



AAVBC

AMERICAN ACADEMY OF VALUE BASED CARE

Cervical Cancer Quick Reference Guide

2026

Table of Contents

1. CLINICAL SNAPSHOT	3
HCC/RAF V28 Mapping	3
2. RECOGNITION AND DIAGNOSIS	5
Medicare Screenings and Surveillance (Adult and Geriatric)	5
Subtle Early Signs in Older Adults	6
Geriatric Risk Factors	7
Diagnostic Thresholds (FIGO 2018 · Bethesda · ASCCP)	8
Clues to Dig Deeper	9
Common Oversights	9
Key Differentials in Elderly	10
Comorbidity Screening	11
3. MEAT DOCUMENTATION ESSENTIALS	12
Clinical Documentation Elements	13
Reframing Common Documentation Shortcuts	14
4. TREATMENT AND REFERRAL QUICK GUIDE	14
Therapy Escalation Criteria	15
NCCN-Aligned Treatment by FIGO 2018 Stage and Patient Profile	15
Non-Pharmacologic Care and Supportive Interventions	17
Medication Safety and Key Interactions	17
When to Refer	18
Follow-Up Timing	19
Comorbidity Management — Primary Care Role	19
Patient Education and Adherence	21
Quality Metrics Tie-In	22
5. CODING REMINDERS AND CASE EXAMPLES	24
Coding Specificity	24
Annual Clinical Review and Confirmation	25
Good Documentation — EHR Tips	26
REFERENCES	27

1 CLINICAL SNAPSHOT

Definition: Cervical cancer is a malignant neoplasm arising from the epithelium of the uterine cervix, the overwhelming majority of which are caused by persistent infection with high-risk human papillomavirus (hrHPV). HPV DNA is detectable in **99.7%** of cervical cancers.¹ The 2020 WHO classification divides cervical carcinomas into HPV-associated and HPV-independent tumors; **squamous cell carcinoma** accounts for roughly **75%**³ of cases and **adenocarcinoma** for about **20-25%**.^{2,3} Diagnosis requires histopathologic confirmation from a cervical biopsy or conization specimen³. Because cervical cancer develops over years through well-defined precancerous stages, it is one of the most preventable solid tumors — yet it remains under-recognized in older women, in whom screening is often stopped prematurely.⁴

ICD-10 Codes:

Invasive cervical cancer is reported under the **C53** category — **C53.0** (endocervix), **C53.1** (exocervix), **C53.8** (overlapping sites), and **C53.9** (cervix uteri, unspecified). When pathology specifies an endocervical or exocervical origin, the specific subsite code (**C53.0** or **C53.1**) should be assigned rather than defaulting to **C53.9**. Carcinoma in situ (**D06.0/D06.1/D06.9**) and cervical dysplasia (**N87.0** = CIN1/LSIL, **N87.1** = CIN2) are non-invasive and do **not** map to any HCC in V28. Abnormal screening results are captured with **R87.61x** (cytology) and **R87.81x** (HPV status), and the screening encounter itself with **Z12.4**. Secondary sites must be coded separately — **C78.0x** (lung), **C79.51** (bone), **C79.31** (brain) — and are frequently under-documented. **Z85.41** (personal history of malignant neoplasm of cervix) does not map to an HCC and must not be assigned until all active treatment is complete.⁵

Prevalence: Cervical cancer is commonly thought of as a disease of younger women, but more than **20%**⁹ of cases and **36%** of deaths during 2014-2018 occurred in **women older than 65**.⁶ The highest incidence was among 65-69 year old women at 27.4 per 100,000.⁷ Older women are diagnosed at advanced stage (FIGO II-IV) **71%** of the time versus **48%** for younger women, and their 5-year relative survival is correspondingly lower at **23-37%** compared with **42-52%**. A comorbidity burden (Charlson score ≥ 1 in **54%** of women >65 with cervical cancer) is independently associated with late-stage diagnosis (OR 1.59, 95% CI 1.21-2.08).⁸

HCC/RAF V28 Mapping

ICD-10 CODE	HCC (V28)	CNA RAF	DOCUMENTATION TRIGGER
C53.0 (Malignant neoplasm of endocervix)	HCC 22 Bladder/ Colorectal/and Other Cancers	0.363	Active invasive cancer; biopsy/pathology specifying endocervical origin. Code as current until all treatment complete
C53.1 (Malignant neoplasm of exocervix)	HCC 22 Bladder/ Colorectal/and Other Cancers	0.363	Pathology specifying exocervical origin

ICD-10 CODE	HCC (V28)	CNA RAF	DOCUMENTATION TRIGGER
C53.8 (Overlapping sites of cervix uteri)	HCC 22 Bladder/ Colorectal/and Other Cancers	0.363	Tumor spanning both endocervix and exocervix per pathology
C53.9 (Cervix uteri, unspecified)	HCC 22 Bladder/ Colorectal/and Other Cancers	0.363	Default only when subsite is truly undocumented ; prompt the pathologist/physician to specify
D06.x (Carcinoma in situ of cervix (incl. AIS))	None	—	Non-invasive precancer ; distinct from invasive C53.x . No HCC value — accurate staging language matters
N87.0/N87.1 (Cervical dysplasia (CIN1/CIN2))	None	—	Precancerous dysplasia ; not a malignancy for coding. Document grade from pathology
Z85.41 (Personal history of malignant neoplasm of cervix)	None	—	Surveillance only — assign ONLY after ALL active treatment is complete. Premature use removes the active-cancer complexity from the record

ABBREVIATIONS: C53 = malignant neoplasm of cervix uteri; AIS = adenocarcinoma in situ; CIN = cervical intraepithelial neoplasia; LSIL/HSIL = low-/high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion; HCC = Hierarchical Condition Category; CNA = Community Non-Dual Eligible, Aged; RAF = Risk Adjustment Factor; V28 = 2026 CMS-HCC model

***RAF values represent the Community Non-Dual Eligible Aged (CNA) coefficient from the 2026 CMS-HCC model;11 values vary across patient populations based on eligibility and care setting**

Risk-Adjusted Care Resources per Patient/Year^{9,10}

Risk-adjusted care resource allocation — MA base rate (~\$10,402.34) × RAF coefficient

Cervical Cancer

\$3,776

HCC 22 · RAF 0.363

RAF values represent the Community Non-Dual Eligible Aged (CNA) coefficient from the 2026 CMS-HCC model; values vary across patient populations based on eligibility and care setting

2 RECOGNITION AND DIAGNOSIS

Medicare Screenings and Surveillance (Adult and Geriatric)

POPULATION/SETTING	RECOMMENDED APPROACH	INTERVAL	NOTES
Average-risk women 30-65¹¹	Primary hrHPV testing preferred (cytology or co-testing acceptable); self-collected HPV is an endorsed option	hrHPV every 5 yrs ; cytology every 3 yrs ; co-test every 5 yrs	2026 WPSI/HRSA designates primary hrHPV the preferred modality ; ACOG, AAFP, SGO, and ACP currently endorse the 2018 USPSTF recommendations¹²
Adequately screened, average-risk, age ≥65¹³	May stop screening only if exit criteria met: negative HPV at 60 and 65, OR 3 consecutive negative Pap tests, last at 65	One-time verification at the age-65 encounter	Any abnormality at or after age 65 immediately postpones cessation
High-risk women ≥65 (CIN2+ within 25 yr; HIV/immunosuppression; DES exposure)¹³	Continue surveillance regardless of age	Co-test every 3 yrs (≥25 yr after CIN2+); annual cytology lifelong for DES; lifelong for HIV	Post-hysterectomy with CIN2+ history: vaginal-cuff HPV/co-test every 3 yr for ≥25 yr
Inadequately/unknown prior screening at 65¹³	Continue until 2 consecutive negative HPV tests or 3 consecutive negative Pap tests obtained	Standard intervals until criteria met	Only ~ 33% of women meet documented cessation criteria at 65 — verify, do not assume
Medicare Part B coverage⁶	Pap collection (Q0091) + pelvic/breast exam (G0101); no upper age limit in Medicare policy	Every 24 mo average-risk; every 12 mo high-risk	Medicare has no age cap , unlike the USPSTF stop-at-65 recommendation for adequately screened women

ABBREVIATIONS: AAFP = American Academy of Family Physicians; ACOG = American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists; ACP = American College of Physicians; hrHPV = high-risk human papillomavirus; CIN = cervical intraepithelial neoplasia; DES = diethylstilbestrol; HIV = human immunodeficiency virus; SGO = Society of Gynecologic Oncology; WPSI = Women's Preventive Services Initiative; HRSA = Health Resources and Services Administration; MA = Medicare Advantage; USPSTF = US Preventive Services Task Force



CLINICAL PEARL

Two overlapping but distinct guideline sets currently exist for cervical cancer screening: **WPSI/HRSA (2026)**, which designates primary hrHPV testing as preferred, and the professional societies (**ACOG, AAFP, SGO, ACP**), which continue to endorse the **2018 USPSTF** framework of cytology, co-testing, or hrHPV as acceptable options. Both agree on the underlying evidence; they differ on which modality to lead with. In practice, any of the three approaches (hrHPV alone, cytology alone, or co-testing) remains guideline-concordant. The choice can be individualized to patient preference and access.

