

(10) **Patent No.:** US 9,212,042 B2
(45) **Date of Patent:** Dec. 15, 2015

- B67D 3/0003; B67D 3/0093; B67D 1/0006;
B67D 1/0008; G01F 13/0006; G01F 23/20;
G01F 25/0046; G01F 25/0061

(56) **References Cited**

2,545,118	A	3/1951	St. Clair
3,640,430	A	2/1972	Roberts

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

AU	728683	10/1998
AU	728683	† 1/2001

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

A.C. Dispensing Equipment, Inc. Corrected Petition for Inter Partes
Review of U.S. Pat. No. 8,534,497 with Exhibit 1007 Declaration of
Julio Militzer, Ph.D. filed Apr. 1, 2014.

(Continued)

Prior Publication Data

US 2013/0292408 A1 Nov. 7, 2013

Related U.S. Application Data

(62) Division of application No. 12/885,659, filed on Sep. 20, 2010, now Pat. No. 8,534,497.

Primary Examiner — Patrick M Buechner

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Andrus Intellectual Property Law, LLP

(51) **Int. Cl.**
B67D 1/00 (2006.01)
B67D 3/04 (2006.01)
B67D 3/00 (2006.01)


(57) **ABSTRACT**

(52) **U.S. CI.**
CPC ***B67D 3/041*** (2013.01); ***B67D 3/0003***
(2013.01); ***B67D 3/0019*** (2013.01); ***B67D***
3/0041 (2013.01); ***B67D 3/0077*** (2013.01);
B67D 3/0093 (2013.01)

Fixed-volumes of liquid are measured and dispensed from a container by empirically determining the liquid surface height and opening a dispensing valve for a time period that is calculated using a volume of liquid specified to be dispensed and the empirically-determined liquid surface height. The liquid surface height in the container is determined empirically for initial and subsequent volumes of liquid that are dispensed. Dispensing accuracy is maintained whether the container is full or nearly empty.

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
CPC B67D 3/0077; B67D 3/0041; B67D 3/041;

20 Claims, 19 Drawing Sheets



(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,244,218	A	1/1981	Wohrl	
4,467,844	A	8/1984	DiGianfilippo et al.	
4,481,985	A	11/1984	Bruder et al.	
4,586,546	A	5/1986	Mezei et al.	
4,630,654	A	12/1986	Kennedy, Jr.	
4,821,921	A	4/1989	Cartwright et al.	
4,856,563	A	8/1989	Yamaguchi et al.	
4,917,265	A	4/1990	Chiang	
4,979,641	A	† 12/1990	Turner	
4,997,012	A	3/1991	Kuziw	
5,086,816	A	2/1992	Mieth	
5,148,841	A	9/1992	Graffin	
5,228,594	A	7/1993	Aslin	
5,287,896	A	2/1994	Graffin	
5,294,022	A	3/1994	Earle	
5,303,585	A	4/1994	Lichte	
5,340,211	A	8/1994	Pratt	
5,353,957	A	10/1994	Campau	
5,377,868	A	† 1/1995	Hernandez	
5,544,518	A	8/1996	Hart et al.	
5,556,002	A	9/1996	Green	
5,566,732	A	* 10/1996	Nelson	141/94
5,570,731	A	11/1996	Muscara	
5,586,085	A	* 12/1996	Lichte	367/99
5,588,558	A	12/1996	Cox et al.	
5,713,486	A	2/1998	Beech	
5,816,445	A	10/1998	Gardos et al.	
5,850,757	A	* 12/1998	Wierenga	73/296
5,905,656	A	5/1999	Wang et al.	
5,921,440	A	7/1999	Maines	
5,953,923	A	9/1999	Davies	
5,987,971	A	11/1999	Sahm et al.	
6,186,361	B1	2/2001	Teetsel, III	
6,253,957	B1	7/2001	Messerly et al.	
6,328,881	B1	† 12/2001	Larkner	
6,401,045	B1	6/2002	Rogers et al.	
6,497,343	B1	12/2002	Teetsel, III	
6,659,311	B2	12/2003	Prueter	
6,792,966	B2	9/2004	Harvey	
7,021,206	B2	† 4/2006	Eckenhansen	
7,337,920	B2	3/2008	Duck et al.	
RE40,310	E	* 5/2008	Larkner et al.	210/87
7,606,678	B2	10/2009	Evans	
7,628,342	B2	12/2009	Shimoda et al.	
7,677,412	B2	3/2010	Litterst et al.	
7,712,631	B2	5/2010	Taradalsky et al.	
7,750,817	B2	7/2010	Teller	
8,176,948	B2	5/2012	Carrig	
8,181,822	B2	5/2012	Doelman et al.	
8,277,745	B2	10/2012	Mehus et al.	
8,322,571	B2	12/2012	Hovinen et al.	
8,534,497	B2	9/2013	Veltrop et al.	
2001/0032863	A1	10/2001	Feygin	
2003/0003208	A1	* 1/2003	Lassota	426/433
2004/0187570	A1	9/2004	Williamson	
2004/0226452	A1	* 11/2004	Lyall, III	99/279
2004/0226959	A1	11/2004	Mehus et al.	
2005/0231553	A1	* 10/2005	Horsnell et al.	347/47
2006/0110512	A1	* 5/2006	Blomme et al.	426/523
2006/0134598	A1	6/2006	Kenney	
2006/0238346	A1	* 10/2006	Teller	340/572.1
2007/0074709	A1	4/2007	Kato et al.	
2007/0108225	A1	5/2007	O'Dougherty	
2007/0192981	A1	8/2007	Lawshe	
2007/0267446	A1	11/2007	Pressler	
2008/0071424	A1	† 3/2008	St. Jean	
2008/0092642	A1	* 4/2008	Grimm et al.	73/149
2008/0271928	A1	11/2008	Mehus et al.	
2009/0007938	A1	* 1/2009	Dubreuil et al.	134/10
2009/0250491	A1	10/2009	Erman et al.	
2009/0306633	A1	* 12/2009	Trovato et al.	604/891.1
2010/0065587	A1	3/2010	Erman et al.	
2010/0155415	A1	6/2010	Ashrafzadeh et al.	
2011/0073525	A1	3/2011	Evans	

