Leverage

Effect on investment performance

Alex Van de Minne



1 Introduction

What are we going to do during this class:

- There are trillions in mortgage debt in the US alone.
- We will focus on leverage, and its effect on investment performance of the equity. (There might also be other considerations, like <u>tax</u> <u>treatment</u>, which we will skip for now.)
- Note that in normal corporate finance, this section would most likely be skipped.
 - Stockholders can lever up or down on their own account.
 - Borrow money, or by investing in bonds. (Or anything that is highly collinear with interest rates.)
- This is obviously not the case for direct real estate investment.

2 What is leverage

- You can use debt to "lever" up your property.
- Say you purchase a \$10M property, and you take up a \$6M loan. (I.e. you use \$4M of your own money.)
- We have two ways to measure leverage;
 - Leverage ratio (LR): V/E = (L + E)/E. Which is \$10M/\$4M = 2.5.
 - Loan to Value (LTV): L/V = L/(L + E). Which is \$6M/\$10M = 0.6.
 - V = value of property.
 - L = loan amount.
 - E = equity, i.e. own money in the property.

2 What is leverage

- <u>Equity</u> is your ownership share. However, it gives you (normally) full control over the property. (As long as you meet the requirements of the debt obligations.)
- <u>Debt</u>, on the other hand, receives a senior claim on the cash flows and value. In other words, the debtor is always paid first.
- However, equity gets the residual claim on cash flow and value. Meaning that whatever is left after the debtor is paid, is for the equity holders.
 - The residual claim ensures that property managers are sufficiently incentivized to maximize profit.

3 Effect of leverage on risk and return on equity

- Note that as an investor, you only really care about the return (and risk) of your own money, aka equity.
- Previously, we have only focused on risk/return of properties, treating them as if there is no leverage on them.
- This is extra interesting, as this means that heterogeneous investors, have yet another way to match their risk/return preference on a property.
- Next, we will discuss what the effect of leverage is on return, followed by the effect of leverage on risk.

- Take the following numbers:
 - Value in t: **\$10,000,000**.
 - Value in t+1: \$10,200,000, or a 2% capital gain / appreciation.
 - 8% income return at t, or \$800,000.
 - Thus, total return was (8% + 2% =) 10% on the property, free and clear of debt. (PBTCF)
- However, now assume that the investor takes a \$6M loan to fund this property (thus equity is \$4M), and the interest rate is 8%.
 - LR = 2.5 (\$10M / \$4M), LTV = 0.6 (\$6M / \$10M).
 - What is the return on equity in this case?
 - Note that the <u>return on the property</u> remains 10%.
- Small (but important!) technical note, the loan is assumed to be interest rate only and risk free.

- There are multiple ways on calculating the return on equity. One way;
 - Lender gets the first claim on the cash flow. Interest rate is 8%, and the loan amount is \$6M, thus total interest paid is \$480,000.
 - Subtract that amount from the free cash flow of the property of \$800,000, and you as an investor can keep (\$800,000 \$480,000 =) \$320,000.
 - Expressed as a yield, this gives us \$320,000/\$4,000,000 = 8%. This remains the same, because the interest rate is a similar % as the income yield.
 - You can keep the entire capital gain of \$200,000.
 - Expressed as a yield, this is \$200,000/\$4,000,000 = 5%.
- Thus the total return on equity is (8% + 5% =) **13%**.

| | Property | Levered equity | Debt |
|---------------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|
| Initial value | \$ 10,000,000 | \$ 4,000,000 | \$ 6,000,000 |
| Cash flow | \$ 800,000 | \$ 560,000 | \$ 240,000 |
| Ending value | \$ 10,200,000 | \$ 4,200,000 | \$ 6,000,000 |
| Income return | 8% | 14% | 8% |
| Appreciation return | 2% | 5% | 0% |
| Total return | 10% | 19% | 4% |

| | Property | Levered equity | Debt |
|---------------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|
| Initial value | \$ 10,000,000 | \$ 4,000,000 | \$ 6,000,000 |
| Cash flow | \$ 800,000 | \$ 560,000 | \$ 240,000 |
| Ending value | \$ 10,200,000 | \$ 4,200,000 | \$ 6,000,000 |
| Income return | 8% | 14% | 4% |
| Appreciation return | 2% | 5% | 0% |
| Total return | 10% | 19% | 4% |