A separate and more consequential issue involves **age-65 cessation**. The USPSTF recommends stopping routine screening at 65 for women who are adequately screened and average-risk. However, research from Boston Medical Center found that **fewer than 1 in 3 women aged 64-66** actually met the documented exit criteria required to stop. The result is a population exiting surveillance prematurely, without verified eligibility.

The takeaway for primary care: **guideline-driven cessation, applied without confirming individualized exit criteria, creates a silent late-life diagnostic gap**. Before deferring or stopping screening at 65, **confirm** that the documented negative-HPV or negative-Pap history actually meets exit criteria.

Subtle Early Signs in Older Adults

WARNING SIGN	CLINICAL SIGNIFICANCE	RISK SIGNAL	COMMON MISATTRIBUTION
Any postmenopausal vaginal bleeding (even a single spot) ¹⁴	Strongest symptomatic predictor of cervical cancer in older women — warrants speculum exam and prompt evaluation	OR 4.3 (95% CI 2.5-7.5); PPV 4.6% in women ≥55	Atrophic vaginitis, HRT breakthrough bleeding, “normal aging”
Vaginal discharge (watery, pink, or foul-smelling) ¹⁴	Second most common presenting symptom	OR 8.8 (95% CI 5.2-15)	Bacterial vaginosis, yeast infection
Intermenstrual/irregular bleeding (peri-cessation) ¹⁴	Associated with cervical pathology	OR 4.7 (95% CI 1.6-14)	Hormonal irregularity
Hematuria ¹⁴	May indicate bladder invasion	OR 4.6 (95% CI 2.1-10)	UTI, bladder atrophy
Persistent pelvic/abdominal pain ¹⁴	Suggests parametrial or pelvic-sidewall extension	OR 1.8 (95% CI 1.4-2.5)	Musculoskeletal/degenerative disease

WARNING SIGN	CLINICAL SIGNIFICANCE	RISK SIGNAL	COMMON MISATTRIBUTION
Unilateral leg swelling + pelvic pain ³	Pelvic-sidewall invasion with venous/lymphatic obstruction — sign of advanced ($\geq$ IIIB) disease	Clinical sign of advanced disease	DVT, lymphedema from other causes
Unexplained low hemoglobin ¹⁴	May reflect chronic blood loss from a cervical lesion	OR 2.6 (95% CI 1.8-3.8)	Anemia of chronic disease, nutritional

ABBREVIATIONS: OR = odds ratio; CI = confidence interval; PPV = positive predictive value; HRT = hormone replacement therapy; UTI = urinary tract infection; DVT = deep vein thrombosis; FIGO = International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics

Geriatric Risk Factors

RISK FACTOR	EFFECT ESTIMATE	GERIATRIC RELEVANCE
Persistent high-risk HPV infection	Necessary cause; HPV in 99.7% of cervical cancers ¹⁵	Immune senescence may permit reactivation of latent HPV after menopause — biologically plausible but incompletely quantified
HIV/immunosuppression	RR 2.20 (95% CI 1.89-2.54); immunosuppressive medication RR 1.33 (95% CI 1.27-1.39) ¹⁶	Transplant or autoimmune-disease therapy in older adults raises risk; mandates lifelong screening
Current smoking ($\geq$1 pack/day)	Adjusted RR 4.3 (95% CI 2.0-9.3) for CIN3+/cancer in HPV-positive women ¹⁷	Long cumulative exposure
Inadequate prior screening ¹³	Single most important modifiable factor; ~two-thirds of cancers occur in never/under-screened women	Gaps in documented cervical cytology or hrHPV testing history are common in the 65+ MA population
Comorbidity burden (Charlson $\geq$1)	Present in 54% of women >65 ; OR 1.59 (95% CI 1.21-2.08) for late-stage diagnosis ⁸	Independently drives advanced-stage presentation and constrains treatment tolerance
Altered vaginal microbiome	RR 1.59 (95% CI 1.40-1.81) ¹⁶	An emerging cofactor; relevant across age groups

ABBREVIATIONS: HPV = human papillomavirus; RR = relative risk; CI = confidence interval; CIN3+ = cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade 3 or worse; HIV = human immunodeficiency virus; hrHPV = high-risk human papillomavirus; MA = Medicare Advantage; Charlson = Charlson Comorbidity Index

Diagnostic Thresholds (FIGO 2018 Bethesda ASCCP)

SYSTEM/THRESHOLD	DEFINITION	CLINICAL USE
FIGO 2018 staging ^{18,19}	Stage IB subdivided into IB1 (<=2 cm) , IB2 (>2-4 cm) , IB3 (>4 cm) ; new Stage IIIC for nodal disease (IIIC1 pelvic, IIIC2 para-aortic); imaging (MRI, PET/CT) formally incorporated	Primary US staging system for treatment selection. 5-yr survival: IB1 91.6% IB2 83.3%, IB3 76.1%; IIIC1 60.8% vs IIIC2 37.5% ; FIGO 2018 improves stage-I discrimination
AJCC TNM, 8th ed. ³	Parallels FIGO with tumor/node/metastasis classification	Used primarily for cancer-registry reporting and epidemiology rather than bedside decisions
Bethesda System (2014) ²⁰	Cytology categories: ASC-US, ASC-H, LSIL, HSIL, AGC, carcinoma	Reports screening cytology; drives the ASCCP risk-based algorithms
ASCCP 2019 risk-based management ¹³	Action keyed to immediate CIN3+ risk: >=4% → colposcopy; >=25% → treatment or colposcopy; >=60% → expedited treatment	Applies regardless of age; prior negative HPV lowers risk by ~ 50% for low-grade abnormalities
CIN histologic grading ¹³	CIN1 (LSIL), CIN2, CIN3 (HSIL)	CIN3 has >80% inter-pathologist agreement (most reliable); CIN2 is heterogeneous and may regress

ABBREVIATIONS: FIGO = International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; AJCC = American Joint Committee on Cancer; TNM = tumor-node-metastasis; ASC-US/ASC-H = atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance/cannot exclude HSIL; LSIL/HSIL = low-/high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion; AGC = atypical glandular cells; ASCCP = American Society for Colposcopy and Cervical Pathology; CIN = cervical intraepithelial neoplasia



CLINICAL PEARL : FIGO 2018 SHARPENS PROGNOSIS

Survival drops sharply with each substage: **91.6%** (IB1) → **83.3%** (IB2) → **76.1%** (IB3), and nodal disease splits even further — **60.8%** (IIIC1, pelvic nodes) vs. **37.5%** (IIIC2, para-aortic nodes). By separating tumor size and nodal location this precisely, FIGO 2018 gives a much sharper read on stage-I prognosis than prior staging systems. A small difference in substage can mean a very different survival curve.^{18,19}

Clues to Dig Deeper

CLUE/FINDING	WHY IT MATTERS	ACTION
Atrophic transformation zone after menopause ²¹	Squamocolumnar junction recedes into the canal , lowering Pap sensitivity and complicating colposcopy	Favor primary hrHPV testing — specificity 89.6% vs 67.4% for co-testing in this group
ASC-US reported on an atrophic smear ²¹	Misread atrophy is among the most common cytology errors in postmenopausal women	Reflex hrHPV ; a negative HPV result is more reassuring than negative cytology here
Bleeding attributed to “resumption of menses” by the patient ²²	Patients themselves often normalize postmenopausal bleeding , delaying care by months to years	Educate that any postmenopausal bleeding needs evaluation ; document the cervical exam
HPV 16/18 positive in a woman >65 ¹³	Carries high CIN3+ risk independent of cytology	Expedited colposcopy regardless of the Pap result
New hydronephrosis on imaging with known cervical pathology ³	Suggests parametrial/sidewall extension (Stage IIIB)	Urgent gyn-onc evaluation ; ⁴ assess renal function and ureteral patency
ABBREVIATIONS: hrHPV = high-risk human papillomavirus; ASC-US = atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance; CIN3+ = cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade 3 or worse; gyn-onc = gynecologic oncology		

Common Oversights

CLINICAL OVERSIGHT	CONSEQUENCE	BETTER PRACTICE
Treating age 65 as an automatic screening stop ¹³	Misses the ~two-thirds of women who have not met exit criteria; only one-third aged 64-66 actually qualify	Verify adequate-screening criteria at the age-65 encounter before stopping
Attributing postmenopausal bleeding to atrophy or UTI ¹⁴	Delays diagnosis of the highest-OR predictor (OR 43) and shifts presentation to advanced stage	Perform a speculum exam and refer urgently for any postmenopausal bleeding
Relying on Pap cytology alone in older women ²¹	Reduced sensitivity from atrophic sampling ; missed high-grade lesions	Use primary hrHPV testing, which has higher specificity in this population
Not flagging high-risk patients who must continue past 65 ¹³	CIN2+, HIV/immunosuppression, and DES-exposed women go unscreened	Build EHR registries to identify patients needing continued surveillance

