
- Prioritising the emotional well-being of PP children
- Prioritising funding to support the physical needs of PP children and their families where necessary
- Prioritising funding to ensure that all PP children access a wide range of extra-curricular opportunities and life experiences
- Supporting all pupils to come to school on time, every day

## Challenges

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

| Challenge number | Detail of challenge  |
|------------------|--|
| 1                | Intersectionality:  17.9% of our PP cohort are looked-after/post looked-after children. Many therefore face additional linked barriers such as attachment disorder and developmental trauma. Four of these children have an Educational Health and Care Plan (EHCP).  41.1% of the PP cohort have Special Educational Needs/Disabilities (SEND) support or EHCP, resulting in a complex set of barriers to educational progress for a large portion of our disadvantaged pupils.  16.1% of PP children speak English as an Additional Language (EAL) |
| 2                | Attendance: While above national average (93.3%) Attendance rates for PP children at DHJS (94.2%) are lower than the whole school attendance rate (96.1%) for the academic year 2024-25.  Punctuality: At time of writing, punctuality data for PP pupils shows 4.4% late - double the whole school rate of 2.2%. Our 'soft start' model makes provision for recall work first thing in the morning, meaning that PP children are twice as likely to miss vital revision as their non-PP peers.  |
| 3                | <b>Social, emotional and behavioural:</b> Identification of Need (IoN) forms completed by teachers identify wellbeing (resilience, social and emotional) and behavioural (communication and impulse control) challenges for 29 of our 56 PP pupils (51.8%). This means that over 50% of our PP cohort have their academic progress impacted by friendship difficulties, self-esteem issues and difficulties with learning behaviour, as well as ineffective coping strategies developed in response to these barriers.                               |
| 4                | <b>Progress following transition:</b> Internal data analysis of Y4-6 (Spring term 2025) shows our PP cohort making accelerated progress compared to non-PP children in reading, writing and maths. However, Y3 progress for PP   |

pupils continues to fall below non-PP peers, indicating a disproportionate impact of transition on learning for disadvantaged pupils.

KS2 attainment: Year-on-year analysis shows the attainment gap between PP and non-PP children is lessening at DHJS. KS2 SATs attainment at expected standard (EXS) has increased for writing, maths and combined (2024-2025) and remained almost static for reading (72%-71%). Attainment at greater depth (GDS) is variable due to small cohorts, but 2025 data shows 7% combined GDS, maintained from 2024. However, attainment at GDS has decreased for reading, writing and maths individually compared to 2024, while PP attainment for EXS and GDS remains below non-PP children at DHJS.

5

Inequity of access: For some children within our PP cohort, there is limited access to funds for physical needs (e.g. clothes and food) due to the cost of living crisis. PP children are not always able to access our wider curriculum (e.g. clubs, music tuition and off-site activities) due to financial and childcare challenges. Teachers identify that a small number of PP children experience academic disadvantage because the cultural capital available to them at home does not overlap with that commonly associated with academic success (e.g. technical vocabulary, art exhibitions, theatre trips etc) to which many other children at DHJS have access.

#### Intended outcomes

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for by the end of our current strategy plan, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

| Intended outcome  | Success criteria   |  |
|---|--|--|
| Progress for PP children is consistently as good as for their peers, and in most cases is better.   | , and the second |  |
| Improved reading, writing and maths attainment for PP pupils narrows the attainment gap between PP pupils and their peers at DHJS, both at EXS and GDS. | in line with non-disadvantaged children  |  |

analysis shows PP attainment continuing to close the gap with non-PP pupils.

Internal data analysis (teacher assessment, standardised scores and comparative judgement) indicate a reduction in the attainment gap between PP and non-PP children.

PP children are prioritised for in-class stretch and challenge as well as additional tuition groups based on their current strengths, attainment, potential and areas of need. PP children are assigned to tuition groups based on existing attainment data and discussion with class teachers. PP attendance at tuition groups is good. Tuition groups are delivered by experienced practitioners and data is reviewed to assess and ensure impact.

loN forms, pupil progress meetings and data analysis ensure that teachers know how to support the PP children in their class. Teachers implement school CPD based on 'Stretch and Challenge' and flexible grouping, supporting PP children to make accelerated progress from their starting point.

Improved attendance and punctuality for PP children narrows the gap between PP and non-PP children.

Attendance and punctuality rates for PP children remains above national average and is in line with their non-PP DHJS peers each half term.

All staff use relational approaches to behaviour management and employ a trauma informed response to behavioural and pastoral needs.