2011/0165034	A1	† 7/2011	Carlson	
2014/0144936	A1	5/2014	Mehus et al.	
2014/0263430	A1	* 9/2014	Keating et al.	222/54

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CN	101446833	† 6/2009
GB	2184853	12/1986
GB	2184853	† 7/1987
WO	WO 9425354	11/1994
WO	9854553	5/1998
WO	WO 2004037595	5/2004
WO	WO 2005 030276	4/2005
WO	WO 2008153535	12/2008
WO	WO 2010038047	4/2010

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Preliminary Response by Patent Owner Prince Castle LLC, filed Jun. 30, 2014.

Decision, Institution of Inter Partes Review 37 C.F.R. § 42.108, filed Aug. 11, 2014.

Silver King®, Majestic Series Milk Dispensers specification sheet FNSKMAJ09, 2009.

Silver King® Cream Dispenser, Model SKMCD1P, equipment manual for McDonald's Corporation®, 2005.

SureShot® Dispensing Systems, Suggested Preventive Maintenance Checklist for Refrigerated Liquid Dispenser, A.C. Dispensing Equipment, Lower Sackville, Nova Scotia Canada, Sep. 2006.

SureShot® Flexoshot, A.C. Dispensing Equipment Inc., Lower Sackville, Nova Scotia Canada, Sep. 2007.

SureShot® Intellishot, Advance portion Controlled Refrigerated Liquid Dispensers, A.C. Dispensing Equipment Inc., Lower Sackville, Nova Scotia Canada, 2011.

SureShot Intellishot Dispensing System Specification; A.C. Dispensing Equipment Inc., Sep. 2007.

Fluid Mechanics, Fundamentals and Applications; Yunus A. Cengel and John M. Cibal; chapter 12: Radiation Process and Properties, McGraw Hill, Higher Education, copyright 2006.

Fundamentals of Fluid Mechanics, Fifth Edition, pp. 112-113 Bruce R. Munson, Doald F. Young, and Theodore H. Okiishi, Copyright 2006.

SureShot, Advanced Portion Controlled Refrigerated Liquid Dispensers, Sep. 2007.

SureShot, Advanced Portion Controlled Refrigerated Liquid Dispensers, Jan. 2011.

Office Action issued in co-pending Canadian Patent Application 2,738,192, dated Apr. 1, 2014.

White, Frank M., Fluid Mechanics, 1999, p. 3-225, 4th Edition, McGraw-Hill, New York, NY, USA.

Shames, Irving H., Mechanics of Fluids, 2003, pp. 799-802, 4th Edition, McGraw-Hill, New York, NY, USA.

Dally, James W., Riley, William F., McConnell, Kenneth G., Instrumentation for Engineering Measurements, 1984, pp. 242-291, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., USA.

Gerald, Curtis F., Applied Numerical Analysis, 1978, pp. 465-516, 2nd Edition, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, USA.

A.C. Dispensing Equipment, Inc. Supplemental Protest filed in Canadian counterpart Application No. 2,738,192, dated Nov. 19, 2014.

Office Action issued on corresponding Divisional U.S. Appl. No. 13/925,110, mailed Dec. 17, 2014.

A.C. Dispensing Equipment, Inc., Reply Brief Institution of Inter Partes Review 37 C.F.R. § 42.108, filed Jan. 12, 2015.

Scanlon, Leo J., "8086/8088/80286 Assembly Language", 1988, p. 280, Simon & Schuster, New York, NY.

Heinz Foodservice, The Heinz Guide to Condiment Dispensing Equipment, 2011.

Pinch Valve Semantics, David Gardellin, President of Onyx Valve Co., available at www.onyxvalve.com, 2011.

Silver King, Portion Control Cream Dispensers, 2005.

Silver King, Cream Dispenser Technical Manual and Replacement Parts List Model SKNES2B/3B, 2011.

SureShot Dispensing Systems, Dairy Dispenser Valve Assembly Cleaning Instructions, 2011.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

SureShot Dispensing Systems, SugarShot Granular Sugar Dispensers Parts Catalogue, 2011.

SureShot Dispensing Systems, Sure Touch Refrigerated Liquid Dispenser Operations Manual, 2011.

Canadian Office Action in counterpart Canadian Application No. 2,738,192, dated May 28, 2015.

Scanlon, Leo J., "8086/8088/80286 Assembly Language," p. 230, 1988 Simon & Schuster, Inc.†

* cited by examiner

† cited by third party




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6




FIG. 7




FIG. 8




FIG. 9




FIG. 11




FIG. 10




FIG. 12




FIG. 13




FIG. 14




FIG. 15




FIG. 16

$$y = -0.0012x^3 + 0.0207x^2 - 0.1444x + 0.89$$

Output from Load Cell (Volts)	Valve Open Time (seconds)
8.65	0.4131
8.6	0.4159
8.55	0.4186
8.5	0.4212
8.45	0.4238
8.4	0.4264
8.35	0.4289
8.3	0.4314
8.25	0.4338
8.2	0.4361
8.15	0.4385
8.1	0.4408
8.05	0.4430
8	0.4452
7.95	0.4474
7.9	0.4495
7.85	0.4516
7.8	0.4536
7.75	0.4556
7.7	0.4576
7.65	0.4595
7.6	0.4614
7.55	0.4633
7.5	0.4651
7.45	0.4669
7.4	0.4687
7.35	0.4704
7.3	0.4722
7.25	0.4739
7.2	0.4755
7.15	0.4771
7.1	0.4788