CLINICAL OVERSIGHT	CONSEQUENCE	BETTER PRACTICE
Overlooking comorbidity-driven late presentation ⁸	Charlson ≥ 1 (54% ⁶ of >65) independently predicts advanced stage	Lower the threshold to evaluate symptoms in medically complex older women
Missing pelvic-sidewall signs (leg edema, sciatica, hydronephrosis) ³	Advanced (IIIB+) disease mistaken for DVT, radiculopathy, or musculoskeletal pain	Recognize the edema-pain-hydronephrosis triad as a marker of sidewall invasion

ABBREVIATIONS: UTI = urinary tract infection; OR = odds ratio; hrHPV = high-risk human papillomavirus; CIN2+ = cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade 2 or worse; HIV = human immunodeficiency virus; DES = diethylstilbestrol; EHR = electronic health record; DVT = deep vein thrombosis; Charlson = Charlson Comorbidity Index

Key Differentials in Elderly

DIFFERENTIAL	DISTINGUISHING FEATURES	HOW TO RESOLVE
Atrophic vaginitis ²¹	Diffuse thin/friable mucosa vs. bleeding usually with intercourse or exam vs. no discrete lesion	Speculum exam +/- cytology/ hrHPV; treat atrophy but still evaluate the cervix for any bleeding
Endometrial carcinoma ²³	Postmenopausal bleeding with thickened endometrium on ultrasound	Transvaginal ultrasound and endometrial sampling; both cancers present with postmenopausal bleeding
Cervical polyp/benign lesion ³	Smooth, pedunculated, typically non-friable	Visualize and biopsy any suspicious or friable lesion to exclude malignancy
Vaginal or vulvar carcinoma ³	Lesion located in the vagina/vulva rather than the cervix	Careful full pelvic exam and directed biopsy
Recurrent UTI/cystitis ¹⁴	Dysuria and frequency; may coexist with hematuria	If hematuria persists after treatment, evaluate for bladder/ cervical pathology
Pelvic DVT/lymphedema ⁴	Leg swelling without a pelvic mass	Imaging to distinguish primary vascular cause from tumor-related sidewall obstruction

ABBREVIATIONS: hrHPV = high-risk human papillomavirus; UTI = urinary tract infection; DVT = deep vein thrombosis



AAVBC PERSPECTIVE

Cervical cancer risk in older women is compounded by **physiological changes that complicate both detection and recognition**. After menopause, the cervical transformation zone migrates higher into the cervical canal, reducing Pap test sensitivity, increasing false-positive rates, and making colposcopy technically more difficult due to cervical atrophy.

These physiological changes are frequently compounded by **symptom misattribution**.

Postmenopausal bleeding, new vaginal discharge, and pelvic pain, hallmark warning signs of cervical cancer, are commonly attributed to urinary tract infection, genitourinary syndrome of menopause, or tissue thinning.

AAVBC's mission is to ensure primary care physicians distinguish expected findings of menopause from findings requiring evaluation. **Any postmenopausal bleeding, including a single episode, warrants cervical evaluation**. Diagnostic vigilance must account for the added technical and clinical complexity of screening and symptom assessment in this population.

Comorbidity Screening

COMORBIDITY	SCREEN/MONITOR	WHY IT MATTERS
HIV/immunosuppression⁴	Document the immunosuppressive condition	Risk for cervical cancer; mandates lifelong screening and captures full complexity ⁵⁷
Renal insufficiency/CKD	Baseline and serial GFR before and during cisplatin	Cisplatin is nephrotoxic ; CKD or stage-IIIB hydronephrosis may require carboplatin substitution or ureteral stenting ³
Diabetes mellitus	Glucose control; monitor for neuropathy on taxanes	Raises hospitalization risk during chemotherapy and worsens taxane neuropathy
Anemia	Pre-treatment hemoglobin	Low pre-treatment hemoglobin is an independent adverse prognostic factor for OS in elderly patients receiving radiotherapy
VTE risk³	Assess for DVT/PE; consider prophylaxis during treatment	Cervical cancer is a high-VTE malignancy; sidewall disease compounds risk
Osteoporosis	Bone-density testing before pelvic radiation	Prior pelvic RT increases pelvic-fracture risk ; consider bone-density testing and bisphosphonates

ABBREVIATIONS: HIV = human immunodeficiency virus; RR = relative risk; CKD = chronic kidney disease; GFR = glomerular filtration rate; OS = overall survival; VTE = venous thromboembolism; DVT = deep vein thrombosis; PE = pulmonary embolism; RT = radiotherapy

**RED FLAG — EMERGENCY/ACTIVATE CRISIS RESPONSE**

- **Massive vaginal hemorrhage** requiring stabilization, transfusion, or vaginal packing
- **Urosepsis** from obstructive uropathy (hydronephrosis in **Stage IIIB** disease) with fever, altered mental status, or hemodynamic instability
- **Acute renal failure with anuria** from bilateral ureteral obstruction
- **Pulmonary embolism or acute DVT** with hemodynamic compromise (cervical cancer is a high-VTE malignancy)

**RED FLAG — URGENT (24-72 HOURS)**

- **Any postmenopausal vaginal bleeding** — even a single episode warrants urgent gynecologic evaluation to exclude cervical cancer
- **HSIL, ASC-H, or cytologic malignancy** on Pap (expedited colposcopy)
- **HPV 16/18 positive** in a woman **>65** (expedited colposcopy regardless of cytology)
- **New-onset hydronephrosis** with known cervical pathology
- **Leg swelling with pelvic pain** suggesting sidewall involvement
- **Friable, ulcerated, or mass lesion** on speculum exam — biopsy and gyn-onc referral within days

3 MEAT DOCUMENTATION ESSENTIALS

MEAT documentation (Monitor, Evaluate, Assess/Address, Treat) supports the clinical complexity of cervical cancer in the medical record. The most important coding decision in this disease is knowing when to transition from active malignancy (**C53.x**) to history of malignancy (**Z85.41**): keep the diagnosis active until all treatment is complete and the patient has moved into surveillance only. Coding this transition too early is the most consequential documentation error in cervical cancer management.

*Case vignette: A female patient, 74, with stage IB2 squamous cell carcinoma of the exocervix completed radical hysterectomy and is now receiving adjuvant chemoradiation. Because active treatment is ongoing, the record continues to carry **C53.1** (active cancer) with MEAT support at each visit; **Z85.41** is not yet appropriate. Her CKD prompted carboplatin substitution for cisplatin, and her tobacco use and anemia are co-documented as contributors to clinical complexity.*

MONITOR: "Treatment status: adjuvant chemoradiation ongoing — radical hysterectomy completed (date). Hemoglobin trend: monitored each cycle; baseline anemia documented as contributor to clinical complexity. Renal function: BMP drawn each platinum cycle; **CrCl** at baseline prompted carboplatin substitution for cisplatin. Functional status: ECOG [#]. Tobacco use: active/former — cessation counseling documented this visit. Weight: stable/[change noted]. Imaging: post-treatment CT/PET-CT scheduled per oncology protocol. Surveillance plan not yet initiated — active treatment ongoing; HPV-based testing at **6, 18, and 30** months post-treatment, then every **3 years** for **≥25 years**, to begin at completion of all active therapy"

EVALUATE: "Radical hysterectomy pathology (date): squamous cell carcinoma, exocervix, FIGO 2018 stage **IB2** (tumor **>2 cm** and **≤4 cm**). LVS: [documented]. Margins: [documented]. Nodal status: [documented]. Adjuvant chemoradiation initiated (date) for high-intermediate risk features. PD-L1 CPS: [result or pending] — relevant if systemic therapy escalation is considered. Histology confirmed squamous cell (approximately **75%** of cervical cancers); adenocarcinoma excluded. **C53.1** assigned (exocervix, active malignancy); **Z85.41** not appropriate while active treatment is ongoing. Vague language such as 'consistent with' or 'suspicious for' avoided — definitive histologic confirmation in record."

ASSESS: "Squamous cell carcinoma of the exocervix, FIGO 2018 stage **IB2**, active treatment ongoing = **C53.1**. No documented metastatic disease (**C78.x/C79.x** not applicable at this time). Comorbidities affecting treatment tolerance: CKD (**N18.x**) — drove carboplatin substitution, nephrology co-managing; anemia (**D64.9**) — hemoglobin monitored each cycle; tobacco use disorder (**F17.21x**) — cessation counseling active; VTE risk elevated (high-VTE malignancy) — prophylaxis and monitoring documented. Geriatric consideration: age **74** — functional status and treatment intensity decision documented at multidisciplinary tumor board."