Now we lowered the interest rate to 4%.

| | Property | Levered equity | Debt |
|---------------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|
| Initial value | \$ 10,000,000 | \$ 4,000,000 | \$ 6,000,000 |
| Cash flow | \$ 800,000 | \$ 560,000 | \$ 240,000 |
| Ending value | \$ 10,200,000 | \$ 4,200,000 | \$ 6,000,000 |
| Income return | 8% | 14% | 4% |
| Appreciation return | 2% | 5% | 0% |
| Total return | 10% | 19% | 4% |

Note that the total return even increases more.

But now let's go back to the original example of 8%.

- Great! We increased our return by 30%! (Difference between the 10% and 13%.)
- What the market really cares about is the return over the risk-free rate.
 - In that case the difference is even larger. Note that we called the loan risk-free? This means we can assume the risk-free rate is 8% in the previous example.
 - Thus, the comparison should be between (10% 8% =) 2% and (13% 8% =) 5%.
 - Which is **2.5 times** as much!
- Unfortunately, as we know, there is no such thing as a free lunch. And this increase in expected return, comes with an increase in expected risk.
 - Note again that it is very important to distinguish between realized risk/return and expectations.

4 Effect of leverage on risk of equity

- Risk in investment is related to uncertainty and unpredictability. We do not know the cash flow growth. We do not know the price / rent appreciation for sure.
 - With our NPV investment reports, this is reflected in the return requirement (r).
 - In this case we are talking about the same property, with the same return requirement, but an "extra" layer of risk for the investor.

4 Effect of leverage on risk of equity

- We have two scenarios;
 - Optimistic;
 - Value at t + 1: \$11,200,000, or 12% increase.
 - 9% net income yield, or \$900,000.
 - Pessimistic;
 - Value at t + 1: \$9,200,000, or -8% appreciation.
 - 7% net income yield, or \$700,000.
- The loan amount and interest rate stays the same (\$6M at 8%), and does not care about whether or not an optimistic or pessimistic scenario unfolds.
- We <u>quantify risk</u>, as the range in total return between the good and bad scenario. So what is the risk?

4 Unlevered (LR = 1, LTV = 0)

| | Optimistic | Pessimistic |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Initial value | \$ 10,000,000 | \$ 10,000,000 |
| Cash flow | \$ 900,000 | \$ 700,000 |
| Ending value | \$ 11,200,000 | \$ 9,200,000 |
| Income return | 9% | 7% |
| Appreciation return | 12% | -8% |
| Total return | 21% | -1% |

The risk is essentially the difference in total return (obviously minus the risk-free rate), which has a range of 11% around the mean (the 10% total return of the unlevered property).

4 Effect of leverage on risk of equity

- We have two scenarios;
 - Optimistic;
 - Exit value on Equity is \$11.2M \$6M = \$5.2M.
 - Cash on Equity is \$900,000 \$480,000 = \$420,000.
 - Pessimistic;
 - Exit value on Equity is \$9.2M \$6M = \$3.2M.
 - Cash on Equity is \$700,000 \$480,000 = \$220,000.
- The in initial investment of Equity was \$4M.
- What are the returns in both scenarios?