FIG. 17A

7.05	0.4803
7	0.4819
6.95	0.4834
6.9	0.4850
6.85	0.4865
6.8	0.4879
6.75	0.4894
6.7	0.4908
6.65	0.4923
6.6	0.4937
6.55	0.4950
6.5	0.4964
6.45	0.4978
6.4	0.4991
6.35	0.5005
6.3	0.5018
6.25	0.5031
6.2	0.5044
6.15	0.5057
6.1	0.5070
6.05	0.5083
6	0.5096
5.95	0.5109
5.9	0.5122
5.85	0.5134
5.8	0.5147
5.75	0.5160
5.7	0.5172
5.65	0.5185
5.6	0.5198
5.55	0.5210
5.5	0.5223

FIG. 17B

7.05	0.4803
7	0.4819
6.95	0.4834
6.9	0.4850
6.85	0.4865
6.8	0.4879
6.75	0.4894
6.7	0.4908
6.65	0.4923
6.6	0.4937
6.55	0.4950
6.5	0.4964
6.45	0.4978
6.4	0.4991
6.35	0.5005
6.3	0.5018
6.25	0.5031
6.2	0.5044
6.15	0.5057
6.1	0.5070
6.05	0.5083
6	0.5096
5.95	0.5109
5.9	0.5122
5.85	0.5134
5.8	0.5147
5.75	0.5160
5.7	0.5172
5.65	0.5185
5.6	0.5198
5.55	0.5210
5.5	0.5223

FIG. 17C

3.8	0.5743
3.75	0.5763
3.7	0.5783
3.65	0.5804
3.6	0.5824
3.55	0.5846
3.5	0.5867
3.45	0.5889
3.4	0.5912
3.35	0.5935
3.3	0.5958
3.25	0.5982
3.2	0.6006
3.15	0.6030
3.1	0.6055
3.05	0.6081
3	0.6107
2.95	0.6134
2.9	0.6161
2.85	0.6188
2.8	0.6216
2.75	0.6245
2.7	0.6274
2.65	0.6304
2.6	0.6334
2.55	0.6365
2.5	0.6396
2.45	0.6428
2.4	0.6461
2.35	0.6494
2.3	0.6528
2.25	0.6562
2.2	0.6597
2.15	0.6633

FIG. 17D

2.1	0.6669
2.05	0.6706
2	0.6744
1.95	0.6782
1.9	0.6821
1.85	0.6861
1.8	0.6901
1.75	0.6943
1.7	0.6984
1.65	0.7027
1.6	0.7070
1.55	0.7114
1.5	0.7159
1.45	0.7205
1.4	0.7251
1.35	0.7298
1.3	0.7346
1.25	0.7395
1.2	0.7445
1.15	0.7495
1.1	0.7546

FIG. 17E




FIG. 18

1

APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR DISPENSING LIQUIDS USING A TABLE TO DETERMINE DISPENSE TIME

RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is a divisional of U.S. application Ser. No. 12/885,659 filed Sep. 20, 2010, titled, "Dispenser for Liquids."

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates to a liquid dispenser. More particularly, this invention relates to a dispenser for dairy products, which can dispense small, fixed-volumes of liquid from a bag, tank or basin, or other container and, continue to accurately deliver specified amounts as the liquid in the container is depleted.

BACKGROUND

Many restaurants and food service providers provide coffee and other beverages into which a small volume of creamer or other liquid is added. The prior art dispensers for such liquids open a valve for a time period that is determined using an initial level of the liquid in the container. As liquid is dispensed over time, the level of the liquid in the tank drops of course, lowering the static pressure at the valve and as a result, reducing the volumetric flow rate from the tank.

Some prior art creamer dispensers are able to dispense different fixed amounts of liquid by actuating one or more push button switches on the front panel of the device. The switches send a signal to a computer or other controller, which opens an electrically-actuated dispensing valve for a time period that is supposed to allow the volume of liquid that was requested by the actuation of a push button to be dispensed from a bulk container. Such prior art dispensers require a user to accurately fill the container and specify the starting volume to a controller. The controller calculates dispensing valve open times for each dispensing using the starting or initial liquid level. Prior art devices account for the continuously-dropping static pressure by counting the number of ounces that are requested to be dispensed from the container. The number of ounces that are requested is used to decrement an initial amount of liquid in the container. The volume dispensing accuracy of prior art devices thus depends in part on the accuracy of the initial level that is provided to the controller.

A problem with liquid dispensers that count the number of dispensing actuations, or which decrement a user-specified starting amount in a container according to the number of dispensing actuations, is that their accuracy depends largely on whether the initial amount of liquid in a container was accurate. If the actual starting level in the container is not what is conveyed to the controller when the container is first installed, every subsequently dispensed volume will not be equal to the requested amount.

Another problem with prior art dispensers is that dispensing accuracy almost invariably deteriorates as the level of the liquid in a container falls with successive dispenses. Dispensing valves require a finite amount of time to open and close. Different valves can require slightly different amounts of time to open and close. The amount of liquid actually dispensed rarely matches the amount of liquid that is supposed to be dispensed. Over time, the dispensing error accumulates. As the liquid level in a container approaches zero, the amount of liquid that is actually dispensed for any specified valve open

2

time period will almost always be different from what the dispenser counts or think was dispensed. A liquid dispenser that is able to more accurately dispense user-specified volumes without regard to an initial or starting volume and which can continue to do so as a tank empties would be an improvement over the prior art.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a perspective view of a dispenser of small volumes of liquids;

FIG. 2 is a partial cut-a-way of the dispenser shown in FIG. 1;

FIG. 3 is a cross sectional view of the dispenser shown in FIG. 1;

FIG. 4 is an isolated view of a load cell supporting part of a container in the dispenser shown in FIGS. 1-3;

FIG. 5 is a graph depicting plots of different polynomial functions that model experimentally-determined valve open times as a function of liquid level and a user-requested volume, for the dispenser shown in FIG. 13;

FIG. 6 is a perspective view of an alternate embodiment of a container for holding liquids to be dispensed and showing a different liquid sensor;