TREAT: "Radical hysterectomy (date) followed by adjuvant chemoradiation (start date); carboplatin substituted for cisplatin — rationale: CKD with renal impairment, documented in record. Treatment status — not date of surgery — governs current coding: **C53.1** remains active until all modalities complete and surveillance-only phase initiated. Supportive care: tobacco cessation reinforcement; anemia management (iron supplementation vs. transfusion threshold documented); VTE prophylaxis per protocol; nutritional support. Referrals: gynecologic oncology (primary), radiation oncology (concurrent), nephrology (CKD/platinum monitoring). Surveillance plan to begin post-treatment: HPV-based testing at **6, 18, and 30** months, then every **3 years** for **≥25 years**. ACP documented (CPT **99497**): surrogate named, goals of care addressed given age and treatment intensity."

Clinical Documentation Elements

- **Anatomic subsite from pathology** (endocervix vs exocervix vs overlapping) to support **C53.0/C53.1/C53.8** rather than defaulting to **C53.9**
- **Histologic type** (squamous cell carcinoma vs adenocarcinoma) and FIGO 2018 substage with node status (e.g., **Stage IIIC2**, para-aortic node involvement, vs **Stage IIIC1**, pelvic node involvement only)
- **Active-treatment status** — surgery, chemotherapy, radiation, immunotherapy — and whether the patient remains under active treatment or is in surveillance
- **All secondary/metastatic sites coded separately** (**C78.x** lung, **C79.51** bone, **C79.31** brain), which are frequently under-documented
- **Hysterectomy type — total vs supracervical** — because a supracervical hysterectomy leaves a cervix and continued screening is needed
- **Co-testing detail:** when both Pap and HPV are performed, list both explicitly
- **Comorbidities affecting chemotherapy tolerance** (CKD, diabetes, anemia, VTE) with their ICD-10 codes

Reframing Common Documentation Shortcuts

COMMON SHORTCUT	WHY IT FALLS SHORT	REFRAME
"History of cervical cancer" coded right after surgery	Z85.41 assigned while adjuvant chemoradiation is ongoing removes the active-cancer complexity (HCC 22) and misrepresents disease burden	Code C53.x until ALL active treatment is complete; transition to Z85.41 only in surveillance
"Cervical cancer" with no subsite	Defaults to C53.9 and loses anatomic specificity	Document endo- vs exocervical origin from pathology → C53.0/C53.1
Metastatic sites omitted	Distant disease (lung, bone, brain) under-coded even when known	Code each secondary site (C78.x, C79.x) separately alongside the primary
"Consistent with"/"suspicious for" malignancy	Tentative language blocks a definitive C53.x code	Record the confirmed histologic diagnosis once pathology returns
"Screening normal" without test detail	Co-testing components not captured	List Pap and HPV results separately, with the screening encounter (Z12.4) where applicable

ABBREVIATIONS: HCC = Hierarchical Condition Category; C53.x = malignant neoplasm of cervix; Z85.41 = personal history of cervical cancer; C78.x/C79.x = secondary malignant neoplasm



DOCUMENTATION IS COMPREHENSIVE CODING

Documentation that names the **subsite**, the **histology**, the **FIGO substage**, every **metastatic site**, and the **active-treatment status** is simply an accurate clinical record. Coding completeness follows from good care: it supports the **surveillance and coordination** these patients need, and it keeps the **active-versus-history transition** tied to clinical reality.

4 TREATMENT AND REFERRAL QUICK GUIDE

Treatment is stratified by **FIGO 2018 stage**. Performance status and geriatric fitness should drive treatment intensity decisions. SEER data show that older women derive comparable benefit from standard-intensity treatment when fitness allows, and the **NCCN Older Adult Oncology** guidelines support this approach.^{18,24,25}

Therapy Escalation Criteria

TRIGGER	ACTION*	RATIONALE
Biopsy-confirmed invasive cancer (any FIGO stage)¹⁸	Refer to gynecologic oncology ; stage with exam +/- MRI/PET-CT	Stage-directed therapy requires accurate FIGO 2018 substaging
Locally advanced disease (IB3-IVA)	Chemoradiation within 56 days of initiation ²⁶	Completing definitive chemoradiation within 56 days is a validated quality benchmark
FIGO 2014 stage III-IVA, high-risk LACC	Add concurrent + maintenance pembrolizumab to chemoradiation (NCCN category 1)	KEYNOTE-A18: progression reduced 30% (HR 0.70, 95% CI 0.55-0.89); death reduced 33% (HR 0.67, 95% CI 0.50-0.90) ²⁷
Recurrent/metastatic (IVB)²⁸	Systemic therapy by PD-L1 CPS ; biomarker testing required	Pembrolizumab combination if CPS ≥1 ; document staging and CPS before therapy
Medically inoperable early-stage older patient²⁵	Curative RT +/- concurrent cisplatin; geriatric assessment (4Ms, CARG/CRASH)	Chronologic age alone should not preclude curative intent

ABBREVIATIONS: FIGO = International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; LACC = locally advanced cervical cancer; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; PD-L1 = programmed death-ligand 1; CPS = combined positive score; RT = radiotherapy; CARG/CRASH = Cancer and Aging Research Group/Chemotherapy Risk Assessment Scale for High-Age patients; 4Ms = What Matters, Mobility, Medication, Mentation

***Consult FDA labels for the most up-to-date dosage information, contraindications, and drug-drug interactions**

NCCN-Aligned Treatment by FIGO 2018 Stage and Patient Profile

FIGO STAGE/SETTING	APPROACH*	NOTES
Stage IA1 (no LVSI)²⁹	Conization with negative margins OR extrafascial (Type A) hysterectomy	Lymphatic-metastasis risk <1% ³
Stage IA2-IB1²⁹	Radical hysterectomy (Type C1) with SLN mapping or pelvic lymphadenectomy (category 1)	Open abdominal approach is standard ; MIS was inferior for DFS/OS in the LACC trial. Select tumors (<=2 cm, no LVSI, margins clear, depth <10 mm) may have simple hysterectomy + SLN ³⁰

FIGO STAGE/SETTING	APPROACH*	NOTES
Stage IB2-IIA1 ²⁹	Radical hysterectomy with lymphadenectomy (category 1) OR pelvic EBRT + concurrent cisplatin + brachytherapy	Both are NCCN category 1; choice depends on operability and patient goals
Stage IB3-IVA (locally advanced) ²⁶	Pelvic EBRT + concurrent weekly cisplatin 40 mg/m ² (capped 70 mg) + brachytherapy within 56 days ; add pembrolizumab for FIGO 2014 III-IVA	Carboplatin substitution for cisplatin-intolerant patients; induction carboplatin/paclitaxel (INTERLACE) may precede chemoradiation ²⁹
Stage IVB/recurrent/metastatic ²⁹	CPS ≥1: pembrolizumab + platinum/paclitaxel +/- bevacizumab (KEYNOTE-826). CPS <1: platinum/paclitaxel + bevacizumab (GOG 240: OS 17.5 vs 15 mo; HR 0.73) ³	Second-line: tisotumab vedotin or single-agent pembrolizumab (CPS ≥1)
Older patients receiving radiotherapy	Add chemotherapy to RT when fitness allows , older patients are commonly under-offered standard treatment	SEER (n=2,958, ≥65): chemo + RT improved 5-yr OS 47.8% vs 26.9% (HR 0.55, 95% CI 0.49-0.61) and cancer-specific survival 58.7% vs 43.8% (HR 0.64) ³¹

ABBREVIATIONS: FIGO = International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; LVSI = lymphovascular space invasion; SLN = sentinel lymph node; MIS = minimally invasive surgery; DFS/OS = disease-free/overall survival; EBRT = external-beam radiotherapy; CPS = combined positive score; RT = radiotherapy; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; mo = months

***Consult FDA labels for the most up-to-date dosage information, contraindications, and drug-drug interactions**



CLINICAL PEARL

Performance status and geriatric fitness should drive treatment intensity. A SEER analysis of women aged 65 and older showed that adding chemotherapy to radiotherapy raised **5-year overall survival from 26.9% to 47.8%**.³¹ The **NCCN Older Adult Oncology 4Ms framework** and **CARG/CRASH tools** provide structured approaches to therapy planning in this population. **Dose modification should be reserved for genuine organ-function limitations**, not applied reflexively based on age alone. When standard-intensity treatment is delivered, disease-specific survival is comparable to that of younger women.