Children feel heard by the staff and are able to identify trusted adults within the school. Children are confident to share issues, knowing they will be resolved. Parent/carer voice indicates that behavioural and pastoral issues are perceived to be effectively managed and resolved.

| Families from all backgrounds feel valued, welcomed and part of the school - especially on joining in Y3 or as an in-year joiner.                                | All families attend a transition welcome meeting before their child joins the school. Feedback from parent/ carer voice scores highly on belonging.  Increased involvement in enrichment and extra-curricular activities for PP children means PP participation is in line with non-PP peers. |  |
|--|---|--|
| Pupils from all backgrounds experience a positive transition into DHJS in Y3, both in terms of social and emotional development and continued academic progress. | The "RULER" Social and Emotional Literacy curriculum is delivered to the Y3 cohort. Pupil voice feedback indicates improved understanding and self-management of emotions.  Progress for PP children during Y3 is improved to be in line with non-PP pupil progress.                          |  |

## Activity in this academic year

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium funding this academic year to address the challenges listed above.

#### Teaching (for example, CPD, recruitment and retention)

Budgeted cost: £35,000

| Activity               | Evidence that supports this approach   | Challenge<br>number(s)<br>addressed |
|------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| Assessment Purchase of | Standardised tests can provide reliable insights into specific strengths and weaknesses of | 1, 3, 4                             |
| standardised testing.  | individual pupils, ensuring that interventions and   |                                     |

Use of Insight software support are accurately targeted. DfE: Primary for assessment of assessment in England pupils and identification Comparative judgement for marking is effective of needs. compared to teacher assessment, reduces Use of Comparative teacher workload and generates comparable Judgement to scores to assess progress of PP pupils against effectively assess non-PP pupils. The exemplars are used to writing. support CPD during moderation. Staff CPD to ensure The classification accuracy and consistency of comparative judgement of writing compared to correct administration, interpretation and next rubric-based teacher assessment - NMM step planning. Pupil progress meetings identify which children Termly Pupil Progress need specific targeting within class to make meetings to track progress and support teachers in this through a individual children and coaching model. ensure that PP children are making good or better progress from their starting points. Teaching: pedagogy CPD, mentoring and Evidence indicates that great teaching is the monitoring to embed most important tool schools have to improve the 9 principles of pupil attainment. Ensuring every teacher is supported in delivering high-quality teaching is quality-first teaching at DHJS, ensuring essential to achieving the best outcomes for all pupils, particularly those who are disadvantaged. consistency of provision so that all High-quality teaching - EEF learners make maximum progress against their starting points Teaching: writing 1, 3, 4 Embed 'Supported Teaching writing composition strategies through modelling and supported practice - LSRS Writing' approach to

| support accelerated       |  |         |
|---------------------------|--|---------|
| progress for all          |  |         |
| children, including PP    |  |         |
| pupils.                   | Improving Literacy in KS2 - EEF  |         |
| Develop a prioritised     |  |         |
| writing curriculum and    |  |         |
| a joined-up approach      |  |         |
| with main feeder          |  |         |
| school to raise writing   |  |         |
| attainment for all pupils |  |         |
| and reduce the gap        |  |         |
| between working           | Within-class attainment grouping - EEF   |         |
| towards (WTS) and         | THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O |         |
| EXS.                      |  |         |
| Sustain flexible/dynamic  |  |         |
| grouping to support PP    |  |         |
| writing - especially for  |  |         |
| higher prior attainers    |  |         |
| (HPA) targeting or        |  |         |
| working at GDS level.     |  |         |
| Teaching: reading and     |  | 1, 3, 4 |
| spelling                  |  |         |
| Sustain Linguistic        | Phonics approach indicates a positive impact on  |         |
| Phonics approach to       | the accuracy of word reading and spelling,   |         |
| spelling and word         | particularly for disadvantaged children.   |         |
| reading to secure         | Implementation of the Sounds Write scheme that   |         |
| stronger phonics          | meets the DfE requirements.  |         |
| attainment for all        | Phonics - EEF  |         |
| children - years 3-6.     |  |         |
| Scale up Fluency          | Fluency Focus addresses the challenge that   |         |
| Focus reading             | poor fluency for some pupils in KS2 results in   |         |
| approach beyond years     | poor comprehension, with an associated   |         |
| 4 and 5, improving        | attainment gap therefore continuing to widen.  |         |
| reading skills and        | Fluency Focus - EEF  |         |
| exposure to a broader     |  |         |

| range of text types for all children.   |  |         |
|---|--|---------|
|   |  |         |
| Teaching: maths   |  | 1, 3, 4 |
| Sustain explicit teaching of problem- solving strategies in maths - Y6 focus to increase attainment for HPA targeting or working at GDS. Embed NCETM Mastering Number at KS2 for Y4 and Y5. CPD on use of concrete resources and manipulatives in whole- class teaching and interventions to maximise progress for all pupils | Mathematics in key Stage 2 and 3 - EEF Identifying the characteristics of more effective professional development - EEF  KS2 Mastering Number pilot highlights the importance of multiplicative reasoning in mathematics - NCETM Maths Hub Subject Report into Mathematics - OFSTED  Five ways manipulatives can be used to develop mathematical understanding - EEF |         |
| CPD, recruitment and retention  High quality support and mentoring for ECTs (1 ECT)  CPQTA high quality training for support staff (2 TAs)  ITT students (3 students)   | Early Career Teacher entitlement (and 2025 changes) - DFE  Identifying the characteristics of more effective professional development - EEF  Training and development of ITT students contributes to succession planning and early development of talented future teachers from within and around the local community.   | 1, 3, 4 |

# Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-to-one support, structured interventions)

Budgeted cost: £35,000

| Activity  | Evidence that supports this approach   | Challenge<br>number(s)<br>addressed |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| Teaching assistant deployment  Vertical support-staff teams categorised into cognition/learning, pastoral and communication/language.  This ensures breadth and depth of provision and intervention within year group teaching teams, supporting all children to make progress against starting points. | Deployment of Teaching Assistants - EEF  | 1, 3, 4                             |
| Targeted interventions: maths  Small-group re-teaching and assessment of Y5 pupils who scored below 17 on Y4 MTC assessment.  | KS2 Mastering Number pilot highlights the importance of multiplicative reasoning in mathematics - NCETM Maths Hub Multiplicative reasoning is applied throughout Y5 and Y6 maths curriculum and is therefore an essential building block of success at KS2.  Small group tuition - EEF | 1, 3, 4                             |
| Targeted interventions:<br>English  |  | 1, 3, 4                             |

| Nessy: literacy support program for spelling and reading to improve outcomes for PP children with SEND  Additional, targeted reading and phonics support for PP children - especially those with SEND.  | Nessy is based on the science of reading and utilises technology to support children with dyslexia.  SEND 5-a-day - EEF  Targeted phonics interventions have been shown to be more effective when delivered as regular sessions over a period of up to 12 weeks.  EEF toolkit: Phonics |         |
|---|--|---------|
| Small group tuition  Teacher-led tuition groups delivered before or after the core school day, focused on reading, writing or maths, providing additional direct instruction so that PP children make accelerated progress against starting points. | Tuition groups are selected based on prior attainment data, so that PP children are placed in a targeted group that best meets their needs.  Small group tuition - EEF   | 1, 3, 4 |

## Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing)

Budgeted cost: £20,000

| Activity                       | Evidence that supports this approach    | Challenge<br>number(s)<br>addressed |
|--------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| Social and emotional           | Evidence links childhood social and     | 1, 3, 4                             |
| development                    | emotional skills with improved outcomes |                                     |
| Embed 'RULER' Social and       | at school and in later life             |                                     |
| Emotional Literacy teaching in | Improving Social and Emotional Learning |                                     |
| Y3 to facilitate effective     | in Primary Schools - EEF                |                                     |
| transition from feeder schools |   |                                     |

| and enable consistent   | RULER is an evidence-based approach to   |         |
|---|--|---------|
| academic progress.  | Social and emotional literacy  |         |
| Social, emotional and   |  | 1, 3, 4 |
| behavioural support   |  |         |
| Embed pastoral team provision, offering proactive and responsive support poor mental health and emotional dysregulation.  Embed Relationships and Behaviour Policy to support staff to develop and maintain nurturing relationships with pupils.  Embed provision of nurture group for identified pupils requiring regular social, emotional and behavioural support.  Sustain 1:1 and small group therapeutic interventions: school mentor, drawing therapy, play therapy and therapy dog. | Policy, pastoral provision and in-school practice informed by training from Trauma Informed Schools  Nurture UK  Disadvantaged children on average have weaker social and emotional skills than their non-disadvantaged peers.  Improving Social and Emotional Learning in Primary Schools - EEF |         |
| Attendance and punctuality  |  | 2, 4    |
| Embed role of DDSL with attendance training and responsibility, ensuring that attendance processes are fully embedded and rigorously applied.  Continued focus on increasing attendance of PP children and other individuals.   | Improving school attendance - support for schools - DFE  EEF REA: Attendance  Education Hub Blog: Missing a Day  |         |