FIG. 7 is a cross sectional view of the container shown in FIG. 6 showing a pressure sensor;

FIG. 8 is an end view of an alternate embodiment of the container shown in FIGS. 6 and 7;

FIG. 9 is a perspective view of the right hand side of a container showing another embodiment of a liquid sensor;

FIG. 10 is a left side perspective view showing light sources used with another embodiment of a liquid sensor;

FIG. 11 is a cross sectional view of an optical liquid detector/sensor;

FIG. 12 is a cross sectional view of a light source;

FIG. 13 is a front view of an alternate embodiment of a container and showing an alternate liquid sensor;

FIG. 14 is a perspective view of the container shown in FIG. 13;

FIG. 15 is a cross sectional view of a detector or sensor for use with the container shown in FIGS. 13 and 14;

FIG. 16 is a perspective view of another embodiment of a container and another liquid detector;

FIGS. 17A-17E provide a table of valve open time in seconds as a function of load cell output in volts; and

FIG. 18 is a plot of a third-order polynomial from which the table in FIGS. 17A-17E was generated.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a perspective view of a liquid dispenser apparatus 10 for dispensing specific volumes of liquids. The liquids that can be dispensed have viscosities that vary from about 1 centipoise to about 7500 centipoise. The dispensable liquids thus include low viscosity alcohols, water, juices, moderate viscosity liquids like dairy products such as milk and cream, and viscous liquids that include oils including petroleum products and syrups. The dispensable volumes range from fractions of a liquid ounce up to volumes measured in gallons. An important feature of the apparatus is that unlike prior art dispensers, the apparatus 10 permits an operator to manually dispense any volume of liquid and immediately thereafter, resume accurately dispensing user-requested fixed volumes without losing accuracy of the dispensed volumes.

The apparatus 10 is comprised of a cabinet 15 having a refrigerated upper compartment 20 and an unrefrigerated lower compartment 25. The lower compartment 25 encloses

3

refrigeration equipment used to keep the upper compartment cold. Refrigeration equipment is well known and omitted from the figures for clarity.

The lower compartment **25** encloses a control computer **30**. The computer **30** is preferably embodied as a single-chip microcontroller with on-board memory. Such microcontrollers are well known to those of ordinary skill in the art. Many of them have electrical interfaces on the microcontroller which send and receive electrical signals to and from other circuitry and devices, not shown but which interface, i.e., electrically connect, the computer **30** to peripheral devices that include an array of push-button, operator-actuated dispensing control switches **35**, a dispensing control valve **40** not visible in FIG. **1**. In alternate embodiments described below, the computer **30** is coupled to various devices described below, which are used to determine the level of the liquid **45** in the tank **50**.

The dispensing valve **40** is a pinch valve. The pinch valve **40** pinches off, i.e., closes, a flexible dispensing tube that extends from the container **50**. The valve is explained more fully below and in the Applicant's co-pending patent application Ser. No. 12/885,641, entitled Pinch Valve, the contents of which are incorporated herein by reference in their entirety.

In the preferred embodiment, a user can select a particular volume of liquid to dispense by actuating one or more push button switches **35** affixed to the front panel **55** of the lower compartment **25**. Wires **60** connect the switches **35** to the computer **30** located in the lower compartment **25**. Switch closures are detected by the computer **30**. Each switch requests the computer to dispense a different volume. The particular volume selected by the various switches is a design choice. In one embodiment, the software in the computer memory is written to interpret multiple switch closures, whether they are made serially or in parallel, as requests for multiple volumes. By way of example, actuation of a 1-ounce switch informs the computer **30** that one ounce is requested by a user. Actuation of a 1-ounce switch followed immediately by actuation of a 3-ounce switch, or simultaneously with the 3-ounce switch, is construed by the computer as a user-request for the delivery of four ounces.

Switch closures and electrical signals input to the computer **30** from one or more detectors/sensors described below enable the computer **30** to calculate a time required to open the dispensing valve **40** to dispense a requested volume. The valve open time is determined using a requested volume and a real-time, direct measurement of the liquid in a container **50**. Except for manually-dispensed volumes, which require an operator to manually open the pinch valve, the valve open time for each requested amount of liquid to be dispensed under software control is considered herein to be determined empirically. An empirical determination is considered to be a determination that is made using sensing of the actual amount of liquid in the tank, or the actual level of the liquid in the tank, just before the liquid is actually dispensed. Unlike prior art devices, the valve open time is not determined by counting or accumulating volumes that have been previously dispensed. The valve open time required to dispense a particular volume of liquid is determined empirically prior to each opening of the pinch valve.

FIG. **2** is a partial cut-away view of the left side of the liquid dispensing apparatus **10** shown in FIG. **1**. FIG. **3** is a cross-sectional view of the cabinet viewed from the left-hand side **65** of the cabinet **15**. FIG. **3** also depicts one embodiment of a container **50** that holds liquids and which is formed of a rigid plastic. FIG. **4** is an isolated view of the apparatus **10** showing in cross section, details of a shelf **70** that defines the upper **20** and lower **25** compartments. FIG. **4** shows how the front end

4

of the container **50** pivots on a fulcrum or ridge **75** that extends into and out of the plane of the figure and which rises upwardly from the top surface of the shelf **70**. FIG. **4** also shows how the back end of the container **50** is supported on one end **80** of a load cell **85** that is cantilevered from an opposite end **90** by a bolt driven into the underside of the shelf **70**.

The front end of the container **50** rests on the fulcrum **75** formed into the top surface of the shelf **70**. The container **50** is thus able to pivot over the fulcrum **75**.

The back or rear end of the container **50** rests on an elongated, upright post **95** that extends downwardly from the underside of the container **50**, through a hole **100** formed in the shelf **70**, onto the cantilevered end **80** of the load cell **85**. Since the fulcrum **75** supports part of the container's weight, only a portion of the container's weight is supported by the fulcrum **75**. The rest of the container's weight is supported by the second end **80** of the load cell **85**.

