SWIFT®

Thermal Imaging | Bacterial Imaging | Wound Measurements

Swift Ray™

Swift Ray™ provides advanced **bacterial imaging**, allowing users to view and record images of fluorescence emitted from a wound when exposed to an excitation light.

Benefits of Bacterial Imaging

Early Objective Detection of Bacterial Load

Fluorescence imaging offers real-time visualization of bacterial burden (>10^4 CFU/g) using fluorescence, enabling more immediate and objective detection compared to traditional clinical assessments [1, 2].

Targeted Debridement & Clinical Decision Making

By pinpointing bacterial hotspots, fluorescence-guided imaging empowers clinicians to perform focused debridement, leading to improved wound hygiene, antibiotic use, and informed dressing choices [2, 3].

Improved Healing Outcomes

Studies demonstrate enhanced healing outcomes with use of fluorescence for bacterial detection. Wounds often respond faster and require fewer antimicrobials, using fluorescence protocols [3].

Influences Treatment Plans & Reduces Overtreatment

In multicenter clinical evaluations, fluorescence imaging changed clinical management in over 50% of cases—highlighting its role in preventing both undertreatment and unnecessary interventions [4].

Superior Diagnostic Accuracy

Fluorescence imaging shows significantly higher sensitivity than standard visual assessments, boosting accuracy in bacterial detection, guiding sampling techniques, and monitoring of treatment efficacy [1, 5].

Biofilm Detection & Preclinical Validation

Fluorescence imaging has been proven capable of detecting bacterial biofilms [5]. Fluorescence aids in accurately locating microbial hotspots, enhancing biopsy targeting and microbiological profiling [6].

Citations

1. Rennie, M. Y., Lindvere-Teene, L., Tapang, K., & Linden, R. (2017). Point-of-care fluorescence imaging predicts the presence of pathogenic bacteria in wounds: A clinical study. *Journal of Wound Care*, 26(8), 452–460. https://doi.org/10.12968/jowc.2017.26.8.452
2. Hurley, C. M., McClusky, P., Sugrue, R., Clover, J. A., Kelly J. E. (2019). Efficacy of a bacterial fluorescence imaging device in an outpatient wound care clinic: A pilot study. *Journal of Wound Care*, 28(7), 438–446. https://doi.org/10.12968/jowc.2019.28.7.438
3. Price, N. (2020). Routine Fluorescence Imaging to Detect Wound Bacteria Reduces Antibiotic Use and Antimicrobial Dressing Expenditure While Improving Healing Rates: Retrospective Analysis of 229 Foot Ulcers. *Diagnostics*, 10(11), 927. https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics10110927
4. Jacob, A., Jones, L. M., Abdo, R. J., Cruz-Schiavone, S. F., Skerker, R., Caputo, W. J., Krehbiel, N., Moyer-Harris, A. K., McAtee, A., Baker, I., Gray, M. D., & Rennie, M. Y. (2023). Lights, fluorescence, action: Influencing wound treatment plans including debridement of bacteria and biofilms. *International Wound Journal*, 20(6), 1797–1807. https://doi.org/10.1111/iwj.14208
5. Lopez, A. J., Jones, L.M., Reynolds, L. Diaz, R. C., George, I. K., Little, W., Fleming, D., D'souza, A., Rennue, M. Y., Rumbaugh, K. P., Smith, A.C.. (2021). Detection of bacterial fluorescence from in vivo wound biofilms using a point-of-care fluorescence imaging device. *International Wound Journal*, 18(5), 626–638. https://doi.org/10.1111/iwj.13564
6. Serena, T. E., Snyder, R. J., Bowler, P. G. (2023). Fluorescence-informed biopsy improves microbial profiling: Sensitivity 98.7% vs. clinical judgment 87.2% in detecting >10^4 CFU/g. *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology*, 12, 1070311. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2022.1070311