Non-Pharmacologic Care and Supportive Interventions

INTERVENTION	ROLE	NOTES
Patient navigation	Increases screening completion and follow-up of abnormal results	A 2025 meta-analysis found navigation significantly increased screening vs usual care; HRSA now covers it as a preventive service ^{32,33}
Self-collected HPV testing	Reaches women facing transportation, scheduling, or exam-discomfort barriers ^{11,34}	Endorsed by the 2025 WPSI/HRSA and ACS updates ; coverage effective Jan 2027, adoptable earlier by MA plans ^{11,13}
Smoking cessation	Reduces a quantified cofactor (adjusted RR 4.3 for CIN3+/cancer) ¹⁷	Offer counseling and pharmacotherapy at every relevant encounter ³⁵
Bone health before pelvic RT	Reduces pelvic-fracture risk after radiation ³⁶	Bone-density testing and bisphosphonates where osteoporosis is present
Geriatric/functional assessment	Guides treatment intensity and supportive needs	4Ms framework; CARG and CRASH chemotherapy-toxicity tools ²⁵

ABBREVIATIONS: HPV = human papillomavirus; RR = relative risk; CIN3+ = cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade 3 or worse; RT = radiotherapy; WPSI = Women's Preventive Services Initiative; HRSA = Health Resources and Services Administration; MA = Medicare Advantage; CARG/CRASH = chemotherapy-toxicity assessment tools

Medication Safety and Key Interactions

AGENT*	KEY SAFETY/INTERACTION IN OLDER ADULTS*
Cisplatin	Nephrotoxic — requires adequate renal function (e.g., GFR ≥60 mL/min (standard cutoff); ADDIKD permits ≤50 mg/m² at eGFR 45–59 with good PS.); ^{37,38} substitute carboplatin in CKD; aggressive hydration and antiemesis ²⁹
Carboplatin	Preferred substitute for cisplatin-intolerant or renally impaired patients; monitor for myelosuppression ²⁹
Paclitaxel	Peripheral neuropathy (worse with diabetes); ³⁹ review CYP3A4 inhibitors (fluconazole, clarithromycin, diltiazem, verapamil) before initiating ⁴⁰

AGENT*	KEY SAFETY/INTERACTION IN OLDER ADULTS*
Bevacizumab	Induces hypertension in ~25% ; monitor BP each visit; bleeding/fistula risk — caution in pelvic disease ³
Pembrolizumab	Immune-related adverse events ; older patients derive comparable benefit but need closer toxicity monitoring. Requires PD-L1/staging documentation ²⁵
NSAIDs (concurrent with cisplatin)	AVOID ; Additive nephrotoxicity with cisplatin; substitute acetaminophen for analgesia during platinum cycles ^{25,41}

ABBREVIATIONS: GFR = glomerular filtration rate; CKD = chronic kidney disease; CYP3A4 = cytochrome P450 3A4; BP = blood pressure; CPS = combined positive score; LACC = locally advanced cervical cancer; NSAID = nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug; PD-L1 = programmed death-ligand 1

***Consult FDA labels for the most up-to-date dosage information, contraindications, and drug-drug interactions**

When to Refer

SITUATION	FIRST STEP (PRIMARY CARE)	SPECIALIST/ORDER
Abnormal cytology/positive hrHPV¹³	Apply ASCCP risk-based management ; arrange colposcopy per risk threshold	Colposcopy/biopsy; gynecology
Postmenopausal bleeding or friable cervical lesion⁴²	Speculum exam; urgent referral — do not attribute to atrophy	Gynecology; gynecologic oncology if a mass is seen
Biopsy-confirmed invasive cancer²⁹	Coordinate staging work-up; co-document comorbidities	Gynecologic oncology for stage-directed treatment
Locally advanced or recurrent/metastatic disease	Confirm renal function, CPS testing; support timely start	Definitive chemoradiation should be completed within 56 days ; delays beyond this threshold reduce pelvic control and survival ⁴³
Older patient with treatment-tolerance concerns²⁵	Geriatric/functional assessment before therapy decisions	Geriatric oncology input; 4Ms/CARG-CRASH

ABBREVIATIONS: hrHPV = high-risk human papillomavirus; ASCCP = American Society for Colposcopy and Cervical Pathology; CPS = combined positive score; 4Ms = What Matters, Mobility, Medication, Mentation; CARG/CRASH = chemotherapy-toxicity assessment tools

Follow-Up Timing

PHASE	SCHEDULE	COMPONENTS
Invasive cancer — first 2 yr²⁹	Interval H&P every 3-6 months	Symptom review, exam, stage-dependent imaging/labs as indicated
Invasive cancer — years 3-5²⁹	Every 6-12 months	Continue surveillance; cytology of vaginal cuff as indicated
Invasive cancer — beyond 5 yr²⁹	Annually	Long-term surveillance and survivorship care ⁴⁴
Post-treatment for CIN2/CIN3/AIS — initial¹³	HPV-based testing at 6, 18, and 30 months	Most HPV-positive patients at 6 mo need colposcopy
Post-treatment precancer — long term¹³	HPV/co-test every 3 years for >=25 years , even past 65	Post-hysterectomy: vaginal-cuff testing every 3 yr for >=25 yr

ABBREVIATIONS: H&P = history and physical; CIN = cervical intraepithelial neoplasia; AIS = adenocarcinoma in situ; HPV = human papillomavirus; mo = months; yr = years

Comorbidity Management — Primary Care Role

COMORBIDITY	PRIMARY-CARE ROLE	COORDINATION POINT
CKD/renal impairment³⁷	Monitor GFR; flag before platinum therapy	Alert oncology for carboplatin substitution; manage hydronephrosis/stenting needs
Diabetes⁴⁰	Optimize glycemic control; watch for neuropathy on taxanes	Coordinate during chemotherapy to limit hospitalization risk
Hypertension	Baseline and ongoing BP control	Tighten monitoring when bevacizumab is used (HTN in ~28%) ⁴⁵
Anemia⁴⁶	Correct/monitor hemoglobin	Pre-treatment hemoglobin is prognostic in elderly RT patients
VTE risk/immobility⁴⁷	Assess and educate on DVT/PE symptoms	Consider prophylaxis during active treatment in this high-VTE malignancy

COMORBIDITY	PRIMARY-CARE ROLE	COORDINATION POINT
Tobacco use ⁴⁸	Cessation support	Reduces a quantified cofactor and improves treatment tolerance

ABBREVIATIONS: CKD = chronic kidney disease; GFR = glomerular filtration rate; BP = blood pressure; HTN = hypertension; VTE = venous thromboembolism; DVT = deep vein thrombosis; PE = pulmonary embolism; RT = radiotherapy

Cost-Smart Options

BRAND (EST. COST)	COST-SMART OPTION	EST. SAVINGS	VALUE RATIONALE
Cisplatin 40 mg/m² IV weekly concurrent CRT (generic only; brand Platinol discontinued) (~\$20–50/dose)⁴⁹	Carboplatin AUC 2 IV weekly (generic only; brand Paraplatin discontinued) (~\$14–30/dose) ⁵⁰	~\$5–20/dose (~\$20–90/month based on typical 4–6 week concurrent CRT course); clinical value is in avoiding nephrotoxicity-related admissions	Carboplatin appropriate when CrCl <60 mL/min, grade ≥2 neuropathy, or ototoxicity; NCCN lists as acceptable CRT sensitizer alternative; same radiation schedule maintained ²⁹
Brand pembrolizumab IV Keytruda (~\$12,272/200 mg dose q3wk; ~\$17,700/mo WAC)⁵¹	No biosimilar available (patent through Jun 2028); no equivalent first-line r/m substitute	N/A	IRA caps Part D OOP at \$2,000/yr ; Merck Access Program; q6wk dosing (~\$24,544/dose) cuts infusion visits by 50% at identical monthly cost ⁵¹
Brand bevacizumab Avastin (~\$8,700/cycle q3wk)⁵²	Biosimilar bevacizumab: mvasi (bevacizumab-awwb; ~12% below Avastin WAC) or zirabev (bevacizumab-bvzr; ~23% below); ⁵³ also alymsys (bevacizumab-maly), vegzelma (bevacizumab-adcd)	~\$1,000–2,000/cycle (~\$1,300–2,600/mo)⁵³	All four biosimilars carry FDA-approved cervical cancer indication identical to Avastin; payers increasingly mandate or prefer biosimilar use; verify with infusion center formulary

BRAND (EST. COST)	COST-SMART OPTION	EST. SAVINGS	VALUE RATIONALE
Brand tisotumab vedotin Tivdak (~\$34,000/mo WAC; ~\$7,237/40 mg vial)⁵⁴	No biosimilar; cemiplimab Libtayo (~\$13,181/cycle q3wk; ~\$17,600/mo WAC)⁵²	~\$16,000/mo vs cemiplimab; ~\$31,000/ mo vs single-agent chemo (~\$1,944/cycle)⁵²	Tivdak is NCCN Category 1 preferred 2L option; ICER \$839,107/QALY — not cost-effective at standard \$150,000/ QALY threshold; ⁵⁵ cemiplimab lower- cost NCCN-listed alternative where eligible; single- agent chemo (topotecan, gemcitabine, or vinorelbine) for poor PS — discuss with gyn-onc ⁵²

ABBREVIATIONS: AUC = area under the curve; CrCl = creatinine clearance; CRT = chemoradiation therapy; ICER = incremental cost-effectiveness ratio; IRA = Inflation Reduction Act; NCCN = National Comprehensive Cancer Network; OOP = out-of-pocket; PS = performance status; QALY = quality-adjusted life-year; r/m = recurrent or metastatic; WAC = wholesale acquisition cost