The portion of the container's weight that is impressed on the load cell **85** causes the load cell **85** to deflect. Load cell deflection changes the electrical resistance of a Wheatstone bridge circuit **87** that is attached to the load cell **85**. Since the load cell **85** deflection is proportional to the weight impressed on the load cell by the container **50** and its contents, the signal "output" from the load cell **85**, and which is sent to the computer **30** via the connection wires **105**, represents at least a fractional amount of liquid in the container **50**.

In an alternate embodiment, the entire weight of the container and its contents is supported by one load cell. In one such alternate embodiment, a load cell is located above the center of mass for the container and its contents. A hook is attached to load end of the load cell. A liquid container is suspended from the load cell. The entire weight of the container and its contents is thus measured. Other embodiments use two or more load cells, with each load cell supporting a fractional portion of the container. One embodiment uses four load cells at each corner of the container **50** or at each corner of the cabinet **15**. In multiple-load cell embodiments, the outputs of the various load cells are summed by the computer **30** and provide a fairly accurate measurement of the entire weight of the container and/or cabinet **15**.

A hinged door **110** provides access to the interior of the upper compartment **20** and to the lower compartment **25**. In one embodiment depicted in FIG. **3**, the container **50** is a rigid bin or basin, which holds a flexible bag **115**, and which contains the liquid **45** to be dispensed. The bag **115** is formed with an integral liquid dispensing tube **120**. The dispensing tube **120** extends from the bag **115** through a hole **125** in the bottom **130** of the container **50**, through a passage **100** formed into the shelf and through the pinch valve **40**. Wires connect the pinch valve **40** to the computer **30**. Plastic bags containing liquid to be dispensed can be placed into the container and removed from the container via the door **110**.

To dispense a fixed volume of liquid, a signal from the computer **30** instructs a solenoid controlling the valve **40** to open, i.e., "unpinch," the tube **120** by actuating the pinch valve to an open position. Opening the pinch valve allows liquid to run out of the container through the tube. The tube **120** is kept unpinched by the computer **30** for a time period that is only long enough to dispense the volume of liquid that was requested by a user at the push button switches **35**. When the time required to keep the valve open has elapsed, the pinch valve is closed. In a preferred embodiment, the pinch valve is biased by a spring to be normally closed. The signal from the computer **30** to the valve solenoid thus holds the valve **40** open against the spring. Closing the valve simply requires the valve open signal from the computer to be shut off.

5

The time that the valve must be held open to dispense a particular volume of liquid requested by operation of one or more switches essentially depends on the pressure of the liquid at the valve 40, just before the valve is opened. The pressure of the liquid 45 on the valve 40 depends on the depth of the liquid 45 above the valve 40. In the figures, the depth of the liquid 40 above the bottom 130 of the container storing the liquid to be dispensed is denoted by the letter D. A relatively short but nevertheless additional column of liquid exists in the tube that is between the bottom of the container and the pinch valve 40.

In the preferred embodiment, the depth D of the liquid in the tank or container 50 is determined from a weight measured by the load cell 85. As is well known, a load cell is essentially a strain gauge in combination with a resistive circuit well known to those of ordinary skill in the electrical arts as a Wheatstone bridge circuit 87. When the load cell deforms in response to an applied force, the electrical characteristics of the Wheatstone bridge circuit 87 change. The electrical characteristics of the Wheatstone bridge can thus be correlated to a weight supported by the load cell 85. If the density of the liquid is known, and if the geometry of the container is known, the depth of the liquid in a container can be derived from the weight of the container and contents, or from just the weight of the liquid in the container.

In the preferred embodiment, the time that the valve must be kept open to dispense a user-requested volume of liquid is determined by evaluating a polynomial that effectively correlates a signal obtained from the load cell 85 to the time required to open the valve 40 to dispense a requested volume. In the preferred embodiment, the polynomial was experimentally determined to be of the form:

$$y = Ax^3 + Bx^2 + Cx + K$$

where A, B and C are coefficients and K is a constant; x is the load cell output signal and y is the valve open time, in seconds.

In tests of a prototype liquid dispenser having one end of the container 50 supported on a fulcrum 75 and the opposite end supported by a load cell 85 essentially as shown in FIG. 3 and using a pinch valve as described in the aforementioned co-pending application, the coefficients required to dispense one ounce of liquid from the container were determined to be: A=-0.0012, B=0.0207, C=-0.1444 and K=0.89.

FIG. 5 depicts plots of a third-order polynomial for three different requested volumes from the prototype described above. Values along the x axis are different outputs from the load cell, typically a D.C. voltage. The y-axis is the time in seconds required for the valve to be kept open in order to dispense a volume of liquid represented by each curve.

Each curve in FIG. 5 is the plot of a polynomial for a different requested volume. The lowest curve is a plot of the polynomial that determines the valve open time for a first volume of liquid. The middle curve is a plot of the polynomial that determines the valve open time required to dispense a second volume of liquid, greater than the first volume. The top curve is a plot of the polynomial that determines the valve open time required to dispense a third volume of liquid, greater than the second volume. The three polynomials have different coefficients.

The polynomial that models the required valve open time was determined experimentally by measuring volumes of liquid dispensed through a pinch valve when the pinch valve was kept open for a given length of time, with different measured weights of liquid in the container, i.e., with differing liquid heights. The polynomial thus works to determine valve open times required to dispense a volume of liquid from

6

a particular type of container, namely the one shown in FIGS. 1-3 and having a particular size, a particular discharge tube, having particular characteristics, e.g., length and inside diameter. The polynomial, which is determined experimentally, correlates a measured weight of the container and liquid to a required valve open time, regardless of the container's shape. Using a different container and/or discharge tube requires different polynomials and/or constant to be determined, preferably by curve fitting, as was done in the preferred embodiment.