|                                 |  | T       |
|---------------------------------|--|---------|
| Equity of access                |  | 2, 4, 5 |
| Subsidised access to wrap       | Aim to improve attendance and              |         |
| around care, educational        | punctuality by ensuring children are       |         |
| visits, music lessons           | already in school at opening time.         |         |
| residentials and club           | Improving school attendance - support for  |         |
| provision                       | schools - DFE                              |         |
| Morning clubs to support        | EEF REA: Attendance                        |         |
| attendance and punctuality:     |  |         |
| cricket/ gaelic football,       | Internal evidence demonstrates the         |         |
| football, cross-country, choir  | necessity for promotion of social equity - |         |
| Provision of school uniform     | so that all children feel part of our      |         |
| packs for PP children in Y3     | school community                           |         |
| and Y5 Leavers hoodies          |  |         |
| funded for PP students          |  |         |
| Communicating with and          |  | 4, 5    |
| supporting parents              |  |         |
| Develop a parent/carer          | Guide to the pupil premium - EEF           |         |
| involvement and engagement      |  |         |
| strategy, with a focus on       |  |         |
| effective communication,        |  |         |
| inclusive events and            |  |         |
| curriculum information -        |  |         |
| especially for families of PP   |  |         |
| children                        |  |         |
| Embed Y3/new joiner             |  |         |
| transition meetings with core   |  |         |
| leadership team (CLT) for all   |  |         |
| new families to support the     |  |         |
| transition process - especially |  |         |
| for PP children                 |  |         |

Total budgeted cost: £90,000

## Part B: Review of the previous academic year

#### Outcomes for disadvantaged pupils

**Progress:** Internal assessment data (NFER/teacher assessment spring 2025) indicates accelerated progress for PP children compared to non-PP children in years 4-6: +0.2 reading and writing; +0.5 maths. This indicates that increased focus on PP children, along with strategic use of additional tuition, is beginning to have an impact across the school. Progress for PP children in Y3 remains below that of their non-PP peers. Transition is therefore a key outcome for our PP strategy for 2025-26.

**Attainment:** Internal assessment data (NFER) shows the attainment gap between PP and non-PP children continuing to narrow due to accelerated progress.

In 2024, KS2 SATs results show PP children at DHJS outperforming PP children nationally in reading, writing and maths, while falling 2% behind national figures for combined attainment at EXS. In 2025, KS2 SATs results show significant improvements at EXS in writing [86%] maths [71%] and combined [64%] with just a 1% decrease in reading [71%]. The percentage achieving GDS combined has remained static [7%] but figures for reading [7%] writing [7%] and maths [14%] have decreased. Multiplication Tables Check (MTC) results for year 4 (2025) show PP children attaining an average score of 20/25, compared to 22 for non-PP children. As such, attainment on a par with non-PP national figures and DHJS non-PP attainment remains a target for the 2025-26 strategy, with a focus on reading and attainment at GDS.

**Tuition:** Almost all PP children, except those who were not behaviourally or emotionally ready for an extended school day, attended one or more tutoring groups in the academic year 2024-25. Teacher-led maths and writing tuition groups added significant progress from Summer 2 2024 - Spring 2 2025: (+0.9) and writing (+0.2). Reading groups did not produce such clear progress (-0.1)

but this may be indicative of a 'spring dip' in standardised scores shown across the school in spring assessments. There will be additional focus on quality and efficacy of additional reading tuition provision in 2025-26 academic year.

**Social, emotional and behavioural:** Provision of nurture group and a trauma-informed approach to behaviour has had significant impact on identified PP children, both in terms of levels of inclusion and number and severity of incidents of dysregulation. Embedding these approaches, along with a Y3 social and emotional literacy programme, are key outcomes for the 2025-26 strategy.

Attendance and punctuality: While above national average (93.3%) attendance rates for PP children at DHJS (94.2%) are lower than the whole school attendance rate (96.1%) for the academic year 2024-25. At time of writing, punctuality data for PP pupils shows 4.4% late - double the whole school rate of 2.2%. Attendance and punctuality therefore remain a key outcome in the 2025-26 strategy.

**Equity of access:** In 2024-25 30% of PP families chose to make use of their free after school club allocation. A large number of PP children attended additional before/after school clubs that were free of charge, such as cross country and choir, and almost all PP children attended additional tuition sessions outside of school hours. Increasing the proportion of PP children taking up the free club offer is a key part of the 2025-26 strategy.

## **Externally provided programmes**

Please include the names of any non-DfE programmes that you used your pupil premium to fund in the previous academic year.

| Programme                                | Provider             |
|--|----------------------|
| Primary Maths 1:1 Tutoring (Y6)          | Third Space Learning |
| Al Primary Maths 1:1 Tutoring (Y5 trial) | Third Space Learning |

## Service pupil premium funding (optional)

| For schools that receive this funding, you may wish to provide the following information: How our service pupil premium allocation was spent last academic year |
|---|
|   |
| The impact of that spending on service pupil premium eligible pupils  |
|   |

## **Further information (optional)**

Use this space to provide any further information about your pupil premium strategy. For example, about your strategy planning, implementation and evaluation, or other activity that you are delivering to support disadvantaged pupils that is not dependent on pupil premium funding.