Patient Education and Adherence

- Older patients and their families should understand that cervical cancer does not become less relevant at age 65 — more than **20% of cases** occur in women over 65, and the disease remains a real risk after routine screening ends⁶
- **Any vaginal bleeding after menopause** requires evaluation and should not be dismissed as a normal part of aging
- For women approaching 65, frame the visit as a **one-time check of whether prior screening was adequate**, and offer **self-collection** where access or discomfort is a barrier
- When treatment is needed, emphasize that **fitness guides treatment options**, and that completing **chemoradiation on schedule** (within **56 days**) is associated with improved outcomes



DOCUMENTATION — SCREENING-EXIT DECISION

Record the screening-exit decision explicitly: note whether adequate-screening criteria were met, the high-risk category if applicable, and the plan to continue or stop. Documenting the **reason** screening continues past 65 keeps the longitudinal record accurate and supports continuity across care teams

Quality Metrics Tie-In

MEASURE/METRIC	STANDARD	APPLICABILITY TO CERVICAL CANCER
Cervical Cancer Screening (HEDIS CCS; MIPS #309)	Denominator: women 21-64 enrolled in health plan during MP Numerator: cervical cytology in last 3 years (ages 21-64); OR hrHPV testing in last 5 years (ages 30-64); OR cytology/hrHPV co-test in last 5 years (ages 30-64) Excl: hysterectomy with cervix removal, hospice, palliative care⁵⁶	HEDIS CCS and MIPS #309 apply only through age 64 — Medicare beneficiaries ≥65 are not captured by either measure. Half of women diagnosed with cervical cancer had seen a provider in the prior 5 years without receiving appropriate screening or follow-up⁵⁷
Osteoporosis and Bone Density Screening (MIPS #418/Osteoporosis Screening)	Denominator: Female patients aged 50–85 Numerator: At least one dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) scan of the hip and spine	The Pelvic Radiation Hazard: Definitive pelvic radiotherapy (EBRT + brachytherapy) for cervical cancer triggers rapid bone demineralization and causes pelvic insufficiency fractures (PIFs) in 10% to 29% of patients, typically within 2 years of treatment
Kidney Health Evaluation for Diabetes	Denominator: Patients with diabetes or hypertension on active nephrotoxic drug regimens Numerator: Annual eGFR and urine albumin-to-creatinine ratio (uACR)	Cisplatin-Induced Nephrotoxicity: Cisplatin is a standard radiosensitizer used for chemoradiation. It is highly nephrotoxic and can lead to irreversible CKD Monitor baseline and post-treatment eGFR. Perform strict medication reconciliation, avoiding NSAIDs or other nephrotoxins to preserve remaining renal reserve

MEASURE/METRIC	STANDARD	APPLICABILITY TO CERVICAL CANCER
Plan All-Cause Readmissions (HEDIS PCR/CMS Star)	Denominator: Enrollees aged 18 or older with an acute inpatient discharge Numerator: Unplanned readmission within 30 days (lower is better)	Acute Treatment Toxicities: Post-discharge readmissions for cervical cancer patients are heavily driven by pelvic radiation complications (severe radiation proctitis/secretory diarrhea, pelvic fistulas, acute bowel obstructions, acute radiation cystitis, or cisplatin-induced AKI)
EHR-based 65+ high-risk registry	No formal HEDIS or MIPS measure. Suggested internal benchmark: women ≥ 65 with any high-risk indication (history of CIN2/3 or cervical cancer, DES exposure in utero, HIV, immunocompromise) identified in EHR registry and offered continued annual cytology. Track registry completeness and screening closure rate ¹³	Fills the surveillance gap that HEDIS CCS and MIPS #309 leave in the Medicare population. USPSTF recommends against routine screening at ≥ 65 but explicitly preserves continued screening for high-risk patients; MA plans should build registry-based identification to operationalize this distinction

ABBREVIATIONS: ACS = American Cancer Society; AKI = acute kidney injury; CCS-E = Cervical Cancer Screening-Electronic Clinical Data Systems; CIN = cervical intraepithelial neoplasia; DXA = dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry; ECDS = Electronic Clinical Data Systems; eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate; hrHPV = high-risk human papillomavirus; NCQA = National Committee for Quality Assurance; PCP = primary care provider; PIF = pelvic insufficiency fracture; uACR = urine albumin-to-creatinine ratio; USPSTF = U.S. Preventive Services Task Force.



CLINICAL PEARL

Two high-yield interventions in cervical cancer care lack a corresponding HEDIS or MIPS measure, though both directly affect outcomes and warrant routine attention.

Time to treatment completion: Chemoradiation completed beyond **56 days** from initiation is associated with worse local control and survival (2025 SGO/ACOG/ASCCP/ASTRO/ABS consensus standard). The PCP's role is upstream: timely oncology referral drives time-to-treatment-start, which is the primary lever affecting whether the full course finishes on schedule.²⁶

Follow-up of abnormal results: **Half** of women diagnosed with cervical cancer had an encounter in the prior **5 years without** documentation of screening or follow-up. Closing the loop on abnormal cytology or hrHPV results (via colposcopy referral or repeat testing within guideline-specified timeframes) is the single highest-yield primary care intervention for this disease. **MIPS #374** (Closing the Referral Loop) captures colposcopy referral closure generally but is not cervical cancer-specific, so this gap can persist even in practices performing well on that measure.



QUALITY OUTCOME

When primary care treats the **65th-birthday visit** as a verification checkpoint, identifies **high-risk women** who require continued surveillance beyond routine screening age, and ensures **abnormal results receive timely follow-up**, the result is **earlier-stage diagnosis** and fewer preventable deaths in a population where survival is otherwise lower and post-screening-age cases remain undercharacterized. Better patient outcomes are the objective.



AAVBC PERSPECTIVE

AAVBC encourages primary care providers to treat the age-65 screening threshold as a clinical decision point requiring individualized risk assessment. The USPSTF recommendation to discontinue routine screening at age 65 applies only to women who meet documented adequate prior screening criteria (three consecutive negative Pap tests or two negative co-tests within the prior ten years, with the most recent test within the last five years). When this documentation cannot be confirmed, screening must continue.

AAVBC supports a longitudinal, risk-stratified approach once **women turn 65**. Postmenopausal bleeding, unusual vaginal discharge, and pelvic pain are hallmark warning signs of cervical cancer in older women and are frequently misattributed to genitourinary syndrome of menopause, urinary tract infection, or age-related tissue changes. Any episode of postmenopausal vaginal bleeding warrants cervical evaluation, not reassurance.

AAVBC also encourages providers to recognize that after menopause, the cervical transformation zone migrates higher into the cervical canal, reducing Pap test sensitivity and making both sample collection and colposcopy technically more challenging. **Guideline-driven cessation applied without individualized assessment creates a silent diagnostic gap in a population that carries a disproportionate share of late-stage diagnoses and cervical cancer mortality.**

5 CODING REMINDERS AND CASE EXAMPLES

Coding Specificity

ICD-10 CODE	DESCRIPTION	SPECIFICITY GUIDANCE
C53.0/C53.1	Malignant neoplasm — endocervix/exocervix	Assign the subsite-specific code when pathology states origin; document "SCC arising from the endocervix/exocervix"

ICD-10 CODE	DESCRIPTION	SPECIFICITY GUIDANCE
C53.8	Overlapping sites of cervix uteri	Use when the tumor spans both endo- and exocervix per pathology
C53.9	Cervix uteri, unspecified	Default only when subsite is genuinely undocumented — prompt for specificity rather than defaulting
D06.0/D06.1/D06.9	Carcinoma in situ of cervix (incl. AIS)	Non-invasive precancer; do not equate with invasive C53.x . Specify subsite when known
N87.0/N87.1	Cervical dysplasia (CIN1/CIN2)	Document grade from pathology ; not coded as malignancy
R87.61x/R87.81x/Z12.4	Abnormal cytology/HPV status/screening encounter	List co-testing components separately; use Z12.4 for the screening encounter
Z85.41	Personal history of malignant neoplasm of cervix	Surveillance only — assign ONLY after ALL active treatment (surgery, chemo, radiation, immunotherapy) is complete

ABBREVIATIONS: C53 = malignant neoplasm of cervix; AIS = adenocarcinoma in situ; CIN = cervical intraepithelial neoplasia; SCC = squamous cell carcinoma; HPV = human papillomavirus; HCC = Hierarchical Condition Category; CNA = Community Non-Dual Eligible, Aged; RAF = Risk Adjustment Factor; V28 = 2024 CMS-HCC model; ICD-10-CM = International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision, Clinical Modification