In another alternate embodiment, which avoids computing a polynomial, the computer 30 reads or is otherwise provided with a load cell output voltage. The output voltage is used as a pointer into a table, typically stored in RAM, EEPROM, ROM or other computer memory device, from which the computer 30 can read an amount of time required to hold the valve open. If the load cell outputs a voltage that is not in the table, e.g., 7.02 volts, software in the computer 30 rounds the value up or down, as a design choice, to the closest value in the table.

In FIGS. 17A-17E, the valve open times are listed in the right-hand column and are expressed in seconds of time required to hold the valve open in order to dispense one ounce of liquid. The valve open times in the right-hand column were determined by evaluating the third order polynomial equation shown at the top of FIG. 17A and storing each resultant valve open time as a table with the corresponding load cell output values. Dispensing volumes other than one ounce simply requires a corresponding fraction or multiple of the 1-ounce valve open time to be used.

By way of example, and using FIG. 17A, if the load cell output voltage is 7.2 volts, the valve open time required to dispense one ounce of liquid from the dispenser 10 is 0.4755 seconds. The time required to dispense two ounces would be double the amount of time required to dispense one ounce, i.e., about 0.9510 seconds. The time required to dispense one-half ounce would be one-half the 0.4755 seconds to dispense one ounce, i.e., about 0.2377 seconds.

FIG. 18 shows a plot of the polynomial from which the table in FIGS. 17A-17E was generated. The load cell output voltage decreases as the liquid in the container decreases. The valve open time, which is the time required to dispense one ounce of liquid, increases as the load cell output decreases in response to liquid being depleted from the container. Additional methods and apparatus for determining liquid in a tank are described below.

As mentioned above, the depth D of the liquid determines a static pressure at the valve 40. The static pressure at the valve 40 determines the flow rate of the liquid 45 through the valve 40. The flow rate of the liquid 45 through the valve 40 determines the time that the valve 40 must be held open to dispense a requested volume (or a requested weight of a liquid to be dispensed). The time required to hold the valve open to dispense a particular volume of liquid is therefore dependent on the amount of liquid in a container, prior to opening the valve 40 since the amount of liquid 45 in a particular container inherently determines the liquid's height therein. The experimentally determined polynomial described above is thus considered to be one that correlates an amount of liquid in a container to an amount of time required to hold the valve open to dispense a requested volume. Evaluating the polynomial thus inherently includes a determination of a depth of the liquid in the container. A valve open time is thus determined empirically, by evaluating the polynomial using for x, the signal output from the load cell prior to opening the valve and which corresponds to the weight supported by the load cell 85.

7

FIG. 4 shows in greater detail, how the load cell **85** is attached to the underside of the shelf **70** in the preferred embodiment to support at least part of the weight of the container **50**, and how the front of the container **50** rests on a ridge or fulcrum **75**. One end **90** of the load cell **85** is bolted to the underside of the shelf **70**. A space is shown between the load cell **85** and the shelf **70** to illustrate that the load cell **85** is essentially cantilevered at the first end **90**.

The second end **80** of the load cell **85** supports a vertical post **95**. The post **95** extends upwardly from the second end **80** of the load cell **85**, through a hole **100** in the shelf **70** and into engagement with the bottom of the container **50**. The load cell **85** thus supports at least half the weight of the container **50**. As the volume of liquid **45** in the container decreases, the force impressed on the load cell **85** will change accordingly, as will the output signal from the load cell **85**. Each time that a volume is requested by a user, the instantaneous value of the load cell output signal is read by the computer **30** and used as an input value of x in the polynomial. Evaluation of the polynomial using appropriate coefficients will yield a value that is the amount of time that the valve should be held open to dispense the requested volume.

While the preferred embodiment determines the valve open time using a load cell, alternate methods of determining the valve open time are made by determining the actual height of the liquid **45** in a tank **50** prior to opening the valve. Various ways of detecting the depth of the liquid are depicted in FIGS. 6-16 and described below. The structures in FIGS. 6-16 that determine the depth of the liquid **45** in the tank or container **50** are different from each other yet functionally equivalent. Each is a different means for determining the depth of a liquid in a container.

Those of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that if the weight of container **50** is known, the weight of the liquid **45** inside the container **50** can be determined by a straight-forward subtraction of the container weight from the gross weight of the container and liquid combined. Knowing the weight of the liquid inside the container enables the volume of liquid to be determined using the density of the liquid. If the dimensions of the container **50** are known and if the volume inside the container is known, the depth of the liquid **45** inside the container can be determined from a straight-forward calculation. The depth of the liquid can therefore be determined directly from the signal from the load cell. The load cell implementation is thus an equivalent means for determining the depth of the liquid in the container, i.e., the liquid surface height inside the container.

In FIG. 6, reference numeral **135** identifies a static pressure sensor affixed to the bottom **130** of the tank **50**. The diaphragm of the pressure sensor has one side exposed to the liquid and the other side is either a vacuum or atmosphere. In this case, the sensor does not have to be exposed to the outside of the container, i.e., through a hole in the bottom. It is a so called absolute sensor. Those of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that static pressure exerted on the sensor **135** will decrease as the depth D of the liquid **45** decreases. An optional sight glass **140** enables a user to peer into the tank **50** and inspect the contents thereof.

FIG. 7 is a side view of the pressure sensor **135** depicted in FIG. 11 is shown connected to the computer **30**. Not shown in FIG. 6 are the pinch off valve **40**, the user interface switches **35** and connections between the pinch-off valve **40** and switches **35** and the computer **30**. These are not shown in FIG. 6 for clarity.

FIG. 8 depicts an array of photodiodes **145**, i.e., diodes that detect light and which output an electrical signal representative thereof and an array of light emitting diodes **150** on the

8

opposite side of the container **50**. The photodiodes **145** are shown in FIG. 9 as being coupled to the right-hand side **155** of the tank **50** and arranged along an inclined line. The photodiodes **145** are thus considered to be an inclined linear array, which permits diodes to be vertically closer to each other than might be possible if the photodiodes **145** were in a vertical array. The elevation of each photodiode **145** above the bottom **130** of the tank **50**, is of course, known to the computer **30**.

