Common Grading Scale

Description	Letter	Characteristics
An exceptional work that demonstrates outstanding originality, authoritative knowledge and understanding, and methodological rigor.	A (80+)	 Coherent and compelling work exceptionally presented Authoritative knowledge and understanding Clear evidence of independence of thought and originality Exemplary methodological rigour High critical judgement and confident grasp of complex issues Evidence of innovative and original use of sources The very highest standards of presentation
A very good work that reflects outstanding knowledge of material, critical ability, and communication skills	A (70s)	 Thorough and systematic knowledge and understanding of the topic Good originality in approach or application Methodological rigor in presentation Very good critical judgement and grasp of complex issues A wide range of sources used selectively High standards of presentation, including grammar and style
A coherent work that demonstrates good knowledge, critical evaluation, communication skills	B (60s)	 Good knowledge and understanding of key issues Some evidence of originality in approach or application Sound methodology employed with good coherence Some relevant sources employed to support argument/discussion Good grasp of complex issues, with some good critical evaluation present Good standards of presentation, including grammar and style
An acceptable work that shows understanding of the basic principles	C (50s)	 Work displays basic knowledge and understanding in most areas but the standard of work is variable Largely descriptive or narrative in focus, with little original insight or critical evaluation Some sources used to support argument/discussion, but sources are of insufficient standard or relevance Limited evidence of a critical approach and of the ability to evaluate Satisfactory standards of presentation, with consistent errors or grammar and style issues
A failing work with limited knowledge of core material and limited critical ability	Moderat e Fail (40s)	 Weaknesses in structure, fluency and/or coherence Superficial understanding of some key issues Key issues misunderstood or not addressed Little to no use of relevant sources to support work Minimal evidence of a critical approach and of the ability to evaluate Significant weaknesses in style and grammar Failure to follow some assignment instructions but of sufficient quality to satisfy learning outcomes
A weak fail	Weak Fail (Below 40)	 Work is confused and incoherent No use of relevant sources to support work Disorganized presentation Lack of understanding of, or focus on key issues No evidence of methodology Poor presentation Failure to follow some or all assignment instruction and unacceptable level of work