4 Levered (LR = 2.5, LTV = 0.6)

| | | Optimistic | | Pessimistic | |
|---------------------|----|------------|----|-------------|--|
| Initial value | \$ | 4,000,000 | \$ | 4,000,000 | |
| Cash flow | \$ | 420,000 | \$ | 220,000 | |
| Ending value | \$ | 5,200,000 | \$ | 3,200,000 | |
| Income return | | 10.5% | | 5.5% | |
| Appreciation return | | 30.0% | | -20.0% | |
| Total return | | 40.5% | | -14.5% | |

<u>Unlevered</u> the "risk" was 11%. When the same property is being <u>levered</u>, the "risk" increases to (40.5 - 13 =) 27.5%. Note we use the mean total return of the levered property. If we divide the 27.5% / 11% = 2.5. Where did we hear that number before? Even real estate abides by the security market line!

4 Security Market Line



4 Security Market Line



4 Positive Leverage



4 Income Component



5 Short note on debt

- In reality, debt is not riskless.
- There are <u>two/three</u> main sources of risk when in the market for mortgage lending.
 - Default risk. Meaning the lender may repossess the property to run it or sell it.
 - Interest rate risk. Mortgages are fixed for a long time, whereas deposits are short-run.
 - Refinance risk. Investors refinance when interest rates go down, but not vice verse.
- To get a sense of these risks and how to mitigate them, think of the following extreme mortgage products;
 - ARM. Adjustable Rate Mortgage. The interest rate floats every year to reflect current market prices.
 - FRM. Fixed Rate Mortgage. The interest rate stays fixed for the entire time of maturity. (Which in an extreme would be 30 years.)

5 Short note on debt



5 Housing Market

- In housing markets, mortgages are securitized. Meaning the mortgages are pooled in a SPV (essentially a separate LLC), and bonds are sold (backed by the SPV) to ultimate investors.
- The government guarantees the bond payments.
- This way, the banks do not run the interest rate risk anymore, but also, it is safer for homeowners as they can get 30-year FRM.
- This does not work for CRE for several reasons;
 - No political incentive
 - CRE is way more heterogeneous, meaning we cannot accurately price what is in the SPV.

5 CRE debt in Reality

- How is the mortgage market organized in CRE?
 - Properties are put in an SPV (SPV) or something similar (like a private REIT) or LLC.
 - As a result, when the mortgage cannot be paid anymore, only the property is affected, not the firm.
 - Unless you want to talk about mezzanine debt...
 - Unlike the residential market, CRE is a mix of ARM and FRM, with a maturity of less than (or equal to) 10 years.
 - Typically, it **amortizes** in 20/25 years, with a **balloon payment** at 10/7/5 years.
 - A 2/10 structure means a mortgage with a FRM for 2 years, after which it becomes ARM for the remaining 8 years.
 - A 3/7 structure and 1/5 structure are also popular.
 - Typical LTV levels are 20% (low risk), 40% (medium risk), and 60% (high risk).
 - <u>Higher LTV levels also mean higher interest rates</u>!

5 CRE debt in Reality

- Mortgages (nowadays) are always Constant Payment Mortgages (CPM) for the FRM part of the contract.
- Note that the debt payment (or debt service) consists of two components;
 - Interest payment: the contract rate you often see advertised.
 This is to compensate the lender for the risk she is running.
 - Principal payment: This is the amount you use every month to pay off the debt. It is based on the amortization table.
- With CPM you ensure that the total payments remain the same every month. Which makes it easier to predict.

5 CRE debt in reality

 Assuming the CPM, we can calculate the total payments (PMT) as such;

$$PMT = \frac{L \times r \times (1+r)^N}{(1+r)^N - 1}$$

- Where:
 - L = total initial loan amount
 - r = monthly interest rate (so i/12)
 - N = is the total amount of months to amortization

5 CRE debt in reality

- Once you know your payments, you can subdivide payments into interest and principal payments, by calculating the interest payments first.
- The interest payment is simply r times the outstanding balance (not the original amount!).
- The principal payment is subsequently the total debt service minus the interest payment.
- But what do we do after the mortgage becomes an ARM?
- Well... we can't predict interest rates well, and we can purchase a hedge/insurance against interest rate hikes.