In one embodiment, the tank **50** is constructed of either translucent or at least partially-translucent material such as glass or Plexiglas. The array of photodiodes **145**, which detect ambient light, is attached to one side of the container as shown in FIG. 9. If the liquid **45** in the container is opaque or at least partially opaque, voltage output from the photodiodes below the surface of the liquid, i.e., at elevations less than the height D of the liquid in the tank, will be zero or nearly zero. Voltage output from diodes **145** above the liquid's surface, i.e., at an elevation above the height D , will be greater than zero or at least greater than the voltage output from diodes below the surface of the liquid. The level of the liquid can thus be determined, or at least estimated, by determining the elevation of the first diode above the bottom **130**, having a greater-than-zero or at least greater than other photodiodes **145** below the liquid surface.

In another embodiment, the photodiodes **145** detect infrared and/or visible light emitted from an opposing array of IR or visible-light emitting diodes (LEDs) **150** arranged on the opposite side of the translucent or semi-translucent tank **50** as shown in FIG. 10. If the liquid **45** in the tank **50** is at least partially opaque, photodiodes **145** below the top of the surface **160** of the liquid **45** will not detect light emitted from the LED's **150** and will have zero or near-zero output voltages. As with the diodes that detect ambient light, light from the LED's **150** that is detected by one or more of the photodiodes **145** permits the liquid height D to be accurately estimated or determined exactly by comparing the voltages output from all the photodiodes.

FIG. 11 is cross-sectional diagram of one photodiode **145**. A lens **160** on the inside surface of the side wall **170** of the container **50** detects light incident on the lens **160**. A collar **175** provides a liquid-tight seal for the diode **145** so that liquid does not leak passed the wall **170**. Small voltages generated by the light **180** that impinges the diode **145** cause the diode to generate a small electrical signal which can be amplified and detected as being present or absent by the computer **30**.

FIG. 12 depicts the similar structure of a light emitting diode **150**, inserted through the side wall **185** of the tank **50**, opposite the side wall **170** holding the photodiodes **145**.

FIG. 13 depicts another structure for determining the depth of liquid **45** in the container. FIG. 13 is a front view of the container **50** and shows an array of conductivity or resistance probes **190** configured to extend through the side wall **170** so that the probes **190** "reach" into the interior of the container **50**.

FIG. 14 is a perspective view of the right-hand side of the tank, showing the conductivity probes **190** to be arranged in an inclined, linear array. As with the photodiodes and LEDs, the inclined array **190** permits more probes to be used, with less vertical separation distance between them.

FIG. 15 is a top view of one of the probes **190**. If a conductive pathway exists between the two conductors **195** and **200**, as will happen when the conductors are submerged in even a partially-conductive liquid like milk or cream, an electrical signal applied to one conductor **195** can be detected at the adjacent conductor **200**. A conductive pathway will exist if the depth D inside the tank **50** is high enough for liquid

to be between the two conductors. Cream has a conductivity greater than 10 times greater than air.

FIG. 16 depicts an ultrasonic transducer 205, acoustically coupled to or through the bottom 130 of the tank 50. Sound waves emitted from the ultrasonic range finder transducer 205 will be reflected at the interface between the liquid surface 160 and the empty upper portion of the tank 50. The time required for an ultrasonic pulse to transit from the transducer 205 to the interface and return can be used to directly calculate the depth d of the liquid in the tank 50. In an alternate embodiment not shown, the ultrasonic transducer 205 can be mounted at the top of the tank so as to transmit ultrasonic waves downward to the top 205 of the liquid 45.

Once the liquid level is determined using one or more of the embodiments shown, a close approximation of the time required to hold the valve open to dispense a requested volume can be directly calculated using a well-known equation inset below. Equation (1) inset below, is an equation to calculate the time required to hold the valve open in order to dispense a volume of liquid from a tank. The dispensed volume will of course lower the height of the liquid in the tank from an initial height h_0 to a lesser height denominated as h_2 . The valve open time t_{open} is a function of the starting and ending depth of the liquid in the tank and the ratio of the area of the tank to the cross sectional area of the tube through which the liquid discharges.

$$t_{open} = \frac{\sqrt{h_0} - \sqrt{h_2}}{\sqrt{g/2}} \left(\frac{A_{tank}}{A_{jet}} \right)^2 \quad \text{Eq. 1}$$

In Equation 1:

t_{open} = the time required to hold the valve open to dispense a user-specified volume of liquid from a tank;

h_0 = the initial or starting level of liquid in the tank before the valve is opened, measured from the top of the liquid to the lowest level of the tank, i.e., at the pinch valve;

h_2 = the final level of liquid in the tank to which the initial level h_0 drops after the user-specified volume is dispensed;

g = the gravitational acceleration constant;

A_{tank} = the surface area of the top of the tank;

A_{jet} = the cross sectional area of the jet or tube through which liquid leaves the tank;

Equation (1) is by Yunus A. Cengel and John M. Cİbala, FLUID MECHANICS, FUNDAMENTALS AND APPLICATIONS, pp. 179-180, McGraw Hill, Higher Education, copyright 2006.

The various structures described above can determine an actual depth of liquid in a container. Knowing the actual depth D of the liquid thereby permits a direct calculation of the valve open time that is required to dispense a specific volume of liquid, such as one ounce, two ounces, three ounces, and so forth.

For clarity purposes, opening the dispensing valve 40 is comprised of the steps of the computer 30 receiving one or more signals from the user interface or switches 35 located on the container 15. Those switches 35 can be configured under software control to dispense multiple volumes on each actuation or to dispense volumes that are additive of the particular switches that are activated. Once a volume of liquid to be dispensed is specified, the liquid surface height is determined empirically using one or more of the structures and devices described above and equivalents thereof. Once the requested volume is known and the liquid level height is known, the computer 30 calculates the open time and sends an appropri-

ate signal to the solenoid or an interface thereof to open the valve and, of course, close the valve at the termination of the time period.