Annual Clinical Review and Confirmation

- Cervical cancer is a chronic, risk-adjustable condition only while it is active. At least annually — and at every treatment-status change — confirm whether the patient remains under active treatment (**C53.x**, HCC 22) or has entered surveillance (**Z85.41**, no HCC). The transition is triggered by completion of all active treatment, not by the date of surgery
- Each year the active diagnosis is supported, re-document the anatomic subsite, histology, FIGO 2018 substage, and every metastatic site, with MEAT evidence at the encounter. A patient receiving adjuvant chemoradiation after hysterectomy is still in active treatment and is coded **C53.x**
- Confirm hysterectomy type in the record: A **supracervical** hysterectomy leaves a cervix, so screening and (if applicable) cancer coding continue; a **total** hysterectomy for benign disease ends routine cervical screening, but vaginal-cuff surveillance continues for a CIN2+ history

Good Documentation — EHR Tips

EHR TIP	HOW IT HELPS	EXAMPLE
Active-treatment status field	Keeps the C53.x → Z85.41 transition tied to treatment completion	Structured flag: "Active treatment ongoing — adjuvant chemoradiation" - > retain C53.1
Pathology-driven subsite SmartPhrase	Prevents defaulting to C53.9	"SCC arising from the {endocervix/exocervix} — assign C53.0/C53.1 "
Metastatic-site checklist	Documents secondary sites that are otherwise under-coded	Prompt for C78.0x (lung), C79.51 (bone), C79.31 (brain) when distant disease is known
Screening-exit verification template	Documents why screening continues or stops at 65	"Adequate prior screening met? Y/N; high-risk category; plan: continue/stop"
Co-testing capture	Records both Pap and HPV components	Discrete result fields for cytology and hrHPV so both are billed/tracked

ABBREVIATIONS: EHR = electronic health record; SCC = squamous cell carcinoma; SmartPhrase = reusable documentation template; hrHPV = high-risk human papillomavirus; C53.x = malignant neoplasm of cervix; Z85.41 = personal history of cervical cancer

Brief Case Examples

SUCCESS — Postmenopausal Bleeding Worked Up, Early-Stage Diagnosis, Accurate Coding

Patient: Female patient, 68, presenting for an annual visit with a single episode of postmenopausal spotting

Documentation: Clinician documented the speculum exam, ordered primary hrHPV testing (positive 16/18), and referred for expedited colposcopy; biopsy confirmed stage IB1 SCC of the exocervix, coded **C53.1** (HCC 22) with comorbid CKD and tobacco use co-documented

Outcome: Early-stage diagnosis enabled radical hysterectomy with SLN mapping; carboplatin was planned in advance for her CKD. Active-cancer status was carried as **C53.1** throughout treatment

Why it worked: Postmenopausal bleeding was treated as a red flag rather than atrophy; hrHPV testing overcame atrophic-smear limitations; coding reflected active disease and full complexity

PITFALL — Premature History Coding and Omitted Complexity

Documentation as written: "Cervical cancer, status post hysterectomy — history of cervical cancer (**Z85.41**)."
Note written while the patient was still receiving adjuvant chemoradiation; no subsite, stage, or metastatic detail recorded

Consequence: Premature **Z85.41** removed the active-cancer complexity (HCC 22) from the record while treatment was ongoing, and omitted lung metastases that were known but uncoded — misrepresenting disease burden

Coding impact: Active disease should have remained **C53.x** until all treatment was complete, with secondary sites (**C78.0x**) coded separately

Fix: Carry **C53.x** with MEAT support until surveillance begins; document subsite, FIGO substage, and every metastatic site; transition to **Z85.41** only after treatment completion

REFERENCES

1. Okunade KS. Human papillomavirus and cervical cancer. *J Obstet Gynaecol*. 2020;40(5):602-608. doi:10.1080/01443615.2019.1634030
2. Höhn AK, Brambs CE, Hiller GGR, May D, Schmoeckel E, Horn LC. 2020 WHO classification of female genital tumors. *Geburtshilfe Frauenheilkd*. 2021;81(10):1145-1153. doi:10.1055/a-1545-4279
3. Tewari KS. Cervical cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2025;392(1):56-71. doi:10.1056/NEJMra2404457
4. Cohen PA, Jhingran A, Oaknin A, Denny L. Cervical cancer. *Lancet*. 2019;393(10167):169-182. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32470-X
5. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services; National Center for Health Statistics. ICD-10-CM Official Guidelines for Coding and Reporting FY 2026. US Department of Health and Human Services; 2025. Accessed June 9, 2026. <https://www.cms.gov/files/document/fy-2026-icd-10-cm-coding-guidelines.pdf>
6. Qin J, Holt HK, Richards TB, Saraiya M, Sawaya GF. Use trends and recent expenditures for cervical cancer screening-associated services in Medicare fee-for-service beneficiaries older than 65 years. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2023;183(1):11-20. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2022.5261
7. Rositch AF, Nowak RG, Gravitt PE. Increased age and race-specific incidence of cervical cancer after correction for hysterectomy prevalence in the United States from 2000 to 2009. *Cancer*. 2014;120(13):2032-2038. doi:10.1002/cncr.28548
8. Cooley JJP, Maguire FB, Morris CR, et al. Cervical cancer stage at diagnosis and survival among women ≥ 65 years in California. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. 2023;32(1):91-97. doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-22-0793
9. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. 2026 final ICD-10-CM mappings (CMS-HCC V28). CMS; 2025. Accessed June 25, 2026. <https://www.cms.gov/medicare/health-plans/medicareadvtspecratestats/risk-adjustors/2026-model-software>
10. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. CMS-HCC model relative factor tables (community, non-dual, aged). CMS; 2024. Accessed June 25, 2026. <https://www.cms.gov/files/document/revised-cms-hcc-model-relative-factor-tables.pdf>
11. Vosooney A, Witkop CT, Cantor AG, et al. Screening for cervical cancer: a recommendation from the Women's Preventive Services Initiative. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2026;148(1). doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000005765
12. Wiser A, Quinlan JD. Cervical cancer screening. *Am Fam Physician*. 2026;113(2):175-184.
13. Perkins RB, Wolf AMD, Church TR, et al. Self-collected vaginal specimens for human papillomavirus testing and guidance on screening exit: an update to the American Cancer Society cervical cancer screening guideline. *CA Cancer J Clin*. 2026;76(1):e70049. doi:10.3322/caac.70049
14. Walker S, Hamilton W. Risk of cervical cancer in symptomatic women aged ≥ 40 in primary care: a case-control study using electronic records. *Eur J Cancer Care (Engl)*. 2017;26(6):e12725. doi:10.1111/ecc.12725

15. Walboomers JM, Jacobs MV, Manos MM, et al. Human papillomavirus is a necessary cause of invasive cervical cancer worldwide. *J Pathol*. 1999;189(1):12-19. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1096-9896(199909)189:1<12::AID-PATH431>3.0.CO;2-F
16. Bowden SJ, Doulgeraki T, Bouras E, et al. Risk factors for human papillomavirus infection, cervical intraepithelial neoplasia and cervical cancer: an umbrella review and follow-up Mendelian randomisation studies. *BMC Med*. 2023;21(1):274. doi:10.1186/s12916-023-02965-w
17. Castle PE, Wacholder S, Lorincz AT, et al. A prospective study of high-grade cervical neoplasia risk among human papillomavirus-infected women. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2002;94(18):1406-1414. doi:10.1093/jnci/94.18.1406
18. Bhatla N, Berek JS, Cuello Fredes M, et al. Revised FIGO staging for carcinoma of the cervix uteri. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*. 2019;145(1):129-135. doi:10.1002/ijgo.12749
19. Wright JD, Matsuo K, Huang Y, et al. Prognostic performance of the 2018 International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics cervical cancer staging guidelines. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2019;134(1):49-57. doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000003311
20. Nayar R, Wilbur DC, eds. *The Bethesda System for Reporting Cervical Cytology: Definitions, Criteria, and Explanatory Notes*. 3rd ed. Springer; 2015.
21. Kiff JM, Cotter M, Munro EG, et al. Cervical cancer screening in postmenopausal women: is it time to move toward primary high-risk human papillomavirus screening? *J Womens Health (Larchmt)*. 2021;30(7):1048-1056. doi:10.1089/jwh.2020.8609
22. Doll KM, Hempstead B, Alson J, Sage L, Lavalley D. Assessment of prediagnostic experiences of Black women with endometrial cancer in the United States. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2020;3(5):e204954. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.4954
23. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. The role of transvaginal ultrasonography in evaluating the endometrium of women with postmenopausal bleeding. ACOG Committee Opinion No. 734. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2018;131(5):e124-e129. doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000002631
24. Xiang M, Kidd EA. Benefit of cisplatin with definitive radiotherapy in older women with cervical cancer. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw*. 2019;17(8):969-975. doi:10.6004/jnccn.2019.7289
25. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Older Adult Oncology. Version 1.2025. NCCN. Accessed June 29, 2026. <https://www.nccn.org/guidelines/guidelines-detail?category=4&id=1452>
26. Girda E, Randall LM, Chino F, et al. Cervical cancer treatment update: a Society of Gynecologic Oncology clinical practice statement. *Gynecol Oncol*. 2023;179:115-130. doi:10.1016/j.ygyno.2023.10.015
27. Lorusso D, Xiang Y, Hasegawa K, et al. Pembrolizumab or placebo with chemoradiotherapy followed by pembrolizumab or placebo for newly diagnosed, high-risk, locally advanced cervical cancer (ENGOT-cx11/GOG-3047/KEYNOTE-A18): a randomised, double-blind, phase 3 clinical trial. *Lancet*. 2024;403(10434):1341-1350. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(24)00317-9
28. Colombo N, Dubot C, Lorusso D, et al. Pembrolizumab for persistent, recurrent, or metastatic cervical cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2021;385(20):1856-1867. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2112435
29. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Cervical Cancer. Version 1.2025. NCCN. Accessed June 29, 2026. <https://www.nccn.org/guidelines/guidelines-detail?category=1&id=1395>