Those of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that the method of determining valve open time using equation (1) can be used with any size container and any size discharge tube. By specifying the surface area of the container and the cross sectional area of the discharge tube, the calculation of valve open time remains a straight forward calculation using the level of the liquid in the container, which can be empirically determined using one or more of the structures disclosed herein.

The foregoing description is for purposes of illustration only. Those of ordinary skill will recognize that the foregoing methods and apparatus' for the liquid dispenser include measuring and dispensing liquids. They can be used to dispense liquids that include water, alcohols, dairy products like milk and cream and mixtures thereof as well as oils and syrups. The foregoing description should therefore not be construed as limiting the method and/or apparatus to dispensing small volumes of liquids but is really for purposes of illustration. The true scope of the invention is set forth in the appurtenant claims.

What is claimed is:

1. A method of dispensing a user-specified volume of liquid, the method comprising:

providing a dispenser comprising:

a user interface that receives an input of the user-specified volume of liquid;

a container, that stores a liquid to be dispensed;

an electrically actuated pinch valve coupled to the container;

a computer, operatively coupled to the user interface and the pinch valve;

a non-transitory memory device coupled to the computer and storing a table;

a sensor electrically coupled to the computer and mechanically coupled to the container, the sensor generates an output signal to the computer responsive to a weight impressed on the sensor by the container; wherein the computer receives the output signal from the sensor and locates an entry in the table using the sensor, the located entry in the table specifying an amount of time that the pinch valve is to be opened in order to dispense a user-requested volume of liquid from the container,

determining with the computer an amount of time that the pinch valve is to be opened in order to dispense the user-specified volume of liquid from the container by reading a valve open time from an entry in the table, the table entry being determined from the output signal from the sensor;

opening the electrically actuated pinch valve for the valve open time to dispense the user-requested volume of liquid through the electrically actuated pinch valve.

2. The method of claim 1, wherein the sensor comprises a plurality of load cells and wherein the container and liquid in the container define a weight, the plurality of load cells determine the amount of liquid in the container by measuring the weight of the container and liquid in the container.

3. The method of claim 1, wherein the valve open time is determined prior to opening the pinch valve at least once.

4. The method of claim 1, wherein the valve open time is determined prior to each opening of the pinch valve.

5. The method of claim 1, wherein the container is a plastic bag.

11

6. The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of: using an output voltage from the sensor to create a pointer into a table stored in a non-transient computer memory device.

7. The method of claim 1, wherein the sensor comprises a load cell, the load cell determines the amount of liquid in the container by measuring a weight impressed on the load cell by the container and liquid in the container.

8. The method of claim 7, wherein the weight impressed on the load cell is a partial weight of the container and liquid in the container.

9. The method of claim 7, wherein the weight impressed on the load cell is the entire weight of the container and liquid in the container.

10. A method of dispensing a user-specified volume of liquid from a container, the method comprising:

receiving a first signal at a processor from a user interface, the first signal identifying a first user-specified volume of liquid to dispense;

receiving a second signal at the processor from a load cell, the second signal indicative of a weight impressed upon the load cell by a container storing a liquid to be dispensed;

determining with the processor, a valve open time by locating the valve open time within a table comprising a plurality of valve open times stored in a non-transitory computer memory based upon the first signal and the second signal; and

opening an electrically actuated pinch valve coupled to the container for the valve open time to dispense the user-specified volume of liquid.

11. The method of claim 10, wherein the valve open time is determined prior to opening the electrically actuated pinch valve at least once.

12. The method of claim 10, wherein the valve open time is determined prior to each time the electrically actuated pinch valve is opened.

13. The method of claim 10, further comprising creating a pointer into the table from the second signal.

14. The method of claim 10, wherein the plurality of valve open times stored in the table are experimentally determined from measured volumes of liquid dispensed through the electrically actuated pinch valve.

15. The method of claim 10, wherein the plurality of valve open times stored in the table are determined by evaluating a polynomial that models a dispense of liquid through the electrically actuated pinch valve.

12

16. An apparatus for dispensing user-specified volumes of a liquid, the apparatus comprising:

a container that stores a liquid to be dispensed;

a load cell that outputs a signal responsive to a weight impressed on the load cell;

a processor coupled to the load cell to receive said signal; and

a non-transitory memory device coupled to the processor and storing a plurality of pinch valve open times in seconds;

wherein the processor locates a valve open time entry in the memory device responsive to a signal output from the load cell and which is provided to the processor.

17. An apparatus for dispensing user-specified volumes of liquids, the apparatus comprising:

a user interface that receives an input of the user-specified volume of liquid;

a container that stores a liquid to be dispensed;

an electrically actuated pinch valve coupled to the container;

a computer, operatively coupled to the user interface and the pinch valve;

a non-transitory memory device coupled to the computer and storing a table;

a sensor electrically coupled to the computer and mechanically coupled to the container, the sensor generates an output signal to the computer responsive to a weight impressed on the sensor by the container,

wherein the computer receives the output signal from the sensor and locates an entry in the table using the sensor, the located entry in the table specifying an amount of time that the pinch valve is to be opened in order to dispense a user-requested volume of liquid from the container.

18. The apparatus of claim 17, wherein the container and liquid in the container define a weight and wherein the sensor is a load cell, the load cell supports at least a portion of the weight of the container and liquid in the container.

19. The apparatus of claim 17, wherein the container and liquid in the container define a weight and wherein the sensor is a load cell, the load cell supports a portion of the weight of the container and liquid in the container.

20. The apparatus of claim 17, wherein the container and liquid in the container define a weight and wherein the sensor is a plurality of load cells that support the entire weight of the container and liquid in the container.

* * * * *