30. Ramirez PT, Frumovitz M, Pareja R, et al. Minimally invasive versus abdominal radical hysterectomy for cervical cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2018;379(20):1895-1904. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1806395
31. Liao J, Xu T, Zhou J, et al. The impact of chemotherapy on survival in patients aged ≥ 65 years with locoregionally cervical cancer who undergo radiotherapy: a Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results database analysis. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2025;35(6):102363. doi:10.1016/j.ijgc.2025.102363
32. Nelson HD, Cantor AG, Pappas M, et al. Patient navigation services for breast and cervical cancer screening and follow-up: a meta-analysis. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2025;185(8):901-912. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2025.2205
33. Witkop CT, Picardo C, Vosooney A, et al. Recommendations from the Women's Preventive Services Initiative on breast cancer screening for women at average risk and patient navigation services for breast and cervical cancer screening. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2025;146(3):315-322. doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000006011
34. Christine B, Bush M, Thurakal A, Sheehy AM. New cervical cancer screening guidelines from the US Department of Health and Human Services: strengthening women's preventive health. *JAMA*. 2026;335(5):389-390. doi:10.1001/jama.2025.28123
35. McIntyre-Seltman K, Castle PE, Guido R, Schiffman M, Wheeler CM. Smoking is a risk factor for cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade 3 among oncogenic human papillomavirus DNA-positive women with equivocal or mildly abnormal cytology. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. 2005;14(5):1165-1170. doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-04-0918
36. Salcedo MP, Sood AK, Jhingran A, et al. Pelvic fractures and changes in bone mineral density after radiotherapy for cervical, endometrial, and vaginal cancer: a prospective study of 239 women. *Cancer*. 2020;126(11):2607-2613. doi:10.1002/cncr.32807
37. Hoshino N, Yoshida K, Kanemitsu Y, Toyama A, Sugiura M. Individualized estimated glomerular filtration rate-based renal function association with cisplatin treatment completion in cervical cancer. *Anticancer Res*. 2026;46(1):e1-e8.
38. Sandhu G, Adattini J, Armstrong Gordon E, et al. Integrating International Consensus Guidelines for Anticancer Drug Dosing in Kidney Dysfunction (ADDIKD) into everyday practice. *EClinicalMedicine*. 2025;82:103161. doi:10.1016/j.eclinm.2025.103161
39. Sánchez-Barroso L, Apellaniz-Ruiz M, Gutiérrez-Gutiérrez G, et al. Concomitant medications and risk of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy. *Oncologist*. 2019;24(8):e784-e792. doi:10.1634/theoncologist.2018-0418
40. Paclitaxel injection, USP [prescribing information]. Hospira, Inc; 2025. Accessed June 29, 2026. <https://dailymed.nlm.nih.gov/dailymed/drugInfo.cfm?setid=ea28753a-8631-460a-bfdc-b101eb8ac84a>
41. Okamoto K, Saito Y, Narumi K, et al. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs are a risk factor for cisplatin-induced nephrotoxicity: a meta-analysis of retrospective studies. *Anticancer Res*. 2020;40(3):1395-1400.
42. Shapley M, Jordan J, Croft PR. A systematic review of postcoital bleeding and risk of cervical cancer. *Br J Gen Pract*. 2006;56(527):453-460.
43. Song S, Rudra S, Engquist KB, et al. Effect of treatment time in locally advanced cervical cancer in the era of concurrent chemoradiotherapy. *Cancer*. 2013;119(2):325-331. doi:10.1002/cncr.27652
44. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Survivorship. Version 1.2026. NCCN. Accessed June 29, 2026. <https://www.nccn.org/guidelines/guidelines-detail?category=3&id=1466>

45. Nishizaki M, Shibutani Y. Impact of vascular endothelial growth factor inhibitor-induced hypertension on continued cancer treatment: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hypertens Res.* 2026;49(4):567-578.
46. Chen W, Xia X, Xie X, et al. Nomogram for prognosis of elderly patients with cervical cancer who receive combined radiotherapy. *Sci Rep.* 2023;13(1):13299. doi:10.1038/s41598-023-39764-5
47. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Cancer-Associated Venous Thromboembolic Disease. Version 1.2026. NCCN. Accessed June 29, 2026. <https://www.nccn.org/guidelines/guidelines-detail?category=3&id=1415>
48. Roura E, Castellsagué X, Pawlita M, et al. Smoking as a major risk factor for cervical cancer and pre-cancer: results from the EPIC cohort. *Int J Cancer.* 2014;135(2):453-466. doi:10.1002/ijc.28666
49. Drugs.com. Cisplatin prices, coupons, copay cards and patient assistance. Drugs.com. Accessed June 29, 2026. <https://www.drugs.com/price-guide/cisplatin>
50. Drugs.com. Carboplatin prices, coupons, copay cards and patient assistance. Drugs.com. Accessed June 29, 2026. <https://www.drugs.com/price-guide/carboplatin>
51. Merck & Co, Inc. Cost information for Keytruda (pembrolizumab). Keytruda.com. Accessed June 29, 2026. <https://www.keytruda.com/financial-support/>
52. Richardson MT, Attwood K, Smith G, et al. Sequential targeted therapy for advanced, metastatic, and recurrent cervical cancer: a cost-effectiveness analysis of the patient journey. *Cancer Control.* 2023;30:10732748231182795. doi:10.1177/10732748231182795
53. Drug Channels Institute. Transparency shocker: biosimilars are getting cheaper — but hospitals and insurers can make them expensive. Drug Channels. Published November 2024. Accessed June 29, 2026. <https://www.drugchannels.net/2024/11/transparency-shocker-biosimilars-are.html>
54. Drugs.com. Tivdak prices, coupons, copay cards and patient assistance. Drugs.com. Accessed June 29, 2026. <https://www.drugs.com/price-guide/tivdak>
55. Huo G, Liu W, Chen P. Cost-effectiveness of tisotumab vedotin as a second- or third-line therapy for cervical cancer. *J Gynecol Oncol.* 2024;35(5):e58. doi:10.3802/jgo.2024.35.e58
56. Richards TB, Lindley MC, Byron SC, Saraiya M. Human papillomavirus vaccination and cervical cancer screening coverage in managed care plans — United States, 2018. *Prev Med.* 2022;159:107019. doi:10.1016/j.ypmed.2022.107019
57. Neibart S, Zhou N, Chino J, et al. Health equity, disparities, and barriers to cervical cancer care in the U.S.: a consensus statement by SGO, ACOG, ASCCP, ASTRO, and ABS addressing the WHO cervical cancer elimination campaign goals related to treatment. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2025;200:186-192. doi:10.1016/j.ygyno.2025.07.029

Medical Disclaimer

The information provided by the American Academy of Value-Based Care (AAVBC) in this document, including but not limited to coding guidance, and related content, is intended for educational and informational purposes only. This content is designed to assist healthcare providers, organizations, and professionals in understanding and applying Value-Based Care principles, practices, and regulatory compliance standards.

AAVBC does not provide legal, clinical, or medical advice. The content presented should not be interpreted or relied upon as specific legal, medical, clinical, or professional guidance. While efforts are

made to ensure the accuracy and currency of the information provided, AAVBC does not guarantee that the materials presented are complete, comprehensive, or without error.

Providers and healthcare professionals must independently evaluate all content and guidance presented herein in the context of applicable laws, regulations, clinical guidelines, payer policies, patient-specific conditions, and contraindications. Any treatments, procedures, diagnostic approaches, medications, or strategies discussed are illustrative and should not be directly applied without a thorough and independent review of current, evidence-based clinical resources, and regulatory requirements.

For coding, documentation, utilization management, quality measures (including STAR ratings), and compliance matters, users are responsible for consulting official guidelines issued by regulatory bodies, including but not limited to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS), the American Medical Association (AMA), relevant state agencies, and other authoritative entities.

AAVBC expressly disclaims liability for any loss, claim, or damages arising directly or indirectly from the use or reliance on information provided in this document. Users should consult their organization's legal counsel, compliance officer, or qualified professional advisor for advice specific to their situation. By accessing and using this document, you agree to AAVBC's terms and acknowledge that the use of the content is at your own risk and discretion.